



CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition B

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

AMENDS THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO BY ADDING SECTION 2.1. Neither the City Council nor any officer or employee of the City shall take any action, or permit any action to be taken, which directly or indirectly authorizes or permits the construction, operation or maintenance of any pipeline within the City for the transmission of any crude oil or natural gas taken or removed from any offshore crude oil or natural gas drilling or pumping operations within 100 nautical miles of the coastline of the County of San Diego; nor shall the City Council or any officer or employee of the City take any action, or permit any action to be taken, which directly or indirectly authorizes or permits the construction, operation or maintenance of any commercial or industrial facility within the City, including but not necessarily limited to crude oil or natural gas storage facilities, which operates directly or indirectly in support of any offshore crude oil or natural gas drilling or pumping operations within 100 nautical miles of the coastline of the County of San Diego.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Amend the Charter of The City of San Diego by adding section 2.1 to article I, to read as follows:

Neither the City Council nor any officer or employee of the City shall take any action, or permit any action to be taken, which directly or indirectly authorizes or permits the construction, operation or maintenance of any pipeline within the City for the transmission of any crude oil or natural gas taken or removed from any offshore crude oil or natural gas drilling or pumping operations within 100 nautical miles of the coastline of the County of San Diego; nor shall the City Council or any officer or employee of the City take any action, or permit any action to be taken, which directly or indirectly authorizes or permits the construction, operation or maintenance of any commercial or industrial facility within the City, including but not necessarily limited to crude oil or natural gas storage facilities, which operates directly or indirectly in support of any offshore crude oil or natural gas drilling or pumping operations within 100 nautical miles of the coastline of the County of San Diego.

PR002.1

242-30.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

San Diego's coastline and beaches are among its most prized natural assets.

Yet uncaring bureaucrats in Washington continue to insist the area off our coast be opened to oil and gas exploration.

Proposition B would amend the City Charter to protect San Diego's coast by prohibiting construction of on-shore facilities which would aid drilling for oil and natural gas off our coastline.

The risks to San Diego's coast are too great and the potential benefits too small to justify the search for oil off San Diego.

That's why the support for Proposition B is virtually unanimous.

The Mayor and San Diego City Council support it. So do the Sierra Club, Save Our Shores and numerous community planning groups.

Not only would drilling create the possibility of disastrous oil spills like the one at Santa Barbara, but it would bring visual blight and added air pollution that would make it even tougher to meet State and Federal clean air standards.

Our tourism and fishing industries would suffer seriously in the event of an oil spill. And the Navy may be required to move certain activities because its coastal training areas could be impaired.

<u>Proposition B gives San Diegans a chance to say "no" to Big Oil, to save San Diego's natural beauty from outside interests.</u>

On Nov. 4, cast a vote for keeping YOUR coast and beaches free of oil and pollution.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition B.

Ed Struiksma Deputy Mayor, City of San Diego

San Diego City Councilmember

Mike Gotch

Dr. Cedric Garland Chairman, Save Our Shores Susan A. Carter, President Citizens Coordinate for Century III.

242-31

Mayor and City Council of San Diego

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

No argument against the proposition was filed in the office of the City Clerk.

PR002.2

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Proposition C

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)



AMENDS PEOPLE'S ORDINANCE NO. 7691. Shall People's Ordinance No. 7691 relating to City Refuse as adopted in 1919 be amended to :

Define "refuse", "residential refuse", "nonresidential refuse", "residential facility", "nontransient occupancy" and "small business enterprise";

Authorize the City Council to regulate by ordinance the collection, transportation and disposal of refuse so that residential refuse shall be collected, transported and disposed of by the City at least once each week with no City fee imposed for same by City Forces;

Provide that the City shall not collect nonresidential refuse except that, if authorized by the City Council, nonresidential refuse from a small business enterprise may be collected, limited to once a week service in an amount no greater than one hundred fifty percent (150%) of the refuse generated by an average City residential dwelling unit and there shall be no City fee imposed for the same by City Forces; nor enter upon private property to collect refuse absent a case of public emergency or pursuant to an agreement in effect as of this ordinance;

Provide that fees established by ordinance of the City Council for disposal of nonresidential refuse shall not exceed the full ascertainable cost to the City for such disposal; and

Provide that pursuant to ordinance the City Manager may promulgate rules and regulations to provide for the collection, transportation and disposal of refuse?

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER VI, ARTICLE 6, DIVISION 1 OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 66.0123 RELATING TO REFUSE.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the People of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That the provisions of the People's Ordinance, Ordinance No. 7691, sections 1 through 13 thereof, adopted and ratified April 18, 1919, as amended by section 14 adopted and added by election on November 3, 1981, and codified in San Diego Municipal Code section 66.0123, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 66.0123 REFUSE COLLECTION

(a) As used in this People's Ordinance:

 "Refuse" means waste material of any nature or description generated within the City limits, excluding hazardous or toxic chemicals, wastes, materials or substances as defined now or hereafter by federal or state law or regulation;

 (ii) "Residential Refuse" means refuse, as defined herein, normally generated from a Residential Facility and which is placed at the curb line of public streets at designated times in approved containers;

PR002.3

242-32

(iii) "Nonresidential Refuse" means all refuse that is not Residential Refuse, as defined herein: "Residential Facility" means a single family or multi-family residential (iv) structure used and occupied for Nontransient Occupancy; "Nontransient Occupancy" means occupancy through ownership, (v) lease or rental for periods of one month or more. (vi) "Small business enterprise" means a commercial establishment providing sales and services to the public and licensed or taxed by the City. No person shall collect, transport or dispose of any refuse except as provided (b) herein. The City Council shall by ordinance regulate and control the collection, (c) transportation and disposal of all refuse provided that: (i) Residential Refuse shall be collected, transported and disposed of by the City at least once each week and there shall be no City fee imposed or charged for this service by City forces; The City shall not collect Nonresidential Refuse, except that (ii)

Nonresidential Refuse from a small business enterprise may be collected by City Forces if authoriized by the City Council and limited to once a week service in an amount no greater than one hundred fifty percent (150%) of the refuse generated by an average City residential dwelling unit. There shall be no City fee imposed or charged for this service by City Forces;

ģ

242-33

The City shall not enter upon any private property to collect any refuse except in the case of public emergency or pursuant to a hold harmless agreement in effect as of the date of adoption of this ordinance;

Fees established by ordinance of the City Council for disposal of Nonresidential Refuse shall not exceed the full ascertainable cost to the City for such disposal.

(d) Pursuant to the ordinance duly adopted by the City Council, the City Manager may then duly promulgate such rules and regulations as are appropriate to provide for the collection, transportation and disposal of refuse.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the day from and after its passage.

PR002.4

(iii)

(iv)

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

A yes vote on Proposition C will modernize antiquated language and procedures existing in the 67 year old "People's Ordinance" and allow the City Manager to promulgate rules and regulations for efficient refuse collection, transportation and disposal.

When the "People's Ordinance" was adopted in 1919, most of the refuse generated was garbage used as livestock feed. Residents were required by the "People's Ordinance" to separate their garbage, "nightsoil" and trash in sixteen gallon containers, as well as to call the Board of Health within three hours when an animal died within the city limits. This language and similar requirements contained in the existing "People's Ordinance" are outdated and are no longer enforced. This Proposition will eliminate the antiquated language and unreasonable requirements of the "People's Ordinance" and continue to give city residents weekly curbside service at the public rights-of-way on a no-fee basis. It will also allow small businesses to be provided this service on a similar basis, limited to an amount no greater than 150% of the refuse generated by an average residential dwelling.

This Proposition gives the City Council the ability to make the decisions that are necessary for the efficient and cost effective collection, transportation and disposal of refuse under modern requirements, and allows the City Manager to issue rules and regulations for the efficient operation of this system.

Mayor and City Council Sylvester Murray of San Diego

PR002.5

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

242-34

No argument against the proposition was filed in the office of the City Clerk.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Proposition D

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

AMENDMENT OF PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Shall the Progress Guide and General Plan be amended to shift 178 acres of land (consisting of 166 acres owned by The City of San Diego and 12 acres privately owned) from the "future urbanizing" designation to the "planned urbanizing" designation so that the 166 acres may be traded by the City to Genstar Development, Inc. for 291 acres of land presently owned by Genstar plus payment by Genstar to City of approximately \$1,000,000?

CITY ATTORNEY'S IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS

This measure is a proposed amendment to the Progress Guide and General Plan which would shift 178 acres of land within the City from "future urbanizing" to "planned urbanizing." It is the first measure to be brought to the voters under Proposition A of November 1985.

Future urbanizing land is mostly vacant and is to be held as an "urban reserve" rather than be developed before "planned urbanizing" areas have been developed fully.

Proposition A, approved by the voters in November 1985, requires that land shifts from "future urbanizing" be approved by a majority vote of the people. Thus, this 178 acres (including 166 acres which are presently owned by the City) cannot be shifted from "future urbanizing" unless this measure passes.

In August 1985, the City Council approved an agreement with Genstar Development, Inc. which would exchange 291 acres of Genstar land and approximately \$1 million for the 166 acres of City land. The City Council also has, by a resolution, stated its intention to (1) dedicate as park land, pursuant to the City Charter, the 291 acres as part of the Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve, (2) expend the approximately \$1 million for the maintenance and enhancement of the Preserve, (3) limit improvements on the 291 acres to those directly related to park use and (4) require the dedication of rights of way for, among other things, Interstate 5 and the San Diego Trolley on the land to be developed by Genstar.

The City Planning Department has determined that (1) the development of 178 acres would not encourage urban sprawl, (2) the development would not affect prime agricultural land and (3) the development can be accomplished consistent with Planned Urbanizing policies.

The trade with Genstar will go forward only if this measure is approved and the City grants rezoning, subdivision map approvals and other development approvals for the 166 acres. The normal City review process will pertain to all of these actions. It is the City's policy to require the developing landowner to dedicate and improve necessary and required streets and highways and necessary widening thereof, as well as easements for and construction of certain public facilities and utilities.

JOHN W. WITT City Attorney

PR002.6

242-35

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

PROPOSITION D

MEANS MORE CANYON OPEN SPACE FOR SAN DIEGANS TO ENJOY

A YES vote on Proposition D will give San Diegans the opportunity to acquire 291 parkland acres, plus approximately \$1 million to maintain and beautify Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve.

In return, the City will exchange, for development, a 166-acre property immediately east of I-5, next to land already approved for industrial use.

The 291 acres San Diegans will receive in the trade are adjacent to the scenic waterfalls area in the 2,530-acre Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve.

YOUR VOTE FOR PROPOSITION D WILL HELP THE CITY ACHIEVE ITS GOAL OF ACQUIRING PRIME, NATURAL PARKLAND THAT ALL SAN DIEGANS WILL BE ABLE TO ENJOY.

Besides the signers below, Friends of Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve and Citizens Coordinate for Century III have approved making the 291 acres a dedicated part of the Preserve.

The San Diego City Council has passed a resolution stating its intention to:

1. dedicate the 291 acres as parkland in the Preserve;

2. use the \$1 million for maintenance and enhancement of the Preserve;

- 3. limit improvements in the 291 acres to those directly related to park use; and
- 4. require, for development of the 166 acres, rights-of-way necessary for expansion of I-5 and the Trolley.

Proposition D assures the most appropriate use for both pieces of property. It's a good deal for all San Diego. The benefits are:

- More parkland
- * \$1 million for park maintenance and beautification
- Preservation of 291 acres of natural habitat near the beautiful waterfails in Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve
- * A land trade allowing the best utilization of both properties

THE SIERRA CLUB SAN DIEGANS FOR MANAGED GROWTH LOS PENASQUITOS CANYON PRESERVE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND ELECTED OFFICIALS

ASK YOU TO VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D IT'S GOOD FOR SAN DIEGO

Abbe Wolfsheimer, 1st District Councilmember Ruth Duemler, Chairperson San Diego Chapter, The Sierra Club

David Kreitzer, Chairman San Diegans for Managed Growth Pam Stevens, Chairperson Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve Citizens Advisory Committee

242~36

Ed Struiksma, Deputy Mayor Chairman, Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve Task Force

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

No argument against the proposition was filed in the office of the City Clerk.

PR002.7