

Community Planners Committee

Planning Department • City of San Diego
9485 Aero Drive • San Diego, CA 92123
SDPlanninggroups@sandiego.gov • (619) 235-5200

CPC DRAFT MINUTES FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF JUNE 13, 2022

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Julie Corrales, Barrio Logan (BL)	Dike Anyiwo, Midway (MW)
Eric Edelman, Carmel Mtn. Rnch. (CMR)	Andrea Schlageter, Ocean Beach (OB)
Brendan Sickler, City Heights (CH)	Linda Acuna, Old Town (OT)
Tom Silva, College Area (COL)	Mark Freed, Otay Mesa (OM)
Nicholas Reed, Clairemont (CLMT)	Scott Chipman, Pacific Beach (PB)
Mario Ingrassi, Eastern (EAS)	Korla Eaquina, Peninsula (PEN)
Issac Warner, Golden Hill (GH)	Craig Russell, Downtown (DNTN)
Diane Kane, La Jolla (LJ) Deborah	Robin Kaufman, Rancho Bernardo (RB)
Sharpe, Ken-Tal (KT)	Victoria LaBruzzo, Scripps Ranch (SR)
Howard Wayne, Linda Vista, (LV)	Katherine Stempel, Serra Mesa (SM)
Craig Jackson, Mira Mesa (MM)	Eduardo Savigliano, Torrey Pines (TP)
Kate Callen, North Park (NP)	Chris Nielsen, University (UN)
	Matt Wahlstrom, Uptown (UT)

VOTING INELIGIBILITY/RECUSALS: Kearny Mesa, Skyline/Paradise Hills, and San Ysidro

City Staff/Representatives: Tony Kempton

Guests: Alex Zukas and Helen Boyden

NOTE: *The sign-in sheets provided at the entrance to the meeting are used to list CPC Representatives, guest speakers, and staff present at the meeting.*

1. CALL TO ORDER/INTRODUCTIONS:

Acting Chair Nicholas Reed called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Roll Call: BL, CH, CMR, COL, CLMT, CVE, DNTN, EAS, GH, LJ, KT, LV, MM, MRN, MV, NP, OB, OT, PB, PEN, RB, SM, SR, TP, UN and UT.

2. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT: None

- 3. UPDATE: COUNCIL POLICY 600-24 on COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUPS, AND COUNCIL POLICY 600-9 on the COMMUNITY PLANNERS COMMITTEE: (ACTION Item)** The CPC Subcommittee Presents a letter of opposition to the full CPC Group for review and further comments.

Board Comment:

- Member supports letter and suggests adding Tom Mullaney's letter
- Member requests changing language to "communities of concern" instead of "less affluent" communities, plus send to all council members
- Member requests change violations/remedies section of 600-24. Also add CPC to list of CPG's. Supports original letter
- Member supports letter and opposes 2-year break of service after 8 years. CPG's don't have enough members/interested parties to step up as termed out members leave resulting in cpgs with membership below number required. Member objects to 1 vote per household provision.
- Problem with two-year break. One-year break better.
- Member fees for appeals unfair. Supports letter

Motion :

Chris Nielsen moved to adopt original letter as presented with amendments. Seconded by Tom Silva. Discussion followed.

- Member wants to solicit objections from CPC members to changes to 600-24. She feels appeal is not being abused so charging fee would create hardship
- Member appreciates work of subcommittee. Objects to 1 vote per household
- Member said his CPG endorsed two proposed changes by vote of 9-3-1
- Member said LaCava struck the 1 vote per household requirement, so amendment to that effect not necessary. Also supports adding all councilmembers to letter
- Add wording to the Tom Mullaney letter to discuss topics of their own choosing (i.e. jurisdiction question). Also, Development Services notices aren't covered by any policy. Recommends Development Services include in notices a provision to contact their local CPG, including the chair, chair's name, and contact information. Third item – City should indemnify CPG members if accused of a civil violation, but not a BA violation. Fourth item – 1 vote per household should not pertain. Fifth item – Pro-rata share of seats for 4-5 categories of seats should be removed from 600-24, too cumbersome to have CPG's required to have a certain number of pro-rata seats.
- CPG's should have seats for unrepresented residents, business owners. Keep pro-rata seats
- CPG's should have option to put website information on notices instead of chair information
- More diversity on CPG's was thought needed, but advocacy seats are problematic
- Member asks what the CPC cannot live with in LaCava's proposal (a narrow focus letter is best)

- Member felt noticing proposal good and supported no limit on voters in household
- Member recommended not adding other letters to the original. Some members haven't seen other letters. Keep it simple

Motion:

Eduardo Savigliano moved to approve Tom Mullaney's letter as amended to original motion, Scott Chipman seconded. Matt Wahlstrom proposed amending by adding Scripps Ranch letter. Julie Corrales said it complicates the issue to send more letters besides the original. Others agreed. Eduardo Savigliano proposed continuing discussion on Tom Mullaney's letter and removing motion from table. CPC agrees to discuss at regular CPC meeting on June 28, 2022

Public Comment:

- Guest asked about appeal fee. Per Howard Wayne's letter CPG's are unique and not like private appellants and shouldn't have to pay the fees that private appellants do. Also, CPG's have difficulty raising fees (can't charge for membership, etc.). Also, CPG's rarely file appeals, so not a problem that needs fixing
- Member requested amendment not deleting household voting text and opposed adding things to the original letter. Land Use & Housing meets Thursday (06-16-22). Member proposes changing last sentence to read, "request the council to investigate", instead of original text
- **REPORTS TO CPC:**
 - Staff Report** – CPG's are reminded to send rosters to SD Planning Groups.

Chair's Report - Nicholas Reed mentioned two projects: the Clairemont Drive trolley station development will be putting in 324 dwelling units. Another 224 dwelling unit development will be going in near Clairemont Square. The CPC will vote on the new chair, so he requested members to review the candidates' qualifications.

CPC Member Comment – Deborah Sharpe asked about the chat. Staff responded that it is sent soon after the meeting. Wally Wulfeck said CPG's have the responsibility to comments and consider projects that are out of their jurisdiction but may review if it relates the General Plan or traffic that may affect its community. Therefore, a CPG can consider a project outside their boundaries if it will affect their planning area (i.e. increased traffic). Eduardo Savigliano asked if the two letters could be circulated prior to next meeting. Issac Warner disagreed with Wally Wulfeck's statement. Brendan Sickler said he agreed with Issac's concerns but there are ways to mitigate such concerns. Robin Kaufman said that development in Rancho Penasquitos creates traffic on I-15 that would affect Rancho Bernardo. Korla Eaquinta said development in neighboring communities affects the Peninsula. Linda Acuna echoed that sentiment. Howard Wayne encouraged all CPG chairs to attend Land Use & Housing hearing on Thursday.

ADJOURNMENT TO NEXT REGULAR MEETING: JUNE 28, 2022:

The meeting was adjourned by Acting Chair Nicholas Reed at 7:45 PM