



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

Date Issued: September 17, 2013

IBA Report Number: 13-42

Natural Resources & Culture Committee Meeting Date: September 25, 2013

Item Number: TBD

Response to Grand Jury Report: “Mission Valley Fuel Leakage and Contamination Abatement”

OVERVIEW

On May 20, 2013, the San Diego County Grand Jury filed a report with the San Diego Mayor and City Council entitled “Mission Valley Fuel Leakage and Contamination Abatement.” The goal of the report was to address the monitoring and remediation of contamination of the Qualcomm Stadium site and surrounding areas from the Mission Valley Terminal fuel storage depot.

The Grand Jury Report included 6 findings and 3 recommendations; all of these were directed to both the Mayor and City Council. The Mayor and City Council are required to provide comments to the Presiding Judge of the San Diego Superior Court on each of the findings and recommendations in the Grand Jury Report within 90 days; however, the City requested and was granted a 60-day extension. The response is due to the Presiding Judge on October 18, 2013.


For each finding and recommendation directed to the City Council, the Council may (1) join the Interim Mayor’s response; (2) respond with a modification to the Interim Mayor’s response; or (3) respond independently of the Interim Mayor. Our office worked collaboratively with City staff to develop a response to the Grand Jury and we have reached agreement on all responses. Therefore, the IBA is recommending that Interim Mayor Todd Gloria and the Council provide a joint response to this Grand Jury report. The full text of the joint response is included as Attachment 1 to this report.

In responding to each Grand Jury finding, the City is required to either (1) agree with the finding or (2) disagree wholly or partially with the finding. Responses to Grand Jury recommendations must indicate that the recommendation (1) has been implemented; (2) has not yet been implemented, but will be in the future; (3) requires further analysis; or (4) will not be

implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable. Explanations for responses are requested when applicable.



Seth Gates
Fiscal & Policy Analyst



APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin
Independent Budget Analyst

Attachments:

1. Recommended City Council Responses to Findings and Recommendations in San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “Mission Valley Fuel Leakage and Contamination Abatement”
2. San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “Mission Valley Fuel Leakage and Contamination Abatement”