

NORMAL HEIGHTS COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP 4649 Hawley Boulevard San Diego, CA. 92116

Agenda for Tuesday, December 4th, 2018, 6:00pm until approximately 8:00pm

6:00 Call to Order - Start of NHCPG Meeting

- 1. Introduction of planning group members
- 2. Agenda Modifications/Setting (Note: items may be addressed out of listed order)
- 3. Approval of previous meeting minutes Adam Deutsch
- 4. Treasurer's Report Facility Fee: SDUSD Caroline McKeown

6:10 Public Comment

5. Non-agenda public comment may be limited to two minutes per person

6:20 Reports

- 6. Government Representatives
- 7. Community Groups
- 8. Adams Avenue Business Association
- 9. El Cajon Boulevard Business Improvement Association
- 10. NH Community Association and NH Urban Arts
- 11. Adams Rec. Advisory Group
- 12. Social Media & other communications Adam & Caroline

6:40 Chair Report

- 13. 40&ECB corner status
- 14. Survey proposal (see pages 4 and 5 below)
- 15. January meeting date and location
- 16. CPC report No November meeting

6:50 Action Items

- 17. Reimbursement request to Treasurer for recent expenses (\$35).
- 18. Proposed memo to the City reinforcing this committee's interest in a dynamic high density mixed use solution at 40th & ECB (see page 3 document below).
- 19. Refine and conduct a limited survey of thoughts and opinions on various topics related to implementation of the community plan
- 20. Board election to fill the position vacated by Thomas Lovell
- 21. January meeting time and location

7:20 Discussion Items

- 22. Presentation by Gabriel Torres Water Main replacement
- 23. The NHCPG's role and participation in production of a book—Zephen Specht
- 24. NH Parks & Schools Timeline and revenue possibilities for improvements
- 25. Projects with 45 year rule applied:

4929 W. Mtn. View Dr.

4911 W. Mtn. View Dr.

4879-4883 33rd St.

4716 32nd St.

4964-4966 Hawley Blvd.

4430 Wilson Ave.

7:40 Information Items

26. Updates on current working group activities and possible next steps.

8:00 Adjournment

Agenda order and timing are approximate and subject to change. This information is available in alternative formats (sign language, oral interpreter, and/or Assistive Listening Device). To request an alternative format, call 619-533-3650 at least five (5) working days prior to the meeting. If you have questions concerning the NHCPG, please express them at the meeting or contact the NHCPG Secretary Adam Deutsch at nhcpgsecretary@gmail.com.

Normal Heights Community Planning Group

Transit Oriented Development Corner

The southeast corner of Normal Heights, as described in the Mid-City Communities Plan, is immediately adjacent to the Boulevard Transit Plaza, and is seen as a major activity center created by the high level of transit service in each direction. The community plan regards it as the highest density location in all of Normal Heights.

As the City moves to dispose of the publically owned property at that location our board wants to remind the City of the plan goal and indicate our support of that location for a substantial mixed use infill development project.

There has also been mention of the high density zoning not being high enough at this time, given the circumstances of our present housing shortage.

* * *

Our planning group considered this situation at its meeting of 4 December 2018 and moved to approve the following points.

- If current zoning limits the ability to capture the higher density recommendations of the community plan then the NHCPG supports amending the zoning to achieve the higher density goals.
- The two parcels that have become available and apparently acquired by private interests are not large enough to achieve the impact of transit oriented development at this unique site. It ought to be expanded by acquiring adjacent properties wherever feasible.
- It has always been assumed that any project at this location should necessarily embrace the Boulevard Transit Plaza, and have a direct connection to it, tying the transit interchange to the adjacent high density development.
- The NHCPG supports to every extent possible the creation of market rate housing at this particular location, along with its fair share of affordable units to meet the broader community need.
- The NHCPG supports the inclusion of destination retail and employment space however possible, including entertainment uses, to attract a small part of the region's disposable income, and to represent to them an example of what transit oriented development can actually be.

Normal Heights Community Planning Group

Board Survey - Fall 2018

The following topics are issues that are addressed in the existing community plan. The planning group has discussed most of these matters over the years yet in many ways they are still unresolved, primarily because most cost a considerable amount to implement. Please indicate your preferences, and we will discuss the results at the December meeting.

Density

Please use these definitions in determining what type of new infill development should occur in each of the following segments of NH. Pick one of the three numbers for each area.

for each area.	te following segments of Nrt. Pick one of the three humbe
1 - No more infill at all2 - Keep existing unit(s)3 - Remove and replace	
North of CollierCollier to MadisonMadison to MeadeMeade to ECB	
Parks Please indicate which of the likeyes or no?	he following you prefer, mark as many or as few as you
Y N Search for single lo Y N Seek to consolidat	
allotted at the Adams site. area that allows them the	e has a difficult time fitting all of its games into the time They seek to find a permanent home somewhere in the flexibility to adjust their schedule to meet their needs. he nearby sites you think would make the most sense.
	on Park to fit it in there e newly rebuilt Wilson

<u>Traffic</u>
One approach to improving traffic safety is to evaluate methods of improving the
main conflict points, the intersections. Are there other intersections in addition to
the ones listed that need attention?

Adams & W. Mtn. View	35 th & Madison
Adams & Hawley	35th & Meade
Adams & 35th	33 rd & Meade
Adams & E. Mtn. View	Other
In general, which of the following treatment	ments would you prefer for intersections?
 Red curbs at corners to improved s Painted crosswalks Devices such as flashing lights Traffic signals 	ightlines
Curb extensions to narrow crossing Other	g distance
Parking	
Y N Should the streets be stripped to using angle parking where fea an exemption to angle parking Y N Should parking meters be used	on Adams Avenue?
What one thing could be used to impr	ove parking conditions?
• Which is better?	
Long, wide driveways with park	king in the front
or:	
Restoring the curb and sidewall	k and losing that parking in the front yard
Bicycles	
Which streets should be designated as s	some form of bikeway?
What type of designation should be app	lied to each street identified?
	