

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Final Minutes

President: Diane Kane

PO Box 889, La Jolla CA 92038

1st Vice President: Greg Jackson

<https://lajollacpa.org>

Regular Trustee

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

info@lajollacpa.org

Meeting

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

2 December 2021, 6pm

Treasurer: Larry Davidson

Regular Monthly Meetings: 1st Thursday, LJ Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St
(In accordance with AB361, meetings are being held online during the California public health emergency)

Links for Registration and Materials pages can be found at <https://lajollacpa.org/2021-agendas/>

Viewing, listening, and speaking at meetings require registration. To have attendance counted toward membership or voting, registration must be in the member's name. Meetings are recorded, and recording is publicly available. **Refer to projects or issues, not to applicants or opponents.** For action Items, chair calls on public, then Trustees, closes discussion upon consensus, and calls for motions. Trustees vote by roll call or show of hands.

The public is encouraged to participate in Committee/Board meetings before LJCPA discussion:

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair Andy Fotsch, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair Brian Earley, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

Quorum Present: Ahern, Boyden, Costello, Courtney, Davidson, Hostomska, Jackson, Kane, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Rudick, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman. **Absent:** Ish

1. Call to Order (6:03 pm, ACTION items)

1.1. Approve Agenda (ACTION)

Motion: Approve as presented, (Steck/Jackson) No objections, Motion carries

1.2. Approve Minutes (ACTION)

Neil: Item 8.3, drive-throughs in TPA's, **Boyden:** Add Courtney non-agenda trustee comment to Item 8.4

Motion: Approve as corrected, (Jackson/Boyden) No objections, Motion carries

2. Non-Agenda Public Comment (information only)

Items *not* on the agenda. 2 minutes or less. No votes or action.

Catherine Douglass: For many years we have experienced issues with stuck trucks at the base of Hillside Dr. and Torrey Pines Rd. Multiple community groups and individuals have worked for years to solve this issue. These stuck trucks are impacting traffic flow and more importantly they are adversely affecting response times of emergency personnel. The chair of T & T witnessed one this morning with an extension to its rear which caught the pavement which lifted the wheels in the air. The driver was stepping on the gas with the wheels spinning 2 to 3 feet off the ground as he passed. It was blocking traffic. In August I asked SDPD for a count of stuck trucks over the past 3 years. They logged 42 calls for service to this location; they were listed as hazards, but the assumption is that most were stuck trucks. I spoke to SDPD this week and asked that citations be given to all truck drivers ignoring this signage. They are advising their officers to do so. It is my understanding that the city has agreed to re-engineer this location, but nothing has commenced. Clearly driving apps are a contributing factor to this issue.

Kane: Is there anything we can do to speed things up. **Reply:** T & T is going after the City for things they promised. I am working with SDPD to make sure citations are issued, I think \$500. I have clarified that with them and have sent an email to our Community Relations officer asking if a citation was issued today for the above incident.

Neil: On behalf of Bob Evans of Park & Rec.: the removal of the construction fence and the grand opening should occur at Scripps Park on December 22, barring any delays. Kiwanis may move the fence a little for their event on Dec. 11, but the fence doesn't come down until Dec. 22. A community member commented that the coat hangers with metal protrusions were dangerous so they will put balls made of a plastic material painted bright colors on the ends of those hangers, so people don't run into them.

Manno: The Election Committee met on November 22, on Zoom chaired by Diane Kane. Larry Davidson, David Dunbar, Janie Emerson, Suzanne Weissman and I were present. Following decisions were made:

- Send initial email letter to those LJCPA members who have attended at least one meeting encouraging them to attend in Dec., Jan., or Feb. meetings to qualify to run for trustee.
- Send follow-up email letters reflecting responses prior to the Jan, Feb. meetings.
- Weissman provided current list of potential candidates and will provide updated list after each meeting.
- We will divide the list of potential candidates among committee members to call and/or email each person and provide results to committee members.
- We do not have telephone numbers for all candidates so effort should be made to obtain them. Email only is not enough for recruitment.
- Assume we will follow last year's vote by mail protocol; it was very successful.
- We will wait until CPG reforms are officially in place to amend our election protocol.
- Updates among committee members will be done by email. Next meeting to be decided.

3. Consent Agenda (consolidated ACTION item)

The Consent Agenda enables LJCPA unanimously to ratify recommendations from joint Committees or Boards that findings CAN or CANNOT be made. Those recommendations become LJCPA's. The public may comment on consent items, but there is no presentation or debate. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by LJCPA at a subsequent meeting.

3.1. 6208 Avenida Cresta (690811, Lyon)

(Process 3) Coastal Development Permit to demolish the existing residence and portion of garage to construct a new two story, single-family residence with attached garage, balcony and patio for a total gross square footage of 7,497 at 6208 Avenida Cresta. The 0.20-acre site is in the RS-1-5 zone and Coastal (Appealable Area) Overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan and Council District 1.

DPR 11/9/21: findings CANNOT be made, 6-0-1. Increased height of previously conforming garage walls, transition lacking to existing structures, bulk and scale too

large as viewed from street, landscape does little to improve the perceived bulk and scale, black vertical walls and roof overhangs encroach into front setback.

3.2. 7768 Prospect PI (695190, Bonnet)

(Process 2) Coastal development for the conversion of an existing 192 square foot non-habitable accessory structure into a new 523 square foot Companion Unit, and the addition of 230 square feet to an existing detached garage, located at 7768 Prospect Place. The 0.17-acre site is in RS-1-7 zone, Coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone, CHLOZ overlay within the La Jolla Community Plan area. Council District 1.

- **DPR 11/9/21: findings CAN be made, 6-0-1**

3.3. 7951 Paseo Del Ocaso (691672, DeHenzel)

(Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing single family residence including garage, pool, and shed. Construction of a new 3,355-square-foot, two-story, single-family residence with a roof access and attached two-car garage. The 0.17-acre site is located at 7951 Paseo Del Ocaso in the LJSPD-SF Zone and Coastal Overlay zone (Non-Appealable-2) within the La Jolla Community Plan area. Council District 1.

- **PRC 11/15/21: findings CANNOT be made, 4-1-1. Lack of 2nd story articulation, excessive massing on side elevations.**

3.4. 8424 Avenida de las Ondas (675102, Ritter)

(Process 3) Coastal Development Permit & Site Development Permit to an existing 2-story, 4,362 sf single family residence at 8424 Avenida De Las Ondas. The project consists of a 906 sf addition to the home, a 686 sf addition to the garage for a total of 5,954 sf, and associated site improvements. The 0.47-acre site is in the La Jolla Shores Planned District Single Family Zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area, and Council District 1.

- **PRC 11/15/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

3.5. 7792 Senn Way (692097, Pallamary)

(Process 3) CDP and SDP to construct a 2-story, 18,436-sf single family residence over basement/garage, a detached 3,462-sf gym, pool/spa, and 2,670-sf outdoor basketball court on a vacant lot at 7792 Senn Way. The 5.4-acre site contains ESL (MHPA Subarea 114, Steep Hillside, & sensitive vegetation) and is in the LJSPD-SF Zone, Coastal (Non-App. Area 1) Overlay Zone, Coastal Height Limit. OZ, Fire Brush Zones, and High Fire Severity Zone within La Jolla CP, andxt CD 1.

- **PRC 11/15/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

Motion: Approve Consent Agenda as presented: (Jackson/Boyden) **Vote:** no objections. Motion carries.

4. Elected Officials, Agencies & Other Entity Representatives

4.1. Council 1 (Joe LaCava): Steve Hadley, 619-236-6611, srhadley@sandiego.gov

Hadley: The City Council and community leaders have pushed to have the Scripps Park project opened as quickly as possible so some final work may still need to be done after the first of the year such as the black vents need painting and the ball on the hangers painted. Please be patient; the project is not completely finished but these minor things can be done later. The goal is to have restroom open before the holidays. Re Hillside Dr. there was pushback when city engineers wanted to regrade that dip; I'm not sure if that slowed the project. I will try to find out what became of that project.

Neil: I applaud Council member LaCava's department for what they have done to complete the Scripps Pavilion and thanks to Parks & Rec. It is going to be beautiful.

Kane: Will restrooms be available 24/7? **Reply:** I don't see any gates.

Neil: I don't see how they would close it off but there could be things I am not aware of. My impression is that they will be open just as at Kellogg Park.

4.2. SD Mayor's Office (Todd Gloria): Matt Griffith, 619-964-7748, griffithm@sandiego.gov not present

4.3. County 4 (Nathan Fletcher): Aaron Burgess, 619-531-5022, Aaron.Burgess@sdcounty.ca.gov not present

4.4. Assembly 78 (Chris Ward): Rachel Granadino, 619-413-0674, rachel.granadino@asm.ca.gov not present

4.5. Senate 39 (Toni Atkins): Cole Reed, 619-645-3133, cole.reed@sen.ca.gov

Cole Reed: The state legislature is currently in recess. Oct. 9 was last day for Governor to act on all legislation. He signed 770 bills and vetoed 66 of those. Two were Senator Atkins's: SB1, sea level rise mitigation adaptation act, SB9, duplex conversion and lot split act. Senator Atkins has been spending time in her district attending community events, veteran's events. Latest event was ribbon cutting ceremony at Scripps Institute of Oceanography to launch SOARES project to research impacts of oceans on atmosphere to further meaningful research for studying climate change. Caltrans began accepting applications for their Clean California Local Grant Program, a \$300 m. program for local transit agencies and tribal government to submit proposals to Caltrans for litter abatement or beautification. Each agency can receive multiple grants that can be used for local streets.

Kane: In La Jolla we are developing programs for beautification. Could we work with the Senator on that? **Reply:** I will reach out to my office; I don't now how much we can be involved in the grant program.

4.6. SD Planning: Marlon Pangilinan, 619-235-5293, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov not present

4.7. UCSD Planning: Anu Delouri, 858-610-0376, adelouri@ucsd.edu

Delouri: Community update: Return to Learn program successful, sustainability efforts recognized, capital improvements highlighted North Torrey Pines Living and Learning Neighborhood opening this fall. This is a transformational project which added 2,000

undergraduate beds. UC San Diego provides community contributions that extend beyond research, education, health care programs that include relieving local traffic congestion by adding devices on traffic lights to smooth traffic flow and by partnering with local agencies to improve public services. On Nov. 21, we celebrated with MTS to officially open the UCSD Blue Line Trolley. There are 2 stations on our campus and 3 others nearby. UCSD is proud to have partnered with the other local transportation agencies.

Kane: Will you be assessing the impact on traffic congestion with the opening of the Blue Line Trolley? **Reply:** That will be a continuous process when the trolley begins to operate regularly.

Kane: During the redistricting process students voiced concern about lack of affordable housing. With the opening of many student beds on campus, why is this such an issue with the students? **Reply:** We have ~30,000 undergraduate students, but housing for 17,000 students. 2,000 more are under construction. We continue to work on how to provide additional student housing. With Covid we converted our triples into doubles which caused a loss in housing causing a setback

Kane: Any updates on the hiking trails in LJ Farms? **Reply:** Access to the Knoll is being evaluated for safety. We received feedback from lifeguards about people going through the Knoll to treacherous trails to Black's Beach getting stuck. We installed signage along the beach and the Knoll. I will get back to you on plans for reopening. Docent tours are available on the Knoll.

Boyd: Comments on traffic lights on La Jolla Village Drive need adjustment. **Reply:** We are not doing any new traffic studies. We reach out to City traffic requesting them to study adjacent intersections that seem to need adjustments; they adjust them as needed. I am happy to put in a request.

Trace Wilson: Have you investigated a grade separated pedestrian overpass at TP Rd. and LJ Village Dr.? **Reply:** I don't believe we have studied that. There is an overhead bridge at LJ Village Dr. and Villa LJ Dr. built by the City.

Courtney: Why not close the crosswalk at the intersection mentioned above. It is dangerous for pedestrians and there is the pedestrian overpass nearby? **Reply:** I will bring that up with University City lead manager who is working on the UC plan update to evaluate.

5. Local Project Reviews (action items as noted)

These may be *de novo* considerations. Actions by committees are listed for information only. Written comments can be submitted via the Materials & Comments page, link above. In general, applicants for each project have 10-15 minutes to present, an individual representing organized opponents (if there are such) has 10 minutes to respond, and members of the public have 15 minutes for 2-minute comments not already covered in presentations. Trustees then discuss the project for 20 minutes, at which point the President may call for motions and vote.

(none)

6. City/State/UCSD Project Reviews (action items as noted)

(none)

7. Officer Reports (action items as noted)

7.1. President

Kane: I received a response to our letter about Boomer Beach from Andy Field that I will send to trustees - basically a non-response.

7.2. Secretary

Weissman: LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age.

But you do not need to be a member to attend and participate in the meetings. The La Jolla Community Planning Association welcomes the community to our public meetings and encourages expression and discussion of all viewpoints in our open forums.

Those in attendance tonight who are not already members or who need another meeting or two to run for trustee are encouraged to attend and consider being a trustee to give our community a strong voice at City Hall. Please encourage your friends and neighbors to join and participate in the community groups to let our city officials know many in LJ want to improve the quality of life in our community.

Information on how to join this group, the membership application and list of current members is on the Website – LaJollaCPA.org. - Attendance & Membership

7.3. Treasurer

Beginning Balance as of Nov 1, 2021	\$ 796.23
Total Income	0.00
<u>Total Expenses</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Net Income/(Expenditure)	0.00
Ending Balance of Nov 30, 2021	\$ 796.23

Donations can be made by mailing a check made out to the LJCPA. Email the Treasurer via info@lajollacpa.org for instructions and address.

8. Policy Discussions, Reviews, & Recommendations (action items as noted)

8.1. State Redistricting (Amorao) TIME CERTAIN ~6:15 ACTION

Every 10 years, after the federal government publishes updated census information, California must redraw the boundaries of its Congressional, State Senate, State Assembly and State Board of Equalization districts, so that the districts correctly reflect the state’s population. California voters authorized the creation of the Commission when they passed the VOTERS FIRST Act (Act) in 2008. It authorized the Commission to draw the new district lines. In 2010, the Congressional Voters FIRST Act added the responsibility of drawing Congressional districts to the Commission. The 14-member Commission is made up of five Republican, five Democrats, and 4 not affiliated with either of those two parties. The Commission must draw the district lines in conformity with strict, nonpartisan rules designed to create districts of relatively equal population that will provide fair representation for all Californians.

Andrew Amorao, So. California Field Team Lead for California Citizens Redistricting Committee responsible for San Diego region and inland empire: Presentation showing slides:

- Different redistricting commissions: State, Counties, Cities, School Districts, Community College districts and water districts.
- Six criteria were described for this commission: equal population, voting rights act, contiguity, communities of interest, geographically compact, nesting districts. Communities of interest defined.
- The commission is especially interested in collecting information on communities of interest and provides many ways to gather this information.
- Draft maps, interactive Map Viewer that provide feedback from websites was described with links for those interested in participating.
- Many ways to provide input were described with links included.
- The commission is very interested in outreach and making it user friendly with chat, phone or in person assistance in SD was described.
- Final District Maps Certified need to go to Secretary of State by December 27, 2021. [See Materials page for details.]

Boyden: Question about map for north part of La Jolla. **Reply:** Updated presentation will be sent to LJCPA website with draft maps. Also reach out to Redistricting Access Center in SD for help with maps.

8.2. CPG Reform (Neil) ACTION

Community Planning Groups (CPGs) are a foundation of public input in San Diego. However, after 45+ years, input from our City Auditor, City Attorney, Council Committee, and the broader community has dictated it's time for change and compliance.

Neil: New Draft Council Policy 600-24 makes the following revisions:

- City separates from planning groups making them independent.
- One goal to reduce workload at DSD & Planning Dept.
- All people in a community will automatically be members of the CPG (Community Planning Group) -- no sense of membership; no attendance requirements for joining or becoming a voting member, no membership form.
- Special callouts for planning groups will be removed from Municipal Code.
- Appeal fees for CPG's will no longer be waived.
- CPG's will no longer be able to use city facilities for meetings or events free of charge -
- Rec Center and library will charge fees.
- CPG's will no longer receive funds from City to support their activities.
- CPG's will no longer have an assigned city planner, Planning dept will support Community Planners Committee (CPC) with no planner attending meetings or elections of CPG's. Groups may ask questions of Planning Dept and may receive help.

- City will no longer be responsible for or maintain records for CPG's. City may provide links to the CPG's websites but will not be involved keeping agendas or minutes. CPG's will remain subject to Brown Act and required to maintain all records and notices.
- 2 year break in service required when CPG voting member has termed out.
- One voter per household.
- To be certified as CPG the CPG will need to submit following documents to be approved by city: new bylaws, new operation procedures, new election procedures, ethical standards, new community participation and representation plan.
- Bylaw shells, administrative guidelines are gone. No templates for these required docs are available.
- CPG's will have to collect demographic data from community participants and report to city to show best effort at community outreach especially renters. Outreach must take form of in person and mailed out letters to community; not enough to announce elections at meetings or Eblast notification. Failure to do this may result in decertification.
- Council Member Joe LaCava (JL) stated that draft policy 600-24 is pretty locked in allowing only minor edits. He expects City Council to vote on this by March 2022 and go into effect by the fall. This approach may be taken with Park & Rec groups as well.

My opinion and of other CPG members is that private developers will be less inclined to present to CPG' s. Emphasis has shifted away from encouraging this type of development review process. LaCava responded that good developers always include community review.

I am announcing that I will not run for reelection when my term expires in March 2022 but will be of assistance during this transition.

Kane: CPC meeting was long, and many interesting questions presented. LaCava was asked if he was informing CPG groups or collaborating with them, as other strategies would be more useful to reform CPG's. He seemed open to tinker at edges, but the proposal as presented seems to be a "done deal." His plan is to get this approved ASAP so if it doesn't work, it can be fine-tuned. The CPC group was skeptical because if this plan doesn't work, many CPG's will be out of business. Several members wanted to put together a committee to address major issues. Many questioned why CPG's should be separated from the City when they had worked for 70 years, instead of amending the City Charter to address inconsistencies noted by Circulate SD, Grand Jury, City Attorney. At a CD 1 CPG briefing meeting with LaCava, he noted that this approach would not be a big issue for the LJCPA. But as reported by Ms. Neil, there will be a lot more work for us, with budget implications and a loss of power. There is a new state regulation that projects can have a maximum of only 5 community meetings and then they are deemed approved. CPG review can take up too many meetings, leaving fewer for city officials.

Boyden: At CPC meeting Wally Wulfeck expressed great concern to Joe LaCava (JL); one particular concern was loss of funding created serious problems for CPG's needing to pay for websites and outreach expenses. Also concerning was limit of 5 meetings for project review

and no longer waiving fees to appeal projects as much as \$1,000. Reason JL took lead on this is that he has 3 more years to his term.

Sally Miller: Sounds like City wants to hear less and less from us. Would like to hear again eloquent message from CPC member presented at end of meeting.

Weiss: Deeply discouraged. Appeals don't make sense anyway b/c deck is stacked in favor of city staff. CPG's viewed as annoyance for many years. Language such as "code of conduct" for Planning Commission requiring not only integrity of the process and support of citizens is not being followed. When CPG's raise questions it seems the City wants to get rid of them. JL has surprised me. This is disenfranchisement of the community. City is enfranchised in actions of City Council, Municipal Code, etc. We need to take this seriously. Need objective criticism. Also CPC meeting was limited to 100 persons while more were kept out due to problems with Zoom account. This was not open meeting; needs to be rescheduled.

Davidson: Discouraged. If DSD did job and had ethical approach, we (CPG's) would not be needed. That has never happened and will not happen as set up now. They are eliminating any oversight which is what we provide without any authority. \$1,000 to appeal is punitive. Indicates how they view us.

Meredith Baratz: What is the issue they were trying to fix with this reform plan?

Neil: City Council looked at complaint from Grand Jury. The City Attorney said SD City Charter does not allow groups that contribute to city decision making to exist under City protection unless boards are appointed or with changes to Council Policy 600-24 or an amendment to City Charter. Joe LaCava indicated he did not think there was a legal footing to amend the City Charter. His assistant, Kathleen Ferrier said City could not appoint over 500 volunteer CPG members. City Attorney opinion re the City Charter is what is driving this.

Kane: Mayor could easily appoint trustees that were elected by sending list of elected trustees to appoint at one time.

Ahern: This happened several years ago possibly when Jerry Sanders was mayor.

I am hearing that planning groups may not be directly advisory to City of SD. In effect will not be heard and be powerless. City needs voice of people who vote for our representatives. We need to remain appointed as an advisory group for them to hear our voice.

Costello: An attack on democracy. It is eliminating chance for local people to reach decision makers. We do provide some useful advice. This is an attempt to quash us by putting in many onerous requirements that will take our time and resources keeping us from offering useful advice.

Fitzgerald: Proposal is an affront to all planning groups. Eliminates all city support, all eligibility requirements for membership and trustees, adds a plethora of new and onerous costs, responsibilities and requirements that can only discourage community participation. This proposal can't be fined tuned. Just say NO. We need to go on record saying NO. This proposal will accomplish what Kelly Broughton, head of Planning Dept, tried to do. I can't see anyone wanting to serve with all these onerous requirements including gathering

demographics so that a city employee can decide whether the group has a satisfactorily balanced board or be subject to loss of city approval. City is eliminating its responsibilities to CPG's who are volunteers to reduce workload of paid city staff.

Will: Is there any recourse through Coastal Comm.? SD has a Local Coastal Program (LCP). If city is stripping CPGs of any power as a resource to the community for discretionary review that is a change to the LCP, a change to the approval process. Will this apply in the coastal zone?

Neil. That came up at the meeting; JL was not concerned because this was a change to a council policy. References to CPG will be removed from the Municipal Code but won't change City's interface.

Miller: They want us to solicit members but won't listen to us. Why would anyone join a group?

Merryweather: This is the time to become our own city. For \$2.5 M. it is totally possible. LAFCO, a group that takes communities to be their own city says we have a good chance.

Hadley: Please write to Mr. LaCava individually or as a group. Send them to joelacava@sandiego.gov, copy me. I will make sure your message goes directly to the email he reads often.

Kane: Let's review the comments from the recording of this meeting. This group has strong feelings: I like "just say no." I appreciate comments at the end of the CPC meeting as to why they were not considering changing the City Charter instead of blowing up planning groups. The Charter is old and planning groups were never envisioned as part of the Charter; it needs to be brought up to date rather than discarding a lot of volunteer work and a vehicle for community input.

Weiss: Is anyone at this meeting in favor of this new reform proposal? Not one of 33 attendees responded in favor.

Shannon: Give someone all responsibility, liability, but no voice. This is where you start to disempower a group, community.

Kane: Agree. If this group loses a voice, an ability to represent community, I am happy to submit a resignation. There is no evidence that there are new community members ready to step up if we do the outreach required by this proposal as we currently have a difficult time recruiting volunteers. There will be a vacuum meaning no one gets a voice: a path to autocracy.

Weissman: We have not had much authority for a long time. May not be worth time and effort. How do we say no? just quit?

Kane: JL was asked at meeting whether he was there to inform the group or to collaborate. There was interest in collaboration to see if there could be something worked out that would address the concerns of City and meet needs of CPG's. A win/win.

Fitzgerald: CPG's have not been involved in several major proposals this year, i.e. Parks Master Plan, Homes for All. No input from CPG's then presented as *fait accompli*. Their actions speak louder than their words. All responsibility but no help. They do not want community input. I don't expect any changes. Once broken can't be put back together.

Kane: We will send comments to City Council and CPC will follow up in Jan.

8.3. City Redistricting (Boyden) ACTION

Update on District 1 United actions that LJCPA voted to support at September meeting.

Hadley leaves

Boyden: District One United group meets after every Redistricting Commission meeting to strategize next moves. District One will not remain as it is now. On Nov. 15, a proposal from Clairemont was adopted that seemed reasonable and was sent on to city clerk and to the professional reviewers to analyze. Five more meetings would ensue to entertain public comments. As of yesterday, after 3 of the 5 meetings, they adopted another map that recognized the comments from Torrey Hills, District One United, and others. Two more meetings remain.

Davidson: Positive thing is that District One will keep most of UCSD.

9. Reports from Standing, Ad Hoc, and Other Committees (information only)

None

10. Non-Agenda Trustee Comment (information only)

Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less. No votes or action unless properly noticed at least 72 hours in advance.

Courtney: The streets in LJ Village are cluttered and difficult for handicapped and will continue removing the use of our PROW. We need to try to add some restrictions or regulations to Spaces as Places legislation.

11. Adjourn to next LJCPA meeting

Regular meeting 6 January 2022, 6pm.