- I. Call to Order and Welcome by Chairman Eric Edelman
- II. Approve Agenda
- III. Introduction of Board Members
- IV. CMRCC Business
 - A. Public Comment Non-agenda items (3 minute limit)
 - B. Neighborhood Police Report Officer Julie Dragt
 - C. CMR Fire Station -
 - D. Assemblyman Brian Maienschein Representative Lance Witmondt
 - E. Supervisor Kristin Gaspar Representative -
 - F. Councilman Mark Kersey Representative Monique
 - 1. Temporary representative now that Michael is gone
 - G. San Diego Planning Dept. Tony Kempton
 - H. Jonathan Frankel New Urban West 10 Minutes
 - 1. What is going on with the golf course
 - a) Competed with 14 other companies
 - b) NOT the owners, but prospective purchasers
 - 2. Specialize in golf course construction and repurposing
 - 3. Just down the road in RB
 - 4. Community-led process to add value to the community
 - 5. Will be here every month as they move forward if they do indeed decide to move forward
 - 6. 90 days to process initiation approval from planning commission to amend the community plan
 - a) Then study
 - b) Then enters into discussion w/ owners that gives more time
 - 7. Understands issues as chair of his Mission Valley planning board
 - 8. Core Values
 - a) Want to hear from community to form the design
 - b) Want to preserve and enhance community and property values
 - c) Want to ensure sustainability environmentally and economically
 - d) Balance never going to fill in every single fairwar with houses
 - 9. Plan Elements
 - a) Majority of the plans are in master-planned communities
 - b) Recreational amenities part community is currently under-parked & they want to fix this
 - c) Open space amenity
 - d) Social space
 - e) Housing is included in plan
 - 10. Commitments
 - a) Timely and accurate information ongoing communications
 - b) Accessible whether there is agreement or disagreement
 - c) Want to hear from the community
 - 11. Next Steps
 - a) Window to get initiation from planning commission to begin studies
 - b) Engagement
 - (1) Meetings w/subcommittee traffic seems to be a common concern

- c) Technical reconnaissance
- d) Then develop plan to be submitted to city for review
- e) Environmental review environmental impact report
- f) CMRVision.com
 - (1) Submit any ideas/comments/concerns
- 12. Questions/Public Comments
 - a) How can building anything on the golf course that impacts views and open space make anything better or enhance the value?
 - (1) They would make sure there is an adequate buffer (landscape)
 - (2) View impacts are part of the environmental study
 - (3) They would not propose anything inconsistent w/ neighborhood (context sensitivity)
 - b) Is it the intent to buy and develop all of it?
 - (1) Buy, yes; develop, no; which fairways are developed would be determined w/impact and study
 - c) Is it likely that it would include houses or condos/townhomes?
 - (1) Too early to say some mix
 - d) Mentioned traffic, but what about school crowding?
 - (1) Work w/ school district
 - (2) Analysis is part of environmental report
 - (3) If estimate is more than capacity, there are a number of options to work w/ city and school district
 - e) When do we get to see the 1st proposal?
 - (1) Even at the end of the 90 days, there won't be a full plan (starts May 1st)
 - (2) Community engagement over 4-6 months to get proposal together
 - f) When initial negotiations were done, was there a number of how many houses will be built? Is this going to match the city's focus on multi-family residences?
 - (1) Of course there was an internal number, but they must work with the community to get what the community wants, so that number is constantly changing and adjusting
 - (2) Subject to technical reconnaissance
 - (3) 6 months to 1 year before real formal proposal
 - g) What would the impact be on the HOA?
 - (1) Their idea is that the new homes would have their own HOA, but they would work w/ HOA & planning group/community to get input
 - h) Is it viable that they may not move forward?
 - (1) Happened on other projects
 - (2) They may get technical info and decide it's not viable
 - i) Any other local projects?
 - (1) Escondido Country Club
 - i) What will be done to fire less of a hazard?
 - (1) In another project, as an example, they upgraded the water system
 - (2) All the new regulations and standards would be adopted

- (3) City has a new protocol w/fire protection planning
 - (a) Environmental impact report will include a wildfire section
- k) What is the status of the project in Escondido? Clarify what role the CMRSSCC has in rezoning
 - (1) Close to construction in Escondido
 - (2) Role is to hear community input and write a recommendation on plan which is then passed to city council
- l) When looking at developing new community, are demographics taken into account? When looking at needs of the community, how do you get more voices heard?
 - (1) Absolutely demographics are taken into account
 - (2) Will be at these meetings, social media, knock doors, email, online surveys
 - (3) Website is up can sign up for updates and use comment box
 - (a) CMRVision.com
- m) Are they a builder or broker?
 - (1) Long history of building
 - (2) Reading Creek Golf Course
- n) Are they the original purchaser of the the Escondido golf course?
 - (1) No; course was closed and then it went through a lot
- o) Seems like anything is a long time off plans for maintaining golf course?
 - (1) They don't own the land
 - (2) If successful w/planning commission, then they have options
 - (3) Ownership wouldn't transfer until rezoning
 - (4) Strongly encouraged owner to maintain
 - (5) Understanding is that some maintenance will be done, but they are not in control of how much
- p) Clarification of 90-day period
 - (1) Some surveys to get initiation package w/planning commission
 - (2) Then authorized to continue studying
 - (3) Then application to develop after 4-6 months of community input
- q) Is the Escondido property the same size?
 - (1) Little smaller; about 380 homes
- I. CMR United Jim Peters 10 minutes
 - 1. Board member
 - 2. 501(c)3
 - 3. CMR community is underserved to open space
 - a) Incorporate parks, golf course, trails, etc. connected by walking space
 - b) If any portion is rezoned, open space will shrink
 - 4. Has practical, sustainable proposal
 - a) Multiple funding components
 - b) Public & private access
 - c) Details released in the coming weeks
 - 5. Supports redesign of mixed commercial and residential space
 - 6. Organized and energized

- 7. Will be a long process, but they are committed
- 8. Suggest that their issues be brought to CMRSSCC
 - a) We will hear it
- 9. Carmelmountainranch.org
- 10. In 2006, there was an attempt to put a hotel, and library was so full of people against it, and we had our support of our city council representative
- 11. Contact City Council rep. Mark Kersey w/concerns
- 12. Any plans for blasting info to residents?
 - a) If people want to be added to email list, ask Eric
 - b) Update is posted on Nextdoor
 - c) Subcommittee meetings are noticed the same way these meetings are
- J. Senator Joel Anderson Representative -
- K. Mayor Kevin Faulconer Representative -
- L. Congressman Scott Peters Representative -
- M. Chair's Report
- N. Parking Garage Development Subcommittee report
- O. Old Business:
- P. New Business:
 - 1. Formation of golf course subcommittee
 - a) Appointed Rick Smith to chair former chair of the SS planning group
 - b) Must have majority from this board
 - c) Collecting interest now & then determining board commitment
- Q. Action Items:
- V. Adjournment

Next Meeting: Wednesday, May 8, 2019 at 6:30pm Carmel Mountain Ranch Recreation Center 10152 Rancho Carmel Dr., San Diego, CA 92128