

La Jolla Community Planning Association

PO Box 889, La Jolla CA 92038

<https://lajollacpa.org>

info@lajollacpa.org

Trustee Final Minutes

3 February 2022, 6pm

President: Diane Kane

1st Vice President: Greg Jackson

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

Treasurer: Larry Davidson

Regular Monthly Meetings: 1st Thursday, LJ Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St
(In accordance with AB361, meetings are being held online during the California public health emergency)

Links for Registration and Materials pages can be found at <https://lajollacpa.org/2022-agendas-minutes/>

Viewing, listening, and speaking at meetings require registration. To have attendance counted toward membership or voting, registration must be in the member's name. Meetings are recorded, and recording is publicly available. **Refer to projects or issues, not to applicants or opponents.** For action items, chair calls on public, then Trustees, closes discussion upon consensus, and calls for motions. Trustees vote by roll call or show of hands.

The public is encouraged to participate in Committee/Board meetings before LJCPA discussion:

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair Andy Fotsch, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair Brian Earley, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

Quorum Present: Ahern, Boyden, Costello, Courtney, Davidson, Hostomska, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Rudick, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman, Will

1. Call to Order (6:02pm, ACTION items)

1.1. Approve Agenda (ACTION)

Motion: Approve agenda as presented. (Steck/Will) **Vote:** unanimous, Motion carries

1.2. Approve Minutes (ACTION)

Motion: Approve as presented. (Jackson/Davidson) **Vote:** unanimous, Motion carries

2. Non-Agenda Public Comment (information only)

Items not on the agenda. 2 minutes or less. No votes or action.

William Lynch: Speeding on Torrey Pines Rd. from 6am until 7:30am is amazing, over 60 mph. I have talked to Steve Hadley, the police Department, but nothing changes. The roundabouts on La Jolla Bl. do slow traffic. I want to work with anyone to do something similar on TP Rd. It is noisy, unsafe, unattractive; it needs to be fixed. There should be a way to change this using traffic engineering techniques to avoid law enforcement.

Kane: There is a subcommittee of the LJCPA looking at streetscape enhancements throughout La Jolla. They are reviewing during Feb. and March with a charrette in April. We are working with elected officials seeking resources to implement our vision. TP Rd. is part of this so please stay involved. Rep. Scott Peters advised us to get this implemented through our community plan and have approached our community planner, Marlon Pangilinan for information how to do that,

Jackson: We have also referred Mr. Lynch to T & T.

Kate Adams: I have been concerned with problems on TP Rd. for a long time. On Jan. 23, I witnessed a car burst into flames more than two stories tall. Someone was speeding and crashed into a wall and a home and burst into flame. There is no enforcement or speed control on TP Rd.; it is becoming a racing thoroughfare and now this dangerous fire.

Courtney: That was my house and wall.

Kane: Move this to T & T for further discussion.

Neil: The Scripps Park Comfort Station is now open. The City and Parks & Rec. are working on the rest of the problems. It is very attractive and thanks for all they have done.

Merten: On Tuesday, the City Council heard an appeal for the Encelia/Romero Project Mitigated Negative Declaration that the LJCPA had rejected. Thank you, Diane Kane, for speaking about why the LJCPA could not make findings, and Jim Fitzgerald, who spoke as an individual, reminding the Council of the reasons why the MND could not be certified and a full Environmental Impact Report was required. Both were superb.

3. Trustee Candidate Forum

Comments from Trustee candidates, 2 minutes each. For list of candidates and links to their statement, visit <https://lajollacpa.org/about/elections/2022-elections/>

Emerson: The Election Committee has been working hard to get candidates to run for trustee.

The following people gave two minute statements about their reasons for running: Joe Terry, Helen Boyden, Tom Brady(read by Emerson),Mike Costello, John Leek, John Fremdling, Marouane Abdaoui, Lisa Kriedeman.

Elections are on March 3. They will be done same as last year. Ballots will be emailed by Feb. 15. You will be asked to print the ballot, complete it and mail it back to PO Box 889. La Jolla, CA 92038. Complete instructions are included with the ballot. They will be picked up on March 3, by a group to count them. This is an open floor until the end of this meeting so if anyone else decides to run, you have until end of meeting tonight to state that. Thanks to the hard- working committee.

4. Consent Agenda (consolidated ACTION item)

The Consent Agenda enables LJCPA unanimously to ratify recommendations from joint Committees or Boards that findings CAN or CANNOT be made. Those recommendations become LJCPA's. The public may comment on consent items, but there is no presentation or debate. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by LJCPA at a subsequent meeting.
--

4.1. 5522 Beaumont (688686, Golba)

(Process 2) Amendment to approved Coastal Development Permit #2337839 for the construction of a new two-story over basement, single-family residence with an attached garage and roof deck at 5522 Beaumont Avenue. The 0.098-acre site is locate in RS-1-7 zone and the Coastal Overlay Zone (Non-Appealable) within the La Jolla Community Plan and Council District 1.

- **DPR 1/18: findings CAN be made, 6-0-1**

4.2. 5340 Calumet (679089, Freedman)

(Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for exterior remodel improvements on a site with an existing one-story single family residence. Remodel includes removal of bluff edge improvements, new drainage, pool remodel, site walls, entry gate & trellis, entry landscape improvements, and new fireplace. The 0.18-acre site is located at 5340 Calumet Ave in the RS-1-7 Zone, Coastal-APP, 1st -PBL-RDWY, ESL, within the La Jolla Community Plan Area. CD 1

- **DPR 1/18: finding CAN be made, 6-0-1**

4.3. ~~1851 Spindrift (693529, Duke)~~(**PULLED by applicant for committee re-review of changes**)

~~(Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing 1,863-sf single family residence with garage and construct a two-story, 2,924-sf single family residence and 500-sf garage with 302-sf ADU above garage at 1851 Spindrift Drive. The 0.10-acre site is in the LJSPD-SF zone and the Coastal (App & Non-App) Overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area, and Council District 1.~~

- ~~• **PRC 1/18: findings CANNOT be made, 5-1-1; bulk and scale of building**~~

4.4. Traffic Circle at Ivanhoe and Virginia Way (Martin)

Originally requested in 2017, this proposal never made it to the LJTTB for consideration but made its way through Traffic Engineering for design feasibility and required petition signatures which has been previously met.

- **T&T 1/19: APPROVE 10-0-0**

Item 4.3, 1851 Spindrift Dr., Pulled.

Motion: Approve Items 4.1, 4.2,.4.4 on Consent Agenda. (Boyden/Costello), **Vote:** unanimous, Motion carries.

5. Elected Officials, Agencies & Other Entity Representatives

5.1. Council 1 (Joe LaCava): Steve Hadley, 619-236-6611, srhadley@sandiego.gov

Hadley: Our Council member is working with SD Police, FBI, County Sheriff, LA Law enforcement to address recent burglaries in Muirlands. There have been 56 burglaries, 18 are tied to one syndicate from South America, another 30 are others falling through the cracks. SD Police have met with 120 - 30 residents and will meet with them again. There have been no burglaries since the meeting. Police are receiving lots of info. New vending ordinance is going to the Economic Development and Intergovernmental Relations Committee next Wed. at 2pm. Send me email for proposed ordinance. A grant of ~\$719,000 is going toward fixing the K-rail that should have been a Guard Rail after TP Road corridor project was completed. Traffic engineers will be out to design. Street division will be in Shores area to work on streetlights. I will ask them to stop at Bird Rock and LJ Village to work when they are there.

Kane: At CPC meeting Council Member La Cava said he would have a red-lined version of changes made to Council Policy 600-24 available by Monday of this week. Do you have any info about when we can expect this? **Reply:** I will check.

Weiss: The K-rail in the area between Prospect St. and Coast Walk makes the sidewalk dark at night. Can this be addressed? We need to be part of the discussion when the Guard Rails are designed.

Courtney: You also need to add the area where car crash occurred.

5.2. SD Mayor's Office (Todd Gloria): Matt Griffith, 619-964-7748, griffithm@sandiego.gov

Kane: I received a report from Matt Griffith from Mayor's office which will be on website. It includes an update on Sexy Streets. The following are on the list:

District 1 roads:

- La Jolla Parkway from Hidden Valley Rd to State Route 5
- La Jolla Parkway Off Ramp from La Jolla Parkway to La Jolla Scenic S. Dr.
- La Jolla Parkway On Ramp from La Jolla Scenic N. Dr. to La Jolla Parkway Off Ramp
- Paseo Bonita from Rutgers to End
- Clemson Circle from Rutgers to End

Via Capri also needs work. Also Is the paving and striping on top of Mt. Soledad final, it looks temporary?

Hadley: Hadley there are several funds and projects that address street repairs. In June Council voted to approve funding for a whole list for resurfacing, Via Capri was on that list. Part of the holdup there is SDG&E work on new transmission line. It makes no sense resurface Via Capri before the trenching is done.

Rasmussen: Can we waive the summer moratorium on Via Capri? **Emerson:** That is the purview of the La Jolla Shores. Assn.

5.3. County 4 (Nathan Fletcher): Aaron Burgess, 619-531-5022,
Aaron.Burgess@sdcounty.ca.gov

Spenser Katz, Rep for Sd County Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer District 3: I am here to introduce myself and welcome La Jolla to District 3, a new coastal district that runs along the coast from Carlsbad to Coronado and includes Rancho Penasquitos and Mira Mesa. County district lines switched as of Jan. 15. Terra Lawson-Remer is familiar with LJ; she went to LJ High School. My contact is spencer.katz@sdcounty.ca.gov.

5.4. Assembly 78 (Chris Ward): Rachel Granadino, 619-413-0674,
rachel.granadino@asm.ca.gov

Granadino (read by Kane) AB 311 bill was passed on Jan. 31. It is the ghost gun bill to close the loophole available to Del Mar Fairgrounds to sell ghost guns. Since Fairgrounds are state land, they are exempt from SD City and County ghost gun bans so this bill addresses that. He reintroduced AB 1640 to re-establish regional climate networks. It provides the ability for regions to form networks empowered to convene, receive, apply for funds and prioritize adaptation projects. It will be heard in March. Assembly Member Ward has two new roles: He is now a member of the Assembly Housing Committee and

was named a new Assistant Majority Leader. He looks forward to assisting SD in this new role. On Jan. 18, he hosted a virtual town hall with Lt. Governor Kounalakis. They addressed higher education, climate, the Calif. Budget among other things.

5.5. Senate 39 (Toni Atkins): Cole Reed, 619-645-3133, cole.reed@sen.ca.gov

Reed, (summarized by Kane) We are looking for help to identify individuals to nominate for Woman of the Year award by Feb. 18. Dept of Motor Vehicles is now allowing people to opt into receiving paperless vehicle registration renewal and driver license renewal notices through email as part of effort to expand digital services and reduce its carbon footprint. See full report on Materials & Comments on website.

5.6. SD Planning: Marlon Pangilinan, 619-235-5293, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov

Pangilinan: I will follow up with DSD on code change questions in your email. I will contact you for more details on Scott Peter's suggestion for Community Plan amendment for street scape enhancements. My department has no position on your La Jolla Shores [Advisory] Board suggestions. Anyone can provide comments on that issue for next land development code update. I will get back to you on other things.

Kane: We have a lot happening in LJ and want to keep you in the loop. We felt code update items would be relevant to Planning Dept and are unclear how our code update items are processed at City. They disappear for several months then show up on a staff report for public input. Is there some vetting done on projects submitted by public to weed out weak candidates? **Reply:** I don't have details. I plan to get together with the program manager in charge of that program. Renee Mezo, who did this in prior years, has a different job at DSD. I will follow up with that in terms of our process. I recall they handle all this with a couple of online workshops. We do review all comments we receive.

Kane: We need information on process to see if we are wasting our time and want to work with staff. The current format requires us to give specific language for the code change. We may not know how to write code to implement the concepts for our suggested improvements as we are not code writing experts. It would be productive to work with staff on complicated items that are of interest to both groups that require more code writing expertise than just tweaking a sentence in the code.

Emerson: I want to clarify that the La Jolla Shores Association is not the La Jolla Shores Group mentioned earlier. I look forward to working with you on the election process.

Weissman: Who is replacing Renee Mezo? Is the same website as was used last year?

Reply: The website is there. That position has not been filled; it is being handled as staff is assigned under manager Seth Litchney.

5.7. UCSD Planning: Anu Delouri, 858-610-0376, adelouri@ucsd.edu

Delouri: We welcomed all students back for in person classes as of Feb. 1. Theater District project plans to be completed Fall of 2023. Inner most building has reached 6 -7 stories high, excavation is complete, core wall of garage constructed. Traffic signal timing study along Torrey Pines Rd. suggested by this group in Dec., has been requested by me and Steve Hadley from traffic engineering. The campus is committed to building on campus

housing. UC Regents approved funding for preliminary plans for Thurgood Marshall College redevelopment of 1970's undergraduate student housing to give more access to students in a similar living and learning neighborhood model adding 2,000 beds.

Kane: I got an email about an Open House SD event, the architectural foundation, that UCSD will be highlighted this coming March in a major event focusing on several parts of the UCSD campus in an Open House walking tour on March 18 - 20. This is an important event for the public to participate. What do you know about that? **Reply:** I will find out.

Courtney: The crosswalk on LJ village Dr. at intersection of LJ Village Dr. and Villa LJ Dr. is dangerous. Is anyone looking into suggestion to remove crosswalk and divert pedestrians to the nearby overpass? **Reply:** I need to discuss with City and will report back.

Further comments about danger of removing crosswalk, other traffic problems at that area, working with University City Planning Group plan update and Gillman segment of Coastal Rail Trail. **Delouri:** Suggested reaching out to Katy Witherspoon, who is working with the City on these traffic issues, and inviting her to attend one of your meetings.

6. Local Project Reviews (action items as noted)

These may be *de novo* considerations. Actions by committees are listed for information only. Written comments can be submitted via the Materials & Comments page, link above. In general, applicants for each project have 10-15 minutes to present, an individual representing organized opponents (if there are such) has 10 minutes to respond, and members of the public have 15 minutes for 2-minute comments not already covered in presentations. Trustees then discuss the project for 20 minutes, at which point the President may call for motions and vote.

6.1. La Jolla Streetscape Plan (Steele) ACTION

The plan intends to create a pedestrian promenade along Prospect Street, improve crosswalk safety and add corner pop-out areas on Girard Avenue, expand art offerings and enhance overall street experience in The Village.

- **PDO 11/8: Appears to CONFORM to the PDO**
- **DPR 12/14: APPROVE in concept, 6-0-1**
- **T&T 12/15: APPROVE 6-1-0**

Mark Steel. Showed slides describing La Jolla Streetscape Improvements, discussed history of project and team who developed the plan.

- Existing map of LJ Village showing Girard Ave. to Prospect St. between Silverado to Prospect St. and lower Girard St. First phase is Girard between Silverado and Prospect, second phase is the Dip.
- Focus areas are intersections at Girard and Silverado, Mid-block crossings, Girard and Wall St, the Dip.
- Goal is to improve visual quality of street and improve safety for pedestrians.
- Project design elements were described: Community gathering space, curb extensions, crosswalks, mid-block crosswalks
- Tree concept plan, suggested types of trees
- The Dip at Prospect Pl. described with drawing map and discussed as a community gathering place to be a catalyst for business and socializing.

- Further slides with pictures, plan drawings, and details of each design element in each location.
- Curb extensions are like little parklets and place for public art, trees, lighting, and safety.
- Parking plan drawing shown and described with hope for losing only a net of 3 spaces.
- As of now we have been to the City with a preliminary review to understand permitting process and technical questions. We are working on more design details, then will be working on permits for Phase 1.

Alan Viterbi: President of Muse Homeowners' Assn of building at 1020 Prosect. None of the owners or residents of this building were made aware of this project even though this has been a residential building for four years. We heard about it in the LJ Light. As residents most impacted by this project, we want to express concern. We are supportive for the general effort to improve streetscape in LJ, but the proposed changes for the Dip present problems.

- Traffic safety. There are frequent U-turns on Prospect in front of hotels, the narrowing of Prospect St. will make this more dangerous,
- Proposed parking shows angled spaces that will back into traffic are directly in front of our garage. This is dangerous for our residents exiting the garage.
- Left turn addition from Girard creates dangerous condition for pedestrians.
- Our greatest concern is the community gathering space is directly outside our windows. None of the restaurants and bars on Prospect St. abide by the noise ordinance regarding amplified music and there is tremendous noise for our residents especially as activities have moved outside. This additional gathering space outside our windows would be a nightmare for our residents.
- Considering the issues we raised in addition to the environmental issues raised by concentrating that much traffic near a residential building we think this project should require a full EIR.

We would appreciate in the development of your plans you take into consideration and consult the residents who live right in front of your plan.

Discussion:

Name	Organization	Agenda item	Comment
Ahern	Trustee	6.1	I think Mr. Viterbi's comments can be addressed. I support this project: Think Piazza in Little Italy. Bring energy to village.
Costello	Trustee	6.1	Support. Bird Rock traffic plan had opposition to change but change was later embraced by community. This is exciting.

Weiss	Trustee	6.1	Diagonal parking can be problem with large vehicle extending into street. Could a line be drawn on back of diagonal parking spaces with sign?
Kane	Trustee	6.1	We considered this in Rec. Center project. It could be mitigated by requiring back in parking or limit length of vehicle
Neil	Trustee	6.1	DPR minutes show a loss of 6 spaces. Could you confirm that? Have you contacted MTS about busses?
Steele	Architect	6.1	With survey with engineers, we will have more definite answers on parking spaces. We are working with MTS.
Jackson	Trustee	6.1	What is time period before money available and time to get it done.
Steele	Architect	6.1	Foundation has some money for Phase 1 now, maybe 20%. They are actively working on funding. We hope in three years.
Phil Merten	Public	6.1	Compared to project in Aspen, CO, which ultimately eliminated all street parking and turned into a pedestrian plaza; everyone loves it. Support.
Phyllis Pfeiffer	Public	6.1	I am hoping it is closer than 3 years. We are getting many contributions, need \$4 million. We are connecting with Murals project and including mosaics. Lighting and trees will add to Girard. Contributions are welcome and tax deductible.

Brian Will	Trustee	6.1	I support. Wonderful addition to community. Consider elevated ramp for cars at crosswalks. Also consider adding stairs between upper and lower Prospect.
Steele	Architect	6.1	Raised crosswalk easier said than done. Stairs would need addition of elevator to comply with ADA, expensive and difficult to do.
Jodi Rudick	Trustee	6.1	Wonderful plan. It is important to talk directly to impacted property owners in planning stages and include in plans.
Dan Courtney	Trustee	6.1	Will bring life to La Jolla Village.

Motion: The La Jolla Community Planning Assn. supports the plan for Girard and Prospect St. (Rasmussen/Courtney) Vote: unanimous, Motion carries.

7. City/State/UCSD Project Reviews (action items as noted)

(none)

8. Policy Discussions, Reviews, & Recommendations (action items as noted)

8.1. Proposed CPG Reforms ACTION

Discussion of how these might affect LJCPA, and what we might do about that.

Kane: There was a two-hour Community Planners Committee meeting last week regarding changes to Council Policy 600-24. I forwarded my redaction of the minutes of that meeting and the Councilmember's responses to you. Last month this group sent a letter to Councilmember LaCava, which was printed in the LJ Light, expressing our concerns about the proposed reforms. A subcommittee of the CPC looked at the most egregious items in that proposal and prepared a counter proposal. My sense from the CPC meeting was that the Councilmember was going to join the CPC meeting next month to discuss an updated version reflecting some issues that were resolved and going to be changed and others pending. I was hoping for a redlined version of the Policy tonight that incorporated the changes that seemed to be underway for us to look at and discuss, but we don't have it. Mr Hadley, do you have any comments as to where this is in the process? **Hadley:** I do not.

Jim Fitzgerald: I don't think we can make an informed decision until we see the redlined version of Policy 600-24 and the Templates that will define the workload associated with the proposals being made. I am skeptical of the whole process until we have something definite.

Neil: I agree. I intend to stay involved, but that will be some heavy lifting and we don't know what those templates will look like. It will be a bylaw change.

Jameson: I attend a lot of planning group meetings throughout the city. I advocate for more housing, safer streets. I see issues of representation in these planning groups. This is part of what this reform is about. I appreciate all the volunteer time and work. What I kept hearing at the CPC meetings is that these planning groups do represent the diversity of their communities. That is not true from what I can tell. A Voice of San Diego report showed that 86% of people who responded to a city survey who were on planning groups were homeowners, but, as you know, in City of SD, 47% are homeowners. Also, pretty big differences in age, race demographics. Mike Costello had a good comment about being a unified voice for the community of La Jolla. Are there any renters on this board? I don't know and it would be useful if that data were available. Is it truly a unified voice if it only speaks on behalf of homeowners my age and older? I suggest we keep an open mind about these reforms, why they are being brought up. A Grand Jury report in 2018, a City Auditor Report both said we can't get this data about the demographics or we have very strong concerns about this lack of renters represented. I know it is hard to get renters on these groups, but other cities have taken different approaches: City of Seattle established an entire commission made up of renters to capture this group. Are there any demographic data available for this group, are there renters on this board? It would be useful to see if what the City is aiming for is being met. Could you point me to that data?

Discussion:

Name	Group	Item	Comment
Emerson	Trustee	8.1	I invite Mr. Jameson to do the job of the elections committee. We have worked tirelessly with outreach on social media, in the newspaper, personally to students, renters to invite people to join the CPA and serve on its board. You can only do what you can do. We do have renters on board and two in slate of candidates. While making remarks about a pie in the sky idea, you need to be on the ground trying to put together what someone else thinks you should have. You cannot force someone to be on a board.
Jameson		8.1	Having equal representation is not pie in the sky. If no way to make groups representative, the people do vote. This Council has taken a more pro housing stance.

Emerson		8.1	We hear more projects in LJ than almost any other groups with 3 meetings/month, PDO, ADU projects. You need to know more about this group before generalizing.
Jameson		8.1	Do you have demographic data?
Emerson		8.1	Several renters currently on board; out of 8 running 2 are renters.
Forbes		8.1	How far down ranking of advisory boards will 600-24 extend. I understand it will affect Park & Rec, Parks & Beaches, Traffic & Transportation. These boards refer things to the CPA and will be affected by bylaw changes. We need full knowledge how far this will go.
Kane		8.1	We have many interlocking boards. We need to know how far this will go.
Weiss		8.1	No one is prevented from participating in this process. Anyone who lives or works here can participate. Demographic information is not required to vote, only age and residence. The issues we deal with are of interest mostly to homeowners or business owners. Better solution would be to alter the City Charter, but that is not easy. Mayor and City Council also involved, engage Mayor and City Govt.
Neil		8.1	I resent your tone. We make great effort to recruit. It is not our business to get demographics; all we need to know if community member.
Costello		8.1	Very hard to get people who do not have stake in community to participate. i.e. students, We want everyone.
Courtney		8.1	Stimulating discussion. Many same people for years because so few want to

			participate. I welcome suggestions to get more people.
Boyden		8.1	Changing bylaws will require more work, make it harder to recruit. Our committees are important.
Davidson		8.1	OB Rag meeting on 1/27: “Drive everyone out of the only recognized local urban planning process where citizens have any involvement” Article well worth reading.
Will		8.1	Tough sell to get people to give up their evening. All of us, members and trustees need to be involved in getting people involved, not just election committee. This is a noble goal to aspire to but not to be graded upon.
Jackson		8.1	Good discussion, interesting issue. Two issues are being confused: one is who the trustees are, the other is that the board and subcommittee members need to recognize that we come in with certain unwitting biases, not intentionally discriminating. We need to be more conscious of our whether our unwitting biases cause us to pay attention to certain things in a positive way and pay less attention to things we should be paying more attention to. Getting membership to be demographic representation of community is likely not achievable.
Manno		8.1	Why does Mr. Jameson want to rid the community of their voice? I found him arrogant. We are volunteers spending untold hours, we beg people to join us.
Forbes		8.1	We are diverse in respect to dog ownership. I hope we can maintain that diversity.
Rudick		8.1	I think we need to look at the principle of Mr. Jameson’s comments rather than at him personally. It is important to know

			that City of SD is working hard to develop diverse community groups all over the city. They require Boards and BID's to comply with strict list of measures to be diverse. We should bring in differing voices.
--	--	--	---

Emerson: During this discussion one person here eligible to run for trustee has decided to run. Nominations don't close until the end of this meeting.

Suzanne Baracchini: We purchased home 4 years ago. I want to help put the sparkle on the jewel that is LJ. I have attended many meetings, educated myself and I would like to throw my hat into the ring. See full statement on the website under elections.

9. Officer Reports (action items as noted)

9.1. President

Kane: This was a good discussion. Thank you for your work to make San Diego more diverse.

Thanks to Mr. Merten, Julie Hamilton, and Dr. Schachar for outstanding job at City Council on the appeal of the Environmental Document on the Encelia/Romero project. The appeal was upheld unanimously! They heard us.

The Village Visioning Committee has prepared a master streetscape and enhancement plan. We have shown it to Councilmember LaCava, Scott Peters and discussed it with Enhance LJ. We will bring to community groups for feedback and get this into our Community Plan.

9.2. Secretary

LJCPA is an organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age.

Everyone is invited to attend and participate in the meetings. The La Jolla Community Planning Association welcomes the community to our public meetings and encourages expression and discussion of all viewpoints in our open forums.

I encourage everyone here tonight to sign up to give us a strong voice at city hall and show that you support the work of the Community Planning Groups.

Please encourage your friends and neighbors to participate and attend meetings to let our City officials know that La Jolla residents support the La Jolla Community Planning Group. For information on how to participate in this group, the application is on the Website – LaJollaCPA.org. Attendance & Membership. To be eligible to vote in the March 3, 2022, election you must have completed a membership application and attended one meeting between February, 2021 and February, 2022

If you register and attend an online meeting your attendance will count towards your meeting attendance requirement.

9.3. Treasurer

Beginning Balance as of Jan 1, 2022	\$ 796.23
Total Income	0.00
Total Expenses	<u>0.00</u>
Net Income/(Expenditure)	0.00
Ending Balance of Jan 31, 2022	\$ 796.23

Donations can be made by mailing a check made out to the LJCPA. Email the Treasurer via info@lajollacpa.org for instructions and address.

Davidson: Expect some expenses next month.

10. Reports from Standing, Ad Hoc, and Other Committees (information only)

None

11. Non-Agenda Trustee Comment (information only)

Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less. No votes or action unless properly noticed at least 72 hours in advance.

Costello: In response to SB 9 & 10 we should try to attract more people who want to keep single family homes to LJ. How can we make our process more friendly to single family projects? Possible cut back on building requirements.

Courtney: Described fire and reviewed history of auto accidents on TP Rd and Calle Juela. Something needs to be done to slow traffic on TP Rd. There was a plan in past for traffic signal at Princess but that was killed by resident. We need more affordable housing for everyone in LJ.

Kane: This meeting has left us with a lot to think about.

12. Adjourn 8:50 to next LJCPA meeting

Regular meeting 3 March 2022, 6pm.

Prepared by:

Suzanne Weissman

Secretary