## OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

Date Issued: July 25, 2008 IBA Report Number: 08-85

City Council Docket Date: July 28, 2008

Item Number: S401

# Ballot Proposition Amending the City Charter to Designate the Use of Lease Revenues from Mission Bay Park

### **OVERVIEW**

Item S401 on the Supplemental Docket for the City Council meeting of July 28, 2008 requests the City Council to place a measure on the ballot to amend the City Charter, adding Section 55.2, that would require annual lease revenue generated in Mission Bay Park in excess of \$20 million be appropriated for public capital improvements in Mission Bay Park (75%) and in current and future Regional Parks (25%). This item was previously continued from the meetings of July 15 and July 22, 2008.

The IBA issued Report No. 08-75, dated July 11, 2008, describing the original proposed ballot measure. Since that time, additional input has been sought and revisions have been made to the proposal to incorporate suggestions that have been offered. This report highlights significant changes that have been made, which are reflected in the current version of the proposal dated July 23, 2008.

As described in the previous report, the IBA identified four issues for consideration, including earmarking of discretionary revenues, creation of new financial commitments, staffing and workload implications, and ability to issue long-term debt.

## FISCAL/POLICY DISCUSSION

## Significant Changes from Previous Proposal

Listed here is a summary of significant changes between the original and revised proposals. Not all revisions to the proposal are shown here. Several changes in the revised proposal have addressed concerns that have been raised, including minimizing the financial impact to the General Fund.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT CHANGES					
COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL AND REVISED PROPOSALS					
<u>Item</u>	Original Proposal	Revised Proposal	<u>Comment</u>		
Definition of	Balboa Park, Mission	Addition of Chollas Lake Park,	Allows Regional		
Regional Parks	Trails Regional Park,	Presidio Park, and coastal	Park Funds to be		
	Otay River Valley Park,	beaches along with coastal parks	spent on added parks		
	San Diego River Park,				
	Multiple Species				
	Conservation Program				
O : 1/F ::	open space areas	m 0 11.0	T 11		
Oversight Function	Park and Recreation	Two Oversight Committees	Essentially same;		
	Board and Mission Bay Committee	named in measure; Park and Recreation Board and Mission	changes needed to address charter		
	Committee	Bay Committee will be	requirements		
		designated by Council ordinance	requirements		
		for this purpose			
General Fund		Tor this purpose	Revised proposal		
Threshold Amount	\$20 million	\$23 million – FY 10-14	minimizes annual		
11110011010110110	<b>4-0</b>	<b>42</b> 0 mmon 111011	General Fund impact		
		\$20 million – FY 15 and	by \$3 million for		
		thereafter	first five years; loss		
			of \$10.7 million		
			beginning in FY		
			2015 for both		
			proposals		
Regional Parks Fund	N/A	\$2.5 million	Offset by reduction		
Minimum Annual			to Mission Bay Fund		
Funding					
Deferred	N/A	Permits funds to be used for	Could minimize		
Maintenance		Deferred Maintenance to	need for other		
D : ::: :: :	<b>3.</b> T / A	existing assets	funding in future		
Reprioritization of	N/A	Allowed if no substantial delay	Provides greater		
Projects		to higher priority projects	flexibility to		
Park and recreation	Required annual	Requires O&M for Mission Bay	expedite projects Eliminates		
operations and	increases to parks	and Regional Parks areas to not	additional		
maintenance	O&M budget by same	be reduced disproportionally	commitment of		
manitenance	percentage increase in	than overall P&R budget	funds on annual		
	General Fund budget	man overan i &K budget	basis for O&M		
	Scherar rand budget		ousis for Octivi		

#### Issues for Consideration

As described above, concerns remain about actions to reduce both General Fund revenues and budgetary flexibility by dedicating general purpose revenues for specific purposes, especially in light of economic uncertainty and budgetary constraints, and the City's inability to suspend these allocations in the event of a fiscal emergency.

The accompanying table shows the annual impact to the City's General Fund, under the original proposal. This table has been updated and revised since our previous report, and extends the number of fiscal years shown from four to seven. Over this period of time, the negative impact to the General Fund grows from \$5.8 million in Fiscal Year 2010 to \$11.7 million in Fiscal Year 2016, based on an annual growth rate of 3% to Mission Bay lease revenues, as described in the Mayor's Five Year Financial Outlook.

COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL MISSION BAY LEASE REVENUE PROPOSAL						
Assumes a	Assumes annual growth of 3%; FY 2009 based on adopted budget					
Revised from previous IBA Report No. 08-75 Original					Original	
					Proposal	
Estimated			Regional	Annual Loss to		
FY	Lease Revenue	General Fund	Mission Bay	Parks	General Fund	
			25%	25%		
2009	29,867,208	24,933,604	2,466,802	2,466,802	-	
			75%	25%	50%	
2010	30,763,224	20,000,000	8,072,418	2,690,806	5,763,224	
2011	31,686,121	20,000,000	8,764,591	2,921,530	6,686,121	
2012	32,636,705	20,000,000	9,477,528	3,159,176	7,636,705	
2013	33,615,806	20,000,000	10,211,854	3,403,951	8,615,806	
2014	34,624,280	20,000,000	10,968,210	3,656,070	9,624,280	
2015	35,663,008	20,000,000	11,747,256	3,915,752	10,663,008	
2016	36,732,899	20,000,000	12,549,674	4,183,225	11,732,899	

The revised proposal includes changes which partially mitigate the fiscal impact to the General Fund. The revised proposal incorporates an increase to the General Fund threshold, or the share of the Mission Bay lease revenues retained by the General Fund, which reduces the negative impact by \$3 million annually for each of the first five years. The General Fund impact is minimized to \$2.8 million in Fiscal Year 2010, from the previous \$5.8 million.

After the five year period, the annual loss to the General Fund is projected to increase to \$10.7 million, starting in Fiscal Year 2015, as shown below.

COMPARISON OF REVISED MISSION BAY LEASE REVENUE PROPOSAL					
Assumes		Revised			
					Proposal
	Estimated Regional				Annual Loss to
FY	Lease Revenue	General Fund	Mission Bay	Parks	General Fund
2009	29,867,208	24,933,604	2,466,802	2,466,802	-
			75%	25%	50%
2010	30,763,224	23,000,000	5,263,224	2,500,000	2,763,224
2011	31,686,121	23,000,000	6,186,121	2,500,000	3,686,121
2012	32,636,705	23,000,000	7,136,705	2,500,000	4,636,705
2013	33,615,806	23,000,000	7,961,854	2,653,951	5,615,806
2014	34,624,280	23,000,000	8,718,210	2,906,070	6,624,280
2015	35,663,008	20,000,000	11,747,256	3,915,752	10,663,008
2016	36,732,899	20,000,000	12,549,674	4,183,225	11,732,899

Also reflected here are the estimated annual allocations to be made to the Mission Bay Fund and the Regional Parks Fund under the revised proposal, creating substantial revenues to be dedicated for park improvements. Under the revised proposal, current annual allocations of \$2.5 million would more than double for the Mission Bay Fund to \$5.2 million in Fiscal Year 2010, and significantly increase each year. After the initial five year period, the allocation to Mission Bay Fund in Fiscal Year 2015 would increase to \$11.7 million, while the Regional Park Fund would receive \$3.9 million.

The increase of \$3 million to the General Fund share results in lower allocations to both the Mission Bay Fund and Regional Parks Fund. The inclusion of a minimum funding level of \$2.5 million for the Regional Parks Fund shifts this impact more to the Mission Bay Fund, in order to ensure the Regional Parks Fund is guaranteed at least the same level of funding it currently receives under the existing Municipal Code requirements.

[SIGNED]	[SIGNED]	
Elaine DuVal	APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin	
Fiscal & Policy Analyst	Independent Budget Analyst	