# **Community Planners Committee**

Planning Department • City of San Diego 9485 Aero Drive • San Diego, CA 92123 SDPlanninggroups@sandiego.gov • (619) 235-5200

### CPC DRAFT MINUTES FOR MEETING OF AUGUST 24, 2021

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Philomena Moreno, Barrio Logan (BL) Eric Edelman, Carmel Mtn. Rnch. (CMR) Barry Schultz, Carmel Valley (CV) Sally Small, Chollas Valley (CHV) Russ Connelly, City Heights (CH) Tom Silva, College Area (COL) Naveen Waney, Clairemont (CLMT) Linda Godoy, Eastern (EAS) Kathleen Neil, La Jolla (LJ) Deborah Sharpe, Ken-Tal (KT) Fellicity Sinofsky, Linda Vista, (LV) Dike Anyiwo, Midway (MW) Jeff Stevens, Mira Mesa (MM) Brian Gile, Navajo (NAV)

Michele Addington, Mission Valley (MV) Deborah Watkins, Mission Beach (MB) Jim Baross, Normal Heights (NH) Aria Pounaki, North Park (NP) Andrea Schlageter, Ocean Beach (OB) Mark Freed, Otay Mesa (OM) Karl Rand, Pacific Beach (PB) Korla Eaguinta, Peninsula (PEN) Robin Kaufman, Rancho Bernardo (RB) Jon Becker, Rancho Penasquitos (RP) Wally Wulfeck, Scripps Ranch (SR) Chris Rosemond, Serra Mesa (SM) Michael Golby, Tierrasanta (TIRSN) Chris Nielsen, University (UN) Tom Mullaney, Uptown (UT) Paul Schumaker, Golden Hill (GH)

# VOTING INELIGIBILITY/RECUSALS: Barrio Logan

**<u>City Staff/Representatives:</u>** Tony Kempton, Sameera Rao, Renee Mezo

Guests: Sandy Wetzel-Smith, Kathleen Lippitt, Randy Steffler

**NOTE:** The sign-in sheets provided at the entrance to the meeting are used to list CPC Representatives, guest speakers, and staff present at the meeting.

# 1. CALL TO ORDER/INTRODUCTIONS:

Chair Wally Wulfeck called the , to order at 6:00 pm. Roll Call: BL, CMR, CHV , CH, CLMT, COL, CV, EAS, GH, KT, LJ, LV, MW, MM, MB, MV, NAV, NH, NP, OB, OM, PB, PEN, RB, RP, SM, SR, TIRSN, UN, UT

- 2. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT: A guest felt that the public was being left out of the project review process. Staff mentioned that a vote sheet is sent to the CPG's for their vote and any issues concerning a project. Other members also felt the CPG's were being left out. A member said the Public Facilities division had come out of the lack of facilities provided for when Mira Mesa was being developed and decried that the FBA program had been eliminated and replaced with a new program that has none of the features that made the FBA successful.
- **3. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF 07-27-21** Correction Deborah Watkins seconded the motion for the airport overly. Ayes: CMR, CH, CLMT, EAS, GH, KT, LJ, MW, MM, MB, MV, NAV, NH, OB, PB, PEN, RB, RP, SR, UN, Nays: 0, Abstain: BL, CV, CHV, COL, LV, NP, OM, SM, TIRSN, UT

#### 4. MODIFICATIONS AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

Approved without modification. The chair announced that the original Item #6 – DSD Permitting and Code Compliance Issues would be removed from the agenda and continued until the next CPC meeting.

5. UPDATE: FY 2023-2027 COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUPS CIP PRIORITIES SURVEY (Information Item): Saeed Abdollahi, Project Officer II, Myrna Dayton, Assistant Director presented an update of the priorities online survey conducted during June-August. Two hundred seventy-three responses were received. The Eastern community topped the list with over 30 submissions. Clairemont Mesa was second. Out of all responses 54% were for mobility-related projects, 36% were for neighborhood assets, 7% for enterprise funded assets, and 3% for public safety assets. Seventy-one responses were for pedestrian facilities and seventy responses were for park facilities.

#### **Public Comment:**

• A question came up as to how the survey relates to CIP lists from the CPG's. Presenter said they should be the same, as they are based on coordination with the Council offices

• A question related to whether a long-standing maintenance issue could become a CIP prolect was raised. Presenter replied that a Road Condition Index provides information

• Members expressed confusion over how many projects could be a Number One priority, Two priority, etc. Some members thought there could only be one Number One priority. The presenter replied a CPG can have several Number One priorities. The second presenter promised to work with the CPC chair to provide clearer instruction for the next round of CIP's in two years

• A member asked if there was a list of funded CIP projects. Presenter replied that a 2019 document tracks CIP's by asset and this will become standard practice

• A member asked to have comments from the Asset Management Department (which has final say on funding CIP's), as they have not reflected CPG priorities in

the past. Presenter said she would provide

6. SPACES AS PLACES – (Action Item): Sameera Rao, Development Project Manager, Planning Department presented a Powerpoint on the proposal to transition temporary outdoor spaces, which were allowed to replace lack of indoor capacity in response to the COVID pandemic that significantly limited indoor operations. In July 2020 the City Council allowed temporary outdoor businesses. A one-year extension was granted in May 2021. Permanent regulations are expected in fall of 2021. Public Health is the top priority. Five hundred residents took a survey to help shape this initiative. Street trees will serve to enhance public seating for restaurants/streetaries. Dining platforms must be level with the sidewalk with a 3feet wide emergency access gap for every 20 feet of street frontage. A five-foot path of travel is to be maintained. Social curbs are extensions of the curb into the parking lane to facilitate activities like outdoor dining and public interaction. Promenades close to the vehicular right-of-way will facilitate active transportation uses like walking biking, etc. Wooden overhead canopies are not allowed due to fire hazard and impacted emergency response. City Council is to consider Spaces as Places in fall of 2021.

## **Board Comment:**

- Member felt that the remaining two Code Compliance officers are not enough to police spaces.
- Member felt that streetaries are dangerous due to the number of bikers being hit in bike lanes. The member, and other members, expressed anger over the Planning Commission scheduling in just two weeks when this was the first members heard of the proposed schedule.
- A member expressed anger over how long it would take before social curbs are dedicated as parks.

#### Motion:

The chair moved to delay consideration by Planning Commission for 6 weeks to give CPG's time to review, which they felt was denied in the process. Tom Silva made a friendly amendment to extend the delay to 8 weeks (accepted). Deborah Sharpe moved to approve. Ayes: CMR, CV, CHV, CH, CLMT, COL, EAS, GH, KT, LJ, LV, MW, MM, MB, MV, NAV NH, NP, OB, OM, PB, PEN, RB, RP, SR, SM, TIRSN, UN, UT, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

# **REPORTS TO CPC:**

• Staff Report – Tony Kempton discussed the teleconference provisions under the Brown Act for the anticipated expiration of the governor's order temporarily suspending the Brown Act. The teleconferencing provisions of the Brown Act are somewhat onerous and would present issues for many members when the Brown Act again becomes active. Members also felt that the alternative to teleconferencing of in-person meetings would present a health hazard for many CPG's with elderly memberships, due to COVID, and

Page 4 of 4

that could easily result in many CPG's becoming inactivated. The chair advised members to contact their state representative and City Council members to request continued suspension of the Brown Act.

- Subcommittee Report None
- CPC Member Comments None
- Chair Report None

## ADJOURNMENT TO NEXT REGULAR MEETING: SEPTEMBER 28, 2021:

The meeting was adjourned by Chair Wally Wulfeck at 8:25 PM.