

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Report to the Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED: April 14, 2022 REPORT NO. HRB-21-012

ATTENTION: Historical Resources Board

Agenda of April 28, 2022

SUBJECT: ITEM #04 - Certified Local Government Annual Report 2020-2021

APPLICANT: City of San Diego, Development Services and Planning Departments

LOCATION: Citywide

DESCRIPTION: Consider the Draft Annual Report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic

Preservation to meet the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities and to the Mayor and City Council to meet the Municipal Code Section 111.0206 (d)(7)

requirements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego Mayor and City Council or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2021 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the Mayor and City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021). The Annual Report format was provided by the Office of Historic Preservation and cannot be altered resulting in pagination, tables, and text on different pages and a number of different fonts. Since the Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the Mayor and City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for that report, as well.

ANALYSIS

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should offer their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final report.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. The National Park Service (NPS) reporting has also been incorporated into the annual CLG report in Section IV. The 2009 baseline report to NPS included 17,038 historic properties in the City's inventory prior to September 30, 2008, with an additional 2,029 properties added by 2019 and 51 added this past year to equal a historic resources inventory of 19,158 properties.

During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 51 new individually significant properties. This year the number of processed Mills Act contracts decreased, this is likely because no new local historic districts were designated the prior year. Forty-five contracts were completed during this period, compared to 116 new contracts in the last reporting period. The physical closure of the Development Services Department due to COVID caused significant challenges to staff including the conversion to a new digital project management system, Accela. Despite this major change, staff was able to process a record high number of project reviews, approximately 3,900. For comparison, 3,563 reviews were completed in the previous reporting period and 3,719 in 2018-2019.

The most critical preservation planning issue facing the City continues to be the renewed development pressure on historic and potentially historic resources. With a steadily improving economy and increase in permit activity City-wide, staff has noted an increase in applications impacting potentially historic and designated resources. This includes demolition applications for properties over 45 years in age, as well as projects proposing relocation or other substantial alteration of designated historic resources to accommodate new development. Staff continues to work with applicants to educate them on the benefits of historic preservation, and to pursue projects that are consistent with the US Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Additionally, staff provides a free 30 minute consultation, as well as a Preliminary Review process to assist potential buyers during a due-diligence period in understanding the significance or potential significance of a property, how that property could be improved consistent with the Standards, and the historic/permit review process at the City. It is hoped that through this early consultation, staff can assist potential applicants in identifying a property that best suits their needs and goals

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee also continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation.

Our single greatest accomplishment during the reporting period was the successful completion of two context statements: the Kearny Mesa Historic Context Statement and the San Diego Bungalow Court and Apartment Court Historic Context Statement. The Kearny Mesa Context will inform policies of the Kearny Mesa Community Plan Update and provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Kearny Mesa. Similarly the Bungalow Court and Apartment Court Historic Context Statement will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of these resources which are unique to Southern California.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.

Suzanne Segur Senior Planner

SS/ss

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2020-2021 (without attachments)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG

City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and City Staff

Date of commission/board review: April 28, 2022

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- 1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. Type here.
- Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code.
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated during the reporting.

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Gustave and Blanche Ehrenberg Apartments 504-522 Thorn Street	10/29/2020	Type here.	4/14/2021
Jennie Haas House 4374 Georgia Street	10/29/2020		4/14/2021
Florence Palmer Spec House #2 7154 Olivetas Avenue	10/29/2020		4/14/2021
Ernest and Thelma McGowan Spec House #1 5471 Madison Avenue	10/29/2020		4/14/2021
Helen Copley/Henry Hester House 7932 Prospect Place	10/29/2020		4/14/2021
Frederick and Marianne Liebhardt House 7224 Carrizo Drive	10/29/2020		4/14/2021
Emma Watt Rental 1915 Howard Avenue	11/19/2020		4/14/2021
Edward T. Guymon Sr. House 2055 Sunset Boulevard	11/19/2020		4/15/2021
George and Caldonia Parks Spec House #1 4909 Marlborough Drive	11/19/2020		4/14/2021
R.L. and Ruby Starrett Spec House #1 2124 Upas Street	11/19/2020		4/14/2021
Ray and Phyllis Dickson House 439 La Crescentia Drive	11/19/2020		4/14/2021
Roy and Alice Lichty Spec House #1 4386 North Talmadge Drive	11/19/2021		4/14/2021
Dr. Roy and Herma Ledford/Charles Salyers Building 2851-2881 4th Avenue	1/28/2021		On Appeal
Frank and Rosa Woodford House 741 11th Avenue	1/28/2021		On Appeal

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Julia Goodell House	1/28/2021	4/14/2021
7112 Monte Vista Avenue	1720/2021	7172021
Dorrit and Albert Wright House	1/28/2021	4/14/2021
8445 Avenida de las Ondas	.,_5,_5	,,,,,===:
The Mr. Robinson/Jonathan Segal	2/25/2021	4/15/2021
Building 3752 Park Boulevard	_,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Whitson Company Medical Office Building	2/25/2021	Designation
550 Washington Street		overturned by City
gion on on		Council
James and Madeleine Taylor House	2/25/2021	4/15/2021
4382 Ampudia Street		
John and Oda McDermott Speculation House	2/25/2021	4/8/2021
4124 Norfolk Terrace		
Marine National Bank of San Diego Spec House	2/25/2021	4/8/2021
1773 Sunset Boulevard		
Gabriel and Marie Berg House	2/25/2021	4/14/2021
4825 Adams Avenue		
Union Trust Company of San Diego Spec	3/25/2021	5/19/2021
House #2 2225 Hickory Street		
Martha and Joseph Hillard/Charles Salyers House	3/25/2021	5/19/2021
4415 Long Branch Avenue		
Sim Bruce Richards Residence I	3/25/2021	5/19/2021
977 Albion Street		
Harry and Bess Snyder/Chris Cosgrove	3/25/2021	5/19/2021
House 5285 Marlborough Drive		
Fred Bushman Building / Charles and Mary Schaeffer Residence	3/25/2021	On Appeal
3951-3957 Goldfinch and 820 W University Avenue		
Paul and Nellis McCoy Speculation House	4/22/2021	5/19/2021
#3 3406 Olive Street		
Webb Van Horn Rose/Charles Salyers	4/22/2021	5/19/2021
House 736 Fern Glen		
Guild Company/Richard Wheeler Spec	4/22/2021	5/19/2021
House #1 3551 Garrison Street		
Weston and Freda Hicks Spec House	4/22/2021	5/19/2021
3576 Granada Avenue		
Henry and Catherine Dowd House	5/27/2021	6/30/2021
2836 Ivy Street		

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Charles and Ruth Long House	5/27/2021	6/30/2021
4949 Westminster Terrace		
Frances Zeluff House	5/27/2021	6/30/2021
3238 Goldsmith Street		
Ralph and Nettie Hurlburt/ Alexander Schreiber House	6/24/2021	8/18/2021
3226 Brant Street		
Alberta Security Company/Marin V. Melhorn Spec House #8	6/24/2021	8/18/2021
3956 Alameda Drive		
The Thomas H. and Lena L. Thornton House	6/24/2021	8/18/2021
3714 Nimitz Boulevard		
Robert and Rebecca Liebner/William Ivans	7/22/2021	8/18/2021
House 807 La Jolla Rancho Road		
Fred Heilbron House	7/22/2021	8/18/2021
2530-2532 A Street		
John H. Lovett Spec House #1	7/22/2021	8/26/2021
4351 Bancroft Street		
The Prospect Center Building	7/22/2021	11/01/2021
1020 Prospect Street		
Delawie #1/Boxcar House	7/22/2021	3/29/2022
1773 Torrance Street		
Fred and Harriet Young Spec House #1	8/26/2021	11/01/2021
1907 Granada Avenue		
George E. Harrison House	8/26/2021	11/01/2021
5012 Westminster Terrace		
Abraham and Anne Ratner House #2	8/26/2021	11/01/2021
4252 Alder Drive		
William and Edith Potter Spec House #2	8/26/2021	11/01/2021
1403 Sutter Street		
Century Plaza Towers/William Krisel Condominiums	9/23/2021	11/01/2021
3535 1 st Avenue		
Louis and Sylvia Solof House	9/23/2021	11/01/2021
1517 Cypress Avenue		
Mary Hyde House	9/23/2021	11/01/2021
3446 Elliott Street		

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
Whitson Company Medical Office Building	6/29/2021	Designation was Overturned by the City
550 Washington Street		Council

C	Historic	Preservation	Element/Plan
u.	HISTOLIC	riesei valion	Ciellielluriali

	1. Do	you address historic preservation in your general plan? ⊠ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.	□ No□ Yes, it is included in another element.
		le an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of nt. https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/plannics.	·
D. Re	view Re	esponsibilities	
	1. Wh	o takes responsibility for design review or Certificates o	of Appropriateness?
		☐ All projects subject to design review go the commission	
		review and full-commission review? The City of San Diegnistorical sites. The HRB has authority for recommend historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommapplicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources approves minor modifications to historical resources.	ations on projects that may have adverse impacts on nittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to sources. Historical Resources staff reviews and that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's fication or if the DAS review concludes that a project is normally consider the project, although projects with

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

2. California Environmental Quality Act

 What is the role of historic preservation staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local
 government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all Section
 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National Register eligible
 resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources
 section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Andrew Bowen	Architect	8/04/2017	3/01/2018	andrewbowen@cox.net
Dr. Diana Cordileone	History	4/26/2017	3/01/2019	dianacordileone@pointloma.edu
Courtney Coyle	Fine Arts/Law	7/16/2016	3/1/2019	courtcoyle@aol.com
Amy Strider-Harleman	Real Estate/Law	7/16/2016	3/01/2019	ash@petersonprice.com
Tim Hutter	Law	7/19/2016	3/1/2018	thutter@allenmatkins.com
David McCullough	Landscape Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2019	david@mlasd.com
Todd Pitman	Landscape Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2019	tdpitman@ucsd.edu
Cindy Stankowski	Archaeologist	4/26/2017	3/1/2019	c.stankowski@att.net
Matthew Winter	Architect	7/19/2016	3/1/2018	Mwinter2000@gmail.com
Dr. Ann Woods	Architectural Hitory	11/12/2009	3/1/2017	acwoods@ucsd.edu

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. Type here.
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? During this reporting period the HRB had two vacancies and one termed-out position. The Mayor's office and CLG have been able to secure two new Boardmembers to fill those vacancies. The termed-out Boardmember will continue to serve until they are replaced.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, who serves as staff? Click or tap here to enter text.
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? Type here.

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony Associate Planner (6/2018 to Present)	Library Science & Information	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Megan Bacik Junior Planner (1/2019 to Present)	History	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	MBacik@sandiego.gov
Caroline Garcia Board Secretary 6/2021 to Present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	CarGarcia@sandiego.gov
Emma Haggerty Associate Planner/Mills Act Coordinator (8/2018 to Present)	Planning/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	EHaggerty@sandiego.gov
Lea Kolesky Junior Planner (1/2020 to Present)	History	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	LKolesky@sandiego.gov
Alvin Lin Junior Planner (2/2021 to Present)	Urban Studies	Development Services Department; Land Development Review Division	AMLin@sandiego.gov
Anna McPherson Program Manager (8/2018 to Present)	Management	Development Services Department; Project Submittal and Management Division	AMcPherson@sandiego.gov
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary (6/2018 to Present)	Board Secretary	Development Services Department, Project Submittal and Management Division	SantosS@sandiego.gov

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Suzanne Segur Senior Planner/HRB Liaison (5/2016 to Present)	American Studies/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	SSegur@sandiego.gov
Kelley Stanco Project Manager/ CLG Liaison (3/2006 to Present)	History & Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	KStanco@sandiego.gov
Gemma Tierney Associate Planner (1/2020 to 5/2021)	Urban Studies/ Historic Preservation	Development Services Department, Land Development Review Division	GTierney@sandiego.gov
Bernard Turgeon Senior Planner (3/2017 to Present)	Planning	Planning Department; Historic Preservation Planning Section	bturgeon@sandiego.gov

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Andrew Bowen	\boxtimes											
Dr. Diana Cordileone				\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
Courtney Coyle	\boxtimes											
Amy Strider- Harleman	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
Tim Hutter		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
David McCullough	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes						
Todd Pitman	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes								
Cindy Stankowski	\boxtimes											
Matthew Winter	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes							

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Dr. Ann Woods	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes							
Suzanne Segur Senior Planner	\boxtimes											
Emma Haggerty Senior Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Gemma Tierney Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes					
Megan Bacik Associate Planner	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Alvin Lin Junior Planner					\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Lea Kolesky Junior Planner				\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Kelley Stanco Development Project Manager	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes								
Caroline Garcia Board Secretary									\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Sheila Santos Interim Board Secretary	\boxtimes											
Tim Daly Assistant Deputy Director							\boxtimes					

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Staff	Webinar: Since the 70's: "Prince and Paisley Park"	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers	4/13/21

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Staff	Webinar: Since the 70's: PoMo in POPOS: A Virtual Tour	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers.	4/20/21
Staff	Webinar: Since the 70's: Post War Plazas	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers.	4/27/21
Staff	Community Outreach Strategies for Historic Preservation Commissions and Boards	1.5 Hours	National Alliance of Preservation Commissions	5/13/21
Staff	Code Enforcement Staff training on the Historical Designation Review Process and Regulations	1Hour	HRB Staff	6/30/21
Staff	Building Inspector Staff training on the Historical Designation Review Process and Regulations	1Hour	HRB Staff	6/22/21
Boardmembers	Mills Act Training	0.5 Hours	Emma Haggerty, City of San Diego Mills Act Coordinator	7/22/21
Staff	Webinar: Preserving Los Angeles	1 Hour	California Preservation Foundation – Various speakers.	8/17/21

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Kearny Mesa Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement was prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Kearny Mesa Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Kearny Mesa Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Kearny Mesa.	kearny mesa hcs fi nal_document_2019. pdf (sandiego.gov)
Bungalow Court Context Statement	A historic context statement was prepared in conjunction with a Certified Local Government Grant. The context focuses on Bungalow Courts and Apartment Courts in all areas of the City.	The historic context statement will inform Citywide policies and provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources throughout the City.	Completed, Submitted September 30, 2021
Clairemont Historic Context Statement	A historic context statement is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan Update for the Clairement Community to assist in the identification of resources important to that community.	The historic context statement will inform the policies of the Clairemont Community Plan Update and the analysis in the associated EIR, and will provide the basis for future identification, evaluation and designation of resources in Clairemont.	In Development.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

University Historic Context	A historic context statement and	The historic context	In Development.
Statement & Focused	focused reconnaissance survey is	statement and focused	
Reconnaissance Survey	being prepared in conjunction with	reconnaissance survey will	
	a Community Plan Update for the	inform the policies of the	
	University Community to assist in	University Community Plan	
	the identification of resources	Update and the analysis in	
	important to that community.	the associated EIR; will	
		determine the likelihood of	
		encountering historic	

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey,

is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
University Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	8,500	TBD	In Progress	N/A
Mira Mesa Historic Context Statement & Focused Reconnaissance Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance	10,500	TBD	In Progress	N/A
Hillcrest LGBTQ+ Historic Context Statement & MPL Survey	Yes	Intensive	380	TBD	In Progress	N/A

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify and preserve significant historical resources. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluated at the project level when a permit application is submitted.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Individual meetings with historic property owners.	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing
Mills Act Training	The Board's training on the City's Mills Act Program was open to the public.	7/22/21
CPF Seminar: Mills Act & Tax Credit	The City's Mills Act Coordinator Emma Haggerty was a panelist for this California Preservation Foundation event. https://californiapreservation.org/events/preservation-incentives/	8/12/21

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf.CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	53

A. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law?

 ☐ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? 51

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Click or tap here to enter text.

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2020-2021	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Mills Act	45	1761

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

- 1. 20uring the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ☒No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Approximately 3,900

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020--September 30, 2021) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? ☐Yes ☐ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021 Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited	
Type here.	Type here.	

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN YOUR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE RECENT CAMP TRAINING

Did anyone from your local government participate in the free CAMP training opportunities in Fall 2021?
 Yes, Suzanne Segur and Shannon Anthony both attended the September 16 &17 session. Boardmember Coyle also attended in October 2021 which is not noted above because it is outside of the reporting period.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

- If yes, which training session(s) did you find the most informative and useful?
 The portions of the training regarding providing productive feedback during the design review process were helpful for both staff and boardmembers.
- Whether or not you were able to take advantageof any of the CAMP trainings in 2021, would you like to see OHP to provide free additional CAMPs in the future?

Yes, the training was very informative and additional trainings would allow more boardmembers to attend.

XII Attachments (electronic)

\square Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and staff
☐ Minutes from commission meetings
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
□ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
□ Public outreach publications

Email to: info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov