

Summary:

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 (Santaluz), Improvement Area No. 1, California; Special Assessments

Primary Credit Analyst:

Sussan Corson, New York (1) 212-438-2014; sussan_corson@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Alda A Mostofi, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5061; alda_mostofi@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 (Santaluz), Improvement Area No. 1, California; Special Assessments

Credit Profile

US\$52.9 mil spl tax rfdg bnds ser 2011A due 09/01/2030

Long Term Rating

BBB+/Stable

New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'BBB+' rating, and stable outlook, to San Diego Community Facilities District No. #2 (Santaluz) Improvement Area 1 series 2011A special tax refunding bonds.

The rating reflects what we view as:

- An only adequate 15.6:1.0 direct and overlapping value-to-lien ratio after reductions in assessed value (AV) in the past few years;
- A large 18% of the fiscal 2012 levy from properties without improvement value and 20% of the levy from properties with less than a 10:1 overlapping value to lien; and
- Only adequate coverage of 1.09x annual debt service from the 2012 special tax levy including property without improvement value.

The preceding credit weaknesses are offset in part by what we consider:

- A diverse, primarily residential district located in San Diego;
- Improved collection rate in past couple of years after increased delinquencies in 2008 and 2009; and
- A closed parity lien except for refunding purposes.

The bonds are secured by special taxes collected from real property within the district, net of administrative expenses.

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 is 20 miles northeast of downtown San Diego, and encompasses 2,546 gross acres. The district is primarily residential with about 90% of the parcels complete, although taxes from the completed parcels generate only about 80% of the special tax levy.

The district's direct and overlapping value-to-lien ratio as a whole is 15.6:1.0 in fiscal 2012, reflecting what we consider to be a relatively large amount of district debt. The direct overall value to lien in fiscal 2012 is 20.8:1.0. About 20% of the special tax levy in 2012 is paid by parcels with direct and overlapping value-to-lien ratios of less than 10:1. AV has fallen by 12% since fiscal 2009 to about \$1.1 billion in fiscal 2012, after rising 128% in the previous three years. While the obligation to pay special taxes is unrelated to AV, AV does drive value-to-lien ratios on the properties.

In our view, the tax base is diverse, with the 10 leading taxpayers representing only 9% of the levy. The leading

taxpayer, a golf course, comprises only 2.77% of the fiscal 2012 special tax levy. The next leading taxpayer, National Bank of Arizona, represents only 0.7% of the 2012 levy.

Special tax rates vary across parcels based on square footage. The fiscal 2012 actual special tax ranged from \$1,588 for a parcel under 1,750 square feet to \$8,040 for a residential custom lot; the corresponding assigned maximum rates range from \$2,140- \$10,830. An "undeveloped" property, as defined by the rate and method, is property owned by owners in the business of home building. The assigned maximum special tax for an undeveloped lot is very high, in our view, at \$22,969; there are 24 undeveloped parcels left in the district. While the district has not levied special taxes on undeveloped property as defined by the rate and method, the 2012 special tax levy is imposed on parcels that are vacant and undeveloped and that are owned by nonhome builders. Officials indicate the represented 2012 levy of \$4.5 million conservatively does not include taxes collected on 14 custom lots for which the owners have disputed previous years' levies based on their assertion that they should be classified as undeveloped property. District officials estimate about \$640,000 could be owed in reimbursement of previously collected taxes if identified properties are reclassified as undeveloped. We understand these funds have already been set aside and that the district would not need to increase the levy to fund these reimbursements.

The median value for a noncustom home in the district is \$817,000 and the median value for a custom improved lot is \$2.2 million. For a sample residential unit in the district with an AV of \$617,000, the total overlapping effective tax rate in fiscal 2012 was 1.5% of value. Likewise, the effective tax rate for a sample improved custom lot with AV of \$2.2 million is about 1.5%; however, the sample effective tax rate for custom lots without improvement value is much higher at almost 3.0% of value. Maximum special taxes escalated 2% per year through July 2010 and if necessary, could escalate 2% in July of 2011 and 2012; however, the maximum tax cannot escalate beyond fiscal 2013.

Given the level of unimproved property, about 20% of the fiscal 2012 levy is generated by properties with a value to lien under 10:1, which we consider weak.

As of September 2011, delinquencies moderated to 1.6%, down from 5.2% and 6.8% in September of 2008 and 2009, respectively. Also as of that date, substantially all of the previous year delinquencies had been collected. We understand the district sets the actual levy based on historical delinquency rates, estimated administrative fees, and debt service. Officials have pursued judicial foreclosures of several properties that met delinquency thresholds.

The district's 2012 levy represents 73% of its maximum levy. The maximum tax levy would generate \$6.2 million, which would cover pro forma annual debt service in each year by 1.5x. However, officials estimate a lower practical levy given state law that limits the levy increase to 10% on residential owners as a result of delinquencies. Assuming the district continued to levy at about 1.1x coverage, the district could withstand a 13% delinquency rate over the life of the bonds with the use of the debt service reserve.

Median household effective buying income for the City of San Diego is what we view as strong at 115% of the national levels. Unemployment for the city was 10.2% in August 2011, compared with 4.8% in August 2007. The city's August 2011 unemployment rate is above the 9.1% national levels; however, it remains below the 12.1% state level.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the district's diversity and high medium home values, which we believe will likely provide support for a relatively high levy. The outlook also reflects our expectation that recent moderation of delinquency rates will continue. Given the level of unimproved properties in the district, we are unlikely to raise the rating in the next two years. If development in the long term causes AV in the district to increase such that debt ratios moderate, we could raise the rating. If delinquency rates were to return to the higher levels seen in previous years, we could lower the rating.

Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: Special-Purpose Districts, June 14, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.