



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

Date Issued: September 4, 2013

IBA Report Number: 13-37

Land Use and Housing Committee Meeting Date: September 11, 2013

Item Number: TBD

Response to Grand Jury Report: “Improved Access to Land Survey Records and Monuments”

OVERVIEW


On May 1, 2013, the San Diego County Grand Jury filed a report with the San Diego Mayor and City Council entitled “Improved Access to Land Survey Reports and Monuments.” The goal of the report was to determine whether land survey services in the City and County are serving the public, as the law requires.

The Grand Jury Report included 12 findings and 6 recommendations; one of the findings was directed to the County of San Diego, while the remaining 11 findings and all of the recommendations were directed to both the Mayor and City Council. The Mayor and City Council are required to provide comments to the Presiding Judge of the San Diego Superior Court on each of the findings and recommendations relating to their respective items in the Grand Jury Report within 90 days; however, the City requested and was granted a 60-day extension. The response is due to the Presiding Judge on October 11, 2013.


For each finding and recommendation directed to the City Council, the Council may (1) join the Mayor’s response; (2) respond with a modification to the Mayor’s response; or (3) respond independently of the Mayor. Our office obtained a copy of the Mayor’s draft response and has provided input and worked collaboratively with City staff. We have reached agreement on all responses. Therefore, the IBA is recommending that Interim Mayor Todd Gloria and the City Council provide a joint response to this Grand Jury report. The full text of the joint response is included as Attachment 1 to this report.

In responding to each Grand Jury finding, the City is required to either (1) agree with the finding or (2) disagree wholly or partially with the finding. Responses to Grand Jury recommendations must indicate that the recommendation (1) has been implemented; (2) has not yet been implemented, but will be in the future; (3) requires further analysis; or (4) will not be

implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable. Explanations for responses are requested when applicable.



Chris Ojeda
Fiscal & Policy Analyst



APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin
Independent Budget Analyst

Attachments:

1. Recommended City Council Responses to Findings and Recommendations in San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “Improved Access to Land Survey Records and Monuments”
2. San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “Improved Access to Land Survey Records and Monuments”