

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS TOGETHER WITH ARGUMENTS

To Be Submitted to the Qualified Voters
of The City of San Diego at the

**GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY,
NOVEMBER 4, 1969**

JOHN LOCKWOOD
City Clerk

GENERAL INFORMATION

The November General Municipal Election ballot includes 17 propositions lettered A through Q, which have been recommended by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention. The existing language of the 27 sections of the Charter which are affected by the Convention recommendations is printed below for information:

Section 4. DISTRICTS ESTABLISHED.

For the purpose of electing members of the Council the City shall be divided into eight Districts as nearly equal in registered voter population as practicable. For the municipal primary and general election in 1965, the boundaries of the eight councilmanic districts shall be established by the City Council as such Council was elected at the municipal election in 1963. Thereafter the boundaries of such districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this Charter.

In any ordinance adopted by the Council establishing, changing or altering the boundaries of any councilmanic district the ordinance may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said ordinance.

Section 5. REDISTRICTING.

In the event that any voting precinct which may be established at the time this Charter takes effect or which may be thereafter established is partly within two or more such districts, said precinct shall be allocated to the District in which a majority of the voters within such precinct resides, and said district boundaries shall be changed accordingly by an ordinance of the Council. The City shall be redistricted by ordinance for the purpose of maintaining approximate equality of registered voting population, at least once in every ten years, but shall not be redistricted within four years after any such redistricting.

Any territory hereafter annexed to or consolidated with The City of San Diego shall at the time of such annexation or consolidation be added to an adjacent District or Districts by an ordinance of the Council, provided, that if any territory annexed or consolidated at any one time shall contain qualified voters sufficient to upset the approximate equality of three established districts, the Council shall at least sixty days before an election after such annexation or consolidation redistrict the City regardless of the time limitation of four years heretofore mentioned.

In any redistricting, the districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory and made as equal in registered voters as shown by the registration records, and as geographically compact as possible, and the districts so formed shall, as far as possible, be bounded by natural boundaries, by street lines and/or by City boundary lines.

Section 7. ELECTIVE OFFICERS.

No person shall be eligible to or hold any elective office of the City, either by election or appointment, unless he shall have been a resident and elector thereof for at least three years next preceding his election or appointment.

In addition to the foregoing qualifications, every Councilman must have been at the time of his election, or appointment in the event of a vacancy, an actual resident in the district from which he was nominated for one year immediately preceding his election or appointment. Any Councilman who moves from the district of which he was a resident at the time of his election or appointment forfeits his office, but no Councilman shall forfeit his office as a result of redistricting; provided, however, that the one year's residency requirement established by the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to the first councilmanic election following the amendment of Section 4 of Article II of this Charter.

Section 10. ELECTIONS.

The regular municipal primary election shall be held on the third Tuesday in September in each odd-numbered year, and the general municipal election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of the same year, or, if either of these days falls on a legal holiday, then the election shall be held on the next succeeding day which is not a legal holiday. All other municipal elections which may be held under this charter shall be known as special municipal elections.

At the municipal primary election there shall be chosen by the electors of each Council District two candidates for the office of any councilman from a district whose term expires the succeeding December.

There shall be chosen by all of the electors of the City not more than twice the number of candidates necessary to fill any office of any other officer whose term expires the succeeding December.

In the event that any candidate, other than council candidates, for nomination to any office for which only one person is to be elected, shall receive a majority of the votes cast for all the candidates for nomination to such office at such primary election, the candidate so receiving such majority of all votes shall be deemed to be, and declared by the Council to be, elected to such office.

At the general municipal election the electors of the whole City shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in each district one candidate for the office of the Councilman whose term expires the succeeding December, and there shall be chosen by all of the electors of the whole City from among the candidates chosen at the primary one candidate to succeed any other elective officer whose term expires in December succeeding the election.

After the result of an election for any office is declared, or when an appointment is made, the City Clerk, under his hand and official seal, shall issue a certificate therefor, and shall deliver the same immediately to the person elected or appointed, and such person must within ten days after receiving such certificate file his official bond, if one be required for his office, and take and subscribe to the oath of office required of him by this Charter, which oath must be filed with the City Clerk.

Section 12. THE COUNCIL.

The Council shall be composed of nine (9) Councilmen, including the Mayor, and shall be the legislative body of the City, each of the members of which, including the Mayor, shall have the right to vote upon all questions before it.

Councilmen, including the Mayor, shall be elected at a general municipal election held in the odd numbered years and, except as hereinafter provided, shall hold office for the term of four years from and after the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding their election and until their successors are elected and qualified. Upon any redistricting pursuant to the provisions of this Charter, incumbent councilmen will continue to represent the district in which they reside, unless as a result of such redistricting more than one incumbent councilman resides within any one district, in which case the City Council shall determine by lot which councilman shall represent each district. At the next municipal primary and general elections following a redistricting, councilmen shall be elected from those districts not represented and from those districts represented by incumbent councilmen whose terms expire as of the general election in said year. If as a result of any redistricting more than a simple majority of the City Council as redistricted shall be elected at the general election next following any such redistricting, the City Council prior to any such election shall designate one or more new districts for which the initial councilmanic term shall be two (2) years in order to retain staggered terms for councilmen.

Any vacancy occurring in the Council shall be filled from the District in which the vacancy occurs by appointment by the remaining Councilmen; but in the event that said remaining Councilmen fail to fill such vacancy by appointment within thirty (30) days after the vacancy occurs, they must immediately cause an election to be held to fill such vacancy; provided, however, that any person appointed to fill such vacancy shall hold office only until the next regular municipal election, at which date a person shall be elected to serve for the remainder of such unexpired term.

It is the duty of councilmen to attend all Council meetings. The absence of any councilman from eight (8) consecutive meetings or fifty per cent (50%) of any scheduled meetings within a

month shall operate to vacate the seat of such councilman, unless the absence thereof is excused by resolution of the Council.

The rate of pay of each Councilman shall be Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) a year.

No Councilman shall be eligible during the term for which he was appointed or elected to hold any other office or employment with the City, except as Mayor and a member of any Board, Commission or Committee thereof, of which he is constituted such a member by general law or by this Charter.

Section 16. INTRODUCTION AND PASSAGE OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS.

Ordinances shall be introduced in the Council only in written or printed form. All ordinances, except annual appropriation ordinances and ordinances codifying or re-arranging existing ordinances, shall be confined to one subject, and the subject or subjects of all ordinances shall be clearly expressed in the title. The annual appropriation ordinance, any ordinance calling or relating to elections, ordinances recommended by the City Manager or other independent department heads transferring or appropriating moneys already appropriated by the annual appropriation ordinance, ordinances establishing or changing the grade of a public highway, and emergency ordinances as defined by Section 17 of this Charter, may be passed by the Council on the day of their introduction. Other ordinances, however, shall be passed only after six (6) calendar days have elapsed between the day of their introduction and the day of their final passage. Each ordinance shall be read in full prior to the final passage of such ordinance, unless such reading shall be dispensed with by a vote of not less than a majority of the members elected to the Council, and not then unless there shall have been available for the consideration of each member of the Council and the public prior to the day of its final passage a written or printed copy of said ordinance. The yeas and nays shall be taken upon the passage of all ordinances and entered upon the journal of the proceedings of the Council. The yeas and nays shall be taken and entered upon the passage of all resolutions receiving a split vote, or upon the request of two or more members of the Council. The enacting clause of ordinances passed by the Council shall be "Be it ordained by the Council of The City of San Diego." The enacting clause of ordinances submitted by the initiative shall be "Be it ordained by the people of The City of San Diego."

Section 24. MAYOR.

The Mayor shall preside at the meetings of the Council and perform such other duties as may be prescribed by this Charter or as may be imposed by the Council, consistent with the duties of his office. He shall have no power of veto, but shall have a vote as a member of the Council. He shall be recognized as the official head of the City for all ceremonial purposes, by the courts for the purpose of serving civil process, for the signing of all legal instruments and documents, and by the Governor for military purposes. On or before the 15th day of January of each year, he shall communicate by message to the City Council a statement of the conditions and affairs of the City, and make recommendations on such matters as he may deem expedient and proper. In time of public danger or emergency, he may, with the consent of the Council, take command of the police, maintain order and enforce the law.

The rate of pay of the Mayor shall be \$12,000.00 per year.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in the office of the Mayor, existing by reason of any cause, the Council shall have authority to fill such vacancy, provided, however, that if the Council shall fail to fill such vacancy by appointment within thirty (30) days after the vacancy, the Council must immediately cause an election to be held to fill such vacancy. Any person appointed to fill such vacancy shall hold office only until the next regular municipal election, at which date a person shall be elected to serve for the remainder of such unexpired term.

Section 27. THE CITY MANAGER.

The Council shall elect a Manager under this Charter, who shall be the chief administrative officer of the City. The Manager shall be chosen by the Council solely on the basis of his proven administrative qualifications. The Manager need not, when elected, be a resident of the City or

State, but must be a citizen of the United States. He shall, upon his election, immediately become a resident of the City. No member of the Council shall, during the time for which he was elected, or for one (1) year thereafter, be eligible to hold the position of Manager. The Manager shall be elected for an indefinite term, but may be removed at the pleasure of the Council; provided, however, that the Manager shall not be removed unless a majority of the members of the Council shall vote in favor of such removal. Before the Manager may be removed he shall, if he shall so demand, be given a written statement of the reasons alleged for his removal and the right to be heard publicly thereon at a meeting of the Council prior to the final vote on the question of his removal, but pending and during such hearing the Council may suspend him from office. At least two weeks shall be given the Manager between notice and hearing for the preparation of his answer to the reasons for removal. The action of the Council in suspending or removing the Manager shall be final and conclusive on everyone, it being the intention of this Charter to vest all authority and fix all responsibility for such suspension or removal in the Council. He shall receive a salary to be fixed in the annual appropriation ordinance. The salary set in the appropriation ordinance shall not be reduced while the Manager holds office, but may be subject to increase by the Council at its discretion. The Manager shall designate one of his subordinates as Assistant Manager, who shall serve as Manager in case of the absence or disability of the Manager.

In the event of a vacancy in the office of City Manager, the Council shall fill the same within sixty (60) days after the vacancy occurs; provided, however, that it shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the Council to elect a person to the office of Manager.

Section 39. CITY AUDITOR AND COMPTROLLER.

The City Auditor and Comptroller shall be elected by the Council for an indefinite term and shall serve until his successor is elected and qualified. The City Auditor and Comptroller shall be the chief fiscal officer of the City. He shall exercise supervision over all accounts, and accounts shall be kept showing the financial transactions of all Departments of the City upon forms prescribed by him and approved by the City Manager and the Council. He shall submit to the City Manager and to the Council not later than the tenth day of each month a summary statement of revenues and expenses for the preceding month, detailed as to appropriations and funds in such manner as to show the exact financial condition of the City and of each Department, Division and office thereof. No contract, agreement, or other obligation for the expenditure of public funds shall be entered into by any officer of the City and no such contract shall be valid unless the Auditor and Comptroller shall certify in writing that there has been made an appropriation to cover the expenditure and that there remains a sufficient balance to meet the demand thereof. He shall perform the duties imposed upon City Auditors and Comptrollers by the laws of the State of California, and such other duties as may be imposed upon him by ordinances of the Council, but nothing shall prevent the Council from transferring to other officers matters in charge of the City Auditor and Comptroller which do not relate directly to the finances of the City. He shall prepare and submit to the City Manager such information as shall be required by the City Manager for the preparation of an annual budget. He shall appoint his subordinates subject to the Civil Service provisions of this Charter.

Section 41. COMMISSIONS.

The Mayor shall appoint, subject to the confirmation of the Council, members of the Funds Commission and Civil Service Commission. Not less than once each six months the presiding officers of each of the commissions established by the provisions of this Charter shall have a meeting for the purpose of coordinating mutual problems.

(a) FUNDS COMMISSION. This Commission shall have supervision and control over all trust, perpetuity, and investment funds of the City and such pension funds as shall be placed in its custody, and shall administer them subject to the laws of the State and ordinances of the Council. The membership of this Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the Council and shall be one bank official, two unclassified citizens, and the City Attorney and City Treasurer, ex-officio. They shall serve without compensation for terms of four years and until their successors are elected and qualified.

(b) CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION. This Commission shall have supervision over the selection, promotion and removal of all employees of the City subject to the Civil Service provisions of this Charter (Article VIII).

Section 42. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

The City Planning Commission shall be organized as provided by the laws of the State and have such powers and perform such duties as are prescribed by such laws. The duties of the Commission shall also include advising upon public buildings, bridges, retaining walls, approaches, park and harbor structures, the improvement of Pueblo lands and such other improvements as the Council may by ordinance determine. The Commission shall consist of seven (7) members appointed by the Mayor, subject to the confirmation of the Council. The City Engineer, and the City Attorney, or their designated representatives, shall be members ex-officio, but neither of said officers shall have a vote. The members of this Commission shall serve without compensation for terms of two years or until their successors are elected and appointed and qualified.

Section 43. ADVISORY BOARDS AND COMMITTEES.

(a) The City Council may by ordinance create and establish advisory boards. Such boards shall be advisory to the Mayor, Council or City Manager as may be designated by ordinance. All members of such boards shall be appointed by the Mayor with Council confirmation, and the terms of office of such members shall in no case exceed the elective term of the appointing Mayor. The members of such boards shall serve without compensation and it shall be their duty to consult and advise with the Mayor, Council or City Manager, as the case may be, but not to direct the conduct of any Department or Division.

(b) The Mayor, City Council or City Manager may create and establish citizens' committees. Such committees shall be created and established only for the purpose of advising on technical questions with clearly defined objectives, and shall be temporary in nature, and shall be dissolved upon the completion of the objectives for which they were created. Committee members shall serve without compensation.

Section 43.1. COMMISSIONS, BOARDS, COMMITTEES—APPOINTMENTS.

Whenever under the provisions of this Charter or ordinance the Mayor is vested with authority to nominate or appoint subject to confirmation of the Council the members of commissions, boards or committees, and the Council has failed or refused to confirm two successive nominations of the Mayor to a vacancy on such commission, board or committee, the nomination and appointment to fill such vacancy shall be by vote of the Council.

Section 66. BOARD OF EDUCATION.

The government of the San Diego Unified School District shall be vested in a Board of Education, composed of five members who shall be nominated and elected at the regular municipal primary elections and the general municipal elections at the same time and under the same procedure as the election of councilmen. Each candidate for the Board of Education shall have been a qualified voter of the San Diego Unified School District at least three years next preceding his election or appointment and shall have been an actual resident of the election district from which he was nominated for one year immediately preceding his election or appointment. The members shall serve for a term of four years, or until their successors are elected and qualified, except as herein provided. The present members of the Board shall serve out their unexpired terms. Any vacancy in the Board shall be filled by the Board of Education until the next municipal primary and general election, when a member shall be elected to fill the unexpired term. Each member shall receive a compensation of \$1,200.00 per annum, which compensation shall be paid by the San Diego Unified School District.

For the purpose of electing members of the Board of Education, the San Diego Unified School District shall be divided into five (5) districts as nearly equal in registered voter population as practicable. For the first primary and regular election held under this section, as amended, the boundaries of such election districts shall be established by the Board of Education as such Board existed on the effective date of the amendment to this section. Thereafter, the boundaries of such election districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this section. The Board of Education, by resolution, may change and alter the boundaries of the election districts and in the resolution may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said resolution.

The term of office of one of the three members of the Board of Education elected at the general municipal election in 1963 shall be extended for two (2) additional years. Thereafter, the term of the office so extended shall be four (4) years. The member whose term will thus be extended shall be chosen by lot prior to April 1, 1965, at a drawing conducted by the City Clerk, held at a public meeting of the Board of Education.

Section 69. FISCAL YEAR AND MANAGER'S ESTIMATE.

The fiscal year of the City shall begin with the first day of July and shall end with the next succeeding 30th day of June. On or before the first meeting in May of each year the Manager shall prepare and submit to the Council a budget of the expense of conducting the affairs of the City for the ensuing fiscal year. Departments not under the Manager shall submit their annual budget estimates to the Manager, or to such official as he may designate, and in such form as he shall require on or before April 15th for transmittal in proper form by the Manager to the Council. The budget shall include a summary outline of the fiscal policy of the City for the budget year, describing in connection therewith the important features of the budget plan; a general budget summary setting forth the aggregate figures of the budget in such manner as to show the balanced relations between the total proposed expenditures and the total anticipated income and other means of financing the budget for the ensuing year, contrasted with the corresponding figures for the current year. The classification of the estimate shall be as nearly uniform as possible for the main divisions of all Departments and shall furnish necessary detailed fiscal information.

The Council shall provide for printing a reasonable number of copies of the estimate thus prepared, for distribution to citizens at least fifteen days before final passage. Copies shall also be furnished to the newspapers of the City and to each library thereof which is open to the public.

Section 70. POWER TO FIX SALARIES.

The Council shall have the power to fix salaries of the City Manager, the City Clerk, the City Treasurer, the City Auditor and Comptroller, and all other officers under its jurisdiction. All members of Commissions shall serve without compensation except where otherwise provided by State law or this Charter. Except as otherwise provided by law the City Manager and other departmental heads outside of the departments under control of the City Manager shall have power to recommend salaries and wages subject to the personnel classification and the schedule of salaries fixed by the Civil Service Commission, of all other officers and employees within the total amount contained in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for personal service in each of the several departments of the City Government. All increases and decreases of salary or wages of officers and employees shall be determined at the time of the preparation and adoption of the budget, and no such increase or decrease shall be effective prior to the fiscal year for which the budget is adopted; provided, however, that if during any fiscal year, the Council should find and determine that because of a significant change in living costs, the salaries and wages fixed for such fiscal year are not comparable to the level of other salaries and wages of other public or private employments for comparable services and as a result, the best interests of the City are not being protected or are in jeopardy, said Legislative Body, upon recommendation of the Manager or other department head, and if funds are

available, may revise such salary and wage schedules to the extent necessary to protect the City's interests.

Section 71. PREPARATION AND PASSAGE OF ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

Upon receipt of the Manager's estimate the Council shall prepare an appropriation ordinance using such estimate as a basis. The form, arrangement and itemization of the appropriation ordinance shall be determined and prescribed by the Auditor and Comptroller, and City Attorney. Provisions shall be made by the Council for public hearings upon the appropriation ordinance either before a Committee of the Council or before the Council sitting as a committee of the whole. Following the public hearings the appropriation ordinances shall take the same course in the Council as other ordinances but shall not be passed before the first meeting of the Council in July. The Council may reduce or eliminate any item, may increase any amount or add any new item for personal services, contractual services, materials, supplies, and equipment for any Department. Upon final passage, the appropriation ordinance shall be published in the manner provided for the publication of other ordinances.

Section 81. ALLOTMENTS.

The Manager shall require the administrative heads of all Departments to submit to him, immediately after the adoption of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, a work program for the budget year, which program shall include all appropriations for operation and maintenance expenditures and for the acquisition of property and shall show the requested allotments of all appropriations by quarters for the entire year. The Manager shall review the requested allotments of each Department and if necessary revise, alter, or change such allotments before approving the same. The aggregate of such allotments shall not exceed the total appropriations available for the Department for the budget year. The Manager shall transmit a copy of the approved allotments to the Head of the Department and also a copy to the Auditor and Comptroller who shall authorize the expenditures to be made from the appropriations on the basis of such allotments, and not otherwise unless there shall be a balance carried over from a preceding allotment period or unless an additional appropriation or transfer has been made by the Council. The head of each Department may request the Manager to approve a revision of the work program at the beginning of any quarter during the fiscal year and such approval shall be transmitted to the Department Head and the Auditor and Comptroller. The Manager may require that each Department set up a reserve in the original allotments of at least five per cent of the total appropriation of the Department or Divisions thereof. At any time during the fiscal year, this reserve or any portion of it, may be returned by the Manager to the original appropriation to which it belongs and added to any allotment and any unused portion shall remain as an unexpended balance at the end of the budget period. The Council may provide for the creation of revolving funds or of seasonal expenditures for any Department and such funds shall not be subject to the allotment method of distribution.

Section 85. DAILY DEPOSITS OF MONEY.

All moneys received from taxes, licenses, fees, fines, penalties and forfeitures and all moneys which may be collected or received by any officer of the City in his official capacity, or from any Department of the City for the performance of any official duty and all moneys accruing to the City from any source and all moneys directed by law or by this Charter to be paid or deposited in the treasury, shall be paid into the treasury daily.

Section 88. MONTHLY REPORTS OF OFFICERS.

On the first day of each month every officer authorized by law to charge any fee, commission, percentage, allowance or compensation, must make a written report to the Auditor and Comptroller of all moneys received by him during the preceding month.

Section 89. MONTHLY STATEMENTS BY THE AUDITOR AND COMPTROLLER.

The Auditor and Comptroller shall prepare for submission to the Council, not later than the tenth day of each month, or when requested, a summary statement of revenues and expenses for the preceding month, detailed as to appropriations and funds in such manner as to show the exact financial condition of the City and of each Department and Division thereof as of the last day of the previous month.

Section 92. BORROWING MONEY ON SHORT TERM NOTES.

Bonds or notes may be issued in anticipation of the collection of special assessments, and bonds, notes, or registered warrants on the treasury may be issued in anticipation of the collection of taxes, as authorized by the City Council by resolution and shall not be deemed the creation of debt within the meaning of Section 90 of this Article. Bonds, notes or registered warrants on the treasury issued in anticipation of the collection of the taxes of any fiscal year may be issued during each fiscal year and each such bond, note, or warrant shall specify that it is payable out of the taxes of the fiscal year in which issued, and before the close of such year, and shall not bear a higher rate of interest than five (5) per cent per annum, and the total amount of such bonds, notes or warrants, authorized and issued in any fiscal year shall not, in the aggregate, be more than twenty-five (25) per cent of the total appropriations of the City for such year. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to authorize the incurring of an obligation against the municipality in excess of that authorized to be incurred by the Constitution of the State of California.

Section 103. FRANCHISES.

The Council shall have power to grant to any person, firm or corporation, franchises, and all renewals, extensions and amendments thereof, for the use of any public property under the jurisdiction of the City. Such grants shall be made by ordinance adopted by vote of five of the members of the Council and only after recommendations thereon have been made by the Manager and an opportunity for free and open competition and for public hearings have been given. No ordinance granting a franchise or a renewal, extension or amendment of an existing franchise shall be effective until thirty days after its passage, during which time it shall be subject to the referendum provisions of this Charter. No franchises shall be transferable except with the approval of the Council expressed by ordinance.

Section 115. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

There shall be a Civil Service Commission consisting of five members who shall be electors of the City. Not more than three members of the Commission shall be of the same sex. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall appoint the members of the Commission. The Commissioners so appointed shall draw lots for terms of one, two, three, four and five years, respectively. Thereafter members of the Commission shall be appointed to serve for five years and until their successors have been appointed and qualified. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall fill any vacancy. An appointment to fill a vacancy shall be for the unexpired term of the office being filled.

Members of the Civil Service Commission shall not hold any other office in City government.

The City Council may remove a member of the Civil Service Commission for cause by vote of at least five members of said Council, provided, however, that written charges shall first be made against such member and an opportunity afforded for a public hearing before the Council upon such charges.

Section 117. UNCLASSIFIED AND CLASSIFIED SERVICES.

Employment in the City shall be divided into the Unclassified and Classified Service.

(a) The Unclassified Service shall include:

1. All elective City Officers
2. Members of all boards and commissions
3. All department heads and one principal assistant or deputy in each department
4. One assistant to Mayor
5. City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and Assistants to the City Manager
6. City Clerk
7. Budget Officer
8. Purchasing Officer
9. Treasurer
10. All Assistant and Deputy City Attorneys
11. Industrial Coordinator
12. The Planning Director
13. A Confidential Secretary to the Mayor, City Council, City Manager, Police Chief, City Attorney
14. Officers and employees of San Diego Unified School District

15. Persons employed in positions for expert professional temporary service when such positions are exempted from the Classified Service for a specified period of temporary service by order of the Civil Service Commission

16. Interns including, but not limited to, Administrative Interns and Legal Interns, temporarily employed in regularly established training programs as defined in the job specifications of the City.

(b) The Classified Service shall include all positions not specifically included by this section in the Unclassified Service; provided, however, that the incumbents in the positions of the Planning Director and the Principal Assistant to the Planning Director on January 1, 1963 shall remain in the Classified Service until the respective positions are vacated by the incumbents.

Section 144. BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION.

The system shall be managed by a Board of Administration which is hereby created, consisting of the City Manager, City Auditor and Comptroller, the City Treasurer, three members of the Retirement system, to be elected from the active membership, a resident official of a life insurance company, an officer of a local bank and a citizen of the City, the latter three to be appointed by the Council. Such appointees shall serve without compensation. Members of the Board, other than ex-officio, shall serve six years or until their successors are elected and qualified, and shall so classify themselves by lot that one term shall expire each year. The members of the existing Board shall serve out their unexpired terms.

The Board of Administration may establish such rules and regulations as it may deem proper; shall elect one of its members president and appoint a secretary and may appoint such other employees as may be necessary. Such appointments, except the actuary, shall be made under the provisions of Article VIII of this Charter.

The Board of Administration shall be the sole authority and judge under such general ordinances as may be adopted by the Council as to the conditions under which persons may be admitted to benefits of any sort under the retirement system; and shall have exclusive control of the administration and investment of such fund or funds as may be established; and shall be permitted to invest

in any bonds or securities which are authorized by General Law for savings banks; and, further, shall be permitted to invest in such additional classes or types of investments as are approved by resolution of the Council of The City of San Diego; provided, however, that individual investments within the classes or types approved by the Council must be approved by independent investment counsel; and, provided, further, the board may place such funds in the hands of the Funds Commission for investment. Provided, however, that the Auditor and Comptroller shall refuse to allow any warrant drawn for payment of a retirement allowance if, in the opinion of the Auditor and Comptroller, such retirement allowance has been granted in contravention of this Article or any ordinances passed under the authority granted herein.

Section 211. OATH OF OFFICE.

Every officer or member of a Committee, Board or of a Commission of the City shall, before entering upon the duties of his office, take and subscribe to the following oath or affirmation to be filed and kept in the office of the City Clerk:

"I solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will obey the constitution and laws of the United States and of the State of California, that I will, in all respects, observe the provisions of this Charter and the ordinances of The City of San Diego and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of....."

Following each lettered proposition is detailed language which indicates the exact changes being made by that proposal.

PROPOSITION A

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION A. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT: AMEND THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO TO ADD THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT AS A PREAMBLE.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>It is the purpose of this Charter to provide a system of municipal government which builds on the best of the past while adapting to the challenges and problems of future growth and to the change which occurs inevitably with the passing of time. The government provided by this Charter should support the efforts of San Diego's residents to enhance their community's potential as a desirable place for work and leisure.</p> <p>This Charter seeks to blend the advantage of professional administration of government through the council-manager system with leadership in city policy-making firmly placed in the hands of officials selected by the citizens through democratic electoral machinery and responsive to the views of all segments of the community.</p>		<p>NO</p>

This proposition adds a Preamble to the Charter of The City of San Diego. The portions to be added are underlined

This proposition requires a majority vote.

PREAMBLE

It is the purpose of this Charter to provide a system of municipal government which builds on the best of the past while adapting to the challenges and problems of future growth and to the change which occurs inevitably with the passing of time. The government provided by this Charter should support the efforts of San Diego's residents to enhance their community's potential as a desirable place for work and leisure.

This Charter seeks to blend the advantage of professional administration of government through

the council-manager system with leadership in city policy-making firmly placed in the hands of officials selected by the citizens through democratic electoral machinery and responsive to the views of all segments of the community.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A

Governments usually have a "preamble" to provide a statement-of-purpose before setting forth provisions of a Constitution. The California and U.S. Constitutions are prefaced by a preamble. It's logical that our City Charter contain the preamble proposed by the Charter Review Committee. Please vote Yes on Proposition A.

MIKE SCHAEFER

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Our elected officials often preface their arguments in favor of modifying our Charter by claiming that the Charter is too wordy; that it should be in a neat, concise form.

The above preamble does nothing for the Charter. It was not needed in the past, and it is not required now.

It only adds bulk to this pamphlet, and will add more bulk to the Charter which currently consists of approximately 210 pages.

Vote NO on Proposition A.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION B

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION B. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTIONS 4, 5 AND 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.		
Amend Sections 4, 5 and 66 to apportion and redistrict Council and San Diego Unified School District districts on the basis of population rather than registered voters. Amend Section 4 to delete obsolete language which refers to the 1965 election. Amend Section 5 to require redistricting of City every five years instead of every ten years and provide that, insofar as practicable, redistricting shall not divide whole communities. Amend Section 66 to add a requirement that the Board of Education must hold a public hearing prior to redistricting the San Diego Unified School District election districts.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends Sections 4 and 5 and the second paragraph of Section 66 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 4. DISTRICTS ESTABLISHED.

For the purpose of electing members of the Council the City shall be divided into eight Districts as nearly equal in registered voter population as practicable. ~~For the municipal primary and general election in 1965, the boundaries of the eight councilmanic districts shall be established by the City Council as such Council was elected at the municipal election in 1963. Thereafter +~~ The boundaries of such districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this Charter.

In any ordinance adopted by the Council establishing, changing or altering the boundaries of any councilmanic district the ordinance may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on

file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said ordinance.

Section 5. REDISTRICTING.

In the event that any voting precinct which may be established at the time this Charter takes effect or which may be thereafter established is partly within two or more such districts, said precinct shall be allocated to the District in which a majority of the voters within such precinct resides, and said district boundaries shall be changed accordingly by an ordinance of the Council. The City shall be redistricted by ordinance for the purpose of maintaining approximate equality of registered voting population, at least once in every ten five (5) years, but shall not be redistricted within four years after any such redistricting.

Any territory hereafter annexed to or consolidated with The City of San Diego shall at the time of such annexation or consolidation be added to an adjacent District or Districts by an ordinance of the Council, provided, that if any territory annexed or consolidated at any one time shall contain qualified voters population sufficient to upset the approximate equality of the established districts, the Council shall at least sixty days before an election after such annexation or consolidation redistrict the City regardless of the time limitation of four years heretofore mentioned.

In any redistricting, the districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory and made as equal in registered voters population as shown by the registration records practicable, and as geographically compact as possible practicable, and the districts so formed shall, as far as possible practicable, be bounded by natural boundaries, by street lines and/or by City boundary lines, and insofar as practicable shall not divide whole communities.

Section 66. BOARD OF EDUCATION. (2nd paragraph)

For the purpose of electing members of the Board of Education, the San Diego Unified School District shall be divided into five (5) districts as nearly equal in registered voter population as practicable. ~~For the first primary and regular election held under this section, as amended, the boundaries of such election districts shall be established by the Board of Education as such Board existed on the effective date of the amendment to this section. Thereafter,~~ The boundaries of such election districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this section. The Board of Education, by resolution, may after public hearing change and alter the boundaries of the election districts and in the resolution may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said resolution.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

The County, State and Federal legislatures divide their election districts on the basis of people—each district is expected to contain approximately the same number of citizens.

The City Council and School Board presently draw election districts so that each district contains approximate equality in numbers of voters. This is different than approximate equality of people.

Some areas have many voters. Other areas have few voters because of age, transient population, and lack of voter registration efforts. All citizens use City and School Board services, and telephone or write their officials on public matters. Presently one councilman has about 60,000 people in the district while two others have approximately 100,000 citizens—yet each councilman has about 31,000 registered voters. Let's redraw the election districts so that each representative, Councilman or School Board member has approximately the same number of people to serve. Congressmen, State Assemblymen and Senators, and County Supervisors follow this procedure. I think it's a better way for Councilmen and School Board districts to be determined, and recommend its approval. The amendment also requires redrawing of districts every 5 years instead of every 10 years. We're a fast-growing city. This makes good sense too. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

MIKE SCHAEFER

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

While it is noteworthy that archaic language will be removed from Section 4, we should take more interest in the subtle changes to be made in Sections 5 and 66.

The existing Sections base redistricting on the number of registered voters in each District. At present, redistricting can be accomplished as often as once every four years for the Council Districts, and more frequently in the school districts.

Since the records listing registered voters are always available locally, no great expense is entailed under the present system.

By basing the redistricting on population count, we make it necessary to take a population census at least once every five years. In order to achieve a fairly accurate census, a great expenditure in funds would be necessary to pay the census takers.

The present method, the least expensive method, assures that those who are interested enough to be registered voters will receive their fair representation in our City governments.

Vote NO on Proposition B.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION C

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION C. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT, AMEND SECTIONS 7 AND 12 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Amend Section 7 to provide that the three year residency requirement for elective office relates to "taking office" rather than "election or appointment" and eliminate obsolete language referring to 1965 councilmanic election. Amend Section 12 to specify a definite time of 10 a.m. for taking office; provide that City Council may determine by lot which councilman shall represent each district when redistricting occurs; add a paragraph waiving the one-year residency requirement in a district if redistricting takes place affecting persons residing in affected districts contiguous to the redistricted areas so that those persons will be eligible to become candidates.	NO	

This proposition amends Section 7, and the second paragraph of Section 12 and adds a new paragraph to be inserted between the second and third paragraphs of Section 12 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 7. ELECTIVE OFFICERS.

No person shall be eligible to or hold any elective office of the City, either by election or appointment, unless he shall have been a resident and elector thereof for at least three years next preceding his ~~election or appointment taking office.~~

In addition to the foregoing qualifications, every Councilman must have been at the time of his ~~election taking office,~~ or appointment in the event of a vacancy, an actual resident in the district from which he was nominated for one year immediately preceding his ~~election or appointment taking office.~~ Any Councilman who moves from the district of which he was a resident at the time of his ~~election or appointment taking office~~ forfeits his office, but no Councilman shall forfeit his office as a result of redistricting; ~~provided, however, that the one year's residency requirement established by the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to the first councilmanic election following the amendment of Section 4 of Article II of this Charter.~~

Section 12. THE COUNCIL. (2nd paragraph)

Councilmen, including the Mayor, shall be elected at a general municipal election held in the odd-numbered years and, except as hereinafter provided, shall hold office for the term of four years from and after 10 a.m. the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding their election and until their successors are elected and qualified. Upon any redistricting pursuant to the provisions of this Charter, incumbent councilmen will continue to represent the district in which they reside, unless as a result of such redistricting more than one incumbent councilman resides within any one district, in which case the City Council shall may determine by lot which councilman shall represent each district. At the next municipal primary and general elections following a redistricting, councilmen shall be elected from those districts not represented and from those districts represented by incumbent councilmen whose terms expire as of the general election in said year. If as a result of any redistricting more than a simple majority of the City Council as redistricted shall be elected at the general election next following any such redistricting, the City Council prior to any such election shall designate one or more new districts for which the initial councilmanic term shall be two (2) years in order to retain staggered terms for councilmen.

(New paragraph to be inserted between second and third paragraphs)

The one-year district residency requirement of Section 7 of this Charter shall not apply to a person, herein called "prospective candidate," who seeks to be a candidate for election or appointment to the office of councilman and is otherwise eligible for the office, if all of the following conditions apply: a redistricting has occurred less than one year before prospective candidate, if elected or appointed, would take office; as a result of the redistricting, the district of prospective candidate's residence has changed to a district in which no councilmanic election will be held at the next succeeding municipal election; prior to filing his candidacy for election or appointment, prospective candidate moves his residence into a district bordering upon the district into which prospective candidate's residence has been placed by the redistricting.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

Proposition C is a non-controversial "housekeeping" measure which clarifies existing Charter language by replacing ambiguous language and by eliminating obsolete language which refers to prior elections. The passage of Proposition C would also protect potential candidates from being arbitrarily "redistricted" out of an opportunity to run for public office.

Vote YES on Proposition C.

DAN NICHOLAS, Chairman
Businessmen for Better Government

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION D

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION D. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 10 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

Amend Section 10 to provide for both nomination and election of Council members by district rather than by present system of nomination by district and election by voters of whole city; clarify language by substituting word "day" for word "Monday" in first paragraph and deleting words "all of" in fifth paragraph; provide in last paragraph that the required oath be taken prior to taking office and delete requirement of filing an official bond as one is not required.

YES

NO

This proposition amends Section 10 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 10. ELECTIONS.

The regular municipal primary election shall be held on the third Tuesday in September in each odd-numbered year, and the general municipal election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first ~~Monday~~ day in November of the same year, or, if either of these days falls on a legal holiday, then the election shall be held on the next succeeding day which is not a legal holiday. All other municipal elections which may be held under this ~~Charter~~ shall be known as special municipal elections.

At the municipal primary election there shall be chosen by the ~~electors~~ registered voters of each Council District two candidates for the office of any councilman from a district whose term expires the succeeding December. ~~(paragraph)~~ There shall be chosen by all of the ~~electors~~ registered voters of the City not more than twice the number of candidates necessary to fill any office of any other officer whose term expires the succeeding December. ~~(paragraph)~~ However, in the event that any candidate, other than council candidates, for nomination to any office for which only one person is to be elected, shall receive a majority of the votes cast for all the candidates for nomination to such office at such primary election, the candidate so receiving such majority of all votes shall be deemed to be, and declared by the Council to be, elected to such office.

In the event that no candidate shall have received a majority of the votes cast for all the candidates for nomination to such office at such primary election, then ~~at the general municipal election the electors registered voters of the whole City~~ each respective council district shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in ~~each~~ that district one candidate for the office of ~~the each~~ Councilman whose term expires the succeeding December, and there shall be chosen by ~~all of the electors~~ registered voters of the whole City from among the candidates chosen at the primary one candidate to succeed any other elective officer whose term expires in December succeeding the election.

After the result of an election for any office is declared, or when an appointment is made, the City Clerk, under his hand and official seal, shall issue a certificate therefor, and shall deliver the same immediately to the person elected or appointed, and such person must ~~within ten days after receiving such certificate file his official bond, if one be required for his office, and prior to taking office~~ take and subscribe to the oath of office required of him by this Charter, which oath must be filed with the City Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

This is a time of change. People question where they formerly accepted and desire immediate and personal involvement in all matters that concern their lives, including the impact of government upon it.

Accordingly, it is of fundamental importance that persons within the various councilmanic districts have full and complete confidence in the fact that our system gives them a real voice in our city government. This confidence will be helped if Councilmen are nominated and elected by their respective districts. In this way each district can be assured of the representative selected by it and not by other portions of our community with perhaps diverse interests, and their representative can feel free to speak up for the particular needs of his district without fear of community-wide

disapprovals. This system has proved effective and democratic for the election of our Congressmen, Board of Supervisor members and state legislators and will work well in our city.

HAMILTON MARSTON

NORMAN T. SELTZER

La Jolla, California 92037

Vice Chairman, Representation Subcommittee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

San Diego, California 92115

Chairman, Representation Subcommittee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

The present system of nomination of Councilmen by District and election at large insures that each District **must** be represented by a resident from that District, yet also motivates each Councilman to be responsive to the needs of all City voters.

Under the present system all City voters may confidently express their views to any one or all 8 Councilmen, since each Councilman is also responsible to the voters of all 8 Districts.

Nomination and election of Councilmen from each District could easily lead to a Councilman's concern for only the pressures from his own District, and the development of ward politics and vote trading to the detriment of the total City's needs. **We don't need this type of city politics in San Diego.**

Under the present system since 1931 San Diego has been relatively free from graft and corruption, and has kept pace with growing municipal service needs of an expanding City. In fact, San Diego has twice been named an "All America" City.

The present system is a good balance, eliminating the disadvantages of total nomination and election by District, or total nomination and election at large. **VOTE "NO" ON PROPOSITION D.**

HARRY STANDEFER, Member
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

NORMAN DENNSTEDT, Member
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

PROPOSITION E

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION E. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 12 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Amend fourth paragraph of Section 12 to provide that Council shall vacate the seat of councilman in event of prolonged absence which is unexcused.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the fourth paragraph of Section 12 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 12. THE COUNCIL. (4th paragraph)

It is the duty of councilmen to attend all Council meetings. ~~The absence-Council shall vacate the seat of any councilman who is absent from eight (8) consecutive meetings or fifty percent (50%) of any scheduled meetings within a month shall operate to vacate the seat of such councilman,~~ unless the absence thereof is excused by resolution of the Council.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION E

It's a Councilman's duty to tend to City business and participate fully in Council sessions. This change requires the Council to vote a Councilman out of office if he misses too many sessions without proper excused leave. Presently the Councilman automatically loses his post. I feel that our

elected representatives should have the authority to evaluate the reasons for a prolonged absence, and then act to excuse or oust the Councilman involved, rather than have the seat become automatically vacant. Please vote Yes on Proposition E.

MIKE SCHAEFER

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

This measure does nothing more than reword an existing paragraph without changing its effect. The existing Section provides that the seat will be vacated automatically under those conditions outlined in the Charter amendment. Does it make any difference whether the seat is vacated automatically or vacated personally by the Council? The offending Councilman will be out!

The existing language of Section 12 gives this matter full consideration and does not require amendment.

Do not condone or help perpetuate this useless generation of paperwork.

Vote NO on Proposition E.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION F

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION F. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. ADD NEW SECTION 41.1 AND AMEND SECTIONS 12 AND 24 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Add new Section 41.1 establishing a five-member Legislative Salary Review Commission, to be appointed by Civil Service Commission, which shall make recommendations concerning the rates of pay for the Mayor and members of the Council on or before March 1 of each even-numbered year and providing that the Council may adopt rates of pay for the Mayor and Council by an ordinance having a ninety-day referendum period which is subject to the additional conditions that the rates of pay established shall not exceed the recommendations made by the Legislative Salary Review Commission and all councilmen shall receive the same rate of pay. Amend Sections 12 and 24 to provide that current rates of pay established for Councilmen and Mayor shall be effective until the effective date of the first salary ordinance adopted pursuant to new Section 41.1.		NO

This proposition amends the first paragraph of Section 12 and the second paragraph of Section 24 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by adding new provisions, and by adding a new Section 41.1 to the Charter. The portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 12. THE COUNCIL. (5th paragraph)

The rate of pay of each Councilman shall be Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) a year until the effective date of the first salary ordinance adopted pursuant to the provisions of Section 41.1 of this Charter; thereafter the salary of Councilmen shall be established pursuant to Section 41.1 of this Charter.

Section 24. MAYOR. (2nd paragraph)

The rate of pay of the Mayor shall be Twelve Thousand Dollars (\$12,000.00) per year until the effective date of the first salary ordinance adopted pursuant to the provisions of Section 41.1 of this

Charter; thereafter the salary of the Mayor shall be established pursuant to Section 41.1 of this Charter.

Section 41.1. LEGISLATIVE SALARY REVIEW COMMISSION. (New Section)

The Legislative Salary Review Commission shall make recommendations to the City Council concerning the rates of pay for the Mayor and members of the Council. Said Commission shall consist of five (5) members appointed by the Civil Service Commission. The members shall be electors of the City and shall not hold any other office or employment in City government.

Except as otherwise provided herein, terms of the members of the Commission shall be for four (4) years and until their successors have been appointed and qualified; provided, however, that no member shall serve more than two (2) full consecutive terms and an interval of one (1) full term shall have elapsed before any member may be reappointed to the Commission.

The first members of the Commission so appointed shall draw lots so that two members serve a four (4)-year term; one member serves a three (3)-year term; and two members serve a two (2)-year term. Any appointment to fill a vacancy shall be for the unexpired term.

The Commission shall make its recommendations to the City Council on or before March 1 of each even-numbered year. Pursuant to these recommendations, the Council shall adopt rates of pay for the Mayor and members of the Council; provided, however, that said rates of pay shall not exceed the amounts recommended by the Commission and all members of the Council shall receive the same rate of pay. No ordinance establishing rates of pay for the Mayor and members of the Council shall be effective until ninety (90) days after its passage, during which time it shall be subject to the referendum provisions of this Charter.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION F

Inclusion in the City Charter of specific salaries for Mayor and members of the City Council places an absolutely rigid and arbitrary limitation on what we pay these important City officials.

Salaries of \$5,000 for City Councilmen and \$12,000 for the Mayor are completely unrealistic in view of the fact that citizens make demands on these officials which require them to put in full time on their municipal assignments. The longer we pay these poor salaries, the more we run the risk of getting inferior and incompetent officials to direct the operation of an enterprise with an annual budget of 116 million dollars.

Proposition F would place the salary setting authority in the hands of a five-member Legislative Salary Review Commission appointed by the Civil Service Commission.

The Legislative Salary Review Commission would be made up of responsible citizens who could be entrusted to recommend a salary figure commensurate with the heavy responsibilities of serving as Mayor and members of the City Council.

The City Council could **not** approve salaries higher than those recommended by the Legislative Salary Review Commission. Any salary ordinance adopted by the Council would not go into effect for 90 days, giving extra time for a referendum drive against the ordinance if the public disapproves. **Thus, adequate safeguards are contained in the proposition.**

The proposed amendment to take specific salaries out of the Charter was not suggested by the Mayor or any member of the City Council. It originated within the Charter Review Committees. **Vote YES on Proposition F for good policy leadership.**

CLAYTON A. BRACE, President
San Diego Chamber of Commerce
IVOR deKIRBY, Chairman
Administrative Agencies Sub-Committee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ROBERT F. WILCOX, Chairman
Citizens' Charter Review Convention
PAUL E. LEYTON, President
San Diego Taxpayers Association

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F

While pretending to give lip service to the principles of democracy, the mayor and city council members are engaged in a campaign of deceit and deception in an effort to deny the citizen his right to vote on a fundamental issue; namely, the right of the master (the citizen) to set the pay scale for his servant.

They hope to do this by a sly maneuver; that is, to set up a "Salary Review Committee" that is answerable only to themselves. This enables the mayor and city council to set their own salaries. The people of San Diego have made it abundantly clear in recent elections that they do not favor higher salaries for the mayor or council members. San Diego enjoys, in its city manager form of government, a superior type of municipal management. We pay the manager \$39,000 a year to run the city. Why build up opposition for him by increasing the pay and power of the mayor and city council?

Demand your right to vote on basic issues! Vote "NO"!

RIM OWNERS' PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION
R. I. MINSON, President

PROPOSITION G

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION G. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 16 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Amend Section 16 to change the present six-calendar day minimum period between introduction and passage of nonemergency ordinances to twelve-calendar days.	NO	

This proposition amends Section 16 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 16. INTRODUCTION AND PASSAGE OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS.

Ordinances shall be introduced in the Council only in written or printed form. All ordinances, except annual appropriation ordinances and ordinances codifying or re-arranging existing ordinances, shall be confined to one subject, and the subject or subjects of all ordinances shall be clearly expressed in the title. The annual appropriation ordinance, any ordinance calling or relating to elections, ordinances recommended by the City Manager or other independent department heads transferring or appropriating moneys already appropriated by the annual appropriation ordinance, ordinances establishing or changing the grade of a public highway, and emergency ordinances as defined by Section 17 of this Charter, may be passed by the Council on the day of their introduction. Other ordinances, however, shall be passed only after ~~six (6)~~ twelve (12) calendar days have elapsed between the day of their introduction and the day of their final passage. Each ordinance shall be read in full prior to the final passage of such ordinance, unless such reading shall be dispensed with by a vote of not less than a majority of the members elected to the Council, and not then unless there shall have been available for the consideration of each member of the Council and the public prior to the day of its final passage a written or printed copy of said ordinance. The yeas and nays shall be taken upon the passage of all ordinances and entered upon the journal of the proceedings of the Council. The yeas and nays shall be taken and entered upon the passage of all resolutions receiving a split vote, or upon the request of two or more members of the Council. The enacting clause of ordinances passed by the Council shall be "Be it ordained by the Council of The City of San Diego." The enacting clause of ordinances submitted by the initiative shall be "Be it ordained by the people of The City of San Diego."

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION G

Extension of the minimum period from 6 to 12 days between introduction and passage of non-emergency ordinances by the San Diego City Council would provide greater opportunity for citizens to make known their position on the proposed ordinance.

The present six calendar day period does not provide enough time for individuals and groups to be informed of the action and to make their reactions known to the Council.

Practice indicates that the local press announcement of the introduction of a proposed ordinance is the first indication the general public has of a proposed action. The present six day limit restricts their opportunity to voice their concern.

This proposed change was unanimously approved by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention, and the extension of time proposed is more in keeping with time periods established by other governmental bodies.

Vote YES on Proposition G.

CDR. TED GAVETT, U.S.N. Ret.
Member
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION G

The Citizens Board of Freeholders, in drafting the 1931 City Charter, wisely included a provision that each non-emergency ordinance should be considered twice by the City Council. They further provided that a period of one week (six calendar days) should elapse between the two considerations. The Freeholders felt that the one-week period was necessary to insure that the public would be given an adequate opportunity to submit to the Council questions or comments regarding proposed ordinances.

Proposition G would extend the six-day waiting period between considerations to twelve days, apparently in the belief that the additional time would provide for a more considered review of the proposed legislation.

The existing procedure has worked very well for the past 38 years and has withstood "the test of time". To extend the six-day period to twelve days would only result in postponing the adoption date of ordinances for an additional week. This would result in inconvenience to the public without any offsetting benefit to either the public or City Council. Please help us avoid the addition of this "red tape" provision to the City Charter. Please vote NO on Proposition G.

MIKE SCHAEFER
City Councilman

ALLEN HITCH
City Councilman

HENRY LANDT
City Councilman

PROPOSITION H

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION H. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 24 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

Amend first paragraph of Section 24 to identify the Mayor as the political and legislative head of the City; assign responsibility to the Mayor for human relations leadership; require the Mayor to develop an annual municipal legislative program for presentation to the Council; and authorizing the Mayor to develop and propose to the Council other legislative proposals from time to time.

YES

NO

This proposition amends the first paragraph of Section 24 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by adding new provisions. The portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 24. MAYOR. (1st paragraph)

The Mayor shall preside at the meetings of the Council and perform such other duties as may be prescribed by this Charter or as may be imposed by the Council, consistent with the duties of his office. He shall have no power of veto, but shall have a vote as a member of the Council. He shall be recognized as the official head of the City for all ceremonial purposes, by the courts for the purpose of serving civil process, for the signing of all legal instruments and documents, and by the Governor for military purposes. On or before the 15th day of January of each year, he shall communicate by message to the City Council a statement of the conditions and affairs of the City, and make recommendations on such matters as he may deem expedient and proper. Subject to the other provisions of this Charter: the Mayor shall be recognized as the political and legislative head of the City; he shall provide human relations leadership; he shall develop an annual municipal legislative program for presentation to the Council; and he is authorized to develop and propose to the Council other legislative proposals from time to time. In time of public danger or emergency, he may, with the consent of the Council, take command of the police, maintain order and enforce the law.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION H

Americans traditionally have looked to chief executives for leadership, both in private enterprise and in government. They expect to have the right to accept or reject specific aspects of that leadership. Proposition H seeks to define a leadership position for the one municipal official who is nominated and elected by the electorate at large, the Mayor. San Diego, as a large, growing and dynamic city, needs an elected official who will define its most urgent, urban problems and formulate proposed solutions for them.

Council-Manager government has served San Diego well. Under the policy leadership of our Mayor and Councilmen, the office of City Manager has provided efficient services for the citizens, without taint of corruption, while adapting flexibly to the needs of a rapidly growing community.

The position of the Mayor, as defined in the City Charter, needs to be revised to provide him with better tools for the exercise of community leadership. If his proposals are sound and meet with general approval, they can be adopted for the benefit of the City. If they are unsound, they can be rejected by the Council and, if necessary, by vote of the people in a referendum election.

The formulation of a position by the Mayor on each key issue can sharpen debate and encourage acceptance or rejection on the basis of the merits of the proposal. He would have specific responsibility to provide leadership in bringing together varying points of view within the community on solutions to problems in such crucial areas as human relations, municipal services and the financing of municipal functions.

Proposition H provides for community leadership, subject to democratic safeguards.

ROBERT F. WILCOX, Chairman
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H

This proposal, if adopted, radically changes our form of city government. The Mayor becomes the "political and legislative head" of the City, and he is supposed to provide "human relations leadership"—whatever that is.

Since 1911, California city politics have been nonpartisan. Local elected officials do not run as "Democrats" or "Republicans" or under other party labels. We vote for the man, not his politics.

The "political head" of many Eastern cities is the local party boss who follows the motto "to the victors belong the spoils". We don't need a "political head" of the City of San Diego. Our Mayor should be a man we elect to office without regard to politics. A man who does the job without fear, without favor, and without politics.

The City Council is now the "legislative head" of the City. This Charter change would force a mayor to run a slate of hand-picked Council candidates who would follow his legislative leadership. Again, this leads to "bossism" in City Hall.

"Human relations leadership" is a high-sounding phrase that is empty of meaning unless coupled with power to do the job. This would require the Mayor to direct Police Department activities in this sensitive area and assume control of programs now carried out by the City Manager under City Council guidance. Yet, other sections of the Charter expressly prohibit him from exercising such powers.

This Proposition is vague, ambiguous and unclear. It weakens the City Council and City Manager and does not add real power to the office of the Mayor. Vote No on Proposition H.

ED BUTLER, Chairman,
Citizens for Sensible Charter Change.

PROPOSITION I

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION I. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 27 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Amend Section 27 to increase from sixty to ninety days the time within which the Council must fill a vacancy in the office of City Manager and provide that if the Council does not fill the vacancy within ninety days then said vacancy shall be filled by the Mayor, and deleting unnecessary language.</p>	<p>NO</p>	

This proposition amends Section 27 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 27. THE CITY MANAGER.

The Council shall elect a Manager under this Charter, who shall be the chief administrative officer of the City. The Manager shall be chosen by the Council solely on the basis of his proven administrative qualifications. The Manager need not, when elected, be a resident of the City or State, but must be a citizen of the United States. He shall, upon his election, immediately become a resident of the City. No member of the Council shall, during the time for which he was elected, or for one (1) year thereafter, be eligible to hold the position of Manager. The Manager shall be elected for an indefinite term, but may be removed at the pleasure of the Council; provided, however, that the Manager shall not be removed unless a majority of the members of the Council shall vote in favor of such removal. Before the Manager may be removed he shall, if he shall so demand, be given a written statement of the reasons alleged for his removal and the right to be heard publicly thereon at a meeting of the Council prior to the final vote on the question of his removal, but pending and during such hearing the Council may suspend him from office. At least two weeks shall be given the Manager between notice and hearing for the preparation of his answer to the reasons for removal. The action of the Council in suspending or removing the Manager shall be final and conclusive on everyone, it being the intention of this Charter to vest all authority and fix all responsibility for such suspension or removal in the Council. He shall receive a salary to be fixed in the annual appropriation ordinance. The salary set in the appropriation ordinance shall not be reduced while the Manager holds office, but may be subject to increase by the Council at its discretion. The Manager shall designate one of his subordinates as Assistant Manager, who shall serve as Manager in case of the absence or disability of the Manager.

In the event of a vacancy in the office of the City Manager, the Council shall fill the same

within sixty (60) ninety (90) days after the vacancy occurs; provided, however, that it shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the Council to elect a person to the office of Manager if the Council does not fill the vacancy within ninety (90) days then said vacancy shall be filled by the Mayor.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION I

The present Charter provides that the Council shall appoint a Manager within sixty (60) days after the office becomes vacant. It does not state what will happen if the Council has not acted by the end of that period. This proposed revision clarifies the situation by providing an alternate method of appointment if the Council does not act within ninety (90) days. In this way, the possibility of leaving the office of Manager vacant for long periods is reduced.

Vote YES on Proposition I.

JEFFERSON STICKNEY, Member
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION I

This Proposition illustrates another effort to increase the powers of the Mayor's office, to place it in administrative control of the City government.

Under existing law, a majority of the Council (of which the Mayor is a voting member without power of veto) can elect a new City Manager. They have sixty days after the Manager leaves office (plus any notice of termination he submitted before leaving) in which to find a new candidate. Is the salary (\$40,764.00) so low or our climate so bad that qualified candidates from throughout the country would show no interest?

The important change to the Charter appears in the final paragraph. It, in effect, gives the Mayor a power of veto.

At present, the Council consists of eight City Councilmen and the Mayor, each with an equal vote.

If the Councilmen were unable to agree between two qualified candidates and the vote split 4-4, the Mayor could avoid voting until the time limit expired and then appoint the man of his choice—and not necessarily one of those considered by the Council.

Besides increasing the power of the Mayor, this change to the Charter could result in the City Manager's position becoming one of political patronage.

Vote NO on Proposition I.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION J

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION J. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. REPEAL SECTIONS 42 AND 43.1 AND AMEND SECTIONS 41, 43, 115 AND 144 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.</p>	YES	
<p>Places provisions concerning Planning Commission (Section 42) in Section 41 relating to Commissions. Places provisions concerning appointment of Commission, Board and Committee Members (Section 43.1) in Section 41 and Section 43 relating to Advisory Boards and Committees. Amend Sections 41, 43, 115 and 144 to provide that: commissioners' terms expire during different years; commission, board and committee members' terms may extend beyond elective term of appointing Mayor; Planning Commissioners serve four-year instead of two-year terms; commissioners are limited to two full terms with one term intervening before reappointment with this provision applying to anyone who has served two full consecutive terms by January 1972; advisory board members are limited to eight consecutive years in office with four years intervening before reappointment; Funds and Planning Commissioners may be removed for cause by majority of Council; Council appoints board and Committee members if Mayor fails to appoint within 45 days; Council may remove board and committee members by majority vote; requirement that citizens' committees be limited to advising on technical questions be deleted; requirement that one member of Retirement Board be resident official of a life insurance company be deleted.</p>	NO	

This proposition amends Sections 41, 43, 115 and the first paragraph of Section 144, and repeals Sections 42 and 43.1 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 41. COMMISSIONS.

The Mayor shall appoint, subject to the confirmation of the Council, members of the ~~Funds Commission and Civil Service Commission~~ all commissions established pursuant to this section. Whenever the Mayor does not appoint a member within forty-five (45) days after a vacancy occurs, the Council shall make such appointment. The commissioners shall be limited to two (2) full consecutive terms, with one (1) term intervening before they become eligible for reappointment; and this provision shall apply to anyone who has served two (2) full consecutive terms by January 1972. The terms of commissioners may extend beyond the elective term of the appointing Mayor. The Mayor shall fill, subject to the confirmation of the Council, any vacancy and such appointment shall be for the unexpired term of the office being filled. The City Council may remove a member of the Civil Service Commission for cause by vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council. However, before the Council may remove a member of the Civil Service Commission, written charges shall be made against the commission member and an opportunity afforded for public hearing before

the Council upon such charges. The City Council may remove members of all other commissions established pursuant to this section for cause by vote of a majority of the members of the Council. Not less than once each six months the presiding officers of each of the commissions established by the provisions of this Charter shall have a meeting for the purpose of coordinating mutual problems.

(a) FUNDS COMMISSION. This Commission shall have supervision and control over all trust, perpetuity, and investment funds of the City and such pension funds as shall be placed in its custody, and shall administer them subject to the laws of the State and ordinances of the Council. The membership of this Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the Council and shall be one bank official, two unclassified citizens, and the City Attorney and City Treasurer, ex-officio. They shall serve without compensation for terms of four (4) years and until their successors are elected and qualified. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, appointments shall be made so that not more than one (1) term of office shall expire in any year.

(b) CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION. ~~This Commission shall have supervision over the selection, promotion and removal of all employees of the City subject to the Civil Service provisions of this Charter (Article VIII). (The preceding language listed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE was moved to Section 115 in its entirety.)~~

(The following language was moved to this section from Section 115.) There shall be a Civil Service Commission consisting of five members who shall be electors of the City. Not more than three members of the Commission shall be of the same sex. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall appoint the members of the Commission. ~~The Commissioners so appointed shall draw lots for terms of one, two, three, four and five years, respectively. Thereafter~~ The members of the Commission shall be appointed to serve for five years and until their successors have been appointed and qualified. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, appointments shall be made so that not more than one (1) term of office shall expire in any year. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall fill any vacancy. ~~An appointment to fill a vacancy shall be for the unexpired term of the office being filled.~~

Members of the Civil Service Commission shall not hold any other office in City government. ~~The City Council may remove a member of the Civil Service Commission for cause by vote of at least five members of said Council, provided, however, that written charges shall first be made against such member and an opportunity afforded for a public hearing before the Council upon such charges.~~

(The following subsection formerly appeared under Section 42.)

(c) CITY PLANNING COMMISSION. The City Planning Commission shall be organized as provided by the laws of the State and have such powers and perform such duties as are prescribed by such laws. The duties of the Commission shall also include advising upon public buildings, bridges, retaining walls, approaches, park and harbor structures, the improvement of Pueblo lands and such other improvements as the Council may by ordinance determine. The Commission shall consist of seven (7) members appointed by the Mayor, subject to the confirmation of the Council. The City Engineer, and the City Attorney, or their designated representatives, shall be members ex-officio, but neither of said officers shall have a vote. The members of this Commission shall serve without compensation for terms of ~~two~~ four years ~~or~~ and until their successors are elected and appointed and qualified.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, appointments to this Commission shall be made so that not more than three (3) members are appointed in any one year. To accomplish this, initial appointments to this Commission, after the effective date of this amendment, shall be made so as to provide that the terms of office of two (2) members shall be for four (4) years; two (2) members for three (3) years; two (2) members for two (2) years; and one (1) member for one (1) year.

Section 43. ADVISORY BOARDS AND COMMITTEES.

(a) The City Council may by ordinance create and establish advisory boards. Such boards shall be advisory to the Mayor, Council or City Manager as may be designated by ordinance. All members of such boards shall be appointed by the Mayor with Council confirmation, and the terms of office of such members ~~shall in no case exceed~~ may extend beyond the elective term of the appointing Mayor. The members of such boards shall serve without compensation and it shall be their duty to consult and advise with the Mayor, Council or City Manager, as the case may be, but not to direct the conduct of any Department or Division. Members of such advisory boards shall be limited to a maximum of eight (8) consecutive years in office and an interval of four (4) years must pass before such persons can be reappointed.

(b) The Mayor, City Council or City Manager may create and establish citizens' committees. Such committees shall be created and established only for the purpose of advising on technical questions with clearly defined objectives, and shall be temporary in nature, and shall be dissolved upon the completion of the objectives for which they were created. Committee members shall serve without compensation.

(c) Whenever under the provisions of this Charter or ordinance the Mayor is vested with authority to appoint the members of boards or committees and does not take such action within forty-five (45) days after the board or committee has been established or a vacancy occurs, then the Council shall make such appointments. The Council may remove committee and board members by vote of a majority of the members of the Council.

Section 115. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION. *(The following language listed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE was moved to Section 41 (b).)*

~~There shall be a Civil Service Commission consisting of five members who shall be electors of the City. Not more than three members of the Commission shall be of the same sex. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall appoint the members of the Commission. The Commissioners so appointed shall draw lots for terms of one, two, three, four and five years, respectively. Thereafter members of the Commission shall be appointed to serve for five years and until their successors have been appointed and qualified. The Mayor, with the approval of the Council, shall fill any vacancy. An appointment to fill a vacancy shall be for the unexpired term of the office being filled.~~

~~Members of the Civil Service Commission shall not hold any other office in City government.~~

~~The City Council may remove a member of the Civil Service Commission for cause by a vote of at least five members of said Council, provided, however, that written charges shall first be made against such member and an opportunity afforded for a public hearing before the Council upon such charges.~~

(The following language was moved to this section from Section 41(b).) This Commission shall have supervision over the selection, promotion and removal of all employees of the City subject to the Civil Service provisions of this Charter (Section 41) (Article VIII).

Section 144. BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION. (1st paragraph)

The system shall be managed by a Board of Administration which is hereby created, consisting of the City Manager, City Auditor and Comptroller, the City Treasurer, three members of the Retirement System, to be elected from the active membership, ~~a resident official of a life insurance company, an officer of a local bank and a citizen~~ two (2) citizens of the City, the latter three to be appointed by the Council. Such appointees shall serve without compensation. Members of the Board, other than ex-officio, shall serve six years or until their successors are elected and qualified, and shall so classify themselves by lot that one term shall expire each year. The members of the existing Board shall serve out their unexpired terms.

(The following language printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE was moved to Section 41(c).)

~~Section 42. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.~~

~~The City Planning Commission shall be organized as provided by the laws of the State and have such powers and perform such duties as are prescribed by such laws. The duties of the Commission shall also include advising upon public buildings, bridges, retaining walls, approaches, park and harbor structures, the improvement of Pueblo lands and such other improvements as the Council may by ordinance determine. The Commission shall consist of seven (7) members appointed by the Mayor, subject to the confirmation of the Council. The City Engineer, and the City Attorney, or their designated representatives, shall be members ex officio, but neither of said officers shall have a vote. The members of this Commission shall serve without compensation for terms of two years or until their successors are elected and appointed and qualified.~~

(The following language printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE was moved to Section 43(c).)

~~Section 43.1. COMMISSIONS, BOARDS, COMMITTEES—APPOINTMENTS.~~

~~Whenever under the provisions of this Charter or ordinance the Mayor is vested with authority to nominate or appoint subject to confirmation of the Council the members of commissions, boards or committees, and the Council has failed or refused to confirm two successive nominations of the Mayor to a vacancy on such commission, board or committee, the nomination and appointment to fill such vacancy shall be by vote of the Council.~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION J

A high degree of citizen participation in San Diego's public affairs has helped us to earn the title of All America City. Proposition J offers several changes to broaden the base of citizen participation, provide greater continuity of service on commissions, advisory boards and committees, and clarify the power to appoint and remove members of these citizen bodies.

Terms of commission members should be lengthened to 4 years to take advantage of the increased understanding gained through experience that they can apply to solving City problems.

Limiting the service of citizens on commissions, boards and committees to 8 consecutive years will encourage development of an increased talent pool that can draw new ideas from every sector of the community.

The terms of advisory board and committee members should be permitted to extend beyond the elective term of the appointing mayor, as the terms of planning commissioners do now. This will give the incoming Mayor an opportunity to search carefully for the most capable candidates for citizen bodies, while allowing the work of these advisory groups to continue uninterrupted.

To guarantee that essential advisory functions be continuous, the Charter should specify that if the Mayor does not fill commission, board, or committee vacancies within 45 days, the appointment shall be made by the Council.

A simple majority vote of the Council should be all that is required to remove an appointed member of a citizen body. Any increase in the vote requirement would be an unnecessary restriction on the powers of our elected representatives.

To expand the opportunity for more citizens to serve their community and contribute fresh thinking to the public viewpoint, **vote YES on Proposition J as recommended by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention.**

ROBERT F. WILCOX, Chairman
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION J

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION K

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION K. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Amend first paragraph of Section 66 to maintain present procedure of nominating school board members by district and electing by registered voters of whole San Diego Unified School District; provides that school board candidates shall have been registered voters of school district for at least three years and resident of election district for one year preceding taking office; provides procedure for remaining members to fill a vacancy on Board within thirty days or they must call an election to fill vacancy by a primary election in the district in which the vacancy occurs and a general election within the entire school district. Delete obsolete language referring to prior elections.	NO	

This proposition amends the first paragraph of Section 66 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions, and by repealing the third paragraph. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 66. BOARD OF EDUCATION. (1st paragraph)

The government of the San Diego Unified School District shall be vested in a Board of Education, composed of five members who shall be nominated and elected at the regular municipal primary elections and the general municipal elections at the same time ~~and under the same procedure~~ as the election of councilmen. At the municipal primary election there shall be chosen by the registered voters of each Board of Education District two candidates for the office of any Board of Education member from a District whose term expires the succeeding December. At the general municipal election the registered voters of the whole San Diego Unified School District shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in each district one candidate for the office of each Board of Education member whose term expires the succeeding December. Each candidate for the Board of Education shall have been a ~~qualified~~ registered voter of the San Diego Unified School District at least three years next preceding his ~~election or appointment~~ taking office and shall have been an actual resident of the election district from which he was nominated for one year immediately preceding his ~~election or appointment~~ taking office. The members shall serve for a term of four years, or until their successors are elected and qualified, except as herein provided. The present members of the Board shall ~~serve out their unexpired terms~~. Any vacancy occurring in the Board shall be filled by the Board of Education until the next municipal primary and general election, when a member shall be elected to fill the ~~unexpired term~~ from the election district in which the vacancy occurs by appointment by the remaining Board members; but in the event that said remaining members fail to fill such vacancy by appointment within thirty (30) days after the vacancy occurs, they must immediately cause to be held a primary election in the district in which the vacancy occurs and a general election within the entire school district to fill such vacancy; provided, however, that any person appointed to fill such vacancy shall hold office only

until the next regular municipal election, at which date a person shall be elected to serve for the remainder of such unexpired term. Each member shall receive a compensation of \$1,200.00 per annum, which compensation shall be paid by the San Diego Unified School District.

~~The term of office of one of the three members of the Board of Education elected at the general municipal election in 1963 shall be extended for two (2) additional years. Thereafter, the term of the office so extended shall be four (4) years. The member whose term will thus be extended shall be chosen by lot prior to April 1, 1965, at a drawing conducted by the City Clerk, held at a public meeting of the Board of Education.~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION K

It is of importance that our School Board members be nominated by their respective districts, as in this way we can be sure that the Board will have the advantage of respective members well acquainted with the particular needs and problems of each area within our city.

On the other hand, after nomination the election should be held at large with all voters within the School District participating in the final selection. The raising and maintenance of high standards of educational excellence should be the concern of all persons. The solution of problems will require action that will cut across district lines. Accordingly, the entire electorate should choose among the two candidates selected by each district in the Primary Election.

If a vacancy occurs on the School Board it is of the utmost importance that each district not be denied its representative. Time is of the essence; therefore, the remaining members of the School Board should fill said vacancy within thirty days after it occurs, and if they fail to do so an election should be called promptly to fill said vacancy.

NORMAN T. SELTZER

[REDACTED]
San Diego, California 92115
Chairman, Representation Subcommittee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION K

This ballot measure asks us to authorize possibly two special elections every time a vacancy occurs on the Board of Education. Special elections are expensive and, in this case, an unnecessary expenditure of public funds.

The existing provisions of Section 66 give the Board ample time to fill the vacancies with interested, qualified persons until the following municipal elections.

We do not require special elections each time a Councilman resigns. We should not require them when a member of the Board of Education resigns.

Paragraph 3 can remain in the Charter without detrimental effect on our governmental processes.

Vote NO on Proposition K.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION L

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION L. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTIONS 69, 71 AND 81 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

Amend Section 69 to require that budget estimates of departments not under the City Manager be submitted to him by April 1 instead of April 15 and provide that printed copies of budget estimate will be available for examination. Amend Section 71 to require a minimum of two public hearings upon the annual appropriation ordinance and provide that it must be adopted during the month of July. Amend Section 81 by deleting detailed language and by making Manager responsible for establishing internal budgetary allotments.

YES

NO

This proposition amends Section 69, 71 and 81 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 69. FISCAL YEAR AND MANAGER'S ESTIMATE.

The fiscal year of the City shall begin with the first day of July and shall end with the next succeeding 30th day of June. On or before the first meeting in May of each year the Manager shall prepare and submit to the Council a budget of the expense of conducting the affairs of the City for the ensuing fiscal year. Departments not under the Manager shall submit their annual budget estimates to the Manager, or to such official as he may designate, and in such form as he shall require on or before April ~~15th~~ 1 for transmittal in proper form by the Manager to the Council. The budget shall include a summary outline of the fiscal policy of the City for the budget year, describing in connection therewith the important features of the budget plan; a general budget summary setting forth the aggregate figures of the budget in such manner as to show the balanced relations between the total proposed expenditures and the total anticipated income and other means of financing the budget for the ensuing year, contrasted with the corresponding figures for the current year. The classification of the estimate shall be as nearly uniform as possible for the main divisions of all Departments and shall furnish necessary detailed fiscal information.

The Council shall provide for printing a reasonable number of copies of the estimate thus prepared, for examination or distribution to citizens at least fifteen days before final passage. Copies shall also be furnished to the newspapers of the City and to each library thereof which is open to the public.

Section 71. PREPARATION AND PASSAGE OF ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

Upon receipt of the Manager's estimate the Council shall prepare an appropriation ordinance using such estimate as a basis. The form, arrangement and itemization of the appropriation ordinance shall be determined and prescribed by the Auditor and Comptroller, and City Attorney. Provision shall be made by the Council for a minimum of two (2) public hearings upon the appropriation ordinance either before a Committee of the Council or before the Council sitting as a committee of the whole. Following the public hearings the appropriation ordinances shall take the same course in the Council as other ordinances but and shall ~~not be passed adopted before the first meeting of the Council in~~ during the month of July. The Council may reduce or eliminate any item, may increase any amount or add any new item for personal services, contractual services, materials, supplies,

and equipment for any Department. Upon final passage, the appropriation ordinance shall be published in the manner provided for the publication of other ordinances.

Section 81. ALLOTMENTS.

The Manager shall require the administrative heads of all Departments to submit to him, immediately after the adoption of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, a work program for the budget year, which program shall include all appropriations for operation and maintenance expenditures and for the acquisition of property and shall show the requested allotments of all appropriations by quarters for the entire year. The Manager shall review the requested allotments of each Department and if necessary revise, alter, or change such allotments before approving the same. The aggregate of such allotments shall not exceed the total appropriations available for the Department for the budget year. The Manager shall transmit a copy of the approved allotments to the Head of the Department and also a copy to the Auditor and Comptroller who shall authorize the expenditure to be made from the appropriations on the basis of such allotments, and not otherwise unless there shall be a balance carried over from a preceding allotment period or unless an additional appropriation or transfer has been made by the Council. The head of each Department may request the Manager to approve a revision of the work program at the beginning of any quarter during the fiscal year and such approval shall be transmitted to the Department Head and the Auditor and Comptroller. The Manager may require that each Department set up a reserve in the original allotments of at least five per cent of the total appropriation of the Department or Division thereof. At any time during the fiscal year, this reserve or any portion of it, may be returned by the Manager to the original appropriation to which it belongs and added to any allotment and any unused portion shall remain as an unexpended balance at the end of the budget period. The Council may provide for the creation of revolving funds or of seasonal expenditures for any Department and such funds shall not be subject to the allotment method of distribution.

The Manager shall be responsible for establishing internal budgetary allotments based on the allocations contained in the annual appropriation ordinance for each department of the City.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION L

This Charter amendment was recommended by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention to improve budgetary procedures, and the San Diego Taxpayers Association strongly concurs.

This amendment will require departments not under the City Manager to submit their annual budget estimates to him by April 1 of each year, rather than by April 15. **This change makes all departments of the City meet the same budget deadline.**

The amendment also provides that copies of the proposed budget must be made available to the public for examination prior to the public hearings.

The present Charter states that public hearings must be held on the proposed budget, but does not specify how many. This amendment clarifies the issue by providing that **at least 2 public hearings must be held each year on the proposed budget**, and that the annual fiscal year budget must be adopted in the month of July each year.

The amendment also deletes unnecessary detailed language in Section 81, but **requires the City Manager to be responsible for establishing internal budgetary allotments**, based on the budget approved for each department.

These changes will provide improved City fiscal management procedures with the interests of the public protected. We urge a "YES" vote on Proposition L.

PAUL E. LEYTON, President
San Diego Taxpayers Association

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION L

The changes to Sections 69 and 71 are minor, and actually, unnecessary.

Section 69 merely moves a date from April 15 to April 1.

Section 71 changes wording from "public hearings" to "a minimum of two (2) public hearings".

Have you ever heard of one public hearings?

But Section 81 will have some very important language removed from it. The existing Section 81 provides that the City Manager has the responsibility for approving internal budgets. His approval, in fact, establishes them.

By "deleting detailed language", this Proposition deletes, among others, this budget-limiting sentence: "The aggregate of such allotments shall not exceed the total appropriations available for the Department for the budget year."

Does our City government contemplate a future of deficit spending? Or, as an alternative measure, have they intentions of making short term loans at high interest rates to cover unbalanced budgets until a tax increase is put into effect?

Vote NO on Proposition L.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION M

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION M. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTIONS 70 AND 85 AND REPEAL SECTIONS 88 AND 89 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Amend Section 70 relating to Council's power to fix salaries to delete unnecessary reference to specific officials. Amend Section 85 to allow Council to adopt ordinance providing for alternate methods of deposits of money upon recommendation of City Auditor and Comptroller. Repeal Sections 88 and 89 relating to monthly reports of officers and monthly statements by the Auditor and Comptroller as similar language is contained in Section 39.	NO	

This proposition amends Section 70 and 85 and repeals Sections 88 and 89 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 70. POWER TO FIX SALARIES.

The Council shall have the power to fix salaries of ~~the City Manager, the City Clerk, the City Treasurer, the City Auditor and Comptroller and all other officers~~ under its jurisdiction. All members of Commissions shall serve without compensation except where otherwise provided by State law or this Charter. Except as otherwise provided by law the City Manager and other departmental heads outside of the departments under control of the City Manager shall have power to recommend salaries and wages subject to the personnel classification and the schedule of salaries fixed by the Civil Service Commission, of all other officers and employees within the total amount contained in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for personal service in each of the several departments of the City Government. All increases and decreases of salary or wages of officers and employees shall be determined at the time of the preparation and adoption of the budget, and no such increase or decrease shall be effective prior to the fiscal year for which the budget is adopted; provided, however, that if during any fiscal year, the Council should find and determine that because of a significant change in living costs, the salaries and wages fixed for such fiscal year are not comparable to the level of other salaries and wages of other public or private employments for comparable services and as a result, the best interests of the City are not being protected or are in

jeopardy, said Legislative Body, upon recommendation of the Manager or other department head, and if funds are available, may revise such salary and wage schedules to the extent necessary to protect the City's interests.

Section 85. DAILY DEPOSITS OF MONEY.

All moneys received from taxes, licenses, fees, fines, penalties and forfeitures and all moneys which may be collected or received by any officer of the City in his official capacity, or from any Department of the City for the performance of any official duty and all moneys accruing to the City from any source and all moneys directed by law or by this Charter to be paid or deposited in the treasury, shall be paid into the treasury daily unless otherwise authorized by ordinance upon recommendation of the Auditor and Comptroller.

Section 88. ~~MONTHLY REPORTS OF OFFICERS.~~

~~On the first day of each month every officer authorized by law to charge any fee, commission, percentage, allowance or compensation, must make a written report to the Auditor and Comptroller of all moneys received by him during the preceding month.~~

Section 89. ~~MONTHLY STATEMENTS BY THE AUDITOR AND COMPTROLLER.~~

~~The Auditor and Comptroller shall prepare for submission to the Council, not later than the tenth day of each month, or when requested, a summary statement of revenues and expenses for the preceding month, detailed as to appropriations and funds in such manner as to show the exact financial condition of the City and of each Department and Division thereof as of the last day of the previous month.~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION M

In Section 70 this amendment deletes unnecessary language that requires the Council to fix the salaries of the City Manager, City Clerk, City Treasurer and Auditor and Comptroller, as the Council already has the authority to fix the salaries of all officers under its jurisdiction.

Section 85 is amended to provide the Council with the authority to approve alternate methods for the depositing of funds in the treasury collected by City officers and employees if enacted by ordinance upon the recommendation of the Auditor and Comptroller. Because the City has various branch offices, it is not always feasible to deposit all funds daily as the Charter now provides.

This amendment also repeals Sections 88 and 89 that pertain to the filing of monthly financial reports of officers as the requirements are already contained in Section 39 of the Charter.

These changes have been recommended by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention and I urge a YES VOTE.

ARDEN VAN DINE
Chairman, Finance Committee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION M

The important Section in this Proposition appears to be Section 88.

To my knowledge, this Section, Section 89 and the "similar" Section 39 have not been considered redundant since the City Charter was adopted in 1931.

Section 39 requires that money should be available to cover expenditures made to the "officer" described in Section 88.

Section 39 does not require that the officer submit a written report or "expense account".

Section 88 requires that every officer authorized by law to charge fees, commissions, percentages, allowances or compensations must file a written report each month. Approval of this Proposition would remove this requirement from our Charter.

Vote NO on Proposition M.

ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION N

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION N. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 92 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Amend Section 92 to delete maximum interest limitation of five percent per annum on short term notes.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends Section 92 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 92. BORROWING MONEY ON SHORT TERM NOTES.

Bonds or notes may be issued in anticipation of the collection of special assessments, and bonds, notes, or registered warrants on the treasury may be issued in anticipation of the collection of taxes, as authorized by the City Council by resolution and shall not be deemed the creation of debt within the meaning of Section 90 of this Article. Bonds, notes or registered warrants on the treasury issued in anticipation of the collection of the taxes of any fiscal year may be issued during each fiscal year and each such bond, note, or warrant shall specify that it is payable out of the taxes of the fiscal year in which issued, and before the close of such year, ~~and shall not bear a higher rate of interest than five (5) per cent per annum,~~ and the total amount of such bonds, notes or warrants, authorized and issued in any fiscal year shall not, in the aggregate, be more than twenty-five (25) percent of the total appropriations of the City for such year. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to authorize the incurring of an obligation against the municipality in excess of that authorized to be incurred by the Constitution of the State of California.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION N

The present five percent (5%) per annum limitation on interest rates for borrowing money on short term notes must be deleted from the Charter to permit the City administration to continue borrowing money on a short term basis to cover the cash flow requirements of the City prior to the collection of property taxes. Inflation has caused interest rates to move beyond the present five percent (5%) maximum making it highly unlikely that the City will be able to continue issuing short term notes without removing the five percent (5%) limitation.

The Citizens' Charter Review Convention believes that removing this limitation on short term borrowing will permit the City's management to continue to operate economically while awaiting the collection of property tax revenues. The City Council, after receiving competitive bids, authorizes the issuance of tax anticipation notes to the low bidder. This procedure provides adequate safeguards to prevent the payment of excessive interest.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION N.

ARDEN VAN DINE
Chairman, Finance Committee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

The existing interest rate on municipal bonds and notes is five (5) percent per annum. That is tax-free interest to those who can afford to lend money to the City.

If this Proposition passes, there will be no limit to the amount of interest that you, the taxpayer, can be charged on money borrowed by the City. This would also increase the interest rates to the general buying public.

For example, if a local lending institution could lend money to the City at a tax-free rate of 8 percent, what interest rate and service charges would the average citizen have to pay in order to obtain a home or auto loan? Remember, the lending institution has to pay taxes on the interest payments it receives from private individuals.

Don't contribute to inflationary interest rates. Vote NO on Proposition N.
ROBERT L. HANNON

PROPOSITION O

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION O. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 103 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Amend Section 103 to require a two-thirds vote of the Council to grant a franchise for use of any public property rather than five votes of the Council to conform to extraordinary vote requirement as contained in Charter prior to 1963 when the Council was increased from seven to nine members.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends Section 103 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 103. FRANCHISES.

The Council shall have power to grant to any person, firm or corporation, franchises, and all renewals, extensions and amendments thereof, for the use of any public property under the jurisdiction of the City. Such grants shall be made by ordinance adopted by vote of ~~five two-thirds~~ (2/3) of the members of the Council and only after recommendations thereon have been made by the Manager and an opportunity for free and open competition and for public hearings have been given. No ordinance granting a franchise or a renewal, extension or amendment of an existing franchise shall be effective until thirty days after its passage, during which time it shall be subject to the referendum provisions of this Charter. No franchises shall be transferable except with the approval of the Council expressed by ordinance.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION O

The present Charter of the City of San Diego was adopted by the citizens in 1931. It was prepared and proposed by a duly elected Board of Freeholders. The Board believed it important to regulate carefully the use by private businesses of public property. The freeholders and the citizens provided that any franchises granted by the City Council for the use of public property would require an extraordinary vote of the City Council.

This extraordinary vote was provided for by requiring a vote of five (5) of the members of the City Council at a time when the Council was composed of seven (7) members.

In 1963, the Charter was amended to increase the number on the Council from seven (7) to nine (9). By doing this, the five (5)-vote requirement became a requirement for a simple majority vote, since five (5) is a simple majority of a nine (9)-member Council.

It is vitally important that the privilege of using public property for private business purposes be granted only after careful consideration. An extraordinary majority requirement will assure such careful consideration by the City Council. The Citizens' Charter Review Convention recommended that the Charter be amended to reflect the original thinking of the citizens of the City that the awarding of franchises is of such importance that it should require an extraordinary vote of the City Council rather than a simple majority.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION O.

ARDEN VAN DINE
Chairman, Finance Committee
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION O

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION P

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION P. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 117 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.</p>	YES	
<p>Amend Section 117 to permit the Mayor to have four unclassified assistants plus additional unclassified assistants when authorized by a two-thirds vote of the Council and delete obsolete language referring to incumbents in positions of Planning Director and Principal Assistant to Planning Director on January 1, 1963 as both individuals have left City service.</p>	NO	

This proposition amends Section 117 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 117. UNCLASSIFIED AND CLASSIFIED SERVICES.

Employment in the City shall be divided into the Unclassified and Classified Service.

(a) The Unclassified Service shall include:

1. All elective City officers
2. Members of all boards and commissions
3. All department heads and one principal assistant or deputy in each department
4. ~~One~~ Four assistants to the Mayor plus additional assistants to the Mayor when authorized by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Council
5. City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and Assistant to the City Manager
6. City Clerk
7. Budget Officer
8. Purchasing Officer
9. Treasurer
10. All Assistant and Deputy City Attorneys
11. Industrial Coordinator
12. The Planning Director
13. A Confidential Secretary to the Mayor, City Council, City Manager, Police Chief, City Attorney
14. Officers and employees of San Diego Unified School District

15. Persons employed in positions for expert professional temporary service when such positions are exempted from the Classified Service for a specified period of temporary service by order of the Civil Service Commission

16. Interns including, but not limited to, Administrative Interns and Legal Interns, temporarily employed in regularly established training programs as defined in the job specifications of the City.

(b) The Classified Service shall include all positions not specifically included by this section in the Unclassified Service; ~~provided, however, that the incumbents in the positions of the Planning Director and the Principal Assistant to the Planning Director on January 1, 1963 shall remain in the Classified Service until the respective positions are vacated by the incumbents.~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION P

Our Mayor is the chief executive of a large and rapidly growing city—third largest in California.

In the interests of San Diego, he deals constantly with high officials of the nation and the state and with representatives of foreign governments. The head of any major enterprise, public or private, needs adequate assistance to do his job well.

A Charter limitation of two personally-selected assistants assumes that the Mayor's job is minor and unimportant to the well-being of the City of San Diego. This, of course, is not the case.

The Mayor is in a position to provide a vital community leadership function. To do so, he needs additional staff of the highest quality available. The Council, through its control over the budget, can prevent any unnecessary increase in the size of the Mayor's staff.

The Mayor needs help to do his best for our City. Vote YES on this recommendation of the Citizens' Charter Convention. It will give him necessary help.

ROBERT F. WILCOX, Chairman
Citizens' Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION P

Under the present Charter, the Mayor now has two non-civil service personal assistants and a non-civil service confidential secretary. The Council has also provided his office with an additional administrative assistant and four clerical positions, all under civil service. The Mayor's annual budget is now \$104,000.00.

Approval of this proposition will add three non-civil service personal assistants to the office of the Mayor and in the future permit the Council to add additional non-civil service positions without a vote of the people.

In the interest of economy and good government, the Mayor should not be provided with more non-civil service help. If additional staff is justified, it should be approved by the Council under the civil service system as now permitted by the Charter.

VOTE NO on Proposition "P"!

PATRICIA H. MASON
[REDACTED]
San Diego, California

PROPOSITION Q

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION Q. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 211 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.	YES	
Amend Section 211 to require officers and committee, board or commission members to take and subscribe to an oath or affirmation as provided by the Constitution or General Law of the State and deleting oath prescribed in paragraph 2 to comply with recent Supreme Court decisions.	NO	

This proposition amends Section 211 of the Charter of The City of San Diego by deleting certain provisions and by adding new provisions. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 211. OATH OF OFFICE.

Every officer or member of a Committee, Board or of a Commission of the City shall, before entering upon the duties of this office, take and subscribe to the following an oath or affirmation as provided by the Constitution or General Law of the State to be filed and kept in the office of the City Clerk.

~~"I solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will obey the constitution and laws of the United States and of the State of California, that I will, in all respects, observe the provisions of this Charter and the ordinances of The City of San Diego and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION Q

Since 1931 the Charter of the City of San Diego has prescribed a specific oath of office to be taken by all officers and Committee, Board or Commission members of the City. The State Constitution also provides an oath to be taken and provides that no other oath, declaration or test shall be required as a qualification for any public office or employment.

This amendment as recommended by the Citizens' Charter Review Convention, conforms the Charter to the State Constitution by providing that all officers and members of a Committee, Board or Commission of the City shall take and subscribe to an oath or affirmation as provided by the Constitution or General Law of the State.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION Q.

JEFFERSON STICKNEY, Member
City Charter Review Convention

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION Q

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 4, 1969**

●

**CANDIDATES' STATEMENTS
OF QUALIFICATION**

●

San Diego Municipal Code on Elections Section 27.2204 provides that each candidate for elective office in the City of San Diego and the San Diego Unified School District may prepare a Statement of Qualifications to be submitted to all voters of each district. The following statements have been prepared and filed by the Candidates and are distributed at the candidate's expense.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 1



NAME: HELEN COBB

AGE: 47

OCCUPATION: CITY COUNCILMAN

EDUCATION:
High School Plus.

Councilman Helen Cobb's knowledge of local government and its relationships with other jurisdictions has proven invaluable in the establishment of cooperative programs that have benefited this City.

She instigated the formation of the City Legislative Committee and served as its chairman through 1968.

Mrs. Cobb served as Deputy Mayor last year with distinction; and has served two terms as President of County Division, League of California Cities. She has received numerous commendations from throughout the state as well as her own district. She is particularly proud of those which are rarely given women in government.

Her efforts will be pledged to create an environment where all citizens can partake of the future that will be ours. A future that will assure an equitable means of cost distribution for the community planning and implementation necessary to provide services. A future that will provide individual opportunity for participation in the collective community.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 1



NAME: MART E. FOY

AGE: 51

OCCUPATION: HOMEMAKER

EDUCATION:

B.S. in Education, Greenville College, Illinois, 1939. M.Litt., The University of Pittsburgh, Penna., 1943. Ph.D. in Sociology, The University of Pittsburgh, 1953.

THE PERSON: Born in Pittsburgh, Penna., daughter of Minister. Resident of California since 1958. Married: William S. Chamness, Engineering Contractor and longtime San Diegan. Son, Bill; grandchildren, Clinton, Crystal.

HER EDUCATION: Ph.D. dissertation: **The Negro in the Courts: A Study in Race Relations.** Extracurricular activities: Chorale; sports; debating; newspaper; yearbook editor. Honors: Mortar Board; Sociology Honorary; Education Honorary. Outstanding Woman in Sociology Award.

HER EXPERIENCE: Dean of Women, Carnegie Mellon University. Instructor: U. of Pittsburgh; Foothill College, Public School Teacher; Technical Librarian. U. S. Navy: Instrument Flying Instructor.

HER SERVICE: To Community: AAUW; Ham Radio Operator; International Friendship Program, UCSD; Navy League. To Church: Official Board Pacific Beach United Methodist; Wesleyan Service Guild; Chancel Choir; Chairman, Commission on Ecumenical Affairs. To Youth: YWCA; Educational Filmstrips; Mariner Girl Scout Troop; Wesley Foundation Board, SDSC. To Interracial Understanding: International Youth Exchange Program; Leadership Training: Interfaith, Interracial Camps, New York, California.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 3



NAME: HENRY L. LANDT

AGE: 60

OCCUPATION: CITY COUNCILMAN
OF SAN DIEGO; RETIRED BUILD-
ING CONTRACTOR

EDUCATION:

Attended local schools; San Diego
State; Graduate of University of
Oregon School of Architecture.

City Councilman Henry Landt is the **OVERWHELMING CHOICE (72%)** of the voters in the primary.

A lifelong San Diego resident, Landt gained business experience with Architects and Builders and operated a successful building and contracting firm.

Landt served 17 yrs. combined service on the City Planning Commission and Board of Zoning Appeals.

Councilman Landt, as a dynamic leader on the City Council, will continue to devote full-time service (60 hrs. a week) to the community, giving intelligent, common-sense decisions to problems of mutual concern.

Landt and his wife, Hilda, live in Kensington with their son and daughter.

Landt has a deep knowledge of the city and its history, a depth of business and governmental background, with an avowed dedication and courage to devote his energies to the preservation, molding, and developing of physical environments, creating a growing economy and industrial base to insure the realization of San Diego's great potential.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 3



NAME: BILL BARAS

AGE: 43

OCCUPATION: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, SELF-IMPROVEMENT CENTER; GEN. MGR., AD AGENCY

EDUCATION:

High School and Colleges, Dover, New Hampshire and Springfield, Mass.

Bill Baras, a young, dynamic, enthusiastic businessman, feels a councilman must be a representative of **all** the people; one who can establish communication with people, know their wants, needs, and problems.

Baras' business experience is diversified: apprentice butcher at 14 to ownership of a bakery, real estate, insurance and presently owner of an organization that teaches people how to realize their potential for success and happiness through self-motivation.

He served two enlistments in the Army during WWII and the Korean War, was awarded the Bronze Star.

Baras believes that **people** are the most important aspect of any business. City government is business—big business—which needs young, dynamic, capable men who are deeply and sincerely committed to the best interests of all the people. Successful businessman, family man, candidate for city council, Bill Baras is a man of proven leadership ability and business acumen who has the capacity to care.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 4



NAME: LEON L. WILLIAMS

AGE: 46

OCCUPATION: SAN DIEGO CITY
COUNCILMAN — DIRECTOR,
NEIGHBORHOOD YOUTH CORPS

EDUCATION:

Graduated from San Diego State
College with BA degree in psy-
chology; has completed graduate
work in public administration.

City Councilman Leon Williams has been an active participant in the affairs of San Diego for nearly 25 years. Mr. Williams came to San Diego after serving in the Army Air Corps in WWII.

Since then he has been a supervising social worker for the county and later was the administrative assistant to three San Diego County sheriffs.

In 1966 he was named director of the Neighborhood Youth Corps, an organization which helps disadvantaged youths find jobs. He is a member of the board of directors of numerous community organizations.

In the September city primary, Mr. Williams received 73% of the vote from the people in his Fourth District.

Leon Williams "cares about people" and he feels that city government, too, must care about people. As a councilman he has worked for more housing, greater citizen participation in municipal planning, and greater consideration of people in the physical planning process.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 4



NAME: ART AKERS

AGE: 49

OCCUPATION: DIRECTOR OF APPLICATIONS AND FIELD OPERATIONS — SECURITY SYSTEMS DIVISION — NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL INC.

EDUCATION:

184 units of College majoring in Business Administration, Mathematics and Electrical Engineering.

Resident San Diego 20 years; District. 4 16 years; home owner; married; one son; Majored in Business Administration, Mathematics and Electrical Engineering in College. 20 years experience in Management. Currently Director of Applications & Field Engineering—Security Systems—North American. Active in political, civic and educational affairs.

I believe that, as a city government, we've got to be more thrifty in order to be prosperous. We cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong. We cannot help the wage earner by stifling the employer. We cannot further the brotherhood of man by encouraging hatred and injustice. We do not help the poor by destroying the more fortunate. If we spend more than we earn, we're headed for serious trouble. We cannot improve our city by destroying the citizen's initiative and independence. City government must not do for people what people could, and should, do for themselves.

I oppose violence.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 5



NAME: FLOYD L. MORROW

AGE: 36

OCCUPATION: CITY COUNCILMAN
AND ATTORNEY AT LAW

EDUCATION:

B/A Degree, Business, University
of Texas—1957. Law Degree, Uni-
versity of Texas—1959.

The residents of Councilmanic District Five endorsed my candidacy by 72.4% of all votes cast in the primary election. I thank them for their support and have been honored to represent them on all major issues. Working to prevent erosion of family neighborhoods by unsightly commercial establishments, preventing pollution of our environment, and seeking new methods to fight alarming rent increases have been most rewarding.

Marine Corps service provided me opportunities under the GI Bill for a fine education which helped me with city problems. Participating with my three children in Little League and Scouting activities has enhanced my understanding of the need for recreational facilities.

My qualifications are based on my experience as attorney for Ryan, Deputy City Attorney, professor of Business Law and Economics, operating my own business and, foremost, as your representative on the Council the past four years.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 5



NAME: TED GAVETT

AGE: 54

OCCUPATION: RETIRED NAVY
COMMANDER—CIVIC LEADER

Ted Gavett holds the Bronze Star and a dozen other military awards. He is Deputy Chairman of the Mayor's Committee for Youth Employment; also your local representative for Exchange Students in the "YOUTH FOR UNDERSTANDING" Program that is sponsored by the United States Department of State.

Gavett serves on the Mayor's Committee For Parks And Recreation; he is a past president of the Serra Mesa Town Council and was appointed to the city-wide Citizens' Charter Review Convention.

By actual count, Gavett has attended over 200 City Council Meetings; he has attended over 200 City Council Conferences and over 100 meetings of the Board of Education. He has attended **MORE** City Council Meetings than 50% of the Council itself.

Ted Gavett has devoted full-time to the needs of our city. As a volunteer or by appointment, his life has been dedicated to San Diego. He asks for your vote.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 6



NAME: BOB MARTINET

AGE: 43

OCCUPATION: SAN DIEGO CITY
COUNCILMAN

EDUCATION:

A.A. Degree, Pasadena City Col-
lege. Attended U.S.C.

The past ten months have been exciting ones for me—exciting because it has been my privilege to be a San Diego City Councilman. I want to be returned as Councilman because:

Better planning for community development is needed. I am working for the consolidation of projects for street widening, storm drain installation, and the undergrounding of utilities.

I support enforcement of law and order and am working for improved relationships between police and citizens—especially our younger citizens.

New housing is important throughout the city. Well planned community growth with open spaces and maintained landscaping is something I worked for long before I became a City Councilman.

I will fight for **YOU**—for the best services for **YOUR** tax dollar; for your voice on **ALL** issues; for increased effectiveness of City government.

As your full-time, fully informed City Councilman, I will continue to work for all of San Diego Citizens.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 6



NAME: MEL GORHAM

AGE: 38

OCCUPATION: BUSINESSMAN —
CONSTRUCTION

Mel Gorham's Primary Election victory marks the first time an incumbent has had a Primary defeat since 1961. In fact, 66% of those voting in the 6th District voted **AGAINST** Gorham's opponent.

Mel Gorham is a dynamic, successful businessman who believes the City of San Diego (Big Business itself) should be run on a business-like basis.

He stands for Tax Reduction by eliminating duplicate spending.

Gorham is committed to fight for Parks and Open Spaces. His stand on Tecolote Canyon has been unwavering. He would develop a Trust Fund—separate from the General Fund—to purchase and develop open spaces for future generations.

Mel Gorham is concerned with the plight of Senior Citizens on fixed incomes.

He has met with 26 Youth Organizations and would establish a Youth Advisory Council.

After 29 years, Gorham knows and loves San Diego. He can put "New Go" in the City Council. He seeks your vote.

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 7



NAME: ALLEN HITCH

OCCUPATION: SAN DIEGO CITY
COUNCILMAN

For 8 years and four months, Allen Hitch has vigorously and affectively represented the people of San Diego in all matters pertaining to their needs and services in local government.

Allen Hitch was Deputy Mayor of San Diego in 1966. This year, 1969, he is a member of the City Budget Committee, San Diego Housing Authority, and San Diego Redevelopment Agency. He is Co-Chairman of the 50 States Program for the 200th Anniversary.

Allen Hitch has helped the city progress since 1961 and will bring about additional improvements for you and San Diego in the next four years.

A vote for Allen Hitch for re-election to the San Diego City Council will bring you personal and experienced action for San Diego's continued progress.