Internal Control Items with a Direct Impact on Financial Reporting - Budget

Estimated Completion Remediation Date Date per Item Complete per Reported as Tested by Internal Audit? Description of Finding Source Remedial Actions taken by Management [1] Number Management? Remediated Management if Remediation 2 Not Complete NA Yes - Reviewed the Agenda for Committee The CFO and Mayor should submit annually to Mayor's 8/24/06 Per 11/22/06 3rd Mayor Kroll Status report: Nov-06 Yes on Budget and Finance of the City Council the City Council as part of the annual budgeting Response to Complete. The Mayor announced the City's first process, a rolling five-year proposed plan that Remedial five year financial plan on November 14, 2006. meeting for November 29, 2006 in which the contains major items, including capital Recommendations The plan will be presented to the City Council on CFO presented his report on the Five Year Financial Plan. Also reviewed was the Five expenditures, deferred maintenance, debt Found in the Kroll November 29, 2006. The plan addresses major Year Financial Outlook. This item has been payments and other major contractual obligations, Report items, including capital expenditures, deferred major revenues by category and a forecast of gross maintenance, debt payments and other major accepted by Internal Audit as remediated. cash receipts and gross cash expenditures. contractual obligations, major revenues by category and a forecast of gross cash receipts and gross cash expenditures. The plan can be accessed on the City's website at: http://www.sandiego.gov/mayor/pdf/five year pla n 11 15.pdf The City should publish, along with the annual Mayor's 8/24/06 Yes Complete per 10/30/06 2nd Mayor Kroll Status Oct-06 NA No budget, the significant assumptions that can Response to report. The City's Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 budget is materially affect the budget, and a comparison of Remedial complete and will be distributed in November. these assumptions against actual experience in Recommendations Included in this document is a section that recent years. Found in the Kroll describes the assumptions used to develop the Report budget. As the City develops its first five-year financial plan, the projections for the current fiscal year will be frequently updated. This will provide a working tool for the City Council to compare 2 budgeted assumptions to actual data. The City of San Diego does not have actual data for FYs 2003-2005 to illustrate actual experience against the budget. In preparation of the FY 2008 budget and subsequent FY budgets, significant assumptions will be compared against actual experience for prior years.

Internal Control Items with a Direct Impact on Financial Reporting - Budget

| ltem Number | Description of Finding | Source | Remediation Complete per Management? | Remedial Actions taken by Management [1] | Date Reported as Remediated [2] | Estimated Completion Date per Management if Remediation Not Complete | Tested by Internal Audit? |
|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| 3 | The City budget should be presented by month, by department. | Mayor's 8/24/06 Response to Reincdial Recommendations Found in the Kroll Report | Yes | Per 10/30/06 2nd Mayor Kroll Status report: Complete. The City budget by month, by department for the first two accounting periods was provided to the City Council and presented to the Budget and Finance Committee on October 18, 2006. This report also contains budget to actual comparisons and an explanation of significant variances. The report was made public on the City's website. A similar report will be published on a quarterly basis. Additionally, on September 13, 2006. The Fiscal Year 2006 Summary Report was presented to the City Council. This report contains a final budget-to-actual comparison, along with explanations of variances by department. | Oct-06 | NA | No |
| 4 | At least quarterly, a report should be prepared reflecting budget-to-actual comparisons by department in aggregate, with an explanation of significant variances, to be disseminated to the public. | | | | Oc1-06 | NA | No |
| | The City budget should present budget-to-actual comparisons by department, fiscal year-to-date, along with variances on a monthly basis. | | Yas | | Oct-06 | NA | No |
| 6 | At year-end, a budget should be presented to the City Council containing a final budget-to-actual comparison, along with an explanation of variances by department | | | | Oct-06 | NA | No |
| | Inter-departmental transfers to meet budget goals, or for any other purpose, should not be permitted unless approved in advance by the City Council. | Mayor's 8/24/06 Response to Remedial Recommendations Found in the Kroll Report | | Per 10/5/06 1st Mayor Kroll Status report: It is staff's position that this has been implemented. First, the Appropriation Ordinance has been modified to remove the kind of flexibility to move funds around that were given the City Manager and Auditor and Comptroller in prior fiscal years. In addition, the City Council, through the Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) Ordinance still has the authority to review and take action on any and all movement of funds which result from BPRs prior to staff's processing any transfers. The City Council will be asked to approve the ultimate movement of funds via an amendment to the Appropriation Ordinance periodically througbout the fiscal year. | Oci-06 | NA | Νο |

Internal Control Items with a Direct Impact on Financial Reporting - Budget

Estimated Date Completion Remediation Date per Item Reported as Complete per Tested by Internal Audit? **Description of Finding** Source Remedial Actions taken by Management [1] Number Management? Remediated Management if Remediation 121 Not Complete The City's budgeting process needs to be A&C Internal Yes This item is from the Auditor's Annual Report on Oct-06 NA No improved. These include revising the structure of Control Report Internal Controls, 1/1/2006. Since the release of the budget to more accurately reflect the this report, the Kroll Report had similar organizational structure of the City and the need to recommendations pertaining to the budgeting estimate budgeted revenues and expenditures on a process - Kroll Items 8 and 11. These items were monthly basis. In addition, the City can improve noted as complete in the Mayor's 10/5/06 1st Kroll the construction of the City's annual Status report. The only item not covered in Kroll Appropriations Ordinance in order to enhance the items 8 and 11 was the modification of the City Council's control over budget adjustments. Appropriations Ordinance, However, this to has 8 Therefore, an enhanced budgeting process should been reinediated per the Mayor's 10/5/06 1st Kroll be created requiring monthly estimates of Status report. budgeted expenditures and revenues, monthly monitoring of results for each City department, The Appropriation Ordinance has been modified to and a more accurate reflection of the City's remove the kind of Nexibility to move funds organizational structure. Modify the around that were given the City Manager and Appropriations Ordinance to enhance City Auditor and Comptroller in prior fiscal years. Council's control over budget adjustments. NA No Budget monitoring and development of future A&C Internal Yes In October 2006, the Budget and Finance Oct-07 budgets could be further enhanced through a Control Report Committee presented the first report to City monthly allocation of the annual budget. An Council. This report reflected the new approach to allocated budget would facilitate enhanced monitoring the annual budget and included actual expenditures and revenue data for periods 1 and 2 monitoring and thereby enable the Mayor and Q Council to be apprised on budgetary differences in of FY2007. a more timely manner. Timely notification would also allow for the appropriate action by city Council to reprioritize resources in a flexible budgeting process.

| ltern Number | Description of Finding | Source | Remediation Complete per Management? | Remedia) Actions taken by Management [1] | Date Reported as Remediated [2] | Estimated Completion Date per Management if Remediation Not Complete | Tested by Internal Audit? |
|-----------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| 10 | Budgets do not reflect actual expenditures, especially when departments are asked to provide certain increased level of service with no additional resources. As a result, budget forecasts are not accurate. | A&C Internal Control Report | | In October 2006, the Budget and Finance Committee presented the first report to City Council. This report reflected the new approach to monitoring the annual budget and included actual expenditures and revenue data for periods 1 and 2 of FY2007. | Oct-07 | NĂ | Νσ |
| 11 | Ongoing expenditures should be supported by ongoing revenues: capital projects should identify all future costs considerations and financial impacts, including direct and indirect costs for cacli Enterprise Fund; activities supported by user fees should be fully cost recoverable; discretionary General Fund revenues should not be carmarked; increase the General Fund reserve to the range of 7 10%; budget development should be guided by a long term or strategic plan proposed by the Mayor and adopted by the City Council; and after adoption, annual budgets should be amended only for urgent needs. Specific funding sources should be identified to pay for these needs. | Remedial Recommendations Found in the Kroll | process improvements | Completed: 5 Year Outlook completed in Nov 2006 and revised in April FY2007 and December 2008. Includes operating costs of capital projects approved by Council to date. Reserve policy adopted by Council November 2007 establishes reserve policy goal of 8% by 2012 that is also included in the 5 Year Outlook. Budget amended in mid-year budget adjustment process established in FY2007. Specific funding sources are identified for all new funding requests. Capital Projects Prioritization Policy presented and accepted at Budget and Finance Committee-policy requires all project custs are included in project request and 5 Year Outlook. | Dcc-07 | NA | No |
| | In the past several years, the City has not properly budgeted sufficient resources for the Public Liability Fund in order for it to meet its annual expenditure requirements. | A&C Internal Control Report | | Risk Management makes a recommendation annually to Financial Management in the budget preparation cycle based on known and anticipated losses in the coming fiscal year. Financial Management, the CFO and ultimately Council determine the amount budgeted. | NA | Ongoing | No |

Footnotes:

[1] Per information provided to Internal Audit by Management Staff and information provided via the Mayoral Kroll Status updates.

[2] "Date Reported" refers to the date the finding was reported as remediated via: 1) Memos from Management, 2) Mayoral Kroll Status Reports, 3) Status Reports to Internal Audit from Process Owners, 4) Independent Auditor's Reports and 5) Report from John Dyer, Mayoral Consultant, to Internal Audit.