

SAN DIEGO

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO PROPOSITIONS
SEVEN PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS,
ONE PROGRAM PROPOSAL
TOGETHER WITH ARGUMENTS.**

**PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO
SIETE ENMIENDAS PROPUESTAS A LA CARTA ESTATURARIA
UNA PROPUESTA DE PROGRAMA
DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO
JUNTO CON LOS ARGUMENTOS.**

To Be Submitted to the Qualified Voters
of the City of San Diego at the

**SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1977**

Para ser sometidas a los votantes capacitados
de la Ciudad de San Diego en la

**ELECCION MUNICIPAL ESPECIAL
MARTES, 8 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 1977**

The arguments in support or opposition of the propo-
sitions are the opinions of the authors.

Los argumentos a favor o en contra de las prop-
osiciones representan las opiniones de sus autores.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk
Secretario Municipal

OFFICIAL BALLOT

**GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1977**

BALOTA OFICIAL

**ELECCION GENERAL MUNICIPAL
CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO
CONDADO DE SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
MARTES, 8 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 1977**

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote for a candidate of your selection, stamp a mark (Ⓢ) in the voting square next to the right of the name of that candidate. To vote on any measure, stamp a mark (Ⓢ) in the voting square after the word "YES" or after the word "NO." All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void. If you wrongly stamp, tear or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another. On absent voter ballots stamp a mark (Ⓢ) with marking device, or mark a cross (+) with pen or pencil.

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES ARE NOT PERMITTED. Any ballot on which the name of any person is written in by the voter shall be void as to that part of the ballot where the write-in occurs.

INSTRUCCIONES A LOS VOTANTES

Para votar por el candidato de su preferencia, estampe una marca (Ⓢ) en el cuadro de votar que está a la derecha del nombre de ese candidato. Para votar en cualquier medida estampe una marca (Ⓢ) en el cuadro de votar después de la palabra "SI" o después de la palabra "NO." Todas las otras marcas o borraduras que se distingan están prohibidas y anularán la balota. Si usted marca la balota erróneamente, la rompe o la mutila devuélvala al miembro de la junta del distrito para obtener otra. En una balota de ausente para votar estampe una marca (Ⓢ) con el instrumento, o haga una cruz (+) con pluma o lápiz.

NO SE PERMITE VOTAR POR CANDIDATOS QUE NO ESTEN REGISTRADOS EN LA BALOTA. Cualquiera balota en la que el votante escriba el nombre de cualquier persona se nulificará la sección en la que se haya escrito el nombre.

FOR MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL District No. 1 PARA MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Distrito No. 1 Vote for One Vote por Uno	MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS VOTANTES
BILL MITCHELL Businessman Hombre de Negocios	A PROPOSITION A. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 113 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Transfers duty to publish the notice calling for proposals to do the official advertising of the City from the City Clerk to the Purchasing Agent.
GIL JOHNSON City Councilman Concejal de la Ciudad	PROPOSICION A. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 113 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Transfiere la obligación de la publicación del aviso que pide propuestas para hacer la propaganda oficial de la Ciudad del Secretario Municipal al Agente de Compras.
FOR MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL District No. 3 PARA MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Distrito No. 3 Vote for One Vote por Uno	B PROPOSITION B. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 26 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Provides that any function of the County may be transferred to and performed by the City of San Diego if authorized by law and approved by the Board of Supervisors and City Council.
BILL LOWERY Businessman Hombre de Negocios	PROPOSICION B. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 26 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Estipula que cualquier función del Condado puede ser trasladada y ejecutada por la Ciudad de San Diego si es autorizada por la ley y aprobada por la Junta de Supervisores y el Concejo Municipal.
ED MILLICAN Public Administration Professor Profesor de Administración Pública	C PROPOSITION C. CITY OF SAN DIEGO PARAMEDIC PROGRAM PROPOSAL. Do you approve implementation of a paramedic program in the City of San Diego, operated by the City of San Diego, which would when fully implemented (in 1983), cost approximately \$3,400,000 annually, and require a revenue increase equivalent to 10 cents per each \$100 assessed valuation on the Property Tax Rate?
FOR MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL District No. 5 PARA MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Distrito No. 5 Vote for One Vote por Uno	PROPOSICION C. PROPUESTA DE PROGRAMA DE PARAMEDICOS PARA LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ¿Aprueba usted el establecimiento de un programa de paramédicos dentro de la Ciudad de San Diego, para ser operado por la Ciudad de San Diego, el cual cuando en plena operación (en 1983) costaría aproximadamente \$3,400,000 por año, y requeriría un aumento de recaudaciones equivalente a 10 centavos por cada \$100 del avalúo fiscal en la Tarifa de Impuestos sobre propiedad?
FLOYD L. MORROW San Diego City Councilman Concejal de San Diego	
FRED SCHNAUBELT Businessman Hombre de Negocios	
FOR MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL District No. 7 PARA MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Distrito No. 7 Vote for One Vote por Uno	
LARRY STIRLING Finance and Administrative Director Director Administrativo y Financiero	
EVONNE SCHULZE Director of Community Education Director de Educación de la Comunidad	

D	<p>PROPOSITION D. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 110 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Requires a person desiring to make a claim against the City to file the claim with an official designated to accept claim rather than specifically with the City Clerk.</p>	YES	
		SI	
	<p>PROPOSICION D. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 110 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Requiere que una persona que desee presentar una demanda en contra de la Ciudad la presente con un oficial designado para aceptarla en vez de con el Secretario Municipal especificamente.</p>	NO	
		NO	
E	<p>PROPOSITION E. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 30 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Deletes provision requiring the Civil Service Commission or City Council to conduct a hearing in respect to removal of Unclassified Officers and Employees.</p>	YES	
		SI	
	<p>PROPOSICION E. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 30 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Suprime la provisión que requiere que la Comisión del Servicio Civil o el Concejo Municipal efectúe una audiencia respecto de la deposición de Oficiales y Empleados No-clasificados.</p>	NO	
		NO	
F	<p>PROPOSITION F. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 70 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Removes the requirement that the Civil Service Commission provide a schedule of salaries for the information of the Council.</p>	YES	
		SI	
	<p>PROPOSICION F. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 70 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Cancela el requerimiento de que la Comisión del Servicio Civil suministre una lista de salarios para la información del Concejo.</p>	NO	
		NO	
G	<p>PROPOSITION G. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. ADDS SECTION 34 AND AMENDS SECTION 117 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Establishes the position of Legislative Analyst whose primary duty is to make recommendations for reductions in municipal spending in order to reduce taxes.</p>	YES	
		SI	
	<p>PROPOSICION G. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. AÑADE LA SECCION 34 Y ENMIENDA LA SECCION 117 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Establece la posición de Analista Legislativo, cuyo deber principal es el hacer recomendaciones para lograr reducciones en los gastos municipales para poder reducir los impuestos.</p>	NO	
		NO	
H	<p>PROPOSITION H. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 130 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Relieves the Civil Service Commission of the duty of making recommendations to the Council on minimum and maximum salaries at the time of the consideration of the annual salary ordinance and replaces it with the duty to identify classification of employees meriting special salary consideration.</p>	YES	
		SI	
	<p>PROPOSICION H. ENMIENDA A LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. ENMIENDA LA SECCION 130 DE LA CARTA DE LA CIUDAD DE SAN DIEGO. Releva a la Comisión del Servicio Civil de la obligación de hacer recomendaciones al Concejo Municipal sobre los salarios mínimos y máximos en el momento de la consideración de la ordenanza anual de salarios, y la substituye con la obligación de identificar la clasificación de los empleados que merezcan consideración salarial especial.</p>	NO	
		NO	

11/8/77

PROPOSITION A

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION A. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 113 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. <u>Transfers duty to publish the notice calling for proposals to do the official advertising of the City from the City Clerk to the Purchasing Agent.</u>	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 113. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 113: OFFICIAL ADVERTISING.

All official advertising of The City of San Diego shall be done by contract. In ~~July~~ June of each odd numbered year the ~~City Clerk~~ Purchasing Agent must publish a notice in a daily newspaper of said City for ten days calling for proposals to do all the advertising of said City.

The bidder must be the responsible publisher of a newspaper in said City having a bona fide daily circulation and which has been regularly published in said City for at least two years immediately preceding his bid. The award of said advertising shall in all cases be made to the lowest responsible bidder. The newspaper to which the award of advertising is made shall be known and designated as the "City Official Newspaper." "Official advertising," within the meaning of this section shall include only such advertising as shall be required to be published by law.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A

The purpose in requesting this change is to provide sufficient time to place the notice inviting sealed proposals in the newspaper, publish for ten times, open bids and recommend award of the contract before the expiration of the current contract. At the present time the City cannot start advertising until July 1; the advertisement must be published ten times; and often the contract is awarded on the last day of the month. By changing the time for publication to June rather than July, we should overcome this problem. The second change recommended is for the Purchasing Agent to do the advertising rather than the City Clerk. The Purchasing Agent places the advertising for other bids in the newspaper and it is more logical for the Purchasing Agent to carry out this isolated advertising than the City Clerk.

Maureen O'Connor
Councilwoman—2nd District
Floyd L. Morrow
Councilman—5th District

Leon Williams
Councilman—4th District
Mac Strobl
Councilman—7th District

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

11/8/77

PROPOSITION B

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION B. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 26 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Provides that any function of the County may be transferred to and performed by The City of San Diego If authorized by law and approved by the Board of Supervisors and City Council.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 26. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 26. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

The existing Departments, Divisions and Boards and existing Offices of the City Government are hereby continued unless changed by the provisions of this Charter or by ordinance of the Council. The Council shall by ordinance, by majority vote, adopt an administrative code providing for the detailed powers and duties of the administrative offices and departments of the City Government, based upon the provisions of this Charter. Thereafter, except as established by the provisions of this Charter, the Council may change, abolish, combine, and rearrange the departments, divisions and boards of the City Government provided for in said administrative code, but such ordinance creating, combining, abolishing or decreasing the powers of any department, division or board shall require a vote of two-thirds of the members elected to the Council. The Council may by ordinance, if authorized so to do by the general law of the State, provide that any function of the City may be performed by the County officer in charge of that respective function for the County or for the establishment of or that any function of the County may be performed by the City, provided the respective legislative bodies authorize and approve such transfer and assumption of function. There may also be established a combined City and County district for the performance of any function.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

The San Diego City Charter currently provides that the City Council may transfer a City service to the County, or establish a joint City-County district to perform the service. However, the Charter does not allow a County service to be transferred to the City.

Approval of Proposition B would allow the City Council to authorize the City to perform a function previously carried out by the County, provided such transfer of function is permitted by law and approved by the County Board of Supervisors.

Both the City and the County are interested in performing work efficiently at the lowest possible cost, with a minimum of "red-tape". Presently some similar tasks are being performed by both the City and County governments. Proposition B would allow either the City

11/8/77

or the County to perform work for the other when it can be demonstrated that tax dollars are saved.

Maureen O'Connor
Councilwoman—2nd District

Leon Williams
Councilman—4th District

Mac Strobl
Councilman—7th District

Hugh McKinley

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION C

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION C. CITY OF SAN DIEGO PARAMEDIC PROGRAM PROPOSAL. Do you approve implementation of a paramedic program in the City of San Diego, operated by the City of San Diego, which would when fully implemented (in 1983), cost approximately \$3,400,000 annually, and require a revenue increase equivalent to 10 cents per each \$100 assessed valuation on the Property Tax Rate?	YES	
	NO	

This proposition requires a majority vote.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

Your vote on this proposition will be a life or death decision for hundreds of people a year—one of whom may be you, your child, parent, or a friend. A drowning child, a job accident, a person trapped in a burning car, a heart-attack victim—these are the people who will be helped by paramedics.

It has been repeatedly proven, the sooner persons suffering severe injuries or illness receive expert medical attention, the more likely they are to survive and return to normal, productive lives.

Surrounding communities in our county have paramedics—why not San Diego?

A Paramedic Rescue System includes highly trained paramedics directed by nurses and physicians who bring Emergency Room capabilities to the victim in the first few critical minutes following sudden illness or accident.

Our current medical emergency rescue system depends upon 15 police patrol vehicles that double as ambulances. They are only capable of first aid and providing transportation to a hospital.

Paramedics receive over 1000 hours of intensive instruction and testing before they can legally be certified as paramedics, as compared to 81 hours of training required for current city ambulance staff. The cost figures in this proposition are based on a Fire Department paramedic system and presume that it will be so operated, releasing 72 police officers to full-time patrol.

11/8/77

The cost of funding a Paramedic Rescue System is infinitely small when compared to the value of a single life. If Proposition "C" passes, paramedics will be funded from the City's general revenue fund. The cost to the community of a fire department operated paramedic program would be approximately \$3.00 to \$4.00 per year per citizen, about the cost of one soft drink per month. How can you buy cheaper life insurance?

VOTE YES — PARAMEDICS!!!

Lee Hubbard
Deputy Mayor

John B. deCastro, Co-Chairman
People for Paramedics

Lawrence M. Cushman, Co-Chairman
People for Paramedics

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

A paramedic program is a service that should be a great value to any community. That, however, is not the real question. The real question is how much such a program will cost. These costs were of considerable concern to your City Council. They thought the wording on the original proposition put forward by supporters of such a program was deceptive and misleading in this regard.

We question the cost figures used in this proposition for one reason. We know of no city that has actually been able to provide this service for 10¢ per \$100 of assessed valuation. (The San Diego fire chief estimated a 50% higher figure, or 15¢, over two years ago.) There has also been a \$35-\$40 charge per call to the user plus a mileage charge.

A little known fact is that one private ambulance company has offered to provide the city, free, the use of two completely equipped paramedic units with trained personnel for one year. The purpose of this offer is to determine what costs will be per unit and how many units are needed. It is the position of the Association of Concerned Taxpayers that San Diego should accept this offer. Then the Council or the voters can make a decision based on actual figures, not estimates.

There is no question that a paramedic service is a worthy idea and that it would probably fulfill a need greater than some services already being provided. However, many residents of San Diego are faced with the imminent loss of their homes. Any added tax could have a dire effect on their lives, and there is no doubt the proposed program will include added costs.

We urge the voters to insist on a rational, unemotional approach on this issue. Vote "NO" on Proposition C.

J. Bruce Henderson

Russell C. Jarecki

Virginia M. Grizzle

Littleton W. T. Waller

11/8/77

PROPOSITION D

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION D. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 110 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Requires a person desiring to make a claim against the City to file the claim with an official designated to accept claim rather than specifically with the City Clerk.</p>	<p>NO</p>	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 110. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 110. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY.

Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is liable to any person because of injuries suffered by such person, either to person or property, because of negligence of the City or its officers, a verified claim for damages shall be presented in writing and filed with the ~~Clerk~~ designated City official of The City of San Diego within one hundred (100) days after the occurrence giving rise to the claim for damages.

Whenever it is claimed that The City of San Diego is obligated to pay money to any person because of contract or by virtue of operation of law, a demand or claim for such money shall be presented in writing and filed with the Auditor and Comptroller of The City of San Diego within one hundred (100) days after the last item of the account or claim has accrued.

Each claim for damages because of tort shall specify the name and address of the claimant, the date and place of the accident and the extent of the injuries or damages received.

Each claim or demand for money due because of contract or operation of law shall specify the name and address of the claimant, a brief description of the contract or a brief recital of the facts giving rise to the obligation of the City imposed by law.

The time limit of one hundred (100) days shall not begin to run against a claimant whose claim or demand for money due is because of operation of law until such claimant shall have actual notice of the existence of such claim.

No suit shall be brought on any claim for money or damages against The City of San Diego until a demand for the same has been presented, as herein provided.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

The change proposed in this section would provide that claims against the City be filed with an official designated to accept a claim rather than in the office of the City Clerk. At the present time, the City Clerk receives the claims, time stamps, documents, make copies for the Risk Management Division and City Attorney, indexes the claim, and files it. The Clerk does not receive the results of any actions on claims filed unless they are over \$5,000 and require action by Council resolution. The Clerk receives phone calls from claimants asking about the status of the claim they filed and must refer them to the Risk Management

11/8/77

Division for an answer. It would save considerable time and money if this activity could be removed from the City Clerk's office. Savings would be made in personnel costs, copy making costs, indexing costs, filing costs, and phone answering costs.

Maureen O'Connor
Councilwoman—2nd District

Leon Williams
Councilman—4th District

Floyd Morrow
Councilman—5th District

Mac Strobl
Councilman—7th District

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION E

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION E. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 30 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Deletes provision requiring the Civil Service Commission or City Council to conduct a hearing in respect to removal of Unclassified Officers and Employees.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 30. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 30. REMOVAL OF UNCLASSIFIED OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

~~All officers and employees in the classified service of the City who are appointed by the Manager or under his authorization may be removed by him or by the officer by whom appointed at any time in accordance with the provisions of this Charter, as contained in Section 129 of Article VIII, relating to Civil Service.~~

Officers and employees in the unclassified service appointed by the Manager or other appointing authority not under control of the Manager may be removed by him such appointing authority at any time, and, in the case of appointees in the unclassified service, the order of the Manager affecting said removal shall be final and conclusive. Any appointee or employee in the unclassified service so removed by the Manager may, however, within five (5) days after receipt of a Notice of Dismissal, demand a written statement of the reasons therefor. Thereupon it shall be the duty of the Manager to forthwith deliver to the dismissed employee a written statement of the reason for such dismissal, a copy of which statement shall be forthwith filed with the Civil Service Commission or with the Council as hereinafter provided. Upon receipt of such written statement so furnished by the Manager, the Commission or Council shall fix a time and place for a public hearing, at which hearing the Civil Service Commission or the Council, as the case may be, shall have authority to investigate

11/8/77

~~the facts set forth in said written communication from the Manager containing the reasons for said dismissal, and determine the truth or falsity of said facts, the Commission or Council shall report its findings and recommendations made as a result of such hearing, and cause a copy of such findings and recommendations to be delivered to the Manager and file the original with the City Clerk. The dismissed appointee or employee in such cases shall have the right to file with the Civil Service Commission or Council, as the case may be, a written reply or answer to any charges so filed by the Manager. All written documents, including the Manager's written reasons for such dismissal, the written order of dismissal, and the reply of the dismissed appointee or employee, the findings and decisions of the Commission or Council, and any documentary evidence used at the hearing shall be filed with the proper officer of the City as public records, open for inspection at any time.~~

Appropriate rules and regulations shall be promulgated to establish procedures as may be necessary by which the dismissal provided for in this article shall be processed and effectuated.

Nothing herein contained, however, contained herein shall be construed as in any way limiting the authority and power of the Manager or such other appointing authority not under the control of the Manager to remove any appointee such unclassified officer or employee in the unclassified service of the City, appointed or employed by him, them and all such removals any order effecting said removal shall be final and conclusive.

~~In the case of removal by the Manager of the Chief of Police, the Chief of the Fire Department, the City Treasurer, or any official whose appointment is confirmed by the Council, all hearings involving the investigation of the reasons for the removal of such officer shall be conducted by the City Council, and in all other cases the hearings shall be conducted by the Civil Service Commission. In the event of a hearing before the Council, the City Clerk shall act as Clerk for such investigation, and in the event of a hearing before the Civil Service Commission, the Personnel Director shall act as the Clerk at such hearing. All such hearings shall be stenographically recorded and a full record of such hearing kept by the Clerk of the hearing.~~

BALLOT ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION E

Charter Section 30 provides that Unclassified employees appointed by the City Manager may be removed from office by order of the Manager and that this removal shall be final and conclusive. Presently, this action may be subject to an investigation and a hearing before the Civil Service Commission or City Council, however, the investigation is for informational purposes only and in no way limits the authority of the Manager in removing such employees.

The purpose of the Unclassified Service is to allow the City Manager, or appointing authority not under the Manager, the flexibility to hire those who share the same goals and objectives in operating our City. Unclassified employees are hired outside of the Civil Service merit system, thus the Manager or other appointing authority should have the right to remove an Unclassified employee at any time if they lose confidence in that employee's administrative abilities. The Civil Service Commission, City Manager, and the Council believe that neither the public, the City, or the discharged employee is served by the hearing required in the existing Charter language.

Proposition E would remove the requirement for a hearing but instead require that administrative rules and procedures be established to govern the removal from office of an Un-

11/8/77

classified employee. These procedures, in keeping with due process rights, would assure these employees the right to be informed of the reasons for removal and grant an opportunity to respond.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION E.

LaDonna Hatch, President
San Diego City Civil Service Commission

Hugh McKinley

Nick S. Atma, Vice President
San Diego City-Civil Service Commission

Vira Williams, Commissioner
San Diego City Civil Service Commission

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION F

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION F. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 70 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Removes the requirement that the Civil Service Commission provide a schedule of salaries for the information of the Council.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 70. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 70. POWER TO FIX SALARIES.

The Council shall have the power to fix salaries of the City Manager, the City Clerk, the City Treasurer, the City Auditor and Comptroller, and all other officers under its jurisdiction. All members of Commissions shall serve without compensation except where otherwise provided by State law or this Charter. Except as otherwise provided by law the City Manager and other departmental heads outside of the departments under control of the City Manager shall have power to recommend salaries and wages subject to the personnel classification and the schedule of salaries fixed determined by the Civil Service Commission, of all other officers and employees within the total amount contained in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for personal service in each of the several departments of the City Government. All increases and decreases of salary or wages of officers and employees shall be determined at the time of the preparation and adoption of the budget, and no such increase or decrease shall be effective prior to the fiscal year for which the budget is adopted; provided, however, that if during any fiscal year, the Council should find and determine that

11/8/77

because of a significant change in living costs, the salaries and wages fixed for such fiscal year are not comparable to the level of other salaries and wages of other public or private employments for comparable services, and as a result, the best interests of the City are not being protected or are in jeopardy, said Legislative Body, upon recommendation of the Manager or other department head, and if funds are available, may revise such salary and wage schedules to the extent necessary to protect the City's interests.

BALLOT ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION F

Proposition F is a housekeeping measure which would eliminate unnecessary and misleading wording from Charter Section 70. This Section presently implies that the Civil Service Commission fixes a schedule of salaries for employees, when in fact, this can only be done by the City Council. Proposition F proposes the elimination of reference to the Civil Service Commission determining the "schedule of salaries". This clarification is being proposed in light of Proposition H, which if approved by the voters, also clarifies the Commission's role in recommending wages for City employees.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION F.

LaDonna Hatch, President
San Diego City Civil Service Commission

Hugh McKinley

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

PROPOSITION G

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

<p>PROPOSITION G. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. ADDS SECTION 34 AND AMENDS SECTION 117 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Establishes the position of Legislative Analyst whose primary duty is to make recommendations for reductions in municipal spending in order to reduce taxes.</p>	<p>NO</p>	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by adding Section 34 and amending Section 117. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 34. LEGISLATIVE ANALYST.

The Council shall appoint a Legislative Analyst under this Charter, who shall serve as the chief legislative advisor to the Council. The Legislative Analyst shall be chosen by the Council solely on the basis of his proven analytical qualifications. The Legislative Analyst

11/8/77

shall be appointed for an indefinite term, but may be removed at the pleasure of the Council; provided, however, that the Legislative Analyst shall not be removed unless a majority of the members of the Council shall vote in favor of such removal. The Legislative Analyst shall receive a salary to be fixed in the annual appropriation ordinance.

It shall be the primary duty of the Legislative Analyst to make recommendations for reductions in municipal spending in order to reduce taxes. The Legislative Analyst shall prepare annually a comprehensive analysis of the City Manager's proposed budget and present his findings and recommendations to the Council.

Section 117. UNCLASSIFIED AND CLASSIFIED SERVICES.

Employment in the City shall be divided into the Unclassified and Classified Service.

(a) The Unclassified Service shall include:

1. All elective City Officers
2. Members of all boards and commissions
3. All department heads and one principal assistant or deputy in each department
4. One assistant to Mayor
5. City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and Assistants to the City Manager
6. City Clerk
7. Budget Officer
8. Purchasing Officer
9. Treasurer
10. All Assistant and Deputy City Attorneys
11. Industrial Coordinator
12. The Planning Director
13. A Confidential Secretary to the Mayor, City Council, City Manager, Police Chief, City Attorney
14. Officers and employees of San Diego Unified School District
15. Persons employed in positions for expert professional temporary service when such positions are exempted from the Classified Service for a specified period of temporary service by order of the Civil Service Commission
16. Interns including, but not limited to, Administrative Interns and Legal Interns, temporarily employed in regularly established training programs as defined in the job specifications of the City
17. Legislative Analyst.

(b) The Classified Service shall include all positions not specifically included by this section in the Unclassified Service; provided, however, that the incumbents in the positions of the Planning Director and the Principal Assistant to the Planning Director on January 1, 1963 shall remain in the Classified Service until the respective positions are vacated by the incumbents.

11/8/77

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION G

Reduction of municipal spending and reduction of your taxes—that is the purpose for establishing a Legislative Analyst position in the City of San Diego.

The whole idea of the Legislative Analyst is to reduce government spending and thereby to reduce taxes. The idea is not original. The State Legislative Analyst has saved California taxpayers millions of dollars over the years by pointing out unnecessary spending proposals offered by both the executive branch and by the legislature itself. Similarly, the General Accounting Office has served as a check upon federal spending by both the federal executive and the Congress.

However great your disappointment may be with federal and state governmental economies, the fact is that still greater excesses would unquestionably have occurred had it not been for the effective functioning of the state and federal watchdogs. Such a watchdog—a Legislative Analyst—is essential to reduce municipal spending and taxes in the City of San Diego.

Continuing inflation and ever-increasing demands for municipal services require that the decision-makers who approve the budget and set the tax rate have the best information possible to assist them in holding spending down. Only an independent professional fiscal analyst can critically examine proposals submitted by the City Manager and bureaucracy and make objective recommendations for reducing expenditures and providing more efficient delivery of existing municipal services.

Opponents of this proposition charge that a Legislative Analyst position will create friction at City Hall. We feel that if some friction is necessary in order to hold spending down then so be it.

We uniformly believe that taxpayers' dollars should be spent in the most efficient and effective manner possible and that the Legislative Analyst watchdog position can accomplish that goal.

Help reduce municipal spending and taxes in San Diego.
Vote yes on Proposition G.

Pete Wilson
Mayor

Gil Johnson
Councilman—1st District

Dan Larsen, President
San Diego Taxpayers Association

Mac Strobl
Councilman—7th District

J. Bruce Henderson, President
Association of Concerned Taxpayers (ACT)

11/8/77

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION G

The courts have ruled four times that an independent "Legislative Analyst" appointed by and responsible to the Mayor and Council does not fit into the City Manager form of government spelled out by our City Charter.

Now, the Mayor and Council are asking voters to change the Charter to fit the proposed new job! San Diegans wisely turned down such a change in 1973 by an overwhelming margin and should do so again.

Do not be misled by arguments that the change is needed as a "check and balance" on activities of the City Manager. The Charter already provides for an Auditor-Comptroller, appointed by the Mayor and Council, to do this. The Mayor and each Council member also has staff capable of independent analysis of municipal operations, and the four subcommittees of the Council have consultants advising them and analyzing the City Manager's programs.

Annual cost of these staff people is more than \$800,000, and the proposed first-year cost of the Legislative Analyst and staff is \$125,000. The growing bureaucracy, which duplicates functions of the City Manager's staff and delays and dilutes the progress of City government, should be reduced rather than expanded.

The role of the City Manager as the administrative leader of the City should not be further weakened by increasing the involvement of its political leaders in day-to-day City operations rather than broad policy matters.

Please continue San Diego's effective and economical form of government and vote NO on Proposition G.

L. R. "Lee" Hubbard, Jr.
Deputy Mayor

E. Miles Harvey
Attorney

John C. Leppert
Former Executive Manager
San Diego Taxpayers Association

Margaret Zettel Johns
Attorney

PROPOSITION H

(THIS PROPOSITION WILL APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE FOLLOWING FORM)

PROPOSITION H. CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT. AMEND SECTION 130 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Relieves the Civil Service Commission of the duty of making recommendations to the Council on minimum and maximum salaries at the time of the consideration of the annual salary ordinance and replaces it with the duty to identify classification of employees meriting special salary consideration.	YES	
	NO	

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 130. The portions to be deleted are printed in STRIKE-OUT TYPE and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

Section 130. COMPENSATION ESTABLISHED.

The Council shall by ordinance, prior to the beginning of each fiscal year, establish a schedule of compensation for officers and employees in the Classified Service, which shall

11/8/77

~~establish a minimum and maximum for any grade and provide uniform compensation for like service. It shall be the duty of the Civil Service Commission to prepare and furnish to the Council, prior to the adoption of said ordinance, for the information and guidance of the Council, a schedule of compensation recommending a minimum and maximum for any grade. The Council may adopt such schedule as presented, or make such changes therein as it may deem necessary and proper. a report identifying classifications of employees in the Classified Service which merit special salary consideration because of recruitment or retention problems, changes in duties or responsibilities, or other special factors the Commission deems appropriate. An increase in compensation, within the limits provided for any grade, may be granted at any time by the City Manager or other appointing authority upon the basis of efficiency and seniority record, after having first received the approval of the Civil Service Commission therefor.~~

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION H

Only those whom we elect—the Mayor and City Council—can or should set the city property tax rate. Obviously what most affects the amount we pay in city property taxes is what the city pays its employes. Therefore, only the City Council should have the responsibility to set salaries and fringe benefits for city workers, based upon what is fair to both the employes and to the city property taxpayers.

There should be no confusion as to who is responsible to the voters and taxpayers for setting city employe wages and benefits, and the taxes they produce—it is the Mayor and City Council.

But, currently, an out-of-date provision of the city Charter requires the appointed (not elected) Civil Service Commission—and not the Mayor and City Council—to suggest what shall be paid to city workers, based upon competition in the economic market-place, but not upon the ability of the taxpayers to pay.

Proposition H would change that and confine the commission's role in salary-setting to identifying for council consideration special problems of recruitment and retention or inequities within the merit system.

Leave salary-setting to the Mayor and City Council who at the polls are accountable to you, the voter and taxpayer. That is fair—both to city workers and to the taxpaying public.

Pete Wilson
Mayor

Hugh McKinley

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H

No argument against this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

CITY COUNCIL

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 8, 1977**

**CANDIDATES' STATEMENTS
OF QUALIFICATIONS**

San Diego Municipal Code on Elections Section 27.2204, and California Elections Code Section 10012, provide that each candidate for elective office in the City of San Diego, the San Diego Unified School District, and the San Diego Community College District may prepare a Statement of Qualifications to be submitted to all voters of each district. The following statements have been prepared and filed by the Candidates and are distributed at the candidate's expense.

01863

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 1



BILL MITCHELL
44
Businessman
UCLA Graduate
Business Administration/Finance

Bill Mitchell offers the aggressive leadership he gave as founder of Neighborhood Awareness Program against crime.

Mitchell offers positive programs against high taxes, urban sprawl, and crime. He'll stimulate business to create jobs. He'll be a vigorous initiator, a doer — not a wishy-washy rubber stamp.

The incumbent calls himself a fiscal conservative. Don't be fooled. While he brags about cutting the tax rate, he ignores the highest assessments in history. Our taxes keep going up. Government must STOP SPENDING MONEY WE DON'T HAVE!

The incumbent represents the same old faceless power structure: the city hall clique, big developers, political IOU's, and higher taxes. (Half the incumbent's primary contributions were made by big developers and their friends.)

Vote for Bill Mitchell to: oppose leapfrog development, enforce community plans, stop uncontrolled spending, create jobs, stand up for the taxpayer.

CALL [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 1



GIL JOHNSON
San Diego City Councilman

- In the primary, of the 20,655 votes cast for Gil Johnson and his opponent, Gil received 13,074 or 63.5% — a PLURALITY INDICATING THE CONFIDENCE OF HIS CONSTITUENTS in his qualifications, responsiveness, and record.
- Gil is CONCERNED OVER CRIME, resident-police cooperation, additional police manpower. He has endorsed the concept of paramedics.
- Gil will strive for middle-income housing, better services for seniors, control of urban sprawl, and means of attracting clean industry and creating much-needed jobs.
- Gil's record as a FISCAL CONSERVATIVE cannot be challenged; since he has been in office the city tax rate dropped from \$1.809 to \$1.357 or 25%.
- Gil has no outside business interests: FOR HIM BEING A COUNCILMAN IS A FULL-TIME JOB. He has no further political aspirations.
- Gil will continue to provide our city with performance, not promises; experience, not theory; and decisions based on facts, not slogans.

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 3



BILL LOWERY

In his own district, where voters know him best, Bill Lowery was FIRST in the primary election. His 42% of the vote topped six other candidates.

We think that says a lot about Bill.

Bill is a native San Diegan, small businessman, and homeowner. His wife, Katie, is a schoolteacher.

Bill has the endorsement of the prestigious San Diego Police Officers Association and many other community and civic groups.

He also is backed by key government leaders like Mayor Pete Wilson, Assemblymen Jim Ellis and Bill Craven, Supervisor Roger Hedgecock, and Councilman Tom Gade.


He understands your frustrations: property tax bills always higher than the one before, streets not as safe as once they were and an economy without enough jobs.

We need Bill to take a leadership role to preserve our quality of life physically, financially and environmentally.

(Offer Bill your support and ideas at [redacted].)

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 3



ED MILLICAN
Public Administration Professor
32

A Vietnam veteran, Ed has proven experience helping people solve problems with government as an assistant to the State Senate, Board of Supervisors, and District Attorney. He is now a professor with a PhD from UCLA and degrees from San Diego State and Yale.

Ed has lived in San Diego thirty years. He strongly supports controlled growth, more police, and new clean industry.

Ed knows the city spends too much on studies and expensive outside consultants. Because he has worked with statistics and computers, Ed knows when studies are unnecessary. He will reduce this waste.

Ed's opponent raised over \$22,000 for advertising in the primary election and is supported by powerful downtown politicians.

Ed succeeded in the primary by talking with people door-to-door. He is not the candidate of any special interest group. Ed will be your independent voice on the Council.

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 5



FLOYD L. MORROW
Age 44
Married 23 years, 3 children
City Councilman 12 years,
Deputy Mayor 1972
Attorney 18 years
BBA, LLB, University of Texas
Revenue and Taxation Committee,
League of California Cities

Chairman, Public Facilities
and Recreation Committee

Government at any level is difficult. The tremendous turnover of elected representatives at the local level makes it difficult to deal effectively with a growing and long-tenured bureaucracy.

The increasing number and complexity of local, state, and federal relationships demand skills and experience gained only through continuity of service.

I remain dedicated to providing needed local public services at the lowest possible tax level.


I now ask for the continued support of all San Diegans.

Thank you.

RE-ELECT FLOYD L. MORROW
DEDICATED AND CONCERNED INTEGRITY
DEMONSTRATED LEADERSHIP AND COURAGE

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 5



FRED SCHNAUBELT
Winner of Primary Election

FRED SCHNAUBELT not only promises lower taxes, but has fought to reduce taxes for five years:

- . . . As President of Taxpayers Concerned (1972-1975)
- . . . As Taxpayer's Representative to the County Board of Welfare (Appointed by Board of Supervisors in 1974, he helped secure a Department of Welfare study resulting in 120 recommendations which could save Taxpayers an estimated \$7-12 million)
- . . . As Editor of a Newsletter (He informs readers on tax issues, economics, and real estate.)

FRED opposes public employee strikes; and will vote against anymore wasteful multimillion dollar studies on:

- Airport Relocation
- Mass Transportation
- Downtown Redevelopment
- Taxpayer Financed Convention Center

FRED, 36, born in San Diego, has worked and lived his entire life in this City. His three children attend Clairemont schools. As a Parent, Homeowner, and Businessman he shares your concerns about education, forced busing, the environment, affordable housing, property taxes, and job opportunities.

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 7



LARRY STIRLING
Finance and
Administration Director

As City Councilman, my highest priority will be to REDUCE CRIME. My two years experience with the Police Department will enable me to help achieve that goal.

As Director of Finance for Comprehensive Planning Organization the past 41½ years, I have watched tax dollars being wasted through pure inefficiency. TAXES CAN BE REDUCED by; reducing waste in government, eliminating duplication of functions, and electing public officials who are dedicated and truly care.

I have had the good fortune of living in San Diego for the past 15 years. My children were born here and deserve to enjoy the same HIGH QUALITY OF LIFE that San Diegan's have always enjoyed and which makes San Diego the finest city in the country.

Captain, United States Army Reserves
Juris Doctorate
Member, Palisades Presbyterian Church

Larry Stirling will give San Diego the quality of representation it deserves.

11/8/77

COUNCIL DISTRICT NO. 7



EVONNE SCHULZE

FIRST PLACE WINNER —
primary election

Overwhelmingly chosen by
7th District voters.

Evonne and her family are long-time homeowners in District 7. A recognized leader, Evonne's endorsements include:

- * SAN DIEGO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION
- * Business and Professional Women's Club
- * League of Conservation Voters
- * National Women's Political Caucus
- * Life News Publications

A working leader, Evonne is:

- * Director, Community Education, San Diego City Schools
- * Chairperson, Citizen's Task Force — Human Care and Social Services, San Diego City, appointed by Councilman Leon Williams
- * Vice-chairperson, IPO Advisory Board, San Diego County, appointed by Supervisor Jim Bates
- * Board Member, San Diego Ecology Center
- * Vice-chairperson, Affirmative Action Advisory Committee, San Diego City, appointed by Mayor Pete Wilson
- * Graduate, Northwestern University
- * Member, League of Women Voters

Evonne will be a FULL TIME, FULL TERM City Council member. Evonne believes LOCAL GOVERNMENT is the most important level of government and should NOT be used as a stepping stone to higher office! !