RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

JOB ORDER NUMBER: 43-0104

#### CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 643574 SPRINT – MADDOX PARK PROJECT NO. 147270 PLANNING COMMISSION

This Conditional Use Permit (CUP) No. 643574 is granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to the City of San Diego, Owner, and Sprint/Nextel, Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 141.0420. The site is located at 7799 Flanders Drive in the OP-1-1 zone of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. The project site is legally described as Lot 221 of Mira Mesa West Unit No. 2.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to construct and operate a Wireless Communication Facility, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated May 14, 2009, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Three 40-foot tall light standards supporting 6 antennas each and an equipment shelter with associated equipment located at the perimeter of the park;
- Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);
- c. Accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted community plan, California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s), conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC in effect for this site.

#### STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of this permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in affect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.
- 2. This Conditional Use Permit [CUP] and corresponding use of this site shall expire on May 14, 2019. Upon expiration of this Permit, the facilities and improvements described herein shall be removed from this site and the property shall be restored to its original condition preceding approval of this Permit, unless the applicant of record files a new application for a facility which will be subject to compliance with all regulations in effect at the time.
- 3. No later than ninety (90) days prior to the expiration date of this CUP, the Owner/Permittee may submit a new permit application to the City for consideration with review and a decision by the appropriate decision maker at that time.
- 4. Under no circumstances, does approval of this permit authorize Sprint Nextel or subsequent permittee or owner to utilize the communication antenna structure or site for wireless communication purposes beyond the permit expiration date. Implicit use of this permit beyond the effective date of this permit is prohibited.
- 5. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:
  - The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
  - b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.
- 6. Unless this Permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this Permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the Development Services Department.
- 7. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this Permit and all referenced documents.
- 8. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.
- 9. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies

including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

- 10. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical and plumbing codes and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.
- 11. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.
- 12. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded the special rights which the holder of the Permit is entitled as a result of obtaining this Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

13. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, applicant shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and applicant regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by applicant.

#### **ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:**

- 14. All excavated material listed to be exported, shall be exported to a legal disposal site in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (the "Green Book"), 2003 edition and Regional Supplement Amendments adopted by Regional Standards Committee.
- 15. The drainage system proposed for this development, as shown on the Site Plan, is private and subject to approval by the City Engineer.
- 16. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the applicant shall obtain a Public Right-of-Way permit for the proposed work in the Flanders Drive Right-of-Way. Applicant shall show all of the proposed work on City Standard "D Sheets" and upon completion and acceptance of the work by the City Engineer, the applicant shall as-built the drawings, all satisfactory to the City Engineer.
- 17. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Applicant shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.
- 18. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Applicant shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.
- 19. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Applicant shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.
- 20. Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within 90 days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code 66020.

#### LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

- 21. Prior to issuance of any construction permits for buildings the Permittee/Owner shall submit complete landscape and irrigation construction documents consistent with the Land Development Manual, Landscape Standards to the Development Services Department for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department.
- 22. Prior to Final Inspection, it shall be the responsibility of the Permittee/Owner to install all required landscape.
- 23. The Permittee/Owner shall maintain all landscape in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted. The trees shall be maintained in a safe manner to allow each tree to grow to its mature height and spread.

24. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, the Permittee or Subsequent Owner is responsible to repair and/or replace any landscape in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department within 30 days of damage or prior to a Final Landscape Inspection.

#### PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

- 25. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.
- 26. No "dog houses" are permitted at the base of the light standards or the equipment shelter. All cabling shall be routed internally and underground.
- 27. Proposed park outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the developed portions of the park only and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC. Lighting shall be directed away from residential uses. The light system shall have an automatic timer system to be controlled by the Park & Recreation Department.

#### INFORMATION ONLY:

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed
  as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within
  ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the
  City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code §66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on May 14, 2009, PC-XXXX.

#### ATTACHMENT 8

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.:

CUP/643574

Date of Approval:

5/14/09

1	UTHENTICATE	D BY THE	DEVELOR	MENT	SERVICES	DEPARTMENT

Alexander Hempton
TITLE: Associate Planner

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

City of San Diego, Real Estate Assets Owner

Sprint/Nextel
Permittee

By \_\_\_\_\_\_NAME \_\_\_\_\_TITLE

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

Rev. 02/04/08 rh

#### PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. XXXX CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT – 643574 SPRINT – MADDOX PARK

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, Owner, and SPRINT/NEXTEL, Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to construct, operate, and maintain a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) consisting of three 40-foot tall light standards with 18 attached antennas and an adjacent equipment enclosure (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit No. 643574);

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 7799 Flanders Drive in the OP-1-1 zone of the Mira Mesa Community Plan;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot 221 of Mira Mesa West Unit No. 2;

WHEREAS, on May 14, 2009, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Conditional Use Permit No. 643574 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the City Council adopts the following written Findings, dated May 14, 2009.

#### FINDINGS:

#### Conditional Use Permit - Section 126.0305

## 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

Section A.15 of the Urban Design section of the City of San Diego's General Plan addresses Wireless Facilities. The intent is to minimize the visual impact of wireless facilities. The General Plan states that wireless facilities should be concealed in existing structures when possible, or otherwise use camouflage and screening techniques to hide or blend the facilities into the surrounding area. By attaching the antennas (flush mounted) to three new park light standards, the WCF will blend in to the park setting and meet the intent of the City's General Plan and the WCF regulations.

The General Plan continues to state that facilities should be designed to be "aesthetically pleasing and respectful to the neighborhood context." This is an Open Space zone developed as an active park space. The proposed park light standards will allow greater night-time use of the park and at the same time provide cellular phone coverage to the park and surrounding areas. The design of this WCF, primarily as park light standards, will respect the neighborhood context.

The Mira Mesa Community Plan does not address WCF with a specific land use recommendation. Based on the project's modifications, the facility will comply with the City of

San Diego's General Plan as it relates to Wireless Facilities, and this project would not adversely affect the land use plan.

## 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare;

The Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." The proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. To insure that the FCC standards are being met, a condition has been added to the permit that requires that Sprint/Nextel submit a RF study to demonstrate compliance with the applicable FCC regulations prior to building permit issuance. Therefore, based on the above, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area within matters of the City's jurisdiction.

# 3. The proposed development will comply to the maximum extent feasible with the regulations of the Land Development Code; and

WCF's are required to blend in and camouflage within areas where they are proposed. In this case, the installation of three 40-foot high light standards primarily function as light standards and also act as an antenna facility. The WCF regulations call for WCF's designed as light standards or flag poles to mimic the diameter and tapering that are typical of such vertical elements and this facility complies with that requirement. The antennas are flush mounted to the poles, as opposed to being located away from the pole, which further attempts to maintain the streamlined shape of the vertical element and makes the antennas more visually appealing than if they were separated a certain distance from the pole. Equipment associated with the antennas is located in an enclosed building located on the perimeter of the park and screened with landscape material. Cables associated with the antennas are to be routed internally within the pole and directly underground to eliminate the need for obtrusive above-ground cable boxes immediately adjacent to the poles. Based on these considerations, this project complies to the maximum extent feasible with the regulations of the Land Development Code.

#### 4. The proposed use is appropriate at the proposed location.

The City of San Diego encourages wireless carriers to locate on non-residential properties. In this case, the carrier was able to locate in such a location, which is preferable to locating in a residential zone with a residential use. The proposed use is appropriate at the proposed location.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Conditional Use Permit No. 643574 is hereby APPROVED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit No. 643574, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Alex Hempton, AICP Associate Planner Development Services

Adopted on: May 14, 2009

Job Order No. 43-0104

### Mira Mesa Community Planning Group Meeting Minutes November 17, 2008

#### Attendees:

Jeff Stevens	Erwin Rose	Mark Kornheiser	Jori Tulkki
Joe Frichtel	Marvin Miles	Phil Lisotta	Linda Geldner
Rich Ragus	John Brand	lan Firth	Ted Brengel

#### Agenda Deletions or Additions None.

#### 2. Approval of the Minutes

A motion was made (Stevens/Frichtel) to approve the minutes from the October 2008 meeting. Motion approved (8-0-3).

#### 3. Information Items

- a. Council District 5 Update Khoa Nguyen announced that this would be his last meeting. Chairman Brengel expressed the group's thanks for his service to the MMCPG.
- b. MCAS Miramar No report.
- c. Planning Department No report.
- d. Pedestrian Bridge Update Michael Edmond reported that several potential funding sources were being investigated. The possibility of FBA funding was raised. Letters have been written to both Cal Trans and the Governors office.
- e. San Vicente Pipeline Update Wade Griffith reported that work on the tunnel itself is 80% complete. Pipe segments will begin to be installed in December. The project is expected to be complete in 2010.
- f. Public Comment John Horst requested that safety improvements at the intersection of Galvin and Ice Skate Place be included as an agenda item at the next meeting.

#### 4. Correspondence

A copy of the I-15 direct access ramp (DAR) environmental impact report (EIR) was received. There is a link to the report on the Mira Mesa town council web site, a hard copy is available for review in the Mira Mesa library.

#### 5. Chairman's Report - No report.

#### 6. New Business

a. Qualcomm Building BA-Phil Lisotta, Director of Architecture.

Qualcomm is requesting a modification to the existing Planned Development Permit for building BA at 5530 Morehouse Drive. The update would allow for a single building vs. the original proposal of two buildings and for 100% multi-tenant office use vs. the original permit for 50% multi-tenant.

The single building would be 11 stories high. Juan Lias reports that MCAS has no objection to the proposal. Joe Frichtel suggested that Qualcomm consider additional FBA fee contribution in recognition of the additional use. A motion to support the project (Brand/Stevens) was approved (8-2-2).

#### 7. Old Business

a. Capricorn Way Turn Restrictions.

Residents and other interested parties spoke in favor and in opposition of the proposal to remove the turn restrictions. Mrs. Spencer represented that she had received over 300 signatures in support of the removal.

The primary issues appear to be that the current situation is inconvenient in a variety of ways for both residents and commuters in the area, while the original reason for the installation of the restrictions was to improve safety and reduce traffic volume. Over 20 residents spoke in favor of a variety of changes to the current situation, including additional signage, complete closure of Capricorn Way to all but residential traffic, a variety of traffic calming measures in conjunction with the removal of the turn restrictions, closure to commercial vehicles and additional enforcement using cameras, turning Capricorn way into a court or making it a one way street.

After lengthy and often heated discussion, it was suggested that the planning group request input from City staff and that the issue be tabled to the next meeting.

#### b. Scripps Ranch Relining Project.

Scott Robinson presented information regarding the San Diego Water Authority project to re line major supply pipelines between Mira Mesa/Scripps Ranch and Mission Trails. Construction will begin in September 2009 with completion anticipated by July 2010. The project will be presented at a public hearing on December 18th. Working hours will be limited, 7 am-7 pm, Monday to Friday and 8 am to 4 pm on Saturdays.

Action was trailed to the January meeting pending outcome of the public hearing in December.

#### c. Sprint Maddox Park CUP Modification.

Debra Gardner presented a proposal to modify the existing CUP to replace a cellular telephone antenna in the form of an artificial tree with light standards incorporating the antennas.

A motion was made (Kornheiser/Geldner) to support the change with the provision that lighting times and controls must be acceptable to park users and residents in the area. The motion was approved (11-0-1).

#### d. Direct Access Ramp (DAR) for I-15 Managed Lanes.

Corey Bins of Calrans presented the two most likely alternatives for DAR's in the Mira Mesa area, Galvin Avenue and Hillery Drive. Bins indicated that construction is anticipated in 2012.

Discussion of the relative merits of each project included concern that both locations are close to schools and that traffic flow in these areas is already unacceptable at rush hour. The principles of both Hague and Walker elementary schools expressed concerns regarding safety due to a likely increase in congestion.

Several members questioned project staff regarding the number of parking spaces at the proposed park and ride locations for each alternative. The Galvin alternative includes an upgrade to the existing park and ride behind Best Buy, while the Hillery alternative would incorporate use of the Miramar College transit center.

Rose questioned Bins with regard to mitigation improvements to Black Mountain and Westview Parkway. It was noted that, according to the traffic study, traffic will increase 100% on Galvin avenue if this alternative were to be implemented, while traffic on Hiller Drive would increase by 24%.

The alternatives are estimated to cost \$108 and \$69 Million for Galvin and Hillery respectively. It is noted that costs associated with the Miramar College parking structure are not included in the Caltrans estimate of the Hillery

alternative.

Alternative locations including the use of the Carroll Road intersection and possible locations further south in the vicinity of Miramar Way were also discussed. These alternatives have been eliminated by Caltrans for a variety of reasons including distances to/from adjacent DAR's and right of way restrictions.

Geldner requested specific traffic mitigation measures for each alternative. Bins appeared to indicate that Caltrans has not designed specific mitigation for the impacted City streets, but general concepts would include traffic calming measures for the Hillery alternative and no mitigation for the Galvin alternative.

A motion was made (Stevens/Miles) to send a letter with the following comments on the Mira Mesa/Scripps Ranch Direct Access Ramps Project EIR:

- i. The Hillery option has the better end point, since it provides access to Miramar College, an important destination.
  - Hillery has inadequate parking, so the plan must provide a parking structure at Miramar College. This parking structure should be shown in the EIR and included in the cost.
  - 2. The EIR should show planned traffic calming and mitigation measures on Hillery between Greenford and Black Mountain Road.
  - 3. The EIR should show traffic projections after these measures are put into effect.
  - 4. The EIR should show a plan for safe access to Walker Elementary School.
- ii. The Galvin option works better as a park and ride, but less well as a community access point.
  - The EIR should show planned traffic calming and mitigation measures on Galvin between Black Mountain Road and Westview Parkway.
  - 2. The EIR should show traffic projections after these measures are put into effect.
  - 3. The EIR should show a plan for safe access to Hage Elementary School.
- iii. Because of the importance of public input, we request that the comment period for the EIR be extended by 45 days.

The motion was approved (12-0-0).

#### 8. Committee Reports

- a. Los Peñasquitos Canyon Citizen's Advisory Committee (Pam Stevens) -No report.
- b. CPC (Jeff Stevens) No report.
- c. Stone Creek Update (Jeff Stevens) No report.
- d. COMPACT No report

#### 9. Other Business.

A motion was made (Rose/Geldner) that the MMCPG be dark in December. The motion was approved (12-0-0)

Meeting adjourned at 10:20 pm

Respectfully Submitted by:

lan Firth MMCPG Board Member

Reviewed By Ted Brengel Chairman

## THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO PARK & RECREATION DEPARTMENT MIRA MESA RECREATION COUNCIL

Web site: www.miramesatowncouncil.org/recreation\_council.htm

#### LOPEZ RIDGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK February 10, 2009 7:00 pm

#### PUBLIC NOTICE AND AGENDA

#### CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: January 13, 2009

**COMMUNICATIONS:** (Limited to 3 minutes and are not debatable)

TREASURER'S REPORT: January 1-31, 2009

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Community Parks I Committee: Joe FrichtelHourglass Field Joint Use Committee: Joe FrichtelShade Committee: Al RadickMMRC Planning Group: Bruce BrownMira Mesa Expansion Project: Joe FrichtelChalk the Walk: Judy Lutticken

#### STAFF REPORTS:

Center Director III : Claudia Apodaca
Center Director I : Maria Herschman
Pool Manager : Kathy Thesing

#### OLD BUSINESS:

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

#### ACTION ITEMS:

- Mira Mesa Easter Egg Hunt \$1500
   Lopez Ridge Easter Egg Hunt \$300
- 3. Yearly Financial Statement

#### INFORMATION ITEMS:

#### ADJOURNMENT:

NEXT REGULAR MEETING: Tuesday, March 10, 2009 at 7:00 p.m.

#### CITY OF SAN DIEGO MIRA MESA RECREATION COUNCIL

January 13, 2009

#### TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING:

The meeting of the Mira Mesa Recreation Council was held at the Lopez Ridge Neighborhood Park, 7245 Calle Cristobal, San Diego, CA 92126 at 7:00 pm.

#### CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting of the Mira Mesa Recreation Council was called to order at 7:03 pm by Chairperson Joe Frichtel.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

#### **Board Members:**

Chairperson	<u> </u>	Joe Frichtel
Vice-Chair	-	Chuck Sweet
Secretary		Karen Hower
Treasurer		Jeff Stevens

#### Organizations:

4th of July and Halloween Committees -

Mira Mesa Senior Center -

Mira Mesa West Little League - Laurie Cohen
Mira Mesa Dog Park - Andra Lew
AYSO - Byron Wright
MMGS - Linda Moran

#### Members At Large:

Al Radick Wendy Carey Fay Hamilton

#### Staff:

Claudia Manriquez - Center Director III
Maria Herschman - Center Director I

#### Guests:

Andy Parker Debra Gardner Eris Bayelas Brian Burnett Judy Lutticken

#### APPROVAL OF MINTUES

MOTION:

It was moved and seconded to approve the minutes with a correction to reflect 7 votes in favor. (C.Sweet /K.Hower) to approve December 9<sup>th</sup> minutes. Voting Result: 8 in Favor-0 Nav

#### **COMMUNICATIONS:**

Andy Parker and Judy Lutticken submitted a letter to the Reccreation Council requesting permission to become recreation council members.

New city council representative Carl DeMaio wants get a list of all the non profit chamber of commerce 501 3C status.

No response has been received for the proposal that was sent to Stacy LoMedico in behalf of Andy Parker. Andy will try to directly contact her.

Eris Bavelas from Move me Mucho invited the recreation council to attend the event hosted by San Diego State University. The open house will be held February 24<sup>th</sup> from 5:30-7:30 p.m. at the Mira Mesa Recreation Center. A variety of booths will be available. The focus is obesity prevention for 7-10 year olds and their families.

#### TREASURER'S REPORT:

MOTION:

The treasurer's report was presented for December 1-31, 2008 with an ending balance of \$70,803.50. It was moved and seconded (C.Sweet /F.Hamilton) Voting Result: 12 in Favor – 0 Nay

#### RECREATION COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:

#### A. <u>COMMUNITY PARKS 1 COMMITTEE:</u>

Joe reported the ongoing discussion regarding charging kids for use of fields. Perhaps fees would be applicable for out of season use or use by club teams. MOTION: It was moved and seconded (C.Sweet /F Hamilton) not to charge for use of fields. Voting Result: 14in Favor- 0 Nay

#### B. HOURGLASS FIELD JOINT USE COMMITTEE:

Dark

#### C. SHADE COMMITTEE:

Al Radick reported John Ly will follow up with the letter requesting the status. However it is his understanding that it is moving forward.

#### D. MMRC Planning Group:

None

#### E. BY-LAWS:

None

#### F. MIRA MESA EXPANSION PROJECT

Approved by city council unanimously.

#### G. CHALK THE WALK REPORT:

The chalk the walk project is scheduled for May 9, 2009 at Mira Mesa Recreation Center. The dimensions for the squares are 8x8 for \$200, 6x6 for \$100, 4x4 for \$25, 3x3 for \$10. \$500.00 was donated to three local schools from the Chalk the Walk Grant.

#### **STAFF REPORTS**:

Center Director III - None

Center Director I- None

**Pool Manager II-** The pool is closed and will re open January 26, 2009. Special pool drain covers are being put in all pools to comply with new laws. Sand filters are being repaired.

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

None

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

None

#### **ACTION ITEMS:**

Debra Gardner discussed the proposal for the Sprint cell site at Maddox Park. The site will be located at the dog park. Directional lighting standards will be installed along the fence line. The equipment storage area will also be in that area.

MOTION: It was moved and seconded to accept the project (J. Stevens/C.Sweet) to approve (14 favor / 0 Nay)

We strongly recommended to investigate the implementation of solar power lighting.

Current Contractual contracts held at Mira Mesa Recreation Center were renewed for 2009.

MOTION: It was moved and seconded (C.Sweet/F.Hamilton) to approve the renewal for the contractual contracts. (14 favor / 0 opposed)

#### Field Scheduling

In order to serve the recreation needs of its citizens, the City of San Diego has constructed athletic fields throughout the City. These facilities are used for activities conducted by the Park and Recreation Department and by its recognized recreation councils. Other groups and organizations may schedule use of the fields when available and in compliance with the Park and Recreation Department Fee Policy and Fee Schedule. The overall volume of applications received cannot accommodate the limited number of fields available. Priority field usage should be given to the community where the fields are located.

Effective January 1, 2009 the city issued a revised version for scheduling reserved use of park and recreation athletic fields. The information can be referenced in the City of San Diego, Department Instruction- **DI-8.3** 

#### **INFORMATION ITEMS:**

The Vietnamese New Year festival is scheduled for January 17-18, 2009 at the Mira Mesa Recreation Center.

#### ADJOURNMENT:

Meeting adjourned by Chairperson Joe Frichtel at 8:02 p.m.

#### **NEXT REGULAR MEETING:**

Tuesday, February 10, 2009 Lopez Ridge Neighborhood Park 7245 Calle Cristobal San Diego, CA 92126 (858) 538-8171

### ATTACHMENT 11

Respectfully Submitted,

Reviewed By,

Maria Herschman Recording Secretary Joe Frichtel Chairperson



City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 446-5000

## Ownership Disclosure Statement

I canalice I remanae mah I ceamid ter	te Development Permit ntative Map   Map Wa	Planned Development Pe liver Land Use Plan Amer	emit Conditional Use Permit adment - Other	
Project Title			Project No. For City Use Only	
SD60XC014 Maddox Park				
Project Address: Flanders Drive and Dabney Drive, apn:	311-030-41			
art I - To be completed when property is	s held by Individual(	s)		
y signing the Ownership Disclosure Statement, bove, will be filed with the City of San Diego or elow the owner(s) and tenant(s) (if applicable) he have an interest in the property, recorded or dividuals who own the property). A signature is one the Assistant Executive Director of the San everlopment Agreement (DDA) has been approperly the project Manager at least thirty days prior to formation could result in a detay in the hearing productional pages attached.	of the subject property, of the above referenced otherwise, and state the required of at least on Diego Redevelopment oved / executed by the attime the application is any public hearing on	with the Intent to record an eld d property. The list must inclu- e type of property interest (e.g. ne of the property owners. At Agency shall be required for a City Council. Note: The app being processed or considere	ncumbrance against the property. Please list de the names and addresses of all persons i., tenants who will benefit from the permit, all tach additional pages if needed. A signature all project parcels for which a Disposition and licant is responsible for notifying the Project ad. Changes in ownership are to be given to	
lame of Individual (type or print):		Name of Individual (type or print):		
Owner Tenant/Lessee Redeve	lopment Agency	Owner Tener	nt/Lessee Redevelopment Agency	
Street Address:		Street Address:		
City/State/Zip:		City/State/Zip:		
Phone No: Fa	ix No:	Phone No:	Fax No:	
Signature : De	ile;	Signature :	Date:	
Name of Individual (type or print):		Name of Individual (ty	pe or print):	
Owner Tenant/Lessee Redevelo	pment Agency	Owner Tenan	/Lessee    Redevelopment Agency	
		Street Address:		
Street Address:		City/State/Zip:		
Olty/State/Zip:	x No:	Phone No:	Fax No:	
	ax No: ste:	Phone No: Signature :	Fax No: Date:	

## ATTACHMENT 12

SD60XC014 Maddox Park	Project No. (For City Ose Only)
Part II - To be completed when property is held by a corporat	tion or partnership
Legal Status (please check):	
Corporation Limited Liability -or- General) What State	te? Corporate Identification No
the property. Please list below the names, titles and addresses of otherwise, and state the type of property interest (e.g., tenants which in a partnership who own the property). A signature is required of property. Attach additional pages if needed. Note: The applicant ownership during the time the application is being processed or of the property.	subject property with the intent to record an encumbrance against of all persons who have an interest in the property, recorded or no will benefit from the permit, all corporate officers, and all partners of at least one of the corporate officers or partners who own the is responsible for notifying the Project Manager of any changes in considered. Changes in ownership are to be given to the Project object property. Failure to provide accurate and current ownership
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print): City of San Diego	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
▼ Owner	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address: 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1700	Street Address;
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
San Diego, CA 92101 Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
( 619)236-6081 (619 ) 236-6706 Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Gary D. Jones Title (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Asset Manager Signature: Date:	Signature : Date:
Signature: 10-4-07	
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address:	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Tide (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Signature: Date:	Signature : Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Cowner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address:	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Title (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Signature : Date:	Signature : Date:

#### KEY EXECUTIVES

Mr. Daniel R. Hesse, 55

Chief Exec. Officer, Pres, Director, Chairman of Exec. Committee and Chief Exec. Officer of Local Telecommunications Division

Mr. Robert H. Brust, 65 Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Keith O. Cowan , 52 Pres of Strategy & Corp. Devel. and Acting Pres of CDMA Bus. Unit

> Mr. Steven L. Elfman , 53 Pres of Network Operations & Wholesale

> > Mr. Robert L. Johnson , 50 Chief Service Officer

#### <u>Sprint – Maddox Park</u> PROJECT CHRONOLOGY PTS #147270 JO# 43-0104

Date	Action	Description	City Review	Applicant Response
1/3/2008	Project Deemed Complete	Project submitted as a faux tree (monotree)	39	
2/11/2008	First Assessment Letter			53
4/4/2008	Second Submittal		28	
5/2/2008	Second Assessment Letter			171
10/20/2008	Third Submittal	Project redesigned as three light standards	25	
11/14/2008	Third Assessment Letter			63
1/16/2009	Fourth Submittal		25	
2/10/2009	Fourth Assessment Letter			8
2/18/2009	Fifth Submittal		2	
2/20/2009	All issues resolved	Per agreement with applicant, project scheduled for hearing in May	30	53
5/14/2009	Planning Commission Hearing			

Total Staff Time (Average at 30 days per month):	149 days (5.0 months)	
Total Applicant Time (Average at 30 days per month):	348 days (11.6 months)	
Total Project Running Time (Years/Months/Days):	497 days (1.4 years or 16.6 months)	





#### THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE OF NOTICE: April 30, 2009

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE OF HEARING:

May 14, 2009

TIME OF HEARING:

9:00 A.M.

LOCATION OF HEARING:

Council Chambers, 12th Floor, City Administration Building,

202 C Street, San Diego, California 92101

PROJECT TYPE:

Conditional Use Permit, Process 4

PROJECT NUMBER:

147270

PROJECT NAME:

SPRINT - MADDOX PARK

APPLICANT:

Debra DePratti Gardner, Sprint/Nextel

**COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:** 

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

Mira Mesa

District 5

CITY PROJECT MANAGER:

PHONE NUMBER:

Alex Hempton, AICP, Associate Planner

(619) 446-5349

As a property owner, tenant or person who has requested notice, you should know that the Planning Commission will hold a public hearing to approve, conditionally approve, or deny an application for a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) consisting of three 40-foot high light standards supporting a total of 18 antennas. Associated equipment will be located in an enclosure at the perimeter of the park. This WCF is proposed in Maddox Park located at 7799 Flanders Drive.

The decision of the Planning Commission is final unless the project is appealed to the City Council. In order to appeal the decision of the Planning Commission you must be present at the public hearing and file a speaker slip concerning the application or have expressed interest by writing to the Planning Commission before the close of the public hearing. See Information Bulletin 505 "Appeal Procedure", available at <a href="https://www.sandiego.gov/development-services">www.sandiego.gov/development-services</a> or in person at the office of the City Clerk, 202 "C" Street, Second Floor. The appeal must be made within 10 working days of the Planning Commission decision. If you wish to challenge the City's action on the above proceedings in court, you may be limited to addressing only those issues you or someone else have raised at the public

#### ATTACHMENT 14

hearing described in this notice, or written in correspondence to the City at or before the public hearing.

This project was determined to be categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act on November 13, 2008 and the opportunity to appeal that determination ended December 1, 2008.

If you have any questions after reviewing this information, you can contact the City Project Manager listed above.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in alternative format or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, call <u>Support Services at (619) 321-3208</u> at least five working days prior to the meeting to insure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALD's) are also available for the meeting upon request.

Job Order No. 43-0104

Revised 12/5/08 RH