

The City of San Diego

# CITY OF SAN DIEGO 

## College Heights MAINTENANCE AsSESSMENT DISTRICT Annual Update Engineer's Report

## J UNE 2015

Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, CaLifornia
Streets \& Highways Code and The
San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance
of the San Diego Municipal Code
T. 760.510 .0290
F. 760.510 .0288

# City of San Diego 

MAYOR<br>Kevin Faulconer

## City Council Members

| Sherri Lightner | Mark Kersey |
| :---: | :---: |
| District 1(Council President) | District 5 |
| Lorie Zapf | Chris Cate |
| District 2 | District 6 |
| Todd Gloria | Scott Sherman |
| District 3 | District 7 |
| Myrtle Cole | David Alvarez |
| District 4 | District 8 |

Marti Emerald
District 9 (Council President Pro Tem)

City Attorney
Jan Goldsmith

## Chief Operating Officer

Scott Chadwick

## City Clerk

Elizabeth Maland

INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST
Andrea Tevlin
City Engineer
James Nagelvoort

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1
SECTION II. BACKGROUND ..... 3
SECTION III. PLANS AND SPECIFICATION ..... 4
A. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICT ..... 4
B. DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS TO BE MAINTAINED AND SERVICES ..... 4
C. DESCRIPTION OF MAINTENANCE AND SERVICES ..... 6
SECTION IV. ESTIMATE OF COSTS ..... 7
A. ESTIMATE OF COSTS TABLE ..... 7
SECTION V. METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT ..... 8
A. GENERAL ..... 8
B. SPECIAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS ..... 8
C. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY ..... 10
D. ASSESSMENT RANGE FORMULA ..... 13
SECTION VI. ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM. ..... 15
SECTION VII. ASSESSMENT ROLL ..... 16

## SECTION I. ExECUTIVE SUMMARy

Project: College Heights Maintenance Assessment District ("District")
Apportionment Method: Linear Front Foot ("LFF")
Lot Square Footage ("LSF")
Building Square Footage ("BSF")

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY INFORMATION ZONE 1

|  | FY 2015 | FY 2016 (1) | Maximum Authorized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Parcels Assessed: | 111 | 111 |  |
| Total Estimated Assessment: | \$192,653 | \$192,653 |  |
| Total Number of Units: |  |  |  |
| LSF | 2,739,476 | 2,739,476 |  |
| LFF | 11,437 | 11,437 |  |
| BSF (2) | 1,361,260 | 1,361,260 |  |
| Unit Assessment Rate |  |  |  |
| \$/LSF | \$0.03501005 | \$0.03501005 | \$0.03765953 |
| \$/LFF | \$4.24665132 | \$4.24665132 | \$4.56802821 |
| \$/BSF | \$0.03539017 | \$0.03539017 | \$0.03806842 |
| Estimated Revenue |  |  |  |
| \$/LSF | \$95,909.20 | \$95,909.20 |  |
| \$/LFF | \$48,568.95 | \$48,568.95 |  |
| \$/BSF | \$48,175.22 | \$48,175.22 |  |

1. FY 2016 is the City's Fiscal Year 2016, which begins July 1, 2015 and ends June 30, 2016. Total Parcels Assessed, Total Estimated Assessment, and Total Estimated Factors may vary from prior year due to parcel changes.
2. Building Square Footage total for land use classes A, B, C and D only. See Section V Method of Apportionment for more information regarding the land use classes.

TABLE 2 - SUMMARY INFORMATION ZONE 2

|  | FY 2015 | FY 2016 (1) | Maximum Authorized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Parcels Assessed: | 221 | 221 |  |
| Total Estimated Assessment: | \$149,346 | \$149,346 |  |
| Total Number of Units: |  |  |  |
| LSF | 2,442,984 | 2,442,984 |  |
| LFF | 16,200 | 16,200 |  |
| BSF (2) | 910,216 | 910,216 |  |
| Unit Assessment Rate |  |  |  |
| \$/LSF | \$0.03195396 | \$0.03195396 | \$0.03437216 |
| \$/LFF | \$2.41173381 | \$2.41173381 | \$2.59424832 |
| \$/BSF | \$0.03539017 | \$0.03539017 | \$0.03806842 |
| Estimated Revenue |  |  |  |
| \$/LSF | \$78,063.01 | \$78,063.01 |  |
| \$/LFF | \$39,070.09 | \$39,070.09 |  |
| \$/BSF | \$32,212.70 | \$32,212.70 |  |

1. FY 2016 is the City's Fiscal Year 2016, which begins July 1, 2015 and ends June 30, 2016. Total Parcels Assessed, Total Estimated Assessment, and Total Estimated Factors may vary from prior year due to parcel changes.
2. Building square footage total for land use classes A, B, C and D only. See Section V Method of Apportionment for more information regarding the land use classes.

District History: The District was formed in 2004 in compliance with Proposition 218. A property owner ballot proceeding was conducted and a weighted majority (73.64\%) of property owners, based on assessment amount, approved the assessments and the annual cost indexing provisions.

Annual Cost Indexing: The assessments are authorized to increase by the annual change in the San Diego Area Consumer Price Index (the SDCPI-U) of the previous year’s rate beginning in Fiscal Year 2006.

Bonds: No bonds will be issued in connection with this District.

## SECTION II. BACKGROUND

## A. Introduction

The College Heights Maintenance Assessment District was established by Resolution R299142 of the City Council on April 27, 2004. The District was formed in compliance with the provision of Proposition 218. An assessment ballot proceeding was conducted and a weighted majority of property owners, based on assessment amount, were in support of the assessments and services and improvements the assessments fund.

This report constitutes the annual update of the Engineer’s Report for the City of San Diego ("City") College Heights Maintenance Assessment District for Fiscal Year ("FY") 2016 which provides updated information regarding the budget and factors that affect the assessment. This report relies on the assessment methodology and benefit analysis from the Engineer's Report prepared at the time of formation and approved by the property owners. The City Council pursuant to the provisions of the San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance of 1986 (the "Ordinance"), Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, Being Division 15, Part 2 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, beginning with Section 22500 (the "1972 Act"), Article XIIID of the Constitution of the State of California ("Article XIIID"), the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act (Government Code Section 53750 and following) (the "Implementation Act"), and (the Ordinance, 1972 Act, Article XIIID and the Implementation Act are referred to collectively as the "Assessment Law") desires to levy and collect annual assessments against lots and parcels within the District in the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016 to pay for the operation, maintenance and servicing of landscaping, lighting, drainage, safety programs, special projects and all appurtenant facilities. The assessment rates set for FY 2016 as set forth in this Engineer's Report do not exceed the maximum rates established at the time the District was formed, therefore, the City and the District are not required to go through a property owner ballot protest procedure in order to establish the FY 2016 assessment rates.

Each lot or parcel within the District is assessed proportionately for only the improvements and services that are determined to be special benefit. For this report, each lot or parcel to be assessed, refers to an individual property assigned its own Assessor Parcel Number by the San Diego County ("County") Assessor’s Office as shown on the last equalized roll of the assessor.

A Public Hearing will be scheduled where public testimony will be heard by the City Council. Following the conclusion of the Public Hearing, the City Council will confirm the Engineer's Report as submitted or amended and may order the collection of assessments for FY 2016.

## SECTION III. Plans and Specification

## A. General Description of the District

The territory within the District consists of all lots, parcels and subdivisions of land as shown on the Boundary Diagram titled "Map of Proposed Boundaries of the City of San Diego College Heights Maintenance Assessment District" contained within this report in Section VI.

The District generally includes the parcels located within the Crossroads Redevelopment Project generally along El Cajon Boulevard between $54^{\text {th }}$ Street on the west and Keeney Street on the east. Two Zones were created based on the level of special benefit received by the properties. Zone 1 is located in the center of the District and includes parcels between $59^{\text {th }}$ Street and Rolando Boulevard. Zone 2 is located on either side of Zone 1, the western portion of Zone 2 is located between $54^{\text {th }}$ Street and $59^{\text {th }}$ Street, the eastern portion of Zone 2 is located between Rolando Boulevard and Keeney Street.

## B. Description of Improvements to be Maintained and Services

The District, through the levy of special assessments, provides funding for ongoing maintenance, operation and servicing of landscaping, lighting, and other improvements or appurtenant facilities located within the public rights-of-ways and dedicated easements located within the District. Maintenance services will be provided by City personnel and/or private contractors. The improvements maintained and services provided by the District are generally described as follows:

- Maintaining areas adjacent to the street rights-of-way, which are landscaped with groundcover, trees and shrubs;
- Graffiti removal;
- Safety programs and homeless intervention;
- Parking and transportation services in the District;
- Beautification, tree planting and community identification signs;
- Regular sidewalk and street gutter sweeping;
- Removal of bulky discarded and abandoned items;
- Increased frequency of trash pick-up;
- Operation of enhanced street lighting;
- Special projects including;
o Transportation/shuttle to Trolley stop
o Economic development and planning
o Lighting energy costs (once installed)
o Minor capital improvements and acquisition of equipment
o Signage
- Administration/Corporate Operations/Outreach including;
o District management
o Overseeing of contract
o Relations with City and Council office
o Relations with property owners
o Relations with former Redevelopment Agency, Community Planning Groups and College Area Business Improvement District (BID)
o Insurance
o Legal and accounting
o Office related expenses

TAble 3 - Service Frequency by Benefit Zone

| Service | Zone 1 Frequency | Zone 2 Frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk sweeping | 5-7 days per week | 4-5 days per week |
| Removal of bulky items | Within 24 hours | Within 24 hours |
| Graffiti removal | Within 24 hours | Within 24 hours |
| Tree watering and maintenance | Weekly | Weekly |
| Landscape median maintenance | Weekly | Weekly |
| Banner installation (with BID program) | Seasonally | Seasonally |
| Sidewalk steam cleaning | Quarterly | Twice per year |
| Trash can emptying | Daily/when needed | Daily/when needed |
| Plant and shrub maintenance | Weekly | Weekly |
| Reporting hazards to City | Seasonally | Within 24 hours |
| Holiday decorations | As determined, costs <br> expended equally <br> between each Zone | As determined, costs <br> expended equally <br> between each Zone |
| Special projects | Monday - Friday | Monday - Friday |
| Administration/Corporate Operations |  |  |

Maintenance and servicing of improvements, include but are not limited to landscaping, sprinkler systems, shrubbery, trees, irrigation and drainage systems, street lighting, and other appurtenant items located in right of ways and any incidental costs thereto, and located within the boundaries the District or adjacent to the District.

Plans and specifications for these improvements to be maintained by the District are on file with the City Engineer's office and by reference are made part of this Report.

## C. Description of Maintenance and Services

Maintenance means the furnishing of services and materials for the ordinary and usual maintenance, operation and servicing of improvements, services and appurtenant facilities, including repair, removal or replacement of all or part of any of the improvements, services or appurtenant facilities; providing for the life, growth, health and beauty of the Landscaping Improvements including cultivation, drainage, irrigation, trimming, mowing, spraying, fertilizing and treating for disease or injury; the removal of trimmings, rubbish, debris and other solid waste; and the cleaning and sweeping of the sidewalk and gutter, collection and disposal of fallen branches and trees, tree and bush trimming, placement of street furniture, banner installation, security services including homeless patrolling and reporting of security and safety problems to governmental agencies and the cleaning, sandblasting, painting of walls, and other improvements to remove or cover graffiti.

Servicing means the furnishing of water and electricity for the irrigation of the Improvements or appurtenant facilities including any decorative lighting and the furnishing of electric current or energy, gas or other illuminating agent for the lighting improvements. Servicing also allows for the replacement of the facilities in order to maintain them in proper working order and to provide specific benefit to the District; or providing security and homeless patrols and other activities related to maintaining security.

## A. Estimate of Costs Table

Below are the estimated costs of maintenance and services for the District including incidental costs and expenses, revenue and reserves.

Table 4 - Estimate of Costs

| COLLEGE HEIGHTS MAD | FY 2013/14 <br> BUDGET | FY 2014/15 BUDGET | FY 2015/16 PROPOSED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEGINNING BALANCE ${ }^{1}$ | \$83,000 | \$0 | \$60,000 |
| Revenue |  |  |  |
| Assessments | \$308,663 | \$341,970 | \$341,970 |
| City Contribution- Gas Tax | \$7,488 | \$7,488 | \$7,617 |
| General Benefit Portion (Non Assessment Sources) |  | \$23,610 | \$25,928 |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE | \$316,151 | \$373,068 | \$375,515 |
| TOTAL REVENUE AND BALANCE | \$399,151 | \$373,068 | \$435,515 |
| Expense |  |  |  |
| Contractual | \$274,327 | \$255,971 | \$385,321 |
| Incidentals / Administration ${ }^{2}$ | \$81,058 | \$70,000 | \$13,679 |
| Utilities | \$12,900 | \$12,900 | \$0 |
| Contingency Reserve ${ }^{3}$ | \$30,866 | \$34,197 | \$36,515 |
| TOTAL EXPENSE | \$399,151 | \$373,068 | \$435,515 |
| BALANCE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Notes:

1. Includes City Working Capital Advance.
2. Includes City Administration Fee for all fiscal years shown; FY 2013/14 and FY 2014/15 figures include certain overhead contractual amounts which have been re-categorized and shifted to the contractual expense line item for FY 2015/16.
3. The contingency may build a reserve for the District for funding emergency needs or other projects.

## SECTION IV. Method of Apportionment

## A. General

The Implementation Act permits the establishment of assessment districts by agencies for the purpose of providing certain public improvements, which include the construction, maintenance, and servicing of street lights, traffic signals, landscaping and drainage facilities.

Streets and Highways Code Section 22573 requires that maintenance assessments be levied according to benefit rather than the assessed value.
"The net amount to be assessed upon lands within an assessment district may be apportioned by any formula or method which fairly distributes the net amount among all assessable lots or parcels in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each such lot or parcel from the improvements."

In addition, Article XIIID and the Implementation Act require that a parcel's assessment may not exceed the reasonable cost for the proportional special benefit conferred to that parcel. Article XIIID and the Implementation Act further provides that only special benefits are assessable and the City must separate the general benefits from the special benefits. They also require that publicly owned properties which specifically benefit from the improvements be assessed.

## B. Special Benefit Analysis

As determined in the formation Engineer's Report, each of the proposed improvements and the associated costs and assessments within the District were reviewed, identified and allocated based on special benefit pursuant to the provisions of the Assessment Law. Based on recent court opinions, the City requested the reevaluation of the separation of general and special benefits in the annual update to the Engineer's Report.

Proper maintenance and operation of landscaping, street trees, streetlights, sidewalks, gutters and litter removal provides special benefit to properties within the District by providing community character, security, safety and vitality. In addition, the Improvements will enhance the ability of property owners to attract and maintain customers as well as increase the viability of commercial development. These special benefits are benefits that are above and beyond the City's standard level of service, and exclusive of those "general benefits" provided to the public at large or properties located outside the District. Under Assessment Law, only "special benefits" are assessable. As such, separation and quantification of the "special benefits" associated with the improvements/services are illustrated in the following equations:

[^0]> General Benefits = City Standard + External Benefits

```
Special Benefits = Total Benefits - [City Standard + External Benefits]
```

In these equations, "Total Benefits" refers to the cost of providing the total benefits of the improvements/services; "City Standard" represents the cost of providing the City's standard level of service; and "External Benefits" refers to the cost of those additional benefits accruing to the public at large or properties located outside the District. In order to isolate the "Special Benefits," it is necessary to quantify the amount of "General Benefits" associated with the improvements/services.

## City Standard

The District will continue to receive the standard level of service provided to the public at large under City-funded and administered programs. These cost and service allocations, reviewed and adjusted annually by the City, are representative of the City's standard level of service for maintenance and servicing of public facilities and improvements (e.g., medians, open space, street lights, street trees, sidewalks, parks, etc.), including street sweeping and graffiti removal on public property. With or without the proposed assessment District, the area will continue to receive the City's standard level of services, a "general benefit" that is not funded by assessments.

Consistent with City policy for the public at large, the City will also provide the District with annual contributions from the Gas Tax Fund for median maintenance (28.03¢ per square foot for landscaped median and $4.43 \Phi$ per square foot of hardscaped median). These contributions, reviewed and adjusted annually by the City, are considered to be general benefit offsets to the District.

## External Benefits

Assessment Law prohibits levying assessments to pay for "general benefits" conferred to the public at large or properties located outside the District. Public safety benefits of the improvements may accrue to persons traveling through the improvements (incidental beneficiaries). Based on a review of the spatial limits of the District and the proposed improvements/services, it has been determined that the maintenance and servicing of the improvements does not confer benefit to properties outside District.

To quantify the benefit to persons traveling through the District, a traffic study was completed to isolate the estimated "pass-through" traffic along each segment. Pass-through traffic is traffic that has neither an origin nor destination within the defined area, which provides a reasonable means of quantifying benefits not accrued to property within the District.

Additionally, improvements have been reviewed to determine the potential amount of benefit to Pass-through traffic. Since much of the District budget is for improvements that are a direct benefit to property within the District such as sidewalk spraying, gutter clean up and utility costs, the potential benefit to Pass-through traffic was considered low and was factored into the traffic counts.

It is estimated that as much as $7.12 \%$ of the total benefit may accrue to the public at large as incidental beneficiaries passing through the District. The estimated costs associated with these "general benefits" have been quantified and will not be funded by the assessments.

## Special Benefit

Parcels within the District receive a special benefit resulting from the maintenance and services and improvement provided with the assessments. Specifically the special benefits are summarized as follows:

- Improved cleanliness and maintenance of sidewalks used to access property in the District.
- Enhanced cleanliness and desirability of the area, including removal of litter and debris from sidewalks and other public facilities for the direct advantage of property in the District.
- Protection and improvement of views, scenery and other permanent public facility resources for property in the District and preservation of public assets maintained by the District.
- Enhanced safety of property in the District and reduced liability risk.
- Improved illumination of property in the District.
- Improved access to property in the District due to cleaner and safer sidewalks and improved lighting.
- Improved nighttime visibility for the local access of emergency vehicles.
- Improved safety and traffic circulation to and from parcels.
- Increased deterrence of crime and aid to police and emergency vehicles.


## C. Assessment Methodology

To establish the special benefit to the individual lots and parcels within the District a formula that spreads the costs of the maintenance based on the special benefit must be established. At the time of formation, the Improvements were reviewed and a formula was established to apportion the maintenance costs based on benefit.

Due to the nature of the services and improvements, two Zones were established. The assessments are weighted by Zone based on the benefit each Zone receives. In addition to the Zones, three factors, as further described below, are used to calculate each parcel's assessment.

## Lot Square Footage

The Lot Square Footage ("LSF") is a measure of a parcel's proportionate area of ownership or stakeholder interest relative to the total area of the District, which is receiving enhanced and increased maintenance, beautification, and other property related services provided by the District. This factor has been weighted to provide a "target component" of $50 \%$ of respective Public Rights of Way and Sidewalk Operations ("PROWSO") Personnel costs in Zone 1 and 2, 100\% of District-wide PROWSO non-personnel costs, 50\% of District-wide special project costs, and $100 \%$ of District-wide contingency costs. This factor has been weighted to provide a "target component" of approximately fifty percent (50\%) of the total assessment.

## Linear Front Footage Factor

The Linear Front Footage Factor ("LFF") is a measure of a parcel's proportionate share of the LFF of the total LFF length of the public right-of-way for which the District is providing enhanced and increased maintenance, beautification, and other property related services provided by the District. This factor has been weighted to provide a "target component" of $50 \%$ of respective PROWSO personnel costs in Zone 1 and 2.

## Building Square Footage

The Building Square Footage ("BSF") is a measure of a parcel's proportionate contribution to the intensity of use of the public right-of-way. The land use classification for each parcel within the District has been identified and distinguished as follows:

Table 5 - Classification of Parcels

| Class | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Commercial/Retail |
| B | Office |
| C | Industrial/Manufacturing/Wholesale |
| D | Public Institutional (schools, library, fire station, etc) |
| E | Religious Institutional (building square footage exempted in this classification for <br> owner-occupied churches, halls, administration - assessed for LFF and LSF only) |
| F | Multi-unit Residential (building square footage exempted in this classification - <br> assessed for LFF and LSF only) |
| G | Single Family Residential (building square footage exempted in this classification <br> -assessed for LFF and LSF only) |

This factor has been weighted to provide a "target component" of $50 \%$ of District-wide special project costs and $100 \%$ of District-wide administration costs.

The following table summarizes the "target component" for each Zone and service.
TABLE 6 - TARGET Components

| Service | Targeted Apportionment of Costs to each Zone |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROWSO Personnel for Zone 1 | $50 \%$ of cost to Zone 1 linear frontage <br> $50 \%$ of cost to Zone 1 lot size |
| PROWSO Personnel for Zone 2 | $50 \%$ of cost to Zone 2 linear frontage <br> $50 \%$ of cost to Zone 2 lot size |
| PROWSO non-personnel costs <br> (truck, gas, insurance, materials, <br> equipment, misc.) | $100 \%$ of cost to Zone 1\& 2 lot size <br> Special projects including <br> transportation, energy for street <br> lights, signage, etc.$50 \%$ of cost to Zone 1 \& 2 lot size <br> $50 \%$ of cost to Zone $1 \& 2$ building size (class A-D <br> only) |
| Administration/Corporate <br> Operations/Outreach | $100 \%$ of cost to Zone $1 \& 2$ building size (class A - <br> D only) |
| Contingency | $100 \%$ of cost to Zone $1 \& 2$ lot size |

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

As described above, assessments have been calculated for each parcel based on the LFF of the property along the improvement/service corridor, the LSF and the BSF for land use classifications A through D.

```
LFF = Linear Front Footage
BSF = Building Square Footage
    LSF = Lot Square Footage
```

Shown below are calculations for various sample parcels.

- Commercial Property with 50-foot frontage, 2,400 square feet of building on . 10 acres
LFF $=50.00 \mathrm{LFF}$
BSF $=2,400 \mathrm{BSF}$
LSF $=4,356 \mathrm{LSF}$
- Residential Property with 75 -foot frontage, $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0}$ square foot house on $\mathbf{.} 10$ acres LFF $=75.00 \mathrm{LFF}$
BSF $=1,400 \mathrm{BSF}$ (Not assessed based on method of apportionment) LSF= 4,356 LSF
- 57-unit Condominium Property with 26-foot frontage, 64,000 square feet of building on 1.85 Acres
LFF (per condo unit) $=26.00$ LFF / 57 units $=0.456$ LFF
BSF $=64,000 \mathrm{BSF} / 57=1,123 \mathrm{BSF}$ per unit (Not assessed based on method of apportionment)
LSF= 80,586 LSF/57=1,414 LSF per unit
The total assessment for each parcel in the District is based on the calculated LFF, BSF and LSF for the parcel and the applicable unit assessment rate, as shown in the following equation:

```
Total Assessment = Total LFF x LFF Assessment Rate +Total LSF x
    LSF Assessment Rate +Total BSF (Class A - D only) x BSF
        Assessment Rate
```


## D. Assessment Range Formula

The purpose of establishing an Assessment Range Formula is to provide for reasonable increases and inflationary adjustment to annual assessments without requiring the District to go through the requirements of Proposition 218 in order to get a small increase. This Assessment Range Formula was approved by the property owners at the time the District was formed. If the budget and assessments calculated requires an increase greater than the
adjusted Maximum Assessment, then the assessment is considered an increased assessment and would be subject to Proposition 218 balloting.

The maximum authorized assessment established in the Fiscal Year 2004 proceedings are authorized to be indexed (increased or decreased) annually by the factor published in the SDCPI-U. The annual change in second half SDCPI-U values, as compiled by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (see www.bls.gov), for the prior year period was from 261.679 to 265.039 (a $1.28 \%$ increase). In accordance with the approved cost-indexing provisions, the maximum authorized assessment rates contained within this Assessment Engineer’s Report have been increased by $1.28 \%$.

The Maximum Assessment is adjusted annually and is calculated independent of the District's annual budget and proposed annual assessment. Any proposed annual assessment is not considered an increased assessment, even if the proposed assessment is greater than the assessment applied in the prior fiscal year.

## SECTION V. Assessment Diagram

The parcels within the College Heights Maintenance Assessment District consist of all lots, parcels depicted within the boundaries of the District. The District diagram reflecting the exterior boundaries of the District as well as the Benefit Zones is on file with the City Clerk.


## SECTION VI. Assessment Roll

The assessment roll is a listing of the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment apportioned to each lot or parcel, as shown on the San Diego County last equalized roll of the assessor and reflective of the Assessor’s Parcel Map(s) associated with the equalized roll. A listing of parcels proposed to be assessed within this District is shown on the following table.

| Assessor's Parcel $\qquad$ | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | FY 2016 <br> Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 466-602-16-00 | GALLANT FAMILY TRUST 06-05-01 | 1 | 2,054 | 50 | 5,001 | \$460.06 |
| 466-602-31-00 | CITIBANK N A | 1 | 5,467 | 103 | 22,651 | \$1,423.66 |
| 466-602-32-00 | ALLIANCE FOR AFRICAN ASSISTANCE | 1 | 12,736 | 71 | 12,579 | \$1,192.50 |
| 466-610-11-00 | UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA N A | 1 | 12,656 | 210 | 39,204 | \$2,711.82 |
| 466-742-05-00 | DELCHAD FAMILY TRUST 01-03-02 | 1 | 2,846 | 52 | 4,914 | \$493.54 |
| 466-742-06-00 | SAN DIEGO CENTER FOR THE BLIND | 1 | 9,000 | 100 | 10,001 | \$1,093.20 |
| 466-742-11-00 | SAN DIEGO CENTER FOR THE BLIND | 1 | 7,262 | 135 | 32,670 | \$1,973.74 |
| 466-750-31-00 | CHA HEE JUNG, PIAZA SHOPPING CENTER L L C, CHA DEBRA, CH | H 1 | 5,250 | 159 | 14,201 | \$1,358.04 |
| 466-750-32-00 | CHA HEE JUNG, PIAZA SHOPPING CENTER L L C, CHA DEBRA, CH | H 1 | 0 | 0 | 6,207 | \$217.24 |
| 466-750-33-00 | WHITNEY JACK G \& HELEN FAMILY TRUST B 06-30-98 | 1 | 3,394 | 117 | 10,528 | \$985.44 |
| 466-750-37-00 | PREBYS CONRAD TRUST 12-17-82 | 1 | 0 | 101 | 54,014 | \$2,319.40 |
| 466-750-40-00 | POUR AMIR R | 1 | 0 | 121 | 29,185 | \$1,535.32 |
| 467-300-07-00 | THRIFTY OIL NO 191 \& THRIFTY OIL NO 191, THRIFTY OIL NO 191 ، | , 1 | 2,468 | 133 | 19,598 | \$1,338.08 |
| 467-300-23-00 | SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC CO | 1 | 0 | 75 | 13,042 | \$774.96 |
| 467-300-28-00 | FIRST INTERSTATE BANK TR | 1 | 5,223 | 112 | 26,572 | \$1,590.48 |
| 467-300-29-00 | POINT LOMA DUKE STREET L L C | 1 | 0 | 220 | 23,531 | \$1,757.84 |
| 467-300-35-00 | WESTPORT CAMPUS POINTE LLC <LF> Y F P CAMPUS POINTE L L | L 1 | 89,641 | 132 | 48,352 | \$5,425.28 |
| 467-300-36-00 | Y F P CAMPUS POINTE L L C | 1 | 15,251 | 217 | 27,007 | \$2,406.50 |
| 467-300-37-00 | Y F P CAMPUS POINTE L L C | 1 | 27,663 | 0 | 49,223 | \$2,701.80 |
| 467-300-38-00 | C P III CENTREPOINT L L C | 1 | 560,042 | 468 | 358,499 | \$34,354.88 |
| 467-350-01-00 | PANAMA HOSPITALITY L L C | 1 | 20,188 | 100 | 30,056 | \$2,191.08 |
| 467-350-02-00 | PANAMA HOSPITALITY L L C | 1 | 25,100 | 100 | 26,572 | \$2,242.98 |
| 467-350-20-00 | LAMPLIGHTER INN L P | 1 | 23,773 | 138 | 46,174 | \$3,043.46 |
| 467-350-22-00 | S D ARAGON INVESTMENTS L L C | 1 | 5,817 | 285 | 27,007 | \$2,361.40 |
| 467-350-24-00 | MESA COMMONS I \& II L L C | 1 | 0 | 117 | 155,509 | \$5,939.66 |
| 467-370-07-00 | R S S PLAN 12-29-02 | 1 | 2,223 | 47 | 3,951 | \$416.54 |
| 467-370-08-00 | ARAS HAMIT | 1 | 2,400 | 50 | 3,999 | \$437.22 |
| 467-370-10-00 | BURNESS ALLAN J \& JOY A | 1 | 1,440 | 25 | 1,999 | \$227.08 |
| 467-370-11-00 | BURNESS ALLAN J \& JOY A | 1 | 1,440 | 23 | 1,973 | \$217.68 |
| 467-370-28-00 | ARAS HAMIT | 1 | 0 | 26 | 1,873 | \$175.96 |
| 467-370-29-00 | RECYCLED RESOURCES L L C | 1 | 0 | 75 | 6,125 | \$532.86 |
| 467-370-30-00 | ARAS HAMIT | 1 | 0 | 26 | 1,999 | \$180.38 |
| 467-402-23-00 | CITY OF SAN DIEGO | 1 | 2,000 | 47 | 5,201 | \$452.40 |
| 467-402-24-00 | CITY OF SAN DIEGO | 1 | 4,000 | 98 | 5,249 | \$741.44 |



| Assessor's Parcel Number | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | FY 2016 Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 467-453-11-00 | B N M G PROPERTIES L L C | 1 | 7,785 | 60 | 8,821 | \$839.04 |
| 467-460-07-00 | HAYMAN TODD \& SANDRA L | 1 | 5,580 | 61 | 6,499 | \$683.98 |
| 467-460-08-00 | METZGER INVESTMENTS LP | 1 | 11,709 | 317 | 32,670 | \$2,904.02 |
| 467-460-22-00 | THRIFTY PAYLESS INC <LF> SCHWARZBLATT GERRY \& MONICA T | T 1 | 24,000 | 260 | 95,396 | \$5,292.34 |
| 467-460-23-00 | TRI M L L C | 1 | 9,042 | 120 | 24,443 | \$1,685.10 |
| 467-470-01-00 | CONWAY FAMILY TRUST 02-03-92, SHELTON JOHN W EST OF | 1 | 1,350 | 123 | 14,005 | \$1,060.28 |
| 467-470-02-00 | NGUYEN DAVID \& HELEN | 1 | 6,650 | 69 | 11,500 | \$930.86 |
| 467-520-01-00 | CHA HEE JUNG, PIAZA SHOPPING CENTER L L C, CHA DEBRA, CH | H 1 | 4,765 | 60 | 6,961 | \$667.06 |
| 467-520-02-00 | CHA HEE JUNG, PIAZA SHOPPING CENTER L L C, CHA DEBRA, CH | H 1 | 5,250 | 60 | 6,961 | \$684.22 |
| 467-520-03-00 | CHA HEE JUNG, PIAZA SHOPPING CENTER L L C, CHA DEBRA, CH | 1 | 5,474 | 60 | 6,961 | \$692.16 |
| 467-520-12-00 | HARBAUGH GEORGE S FAMILY TRUST A 02-02-81 | 1 | 6,300 | 120 | 15,080 | \$1,260.36 |
| 467-520-22-00 | LOWREY LE ELLA S TR | 1 | 0 | 74 | 5,158 | \$494.78 |
| 467-520-23-00 | VAIASUSO KELLY T REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST 10-20-10 | 1 | 800 | 79 | 2,858 | \$463.82 |
| 467-520-24-00 | PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST | 1 | 0 | 58 | 1,760 | \$307.90 |
| 467-520-25-00 | PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST | 1 | 2,672 | 100 | 6,717 | \$754.32 |
| 467-520-26-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 0 | 59 | 4,896 | \$421.90 |
| 467-520-27-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 11,728 | 51 | 5,898 | \$838.06 |
| 467-520-28-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 2,112 | 50 | 6,299 | \$507.54 |
| 467-520-29-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LIMITED | 1 | 0 | 51 | 12,258 | \$645.60 |
| 467-520-30-00 | CAPPELLI PAULA C TRUST 10-08-02 | 1 | 4,598 | 148 | 15,960 | \$1,349.82 |
| 467-520-32-00 | LOG REALTY L L C | 1 | 16,760 | 198 | 62,291 | \$3,614.16 |
| 467-530-01-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 0 | 50 | 2,932 | \$314.94 |
| 467-530-02-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 4,802 | 50 | 4,173 | \$528.32 |
| 467-530-03-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 3,655 | 20 | 6,090 | \$427.42 |
| 467-530-12-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4,199 | \$146.96 |
| 467-530-14-00 | PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5,401 | \$189.04 |
| 467-530-17-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 5,059 | 223 | 30,056 | \$2,178.00 |
| 467-530-18-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 19,185 | 343 | 73,616 | \$4,712.12 |
| 467-530-19-00 | VONS COMPANIES INC <LF> RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 51,984 | 121 | 182,081 | \$8,726.40 |
| 467-530-20-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 3,199 | 321 | 35,719 | \$2,726.54 |
| 467-530-21-00 | RUSSO PROPERTIES LTD | 1 | 19,692 | 0 | 73,181 | \$3,258.24 |
| 467-541-01-00 | RUSSO FAMILY TRUST 03-15-02 | 1 | 0 | 95 | 6,830 | \$642.48 |
| 467-542-01-00 | SAN DIEGO INCOME PROPERTIES L L C | 1 | 0 | 105 | 5,998 | \$655.82 |
| 467-542-07-00 | CITY OF SAN DIEGO | 1 | 4,000 | 96 | 48,378 | \$2,242.46 |
| 467-564-02-00 | CHEUNG \& ONG FAMILY TRUST 07-15-94 | 1 | 2,187 | 52 | 6,700 | \$532.72 |


| Assessor's Parcel $\qquad$ Number | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2016 \\ \text { Assessment } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 467-564-05-00 | SALTS STEPHANIE | 1 | 0 | 100 | 7,802 | \$697.74 |
| 467-581-20-00 | V N PROPERTY RENTAL L L C | 1 | 9,764 | 435 | 30,928 | \$3,275.32 |
| 467-584-01-00 | PACIFIC BELL | 1 | 32,300 | 322 | 23,522 | \$3,333.78 |
| 468-220-01-00 | BATTIKHA \& HADDAD L L C | 1 | 15,600 | 86 | 9,239 | \$1,240.66 |
| 468-220-02-00 | SEPPALA WAYNE \& CHERYL FAMILY TRUST 02-18-02 | 1 | 5,311 | 61 | 6,599 | \$677.96 |
| 468-220-03-00 | SEPPALA WAYNE \& CHERYL FAMILY TRUST 02-18-02 | 1 | 1,000 | 30 | 3,293 | \$278.04 |
| 468-220-04-00 | 2202-2204 BRISTOL STREET CORP, LEITER STEPHANIE K | 1 | 5,843 | 89 | 11,435 | \$984.96 |
| Zone 1 Subtotals |  |  | 1,361,260 | 11,437 | 2,739,476 | \$192,625.12 |


| Assessor's Parcel Number | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2016 \\ \text { Assessment } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 466-750-05-00 | RENS SANDRA H TR | 2 | 4,160 | 100 | 21,081 | \$1,062.02 |
| 466-750-06-00 | NGUYEN KHANH \& BUI THANH | 2 | 7,460 | 82 | 21,949 | \$1,163.12 |
| 466-750-07-00 | PREBYS CONRAD TR | 2 | 0 | 70 | 21,876 | \$867.84 |
| 466-750-08-00 | PREBYS CONRAD TR, PREBYS CONRAD | 2 | 0 | 62 | 21,458 | \$835.20 |
| 466-750-10-00 | CONNOLLY LYMAN B | 2 | 2,418 | 79 | 10,042 | \$596.98 |
| 466-750-35-00 | EFFECT MARKETING GROUP L L C, MICKLE ALEX | 2 | 6,472 | 79 | 7,871 | \$671.08 |
| 466-750-36-01 | CAMPBELL JACQUELYN A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-02 | HOLDER GREGORY S, BRADDON MICHAEL | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-03 | PHAM CHI T K | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-04 | HODGES FAMILY 2011 TRUST 03-22-11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-05 | GAVIN-MENDOZA FAMILY 1998 TRUST 07-01-98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-06 | TOBIN RICHARD W III \& ANASTACIA C | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-07 | FARRIS BERNADETTE | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-08 | BOWNE TOD R | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-09 | WYMAN TRUST | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-10 | WALSH BRENDAN M | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-11 | GONSALVES JOSEPH M REVOCABLE TRUST 08-08-94 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-12 | ROSE ROBERT M \& PAMELA F | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-13 | SCHWEITZER ABHAY C | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-14 | GANDOLFO BARBARA L REVOCABLE TRUST 07-23-07 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-15 | WEIGELE MICHAEL B, WEIGELE SIEGFRIED R \& MARILYN A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-16 | CHAWLA JENNIFER J | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-17 | BARRY GENEVIEVE M TRUST 03-26-09 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-18 | KO JOSEPH, YNG KEENAN | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-19 | HALEVY RONEN \& KIRA Z | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-20 | BLUMENFELD LEON \& RENATA M, BLUMENFELD SZYMON \& LIZA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-21 | SORIANO ELISA, SORIANO JOSE \& ELIZABETH | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-22 | P I CASA PROPERTIES L L C | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-23 | ADAM RON R \& JEANNE M | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-24 | WALKER OSCAR L, LEON-MONDRAGON MICHELLE | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-25 | FERNANDEZ SAMUEL FAMILY TRUST 03-30-95 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-26 | BARRON SYLVIA, GALARZA ALEX | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-27 | WEST GEOFFREY, QUINTANA DIANA L | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-28 | SHELTON NICHOLAS P | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |
| 466-750-36-29 | SCHIFFMAN HARLAN A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,410 | \$45.06 |

Assessor's Parcel



| Assessor's Parcel Number | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | FY 2016 Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 468-120-07-00 | CITY OF SAN DIEGO | 2 | 0 | 215 | 64,469 | \$2,578.56 |
| 468-130-26-00 | RALPHS GROCERY CO <LF> C \& P PROPERTIES NO 4 L L C | 2 | 47,823 | 382 | 144,184 | \$7,221.00 |
| 468-142-15-00 | SIXTY-EIGHTH STREET SQUARE LLC | 2 | 36,555 | 110 | 15,398 | \$2,051.00 |
| 468-142-16-00 | SIXTY-EIGHTH STREET SQUARE LLC | 2 | 8,328 | 50 | 7,000 | \$638.98 |
| 468-142-23-00 | SIXTY-EIGHTH STREET SQUARE LLC | 2 | 33,103 | 200 | 27,878 | \$2,544.68 |
| 468-142-27-00 | PEP BOYS MANNY MOE \& JACK OF CALIFORNIA | 2 | 15,640 | 253 | 35,000 | \$2,282.06 |
| 468-152-18-00 | PATEL SAROD R \& VINA S | 2 | 9,664 | 100 | 14,000 | \$1,030.54 |
| 468-152-19-00 | PALMER LILLIAN E TRUST 09-04-01 | 2 | 2,100 | 50 | 7,000 | \$418.58 |
| 468-152-20-00 | PALMER LILLIAN E TRUST 09-04-01 | 2 | 2,500 | 50 | 7,000 | \$432.74 |
| 468-152-23-00 | SOROURBAKHSH SHAHRIAR | 2 | 1,805 | 107 | 7,701 | \$568.00 |
| 468-152-24-00 | 6880 EL CAJON BLVD L L C | 2 | 538 | 158 | 22,399 | \$1,115.82 |
| 468-152-26-00 | 6880 EL CAJON BLVD L L C | 2 | 1,360 | 35 | 1,398 | \$177.20 |
| 468-152-27-00 | 6880 EL CAJON BLVD L L C | 2 | 0 | 15 | 4,966 | \$194.86 |
| 468-152-28-00 | SAN DIEGO COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY | 2 | 3,500 | 100 | 13,983 | \$811.84 |
| 468-162-16-00 | 70 EL CAJON BLVD CORP | 2 | 0 | 100 | 14,993 | \$720.26 |
| 468-162-17-00 | 70 EL CAJON BLVD CORP | 2 | 0 | 50 | 7,497 | \$360.14 |
| 468-162-18-00 | WHITE BYRON F 2001 REVOCABLE TRUST | 2 | 5,000 | 100 | 14,993 | \$897.20 |
| 468-162-19-00 | COLLINS MICHAEL D TRUST 12-01-09 | 2 | 2,000 | 50 | 7,497 | \$430.92 |
| 468-162-20-00 | M Z U R I HOLDINGS L L C | 2 | 1,600 | 50 | 7,497 | \$416.76 |
| 468-162-21-00 | M Z U R I HOLDINGS L L C | 2 | 864 | 50 | 7,497 | \$390.72 |
| 468-162-22-00 | LETT JOHN B W, WONG BOW JEAN | 2 | 784 | 100 | 14,993 | \$748.00 |
| 468-162-23-00 | MERZ FAMILY TRUST 1 04-23-07 | 2 | 1,727 | 110 | 15,398 | \$818.44 |
| 468-170-01-00 | DIPLOMAT ASSOCIATES LTD | 2 | 0 | 111 | 29,185 | \$1,200.28 |
| 468-170-04-00 | DIMENSTEIN FAMILY TRUST 12-20-85 | 2 | 26,136 | 234 | 43,560 | \$2,881.22 |
| 468-170-05-00 | AA REFERRAL SERVICE INC | 2 | 15,681 | 134 | 26,136 | \$1,713.26 |
| 468-170-06-00 | PERRY FRANK M JR | 2 | 5,969 | 133 | 14,593 | \$998.30 |
| 468-170-07-00 | CITY OF SAN DIEGO | 2 | 0 | 45 | 697 | \$130.80 |
| 468-170-09-00 | A L S HIGHLAND L L C | 2 | 0 | 252 | 67,518 | \$2,765.22 |
| 468-180-15-00 | BARON A CHRISTINE, BARON KEESAG A, BARON DICRAN B ET AL | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8,930 | \$287.76 |
| 468-180-22-00 | 1201 MAIN STREET L L C | 2 | 25,366 | 367 | 64,469 | \$3,842.84 |
| 468-180-28-00 | KELLY GRAHAM M FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST 10-28-86 | 2 | 1,581 | 97 | 8,538 | \$562.70 |
| 468-180-29-00 | FIGHTERTOWN 88 | 2 | 17,511 | 214 | 29,186 | \$2,068.44 |
| 468-190-01-00 | LIM VIVIAN IRREVOCABLE TRUST 12-18-12, WONG JOSEPH IRRE\} | 2 | 2,133 | 105 | 15,159 | \$813.10 |
| 468-190-04-00 | PSKW L L C | 2 | 4,200 | 50 | 7,000 | \$492.90 |
| 468-190-05-00 | PSKW L L C | 2 | 2,800 | 50 | 6,893 | \$439.94 |


| Assessor's Parcel $\qquad$ | Owner Name | Zone | Bldg. Sq. Ft. | Lot FF | Lot Sq. Ft. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2016 \\ \text { Assessment } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 469-050-24-00 | YEKTA HOSSEIN R REVOCABLE TRUST 06-16-03 | 2 | 1,500 | 91 | 9,365 | \$571.80 |
| 469-050-25-00 | LAMBERT EGLAH LIVING TRUST 07-06-94, LAMBERT RAMONA LIVI | I 2 | 4,748 | 0 | 3,999 | \$295.82 |
| 469-071-15-00 | HR H 5 LLC | 2 | 1,578 | 112 | 9,348 | \$624.66 |
| 469-071-16-00 | TEMKIN ROCHELLE S | 2 | 1,200 | 50 | 7,000 | \$386.72 |
| 469-071-17-00 | BHAKTA BHUPENDRA \& NIRU REVOCABLE TRUST 05-13-10 | 2 | 10,379 | 100 | 13,996 | \$1,055.72 |
| 469-071-20-00 | 7150 EL CAJON L L C | 2 | 0 | 150 | 21,000 | \$1,032.78 |
| 469-071-22-00 | WAI KAM LEUNG \& LAN FONG TRS | 2 | 2,969 | 112 | 9,901 | \$691.56 |
| 469-071-23-00 | 7150 EL CAJON L L C | 2 | 21,330 | 100 | 13,996 | \$1,443.26 |
| 469-072-01-00 | PAIR PROPERTIES L P | 2 | 7,200 | 58 | 8,398 | \$663.04 |
| 469-072-02-00 | TWIN PROPERTIES L P | 2 | 2,750 | 25 | 3,498 | \$269.38 |
| 469-072-03-00 | TWIN PROPERTIES L P | 2 | 800 | 50 | 7,000 | \$372.58 |
| 469-072-04-00 | PAIR PROPERTIES L P | 2 | 1,250 | 25 | 3,498 | \$216.30 |
| 469-072-07-00 | COLLEGE PLAZA L L C | 2 | 8,159 | 50 | 7,000 | \$633.00 |
| 469-072-08-00 | COLLEGE PLAZA L L C | 2 | 19,120 | 200 | 28,000 | \$2,053.72 |
| 469-072-09-00 | COLLEGE PLAZA L L C | 2 | 8,121 | 50 | 7,000 | \$631.66 |
| 469-072-26-00 | COLLEGE PLAZA L L C | 2 | 6,390 | 60 | 5,698 | \$552.92 |
| 469-072-27-00 | AGOSTINI JAMES A, AGOSTINI DEBORAH A | 2 | 12,376 | 100 | 13,939 | \$1,124.56 |
| 469-091-14-00 | CIF HOLDINGS LP | 2 | 3,150 | 163 | 22,399 | \$1,220.32 |
| 469-091-15-00 | PETTIT SANDRA M TR | 2 | 1,702 | 50 | 7,000 | \$404.50 |
| 469-091-16-00 | HENDERSON CLIFFORD N TR \& HENDERSON GAYLA R TR | 2 | 0 | 50 | 7,000 | \$344.26 |
| 469-091-19-00 | SINKS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST 02-12-93 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 14,000 | \$688.52 |
| 469-091-20-00 | 7220 EL CAJON BLVD L L C | 2 | 6,517 | 50 | 7,000 | \$574.90 |
| 469-091-22-00 | ADMANI FAMILY 2002 TRUST 04-09-02 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 14,000 | \$688.52 |
| 469-091-24-00 | DIMENSTEIN FAMILY TRUST 11-06-01 | 2 | 5,478 | 62 | 8,398 | \$611.74 |
| 469-091-25-00 | DIMENSTEIN FAMILY TRUST 11-06-01 | 2 | 6,800 | 50 | 7,000 | \$584.92 |
| 469-092-01-00 | SARABIA ARMANDO A \& ROSA M | 2 | 2,400 | 72 | 10,498 | \$594.02 |
| 469-092-02-00 | SARABIA ARMANDO A \& ROSA M | 2 | 3,599 | 50 | 7,000 | \$471.62 |
| 469-092-03-00 | CEFALU MARIA G YEAR 2003 INTER VIVOS FAMILY TRUST 04-02-0 | - 2 | 2,178 | 50 | 7,000 | \$421.34 |
| 469-092-04-00 | CEFALU MARIA G YEAR 2003 INTER VIVOS FAMILY TRUST 04-02-0 | 2 | 490 | 60 | 8,398 | \$430.38 |
| 469-093-01-00 | SWIMMER CHARLES R TR \& SWIMMER CAROL E TR | 2 | 9,240 | 162 | 22,399 | \$1,433.44 |
| 469-093-02-00 | SWIMMER CHARLES R TR \& SWIMMER CAROL E TR | 2 | 1,640 | 25 | 3,498 | \$230.10 |
| 469-093-03-00 | ALBREKTSEN FAMILY 2009 TRUST 05-18-09 | 2 | 1,860 | 25 | 3,498 | \$237.88 |
| 469-093-04-00 | HOANG FAMILY TRUST 11-08-06 | 2 | 2,108 | 50 | 7,000 | \$418.86 |
| 469-093-05-00 | MAXIMILIAN ASSOCIATES L L C | 2 | 3,991 | 75 | 10,498 | \$657.56 |
| 469-110-03-00 | RAP WEST L L C | 2 | 8,250 | 169 | 23,522 | \$1,451.16 |
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## CITY OF SAN DIEGO

# College Heights Maintenance Assessment District 

## Engineer's Report

## FISCAL YeAR 2016

This report has been prepared and submitted by:
C. Stephen Bucknam Jr. C 20903

## Koppel \& Gruber Public Finance

I, , as City Clerk of the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, California, do hereby certify that the Assessment as shown on the Assessment Roll, together with the Assessment Diagram, both of which are incorporated into this report, were filed in my office on the
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2015.

Elizabeth Maland, City Clerk<br>City of San Diego<br>State of California

I, $\qquad$ , as City Clerk of the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, California, do hereby certify that the foregoing Assessment as shown together with the Assessment Diagram incorporated into this report, was approved and confirmed by the City Council of said City on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2015.

Elizabeth Maland, City Clerk<br>City of San Diego<br>State of California


[^0]:    Special Benefits $=$ Total Benefits - General Benefits

