

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT TOGETHER WITH ARGUMENTS



To Be Submitted to the Qualified Voters
of The City of San Diego at the

**GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY,
NOVEMBER 2, 1965**



The following proposed amendment to the Charter of The City of San Diego will be submitted to the qualified voters of The City of San Diego on Tuesday, November 2, 1965.

PHILLIP ACKER, City Clerk

PROPOSITION 1
(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION 1. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. Add new Section 99.1 to the Charter of The City of San Diego.	YES	
Add new Section 99.1 permitting City to enter into contracts, leases or other agreements in connection with the construction of a sports stadium in Mission Valley.	NO	

This proposition adds a new Section 99.1 to the Charter of The City of San Diego. The portions to be added are underlined.

Section 99.1. SPORTS STADIUM. For the purpose of acquiring, constructing and completing on a site in Mission Valley not to exceed 200 acres and lying westerly of Murphy Canyon Road, northerly of Highway 80 and southerly of Friars Road, and maintaining and operating thereon a coliseum, stadium, sports arena, sports pavilion or other building, or combination thereof, and facilities and appurtances necessary or convenient therefor, for holding sports events, athletic contests, contests of skill, exhibitions and spectacles and other public meetings, the City may, in addition to other legal methods, enter into contracts, leases or other agreements not to exceed fifty years with any other public agency or agencies, and the provisions of Sections 80 and 99 of this Charter shall not be applicable thereto.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION 1

With no increase in property taxes, San Diego can have a new 50,000 seat multipurpose public stadium in Mission Valley. An independent consulting firm has stated the new stadium will add \$24.85 million per year to San Diego's economy when opened, \$38 million per year by 1985 and over \$50 million a year in 35 years.

Adequate funds are available from new sources to pay for the stadium. This includes direct income generated by stadium attendance and concessions, uncommitted capital fund reserves, annual rent from the new sports arena to be built on land leased from the city, income from the lease or sale of land in the Midway-Frontier area and additional sales tax income as the city grows.

Building the stadium now will not delay or eliminate any of the more than 500 projects included in the approved 6-year capital outlay budget. Because of its economic impact, the stadium is vitally needed now. Construction will create at least 1500 new jobs. Operation will provide a minimum of 500 more jobs.

At the rate construction costs are increasing, every year of delay adds approximately \$720,000 to the cost. Delaying the construction of the stadium could also eliminate San Diego as a contender for a major league baseball team—and would jeopardize our position in the American Football League.

A first-class stadium will focus favorable national attention on San Diego, accelerate our industrial development and broaden San Diego's tax base. Personal interest in sports is not the issue. A yes vote on Proposition 1 is justifiable on the basis of sound business judgment.

Proposition 1 has been endorsed as an economic necessity by leading civic and professional groups, service clubs and labor unions. We all urge an overwhelming "yes" vote.

ALBERT T. HARUTUNIAN, Chairman of Citizens'
Committee For A Yes Vote on "Proposition 1"

MRS. BOB R. THOMAS, Housewife

FRANK H. MOLLENHAUER, Securities Broker

ALBERT L. ANDERSON, D.D.S., Dentist

GEORGE L. GILDRED, Businessman

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 1

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.