

West Elevation

South Elevation



Maximum Permitted Structure Height - 60 ft

Maximum Proposed Structure Height - 85 ft 4 in Plumb Line Measurement - 85 ft 4 in Max. Overall Height Measurement - 85 ft 4 in

Note: Refer to sheet A14 for Information on exterior materials and colors

**Building 12 - Elevations** 

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC





West Elevation



East Elevation

**Building 13 (Parking Garage) - Elevations** 

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

Maximum Permitted Structure Height - 60 ft

Maximum Proposed Structure Height - 68 ft Plumb Line Measurement - 68 ft Max. Overall Height Measurement - 68 ft

Note: Refer to sheet A14 for information on exterior materials and colors

## Attachment 16



**North Elevation** 

Stucco









Section 6 Scale: 1" = 40'-0"

### Sections

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC









444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com



Unit 1Am - Mezzanine Level

Unit 1Am 1 bed / 1 bath 1,014 s.f.

24'-11"

BEDROOM

W.I.C.

4

BATH O

BALCONY

LIVING

DINING

 $\cap$ 



Unit 1A 1 bed / 1 bath 860 s.f.

Unit Plans - Junior & 1 Bedroom

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

Note: \* Each unit shall have a

minimum storage area of 240 cubic feet.



**Unit JrA** Junior / 1 bath 647 s.f.







Unit 2Am - Mezzanine Level





Unit 2Am 2 bed / 2 bath 1,489 s.f.

Note:

 \* Each unit shall have a minimum storage area of 240 cubic feet.

Unit Plans - 2 Bedrooms

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

309 Units - Third Submittal 10-1

Unit 2A 2 bed / 2 bath 1,332 s.f.



A21



444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com



Unit 2Bm - Mezzanine Level

BEDROOM 2 LIVING BEDROOM 1 BATH 2 BATH 1 BAT

33'-1"

\_

BALCONY



8:-3<u>1</u>"

36'-3<u>7</u>"

STOR

Unit 2Bm 2 bed / 2 bath 1,299 s.f.

Note:

 \* Each unit shall have a minimum storage area of 240 cubic feet.

**Unit Plans - 2 Bedrooms** 

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

309 Units - Third Submittal 10-



Unit 2B 2 bed / 2 bath 1,110 s.f.

33'-1"





A22



444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.lsmlnc.com







Unit 2Cm - Mezzanine Level

Unit 2Cm 2 bed / 2 bath 1,325 s.f.

Note:

\* Each unit shall have a minimum storage area of 240 cubic feet.

**Unit Plans - 2 Bedrooms** 

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

100

Unit 2C 2 bed / 2 bath 1,165 s.f.



A23



444 S Flower Street - Sulle 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com

309







Unit 3Am - Mezzanine Level

Unit 3Am 3 bed / 2 bath 1,639 s.f.

Note:

 \* Each unit shall have a minimum storage area of 240 cubic feet.

**Unit Plans - 3 Bedrooms** 

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC







A24



444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.isminc.com Inits - Third Submittal 10-15-12

60

Attachment 16



## **Recreation Center Plan**

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16



 $\langle$ 

MARTIN CORPORATED

444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 lax www.ism[nc.com



## **Disabled Accessibility Plan**

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

### Legend

Accessible Path

#### Note:

Refer to sheet A5 for balance of AccessIble Paths





444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, Callfornia 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com

INCORPORATE





## Fire Department Access Plan

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

### Legend

•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-> Fire Hose Pull Walking Path

Aerial Ladder Equiped Fire Engine







444 S Flower Street - Sulte 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com



<u>1. Bidg 10</u> Refuse & Recyclable Room @ Garage A - P1 Level 450 sf



2. Bidg 11 Refuse & Recyclable Room @ Garage A - P2 Level 485 sf





## **Refuse & Recyclable Material Storage Plans**

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

### Refuse and Recyclable Material Storage Areas Calc. Per Table 142-08B

Building (No. of Units)	Required Refuse Storage Area	Required Recyclable Storage Area	Required Total Storage Area	Provided Total Storage Area
Bldg 10 (92 Units)	192 sf	192 sf	384 sf	450 sf
Bidg 11 (114 Units)	240 sf	240 sf	480 sf	485 sf
Bldg 12 (103 Units)	210 sf	210 sf	420 sf	420 sf

## Attachment 16

<u>3. Bldg 12</u> Refuse & Recyclable Room 2nd Level 190 sf







444 S Flower Street - Sulle 1220 Los Angeles, California 90071 213,614,6050 213,614,6051 fax www.tsminc.com





		5 980	CORPORATION Commo Dia, Sult 22, San Ding, G. \$2122-244 Prane (\$55) 537-2001 Fac (\$53) 537-2009	nıt	PRE		1.03-07.11	EVISION LOG	
	11-	11	eppert Engineering	DF 1	IONED BY E	NGNEDR		RCE 26283	19
¥Q.	DATE	87	DESCRIPTION	NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION		11#1
1	09/28/11	NO	ORGINAL	7					22
2	11/07/11	MO	RESPONSE TO INITIAL REVEW FROM CITY	8					113/
3	12/09/11	MO	RESPONSE TO SECOND REVIEW FROM CITY	9					1150
٠	09/24/12	MD	REVISED STE PLAN	10					10
5	10/03/12	MÓ	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM GTY	11					1
6				12					





#### NOTES

A. NO OVERHEAD ELECTRIC UTILITIES ARE FRONTING THE PROPERTY.

#### EXISTING EASEMENTS:

- DECEMBER 2, 1983 AS FILE NO. 83-438430, O.R. (TO REMAIN).
- C ACCESS, DRAINAGE, AND SLOPE EASEMENT TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA PER DOC. REC. JANUARY 17, 2002 AS FILE NO. 2002-0045793, O.R. (TO REMAIN,
- ENERGENCY ACCESS EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO GRANTED PER MAP NO. 14475 (PORTION TO BE VACATED SEE SHEET C1.0).
- Sewer and draimage easement to the city of SAN Diego granted per MAP NO. 14475 (TO REMAIN).
- \$ ELECTRICAL EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO GRANTED PER MAP NO. 14475 (TO REMAIN).
- TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF SAN DEGO PER DOC. REC. AUGUST 27, 2009 AS FILE NO. 2009-0482448, OR. TO BE TERMINATED UPON THE FULING OF A NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF THE LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE/I-BOS STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (TO REMAIN).
- THE FOLLOWING EASEMENTS HAVE NO LOCATION SET FORTH IN THE RECORD DOCUMENT AND CANNOT BE PLOTTED:
- EASEMENT TO TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT ADVANCE/NEWHOUSE PARTMERSHIP PER DOC. REC. DECEMBER 11, 2002 AS FILE NO. 2002-01123768, O.R. (TO REMAIN).
- 2. EASEMENT TO SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PER DOC. REC. APRIL 18, 2003 AS FILE NO. 2003-0447826, O.R. (TO REMAIN).
- EASEMENT TO SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PER DOC. REC. MARCH 7, 2008 AS FILE NO. 2008-0122744, O.R. (TO REMAIN).

## SLOPE ANALYSIS THE ENTIRE SITE HAS BEEN MASS GRADED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY DRAWING NO. 31049-ALL EXISTING SLOPES ARE MANUFACTURED.







EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT **DO** EXISTING BUS STOP (960 ROUTE)







CORPORATION 510 General Dia, Sult 25, Sa Diag & S122-264 Page (55) 517-200 Fac (55) 517-200				-		VISION LOG			
	11-	L	eppert Engineering	pr i	KORK CODE	NONER	REGISTRATION R C E 26283	SHIE OF ON	
0.	DATE	87	DESCRIPTION	NO.	DAT	BY	DESCRIPTION		*
1	09/28/11	MO	ORGINAL	7					2 19 No. 252
2	11/07/11	MD	RESPONSE TO INITIAL REVEW FROM CITY						1 119/12
	12/09/11	NO	RESPONSE TO SECOND REVIEW FROM CITY	9					1 50.1
•	08/08/12	MO	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM ENGINEERING DEPT.	10					1 OPATA
5	09/24/12	MO	REVISED SITE PLAN	11					- ALLE
6	10/03/12	MO	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM GITY	12					











							Manhoon Statistics	Form and	addawn in	AR 1881 1	NATE	R CONSE	RVATION	CALCUI	ATIONS				La Jolla Crossroads - Phase 4	
m	Balanical name		Common name	Caserily	800 24" 80x	HT.X8P.	Mattern Halphi and Operation 107 x 107 in pate	Permanal Punation	naldouri in mariagne					UNLOUL	TIONS					
	Agoria fiancesa Jacorenia ecolia		Australian Willow Jacosranda		24" Bax	1014	in poto 16° x 10° in poin	Broad headed caragy lass	8	Redstand value									San Diego, California	
1	Magnala B. May		Deer Magnala		24" Box	10	in pola for x for in pola	Broad headed exercicle into		High hydrosona-		31,889 84,71							Sheet Index:	Choot Nu
	Cassia laptophyla		Casela	3	24° Bax	10 . 4	18 x 18 Is pain	Breat headed compy ins	1%	Lev hydrasero		18,238 Bq.PL 68,738 Bq.PL							Sheet Index.	Sheet Nu
• )	Robinia Purple R		Lecust		2/7 Bax	10 x 4	18 x 18 in polo	Broad headed earning into		Total Area		148,000 Bq.PL							Sheet Index, Landscape Legend, City Notes, Street,	100
Alternate	Pedecarpus grad	er i	Yes Pas		27 Ber	10 x 4	107 x 107 In palm	Broad headed earneyy two		WATER & POP	CALCULATION	N SHEET (AS 1991)							Remaining and Vehicular Yards, Design Statement	L0.0
				2	10 ATAL SV Bax	17 Overall Insight	40 haight	Feather	1%			APPLIED WATER ALL	ONANCE							
	Coords planess		Queen paim	44	19 BTH	24 Overall Insight	40 halght	Feather	19%	MAWA = (ETO) (									Landscape Existing Conditions Plan	L1.0
	Malalauna quinqu	mervia	Motoleums		37 Bax	1TX8	SU x 3U pionized on grade	Verlies eccent	2%	2,807,800 = (40.) MANNA = 2,007,8		(1001)								
43000		eylandi .	Leyland eypress		24° Bux	8×8	SUX 10 planted on grade	Vertical accent		ETWU = (ETO) (	80)(PFXHA+	BLA)							Landscape Development Plan	L2.0
Alemain	Everiyyka akted	10	Leman eucelyptus		8 gal.	4Xt	pieried on grade	Vertical eccent		700,000 = (40.0)	(48)( <u>7×9(8</u>	<b>B+0)</b> - HQH								
	Pinus sanariansia		Canary Island Pere		34° Bax	10%*	70 x 40 planted on grade	Vertical accent		380,542 = (48.8)	(48) (.5 × 10.2	99+ 8) - MEDIUM							Building Entry Elevations and Section A-A'	L2.1
Alternato	Palanua recornea	•	California Bynamera		34" Bax	10%	907 X 407 picetical on gradu			1,214,077 = (40.		738 + 0) - LOW							building Endy Elevations and becault A-A	
Alternate	Podeosrpus grad		Japanasa yaw		34" Nox	1020		Broad handed assayy into											Section B-B' and Outdoor Living Room	100
-)	Pinus templana		Terray Pina	13	34° Box	10%*		Broad handed canapy into		ETWU = 2,508,3									Section B-B and Outdoor Living Room	L2.2
Alternato	Pixus heleponale		Alappa Pina		34" Bax	10%		Broad handed campy tree		MAWA (2,887,83 (2,886,340) (341,1		EAR LINUS BELASS GALS. FERYS	EAR, BAVED							100
Alternato	Platenue econtiale		London Plane Tree		27 Bec	10 x 4	67 x 47	Brood headed canopy teas											Section C-C'	L2.3
1	Prunue carelinian	Compacia/	Compact constinue of	harry S2	24° Bax	10 x 4	39 x 19	Columnar	275	WATER CONDERVATION		CE SHALL BE THE REB	PONDELITY OF THE PROP	ERTY OWNER.						
Alternate	Cupressoryparis	eylandi	Leyland sygnase	_	24° Box	8×3	20 X 10 planted on grade	Vertical accord					WATER NEEDS TOGETHER	NTO DISTNICT					Section D-D'	L2.4
Alemaia	Lisisison quinqu	marvia	Mainterma		24" Box	17×8		Vertical accent					ONE INCHINICKLAYER OF							
$\sim$	Prunue ceruliniero		Carolinians charry		S4" Base In 9 pet	10 x 4	207 x 107 in pala 207 x 107	Bread headed earnapy into	14%	MULCH IS USED TO RET			LEAST AMOUNT OF WATE						Landscape Plan	L3.0
~				12	30° Box In Cons. Per 30° Box on	-	207 x 107 In pala	Brood handed concepy into Brood handed	*	YET STILL PROVIDING T	HE IDEAL WAT	TERMO FOR THE OPTIM								10.0
-				30	30° Bax of grain 34° Bax	12×4	30 x 30	Brood handed eanopy bas Brood handed	25	GROWTH OF THE PLAN THE IMPROATION BY STI			NO LOW GALLOWARE BPA	Y SYSTEMS.					Landscape Required Yards Plan	L4.0
	Magnalis sp.		Magnalia	-	24° 800.	10 x 4		Broad handled carriegy trees Broad handled carriegy trees		TO DIFFERENT HYDROD VARIETIES WITH SMLA			TATION AND PLANT							
	Robinia Purple R		Locust Tababala 'gar'	1	30° Bax	10 x 4 14 x 9	307 x 307	Broad Incoded examply bea	75	THE INNIGATION CONT	NOLLER SHALL	L BE EQUIPED WITH A								
			I MORECUM AND	+-				eanapy tree		ALL AVEAG AVE TO BE		THE MANTENANCE O	CONTRACTOR ON A WEEK	Y BADIE.					Sheet Index	
j L	Daling heat									I CERTIFY THAT THE UN WITH THE NEW CALIFO				TIONS COMPLY					1. YALL LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS OF THE CITY-WIDE LANDSCAPE STANDARDS AND THE CIT	TY OF SAN DIEGO
et Tree Legend	1										_		cola mó						LAND DEVELOPMENT WANKAL UNDSCAPE STANDARDS AND ALL OTHER LANDSCAPE RELATED CITY AND REGIONAL STANDARDS".	
Symbol I	Bolanical name		Cervinan name	Guardity		HT. X 8P.	Maken Height and Openet	Fern erd Function		G 14 Kit									2. "MANTEWARE: ALL REQUIRED LANDSCAPE AREAS SHALL BE MANTANED BY OWNER. LANDSCAPE & INDIGATION ARE AS IN TH	E
	Palanua asettala		London Plane Tree	4	24° Bax	18 1.4	67 x 47	Brani handel canapy ino		DATE: 694/12 P EXP. 791/2013 8. ROY KATO LICENSE	REPARED BY								<ul> <li>PUBLIC ROW BY LL BE MANTANED BY OWNER.</li> <li>THE UNDBOARE AREAS BY LL BE MANTANED FREE OF DEBRIS AND LITTER AND ALL PLANT MATERIAL BY ALL BE MANTANED IN</li> </ul>	HEALTHY
	Tabebula 1pd		Tebebula genue	25	39° Bax	14 x 8	30 x 30	Broad handed canopy ires		8. ROY KATO LICENSE	Ha. 1170								GROWING CONDITION. DIBEASED OR DEAD PLANT MATERIAL BHALL BE, BATISFACTORILY TREATED OR REPLACED PER THE CONDI	
rub Legend										AB 1881	Ma	tor cou	neonyat	on cal	culatio	ne			4. "AN AUTOMATIC, ELECTRICALLY CONTROLLED INDUGATION BY STEM SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND IN ACCOUNT	
	Bolaniasi namo		Common reme	Guerily	80	HT.X SP.	Matura Height and Spread	Ferm and I Fundian	ashdown in arosingas		vva		1301 Val	Un ca	culatic	115			THE ORITERIA AND STANDARDS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO LANDSCAPE. ORDINANCE SECTION HELPIGS AND THE CITY OF SAN DI DEVELOPMENT MANUAL LANDSCAPE. STANDARDS. IRRIGATION SYSTEMS SHALL SE MANTAINED FOR PROPER DEVELOPMENT, AN	
æ	Estion Automa		Pride of Medalm	101	5 Gui	14×14	#x#	Medium height Sourcing railive	11%	Plant Po	int Sch	nedule							OF THE VEGETATION IN A HEALTHY, DIBEASE-RESISTANT CONDITION. THE DESIGN OF THE SYSTEM SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE SU	
*	Furness Yalow	Neve	nan	10	10° pol, pie in 9' inter po	# 8x2	fx8 pruned	Asset	75	Proposed Plant Materia				Plant Point Adviewed per Plant	Total Points	7			VEGETATION GELECTED.*	
0	Ugasirum teranar	•	Teres Privat	80	8 Qui	2-5 X 1-5	f x 7	Prunet helge	7%	Plant Type	Page 1	est Bizo				-			6. ANY REGURED PLANTING THAT DIES WITHIN 3 YEARS OF INSTALLATION SHALL BE REPLACED WITHIN 20 CALENDAR DAYS OF PLAN SAME SIZE AND SPECIES OF PLANT WETERAL SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLAN. REGURED SHRUBS OR TREES THAT DIE 3 YEARS	
*	Phoenix rosbelisi		Figmy Date Palm	120	54° Ro	7 Brown burk	Ø x Ø	Pain scort	95	Proposed Bhnib	1	- galan		1.0	•				SHALL BE REPLACED WITH 18 GALLON BIZE OR 60-INCH BIXE SIZE MATERIAL, RESPECTIVELY, DEVELOPMENT GERVICES MAY AUTH	
*	Pharmian large a	A.	New Zastand Pax	84	8 Gal	812	8x4	Accent	4%			- gallen		2.0	2,548				BZE AND QUANTITY OF REPLACEMENT MATERIAL WHERE MATERIAL REPLACEMENT WOULD OCCUR IN INACCESSIBLE AREAS OR W	WHERE THE EXISTING
Ø	Photinia faseri		Photoia	122	8 Gel	8x2	818	Besignersi	8%	Proposed Dearf Palm		- gallon or larger r loot of brown trunk hel		10.0	480	-			PUNIT BEING REPLACED IS LARGER THAN A 15 GALLON BHRUB OR 60-INCH BOX TREE.	
0L	Paphiologie oper	•	Indian Hawtham	779	5 Gal	1-5 X 1-5	418	Madium height Severing alrub	89%	Proposed Deast Palm Processed Tree		-gallon	-	6.0	4				City Notes	
Allomate	Longelatum aped		NCM		8 Gal	1-5 X 1-5		Medium height Sourceing almab				- galon		10.0	+	1				
	Pillosporum apad	-	Mosk Crange		8 Gal	1-5 X 1-5		Madlum height stoub			24-	- insh laux		30.0	1,880				THE LANDBOARE OBBIDN IS INTENDED TO COMPLEMENT AND DRIVINCE THE ARCHITECTURE AND THE UNIQUE QUALITIES OF THE SITE, W	
Alternate	Oumanithus ageod		NCM	_	8 Gal	1-5"X 1-5"		Medium height shrub		Protocol Read Lines	_	- Institutes		60.0	8,480	_			PLEASING AND USABLE LANDBOARE BRACES. THE DESIGN CREATES ACTIVE RECREATIONAL BRACES, COURTYANDS FOR FAMILY COOKD AND COURTYANDS DESIGNED AS CALET PASSIVE BRACES.	DUTE, PLAY AREAS FO
	Escularia aposia		NCM	_	8 Cal	147X147		Medium height Scoreing einsch		Proposed Broad Heads Peakher Palm Tree Process Feakher Pale	Per	r Book of Arown trunk help r Book of Arown trunk help		8.0	2,430					
ΨĽ	Bohaffera arbailo	h	Bahallan.	65	15 Gal	4x8	818	Medium height airub	6	Existing non native Tree	100 101	to 20 feet in height Caliper		0.0	800	-			Design Statement	
Legend										Existing native Tree		Caliper		100.0	6,600					
	Octanical name		Common name	Cuantity		-			dident is continges	Extering Broab	12	<b>u</b> 34"		4.0 Total Plan	1,700 L Pointa Provided 21,00	1			MINIMUM TREE OPACHO - 10 FEET	
0 L	Bouganvilles 'Jam	ion while	Bougandea	11	5 Gul	8 w/8 Canas	12112	Vine on Italia	100%	Street Yard	and D	Amaining	Vard Plan						TRAFFIC BIGH, STOP BIGH - 20 FEET	
und Cover Lege	and											1	1	ing Requ					UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES - 6 FEET	
L			Common nome	Epocing						Type of Development	Type of Ya	rš Planšing sros roqu	and Planting area provide	Flant points require		Points required Points transit	-		SEWER LINES - 19 FEET ABOVE GROUND UTILITY STRUCTURES (TRANSFORMER, HYDRANTS, UTILITY POLES, ETC) - 19 Inst	
L		Annual editr		120.0	-	ela				khulipis dwalling Link Rasidantial Develop.	Street Yard	60% at 47,000 at - 20,548 at	41,000 sf	2,000.05 points	4,798 points	2008.06 points 2,164 point	nia 2,8	8.36 paints	DRVEWAYS - 19 FEET	
L	His Bymbol	Fisherk multih	Al Brok and	2" daup la	Yar						Remaining Yard	30% of 108,338 of - 31,687.8 of	72,648 af	180 points	12,903 puints	180 points 12,803 poi	inte 12	773 points	INTERBECTIONS (INTERBECTING CURB LINES OF TWO STREETS) - 26 FEET	
L		Mershen I	Botlam	-						Vehicular Y	-					1				
		Myoporum parvilatum Historesad	Prostale mysporum	10.0.	~	•					1					Tree detribution 1 within 30° of Points trem t			Street Tree Spacing	
		Hydrosood Groundsover	kipish existing groundativer							Type of Development	Type of Yar		-			each parking up.	-	e pointe	orior nee opaoling	
Legend										khulipie dwaling Link Residential Develop.	Street Yard	= 460 af	4,181 ef	.05 x 403 sf =23 points	2,200 points	Enclosed 1,300 point parking gauge	2,2	0 pointe		
F	Bymbal	Name	Quantity	800	_	Innert						3% of 38,767 of	0,220 st	.08 x 1,198 sf	2,200 points	1,300 point	in 2,71	r painto		
F		Chandler Roeglass pol	20	Øx Ø		-	ia aquipad with a or				Paralising Yard	= 1,190 of		.05 x 1,100 st ~30 points						
		Chandler fibergiase pot	11	3x3	_		to a rithe boojiapo al													
		Chandler Resignee pet	•	328		-	is equiped with a or	-											PROVAD BY, www. <u>5_500 MID escolars</u> escape va	
		Pot en pedestal	10	2 de	Not	resilion, each pot	is equiped with a se	or weighting evelope.	1										ADDER MA DA ADDARDO RAD. BODER 12 REGEDIA CA 31127 BODER 12	
Ľ		a copiesto																	wet         LSLMD-RECORD         dease %           come         310         Record and         Second and           boldsts         A. 101         Weter %	

Sheet Index, Landscape Legend, City Notes, Street, Remaining and Vehicular Yard Calculations, Design Statement

# La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

#### A ++ = = 1= 16







## Landscape Existing Conditions Plan

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16









## Landscape Development Plan

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC









## **Entry Elevations And Section A-A'**

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

309 Units - Third Submittal 10-15-12









La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

 $\langle$ 

10

September 24, 2012

60



## Section C-C'

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

309 Units - Third Submittal 10-15-1









### Section D-D'

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC

## Attachment 16

309 Units - Third Submittal 10-15-1

Sidney Court









## Landscape Plan

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC







9 Units - Third Submittal 10-15-



## Landscape Required Yards Plan

La Jolla Crossroads San Diego, California

La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC



September 24, 2012







PLANNED INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (PID), PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (PRD), HILLSIDE REVIEW PERMIT& RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE PERMIT (RPO) AMENDMENT TO PRD NO. 88-0500 AND PCD NO. 90-0144 MITIGATION MONITORING and REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) NO. 99-0647 LA JOLLA CROSSROADS City Council

This Permit is granted by the Council of the City of San Diego to LA JOLLA CROSSROADS 1, LLC, Owner and Permittee, pursuant to the San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC). The 33.8-acre site is located west of I-805 between La Jolla Village Drive and Golden Haven Drive in the University Community Planning Area. The project site is legally described as a portion of Pueblo Lot 1307 of the Pueblo lands of San Diego according to miscellaneous Map No. 36, filed in the Office of the Recorder of San Diego County on November 14, 1921; Parcels A and B of Five Creeks Map No. 12234; and Lot 4 of La Jolla Gateway Map No. 11038.

PRD No. 88-0500 is amended by removing Parcel A and a portion of Parcel B, Map No. 12234, to be included in the PRD/PID No. 99-0647. PCD No. 90-0144 is amended to remove Lot 4, Map No. 12234, to be include in PID No. 99-0647.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this permit, permission is granted to Owner/ Permittee to subdivide and develop 1,500 multi-family residential units in nine buildings on a 21.4-net acre site and to construct approximately 162,000 square feet of scientific research space, described as, and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type and location on the approved Exhibits "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. The facility shall include:

- a. 1,500 rental apartment units in nine buildings with 3,016 parking spaces; and
- b. 162,000 square feet of scientific research (SR) space with 405 parking spaces; and
- c. One recreational building with four pool facilities (for the residential use); and
- d. Open Space to be maintained by the property owner(s) within common areas for a total open space area of 10.5 acres; and

### -PAGE 1 OF 13-

e. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements); and

f. Off-street parking facilities; and

g. Associated public and private improvements to accommodate the corresponding development including the improvement of public road, public sewer, storm drain and water facilities; and

h. Accessory improvements determined by the City Manager to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted Community Plan, California Environmental Quality Act guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s), conditions of this permit, and any other applicable regulations of the Municipal Code in effect for this site.

1. Construction, grading or demolition must commence and be pursued in a diligent manner within 36 months after the effective date of final approval by the City, following all appeals. Failure to utilize the permit within 36 months will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all the Municipal Code requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.

2. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the office of the San Diego County Recorder.

3. Unless this permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this permit unless otherwise authorized by the City Manager.

4. This permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this permit and all referenced documents.

5. The utilization and continued use of this permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agencies.

6. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The applicant is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.

7. Before issuance of any building or grading permits, complete grading and working drawings shall be submitted to the City Manager for approval. Plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate applications or amendment of this permit shall have been granted.

### -PAGE 2 OF 13-

8. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this discretionary permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded special rights which the holder of the Permit is obtaining as a result of this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the property for any use allowed under the zoning and other restrictions which apply to the property or, in the alternative, that the Owner of the property be allowed the special and extraordinary rights conveyed by this Permit, but only if the Owner complies with all the conditions of the Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

#### ENGINEERING REOUIREMENTS:

. 15

9. Vesting Tentative Map for of the subject property will become effective on the effective date of Council approval and expire three years after.

10. This development shall comply with the conditions of the final map for Tentative Map No. 99-0647.

11. The La Jolla Crossroads project is an apartment project. The owner shall comply with the State Map Act regarding condominium conversion.

12. The easement abandonment/land sale shall be included in the vesting tentative map resolution as conditioned to receive Council approval prior to recordation of the final map.

13. Prior to recording any final map, the subdivider shall enter into a subdivision improvement agreement which provides for the phased installation of public improvements to assure dedication and construction of each phase in accordance with the La Jolla Crossroads Approved Transportation Phasing Plan Table IV B-7 as revised November 21, 2000, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

### PLANNING/DESIGN REOUIREMENTS:

14. No fewer than 3,016 off-street parking spaces for the residential component and 405 offstreet parking spaces for the Scientific Research component, shall be maintained on the property at all times in the approximate locations shown on the approved Exhibits " $A_5$ " dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. Parking spaces shall comply at all times with the Municipal Code and shall not be converted for any other use unless otherwise authorized by the City Manager.

### -PAGE 3 OF 13-

15. There shall be compliance with the regulations of the underlying zone(s) unless a deviation or variance to a specific regulation(s) is approved or granted as condition of approval of this permit. Where there is a conflict between a condition (including exhibits) of this permit and a regulation of the underlying zone, the regulation shall prevail unless the condition provides for a deviation or variance from the regulations. Where a condition (including exhibits) of this permit establishes a provision which is more restrictive than the corresponding regulation of the underlying zone, then the condition shall prevail.

. /1

16. The height(s) of the building(s) or structure(s) shall not exceed those heights set forth in the conditions and the exhibits (including, but not limited to, elevations and cross sections) or the maximum permitted building height of the underlying zone, whichever is lower, unless a deviation or variance to the height limit has been granted as a specific condition of this permit.

17. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of SDMC section 101.0216 may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this permit or a regulations of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the permittee.

18. Any future requested amendment to this permit shall be reviewed for compliance with the regulations of the underlying zone(s) which are in effect on the date of the submittal of the requested amendment.

19. No building additions, including patio covers, shall be permitted unless approved by the Development Services Department Director. Patio covers may be permitted only if they are consistent with the architecture of the dwelling unit, and complies with the underlying zoning regulations.

20. Rezoning of the subject property shall become effective with recordation of the corresponding final map for the subject site.

21. This permit may be developed in phases (i.e., buildings). Each phase (building) shall be constructed prior to sale or lease to individual owners or tenants to ensure that all development is consistent with the conditions and exhibits approved for each respective phase (per the approved Exhibit "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department).

22. The La Jolla Crossroads Project shall comply with all mitigation measures identified in Final Environmental Impact Report No. 99-0647 and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) dated August 14, 2000, as revised November 21, 2000. Compliance may require further information and fees prior to construction and project implementation.

23. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located.

24. No merchandise, material or equipment shall be stored on the roof of any building.

25. No mechanical equipment shall be erected, constructed, or enlarged on the roof of any building on this site, unless all such equipment is contained within a completely enclosed architecturally integrated structure.

26. Prior to issuance of building permits, construction documents shall fully illustrate compliance with the Citywide Storage Standards for Trash and Recyclable Materials (SDMC)

### -PAGE 4 OF 13-

§ 101.2001), to the satisfaction of the City Manager. All exterior storage enclosures for trash and recyclable materials shall be located in a manner that is convenient and accessible to all occupants of and service providers to the project.

27. The residential development shall maintain an open space area totaling approximately 10.5 acres of total open space shown on Exhibit "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.

28. Prior to the issuance of the building permit for each industrial parcel(s) within this development, the Owner/Permittee of each parcel(s) shall obtain a Substantial Conformance Review (SCR) approval for each of the proposed structures from the Development Services Department. All plans submitted for SCR approval shall be consistent with relevant development criteria of the zone, the University Community Plan, and the adopted La Jolla Crossroads Planned Industrial Development Design Guidelines prepared for this project (and included by reference in the approved Exhibit "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department), or the request for SCR shall be denied and an amendment of this permit (via a noticed public hearing before the Planning Commission) shall instead be required.

29. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located.

30. The use of textured or enhanced paving shall meet applicable City standards as to location, noise and friction values.

31. The subject property and associated common areas on site shall be maintained in a neat and orderly fashion at all times.

32. All uses, except storage and loading, shall be conducted entirely within an enclosed building. Outdoor storage of merchandise, material and equipment is permitted in any required interior side or rear yard, provided the storage area is completely enclosed by walls, fences or a combination thereof. Walls or fences shall be solid and not less than six feet in height and, provided further, that no merchandise, material or equipment stored not higher than any adjacent wall.

33. No mechanical equipment, tank, duct, elevator enclosure, cooling tower or mechanical ventilator or air conditioner shall be erected, constructed, converted, established, altered, or enlarged on the roof of any building, unless all such equipment and appurtenances are contained within a completely enclosed structure whose top and sides may include grillwork, louvers and latticework.

#### AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

34. The owner/permittee, as part of the University Community Plan Amendment, has proposed to provide within the La Jolla Crossroads apartment project thirty-five rental units for occupancy by, and at rates affordable to, households earning no more than 65 percent of median area income adjusted for assumed household size and utilities. The owner/permittee has agreed that prior to the recordation of the first final map, the owner/permittee shall execute an Affordable Housing Agreement subject to the approval of the City Manager of the City of San Diego and the Chief Executive Officer of the San Diego Housing Commission or designee(s) in order to implement the provision of the thirty-five affordable units. Such Affordable Housing Agreement shall include terms that provide for the following issues: (1) an affordable unit bedroom-mix of fifteen 1 bedrooms, eighteen 2 bedrooms, and two 3 bedrooms; (2) a designation

of specific affordable units dispersed throughout the buildings first 600 residential units; (3) a provision of the affordable units within the first 600 residential units developed within the project; (4) a 30-year term of affordable unit restriction; (5) standard occupancy and monitoring requirements utilized by the Housing Commission; (6) recordation of the Affordable Housing Agreement against the project's legal description, in a second lien position (junior only to the approved PRD permit).

35. In addition to the thirty-five low income rental units, the owner/ permittee, as part of the University Community Plan Amendment, has proposed to provide within the La Jolla Crossroads apartment project ten rental units for occupancy by, and at rates affordable to households earning no more than 110 percent of the median area income and ninety-five rental units for occupancy by, and at rates affordable to households earning no more than 120 percent of the median area income, both adjusted for assumed household size and utilities. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the owner/ permittee shall submit and have approved an Affordable Housing Program that stipulates the provisions for providing these units that shall become part of this permit. These units shall have the same ratio of bedroom mix as the overall project and the units shall be regulated at the indicated affordable rates for a period of fifteen years from the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.

### LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

36. Prior to issuance of any grading, or building permits, complete landscape construction documents, including plans, details and specifications (including a permanent automatic irrigation system unless otherwise approved), shall be submitted to the City Manager for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Concept Plan, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department.

37. No change, modification or alteration shall be made to the project unless appropriate application or amendment of this Permit shall have been granted by the City.

38. In the event that a foundation only permit is requested by the Permittee or subsequent Owner, a site plan or staking layout plan shall be submitted identifying all landscape areas consistent with Exhibit "A," Landscape Concept Plan, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department. These landscape areas shall be clearly identified with a distinct symbol, noted with dimensions and labeled as "landscaping area."

39. Prior to issuance of any construction permits for structures (including shell), complete landscape and irrigation construction documents consistent with the Landscape Standards (including planting and irrigation plans, details and specifications) shall be submitted to the City Manager for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Concept Plan, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.

40. Prior to issuance of any construction permit for parking structures, the Permittee shall submit on the planting and irrigation plans a signed statement by a Registered Structural Engineer indicating that supporting structures are designed to accommodate the necessary structural loads and associated planting and irrigation.

41. Prior to issuance of any permits for right-of-way improvements, complete landscape construction documents for right-of-way and median (if applicable) improvements shall be submitted to the City Manager for approval. Driveways, utilities, drains, water and sewer laterals

### -PAGE 6 OF 13-

shall be designed so as not to prohibit the placement of street trees. Location of street trees shall be identified and reserved during improvement activities and on all site plans prepared for subsequent building permit applications with actual installation taking place prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for a specific building permit. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Concept Plan, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.

42. Prior to issuance of any permits for grading, construction documents for slope planting or revegetation and hydroseeding of all disturbed land including irrigation shall be submitted in accordance with the Landscape Standards and to the satisfaction of the City Manager. All plans shall be in substantial conformance to Permit 99-0647 (including environmental conditions) and Exhibit "A," dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.

43. Installation of slope planting and erosion control including seeding of all disturbed land (slopes and pads) consistent with the approved landscape and grading plans is considered to be in the public interest. The Permittee shall initiate such measures as soon as the grading has been accomplished. Such erosion control/slope planting and the associated irrigation systems (temporary and permanent) and appurtenances shall be installed in accordance with the approved plans and the Landscape Standards.

44. Prior to issuance of any Certificate of Occupancy it shall be the responsibility of the Permittee, or subsequent owner, to install all required landscape and obtain all required landscape inspections and to obtain a No Fee Street Tree Permit, if applicable, for the installation, establishment and on-going maintenance of all street trees. Copies of these approved documents must be submitted to the City Manager.

45. The Permittee or subsequent owner shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and landscape improvements (right-of-way and median landscaping) consistent with the Landscape Standards unless long-term maintenance of street trees, right-of-way and median landscaping will be the responsibility of a Landscape Maintenance District or other approved entity. In this case, a Landscape Maintenance Agreement shall be submitted for review by a Landscape Planner.

#### BRUSH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE PID:

46. The Permittee shall implement the following requirements in accordance with the Brush Management Program shown on Exhibit "A," PID Design Guidelines, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.

- a. Prior to issuance of any engineering permits for grading, landscape construction documents required for the engineering permit shall be submitted showing the brush management zones on the property in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," PID Design Guidelines, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.
- b. Prior to issuance of any building permits, a complete set of brush management construction documents shall be submitted for approval to the City Manager and the Fire Marshall. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," PID Design Guidelines, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department, and shall comply with the Uniform Fire Code, the Landscape Standards and the SDMC section 142.0412.

### -PAGE 7 OF 13-

- c. The Brush Management Program shall consist of two zones consistent with the Brush Management regulations of the SDMC section 142.0412 and Exhibit "A," PID Design Guidelines, dated November 21, 2000, on file in the Development Services Department.
- d. The construction documents shall conform to the Architectural features as described in SDMC section 142.0412(d).

Within Zone One combustible accessory structures (including, but not limited to decks, trellises, gazebos, etc.) are not permitted while non-combustible accessory structures may be approved within the designated Zone One area subject to Fire Marshall and the City Manager's approval.

Provide the following note on the Brush Management Construction Documents: "It shall be the responsibility of the Permittee to schedule a pre-construction meeting on site with the contractor and the Development Services Department to discuss and outline the implementation of the Brush Management Program."

g. In zones One and Two plant material shall be selected to visually blend with the existing hillside vegetation. No invasive plant material shall be permitted as jointly determined by the Landscape Section and the Environmental Analysis Section, of the Development Services Department.

47. Prior to final inspection and issuance of any Certificate of Occupancy, for any building, the approved Brush Management Program shall be implemented.

48. The Brush Management Program shall be maintained at all times in accordance with the City of San Diego's Landscape Standards.

49. All required landscape shall be maintained in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times and shall not be modified or altered unless this Permit has been amended. Modifications such as severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted unless specifically noted in this Permit. The Permittee, or subsequent owner, shall be responsible to maintain all street trees and landscape improvements consistent with the standards of the Landscape Technical Manual.

50. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved plans is damaged or removed during demolition, it shall be repaired or replaced in kind and equivalent size per the approved plans within thirty days of damage or Certificate of Occupancy. The replacement size of plant material after three years shall be the equivalent size of that plant at the time of removal (the largest size commercially available or an increased number) to the satisfaction of the City Manager.

#### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT REOUREMENTS:

e.

f.

51. The project shall conform to the North University Public Facilities Transportation Plan. The La Jolla Crossroads Approved Transportation Phasing Plan shall control should there be any inconsistencies between it and the North University Public Facilities Transportation Plan.

52. The project shall strictly conform to the La Jolla Crossroads Approved Transportation Phasing Plan (Table IV.B.7) attached hereto and incorporated herein. This transportation phasing plan approved by City Council is more restrictive than the transportation phasing plan included in

### -PAGE 8 OF 13-

the certified environmental document LDR 99-0647 and referenced in the MMRP. The La Jolla Crossroads Approved Transportation Phasing Plan shall control should there be any inconsistency between it and the transportation phasing plan included in the certified environmental document LDR 0067 and referenced in the MMRP.

The Judicial Drive/Nobel Drive traffic signal and Judicial Drive (four-lane major), south project boundary to Nobel Drive, projects ("Construction") are necessary to mitigate the impacts of Nobel Research Park, but La Jolla Crossroads may need to construct these improvements before Nobel Research Park is built. If so, Construction may be subject to a participation agreement with benefited property owners or a reimbursement agreement requiring benefited properties to repay La Jolla Crossroads in full. In no event shall La Jolla Crossroads be issued certificates of occupancy for more than 650 units until these improvements are completed and open for use by the public.

La Jolla Crossroads may advance the cost of FBA Project NUC-33. However, La Jolla Crossroads is only partially responsible for the necessity for this project. Consequently, Construction would be subject to some form of cost sharing, such as a reimbursement agreement, participation agreement, or FBA credit, that would reduce La Jolla Crossroads' net cost to an amount proportionate to its share of the anticipated need for the project. In no event shall La Jolla Crossroads be issued certificates of occupancy for more than 1,000 units or occupancy permits of any kind for the first scientific research building until these improvements are completed and open for use by the public.

53. All construction related traffic for the project is prohibited from using Golden Haven Drive, Shoreline Drive or Renaissance Avenue. To preclude the use of Golden Haven Drive by construction related traffic, the subdivider shall install a barricade across Golden Haven Drive. The subdivider shall maintain the barricade across Golden Haven Drive until the first occupancy permits are issued for the project.

54. The subdivider shall build an access way on the proposed right-of-way of Judicial Drive to provide access to the project site for all construction-related traffic. To preclude the use of Golden Haven Drive by construction-related traffic, the subdivider shall install and maintain a barricade across Golden Haven Drive until issuance of the first occupancy permit for the project. The subdivider shall obtain a traffic control permit for the construction traffic satisfactory to the City Engineer.

55. The applicant shall assure the construction of a traffic signal at the intersection of Golden Haven Drive and Renaissance Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

56. The applicant shall assure the construction of Judicial Drive as a four-lane urban major street along the project's frontage. The applicant shall dedicate 104 feet of right-of-way and shall provide 78 feet of curb to curb width, curb, gutter, 64 feet of pavement; a 14-foot median and a 5-foot wide non-contiguous sidewalk within a 13-foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

57. The applicant shall assure the construction of Golden Haven Drive as a four-lane urban major street. The applicant shall dedicate 98 feet of right-of-way and shall provide 78 feet of curb to curb width, curb, gutter; 64 feet of pavement; a 14-foot median and a 5-foot wide contiguous sidewalk within a 10-foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

### -PAGE 9 OF 13-

58. The applicant shall assure the construction of a traffic signal at the intersection of Golden Haven Drive and Judicial Drive, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

59. The applicant shall assure the construction of a traffic signal at the intersection of Judicial Drive and private Drive "A," satisfactory to the City Engineer.

60. The applicant shall assure the construction of improvements to provide five eastbound lanes and a bike lane for La Jolla Village Drive from Judicial Drive to the I-805 interchange. The applicant shall dedicate a minimum of 21 feet of right-of-way and shall provide 21 feet of pavement, curb, gutter; and a 5-foot wide sidewalk within a 10-foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

61. The applicant shall provide standard left-turn pocket at the intersection of Judicial Drive and private Drive "A" of 200 feet in length plus transition, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

62. The project shall comply with all current street lighting standards according to the City of San Diego Street Design Manual (Document No. 769830, filed January 30, 1997) and the amendment to Council Policy 200-18 approved by City Council on January 10, 2000.

63. The applicant shall provide at least one 16-passenger bus operating seven days a week to provide service to UCSD, Scripps Hospital, Sorrento Valley Transit station, etc., for the residents of the apartment units free of charge.

### MULTIPLE SPECIES CONSERVATION PROGRAM [MSCP] REQUIREMENTS:

64. The issuance of this permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the applicant to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

65. In accordance with authorization granted to the City of San Diego from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS] pursuant to Section 10(a) of the ESA and by the California Department of Fish and Game [CDFG] pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2835 as part of the Multiple Species Conservation Program [MSCP], the City of San Diego through the issuance of this permit hereby confers upon Permittee the status of Third Party Beneficiary as provided for in Section 17 of the City of San Diego Implementing Agreement [IA], executed on July 16, 1997, and on file in the Office of the City Clerk as Document No. 00-18394. Third Party Beneficiary status is conferred upon Permittee by the City: (1) to grant Permittee the legal standing and legal right to utilize the take authorizations granted to the City pursuant to the MSCP within the context of those limitations imposed under this permit and the IA, and (2) to assure Permittee that no existing mitigation obligation imposed by the City of San Diego pursuant to this permit shall be altered in the future by the City of San Diego, USFWS or CDFG, except in the limited circumstances described in Sections 9.6 and 9.7 of the IA. If mitigation lands are identified but not yet dedicated or preserved in perpetuity, maintenance and continued recognition of Third Party Beneficiary status by the City is contingent upon Permittee maintaining the biological values of any and all lands committed for mitigation pursuant to this permit and of full satisfaction by Permittee of mitigation obligations required by this permit, as described in accordance with Section 17.1D of the IA.

### -PAGE 10 OF 13-

### WATER REQUIREMENTS:

Water Requirements for the Planned Residential Development:

66. Prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, the developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of a system of public 12-inch water facilities within Judicial and Golden Haven Drive rights-of-way, connecting to existing water facilities at three locations, in accordance with the accepted water study for La Jolla Crossroads, in a manner satisfactory to the Water Department Director and the City Engineer. If three connections cannot be made, then, in lieu of parallel water mains, the developer shall design and construct a system of 16-inch water facilities necessary to serve this development connecting to existing water facilities at two locations in a manner satisfactory to the Water Department Director and the City Engineer.

67. All on-site water facilities shall be private, including fire hydrants. Meters shall be located within or adjacent to the fully improved Judicial Drive right-of-way.

68. The developer agrees to design and construct all proposed public water facilities in accordance with established criteria in the most current edition of the City of San Diego Water Design Guide. Proposed facilities that do not meet the current standards shall be private or redesigned.

69. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the developer shall provide CC&Rs for the operation and maintenance of on-site private water facilities that serve more than one lot.

70. Prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, the developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of a system of reclaimed water facilities consisting of a 6-inch main in Golden Haven Drive and a 4-inch main in Judicial Drive, extending to the subdivision boundary, in a manner satisfactory to the Water Department Director and the City Engineer.

71. Providing water for this development is dependent upon prior construction of certain water facilities in previously approved developments in the area. If facilities have not been constructed when required for this development, then the construction of certain portions of these previously approved water facilities, as required by the City Engineer, will become off-site improvements required for this development.

#### Water Requirements for the Planned Industrial Development:

72. Prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, the developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of a system of public 12-inch water facilities within Judicial and Golden Haven Drives, connecting to existing water facilities at three locations, in accordance with the accepted water study for La Jolla Crossroads, in a manner satisfactory to the Water Department Director and the City Engineer. If three connections cannot be made, then, in lieu of parallel water mains, the developer shall design and construct a system of 16-inch water facilities necessary to serve this development connecting to existing water facilities at two locations in a manner satisfactory to the Water Department Director and the City Engineer.

73. All on-site water facilities shall be private, including fire hydrants. Meters shall be located within or adjacent to the fully improved Judicial Drive right-of-way.

### -PAGE 11 OF 13-
74. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the developer shall provide CC&Rs for the operation and maintenance of on-site private water facilities that serve more than one lot.

75. The developer agrees to design and construct all proposed public water facilities in accordance with established criteria in the most current edition of the City of San Diego Water Design Guide. Proposed facilities that do not meet the current standards shall be private or redesigned.

76. Providing water for this development is dependent upon prior construction of certain water facilities in previously approved developments in the area. If facilities have not been constructed when required for this development, then the construction of certain portions of these previously approved water facilities, as required by the City Engineer, will become off-site improvements required for this development.

#### SEWER REOUREMENTS FOR THE PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT:

77. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the construction of the Rose Canyon Trunk Sewer relocation in a manner satisfactory to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department Director.

78. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the developer shall assure, by permit and bond, installation of acceptable odor control systems on the trunk sewers traversing the site, in a manner satisfactory to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department Director.

79. The developer shall provide evidence, satisfactory to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department Director, indicating that each lot will have its own sewer lateral or provide CC&Rs for the operation and maintenance of on-site private water or sewer facilities that serve more than one lot.

80. All proposed private sewer facilities, including sewer laterals to the property line, that serve more than one lot shall have pipe sizes and slopes designed per the California Uniform Plumbing Code but shall be constructed per the most current City of San Diego sewer design guide.

81. Prior to the submittal of any public improvement drawings including grading plans, the developer shall submit a sewer study satisfactory to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department Director, for the sizing, grade and alignment of private sewer facilities, including sewer laterals to the property line, that serve more than one lot.

82. Proposed private underground sewer facilities located within a single lot shall be designed to meet the requirements of the California Uniform Plumbing Code and the developer shall obtain a plumbing permit for this work. In addition, the developer shall submit calculations, satisfactory to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department Director, for sizing of the proposed sewer lateral from the property line to its connection with the public sewer main.

APPROVED by the Council of the City of San Diego on November 21, 2000, by Resolution No. 294275.

02/09/01

L:\LANZAFAM\Resos\Reso2001\R-294275\_LJCrossrds\_Permit.wpd

-PAGE 12 OF.13-

## AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY MANAGER

3/19/01 By Direct De

The undersigned Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Permittee hereunder.

LA JOLLA CROSSROADS, LLC Owner/Permittee 19.01 3 By Stuart Posnock

By

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

2/9/01

L:\LANZAFAM\Resos\Reso2001\R-294275\_LJCrossrds\_Permit.wpd

-PAGE 13 OF 13-

Attachment 17

· State of California

4367

County of San Diego

On <u>March 19, 2001</u>, before me, <u>MARIA</u> D. <u>MILLER</u>, <u>Notary</u> <u>Pak</u>/12 (name, title of officer, eg. Jane Doe, Notary Public) personally appeared <u>KELLY BROUGHTON</u> (name(s) of signer(s))

ss.

personally known to me -or-

proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence

to be the person(s) whose name (s) is (are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity/ies, and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal.



Signature

Capacity claimed by signer:

(This section is OPTIONAL)

Individual Corporate Officer(s): [] Limited [] General Partner(s): Subscribing Witness Attorney-in-fact Trustee(s) Guardian/Conservator Other: an Diego, Plug. i. Der. Review Dept. Signer is representing: Mu City 4 (name of person(s) or entity(ics))

Attention Notary: Although the information requested below is OPTIONAL, it could prevent fraudulent attachment of this certificate to an unauthorized document:

none

THIS CERTIFICATE MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT DESCRIBED AT RIGHT:

Title or ?	Type of	Document	Lanolla	croser	ods \
PRD #88-	0500/	PCD #90-	0144/M	MRP # 99	-06,47
Number of	Pages_	/3 Date	e of Docu	iment_3/	19/01
		than Namo			'

" State of California

4368

Attachment 17

County of San Diego

councy of build progo ,
on March 19,2001, before me, MARIA D. MILLER, No fary Public, (name, tills of officer, eg. Jano Doe, Notary Public)
personally appeared STUARY POSNOCK
(name(s) of signer(s))
personally known to me -or-
Proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence
to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity/ies, and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
Witness my hand and official seal.
MARIA D. MILLER Comm. #1161720 SANDIEGO COUNTY Comm. Exp. Nov. 15, 2001 (Signature of Notary)
Capacity claimed by signer: (This section is OPTIONAL)
Individual
<pre>Corporate Officer(s):</pre>
Partner(s): General Limited
Subscribing Witness
Attorney-in-fact
Trustee(s)
Guardian/Conservator
Other:
Signer is representing:
Attention Notary: Although the information requested below is OPTIONAL, it could prevent fraudulent attachment of this certificate to an unauthorized document:

ss.

THIS CERTIFICATE MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT DESCRIBED AT RIGHT:

. ...

Title or Type of Document La Jolla Crosshoods <u>PRD#88-0500/PCD#90-0144/MMRP#99-0647</u> Number of Pages 13 Date of Document <u>3/19/01</u> Signer(s) Other than Named Above

. . . . . .

Facility	Improvement	Responsibility	
Phase I – Prior to the issuance of certificate of occupancy of any kind, the following improvements shall be completed and open for use by the public <sup>1</sup>			
Golden Haven Drive, from current terminus to project primary access	Four-lane major	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>i</sup>	
Golden Haven Drive/Renaissance Avenue	Traffic signal	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>i</sup>	
Judicial Drive, along the project frontage	Four-lane major	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>i</sup>	
Judicial Drive/Golden Haven Drive	Traffic signal	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>i</sup>	
Nobel Drive	Roadway extension to I-805 with south facing ramps to the freeway	NUC 21-Project is under construction <sup>i</sup>	
Phase I – Prior to I <sup>st</sup> building permit			
La Jolla Village Drive	Widening of the south side to provide four through lanes plus a bicycle lane. At I-805, the improvement would include an eastbound right turn lane	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>iii</sup>	
Phase II - Prior to certificate of occupancy of any kind for 651 <sup>st</sup> dwelling unit, the following improvements shall be completed and open for use by the public <sup>1</sup>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Judicial Drive/Nobel Drive	Traffic signal	Nobel Research Park	
Judicial Drive, south proj. boundary to Nobel Drive	Four-lane major	Nobel Research Park	
Phase III - Prior to the issuance of certificates of occupancy of any kind for more than 1,000 dwelling units and/or occupancy permits of any kind for the first scientific research building, the following improvements shall be completed and open for use by the public	· · ·		

	Approved Transportation Phasing Plan			
Facility	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Improvement	Responsibility	
Judicial I	Drive	Tunnel beneath La Jolla Village Drive	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>ii</sup>	
Judicial I	Drive/La Jolla Crossroads Secondary Drive	Traffic signal	La Jolla Crossroads <sup>i</sup>	
Miramar	Road	Widen to eight lanes	NUC-50 <sup>iii</sup>	
I-805/La	Jolla Village Drive	Reconfiguration of interchange to a partial cloverleaf design	NUC-C <sup>III</sup>	
La Jolla	Village Drive	Widening of the north side of the roadway from the I- 805 northbound offramp to Towne Centre Drive to four through lanes plus a bicycle lane.	La Jolla Commons/NUC-C <sup>iii</sup>	

TABLE IV.B-7

The term "completed and open for use by the public" means all improvements, including, but not limited to, any landscaping required for the improvements are fully completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and in use by the public.

This relates to FBA project NUC-33.

î.

ïi,

üí.

Assurance for NUC improvements shall require that one of the following conditions be met to the satisfaction of the City Engineer:

a) Improvement must be completed and open to traffic

b) Improvement must be the subject of an awarded construction contract by a governmental agency

c) Improvement must be permitted and bonded or security otherwise acceptable to City engineer

d) Improvement must be scheduled for construction in the City CIP for the year building permits are requested

e) Improvement must be programmed for construction in the STIP for the year building permits are requested

Approved\_TPP.doc

Attachment 17

1

37

O

(R-2001-1122)

#### RESOLUTION NUMBER R-294275

#### ADOPTED ON NOVEMBER 21, 2000 ·

WHEREAS, La Jolla Crossroads, Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for permits to develop 1,500 apartment units and up to 162,000 square feet of scientific research, for the La Jolla Crossroads project, located west of Interstate 805 between La Jolla Village Drive and Golden Haven Drive, and legally described as a portion of Map No. 36; Parcels A and B of Five Creeks Map No. 12234, and Lot 4 of La Jolla Gateway Map No. 11308, in the University Community Plan area, in the RS-1-14 zone (previously referred to as the R1-5000 zone) (proposed RM-3-9 and IP-1-1 zones [previously referred as the R-600 and SR zones]); and

WHEREAS, on November 9, 2000, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Planned Industrial Development [PID], Planned Residential Development [PRD], Hillside Review Permit [HRP], and Resource Protection Ordinance [RPO] Permit No. 99-0647; and amendments to PRD Permit No. 88-0500 and Planned Commercial Development [PCD] Permit No. 90-0144, and pursuant to Resolution No. 3047-PC voted to recommend City Council approval of the permit; and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for public hearing on November 21, 2000, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; NOW, THEREFORE,

#### -PAGE 1 OF 12-

Attachment 17

## 4372

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that this Council adopts the

following findings with respect to PID/PRD/HRP/RPO Permit No. 99-0647, and amendments to

PRD Permit No. 88-0500 and PCD Permit No. 90-0144:

# PLANNED INDUSTRIAL/RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS (San Diego Municipal Code Sections 101.0901 and 101.0920):

1. The proposed use will fulfill a community need and will not adversely affect the City's Progress Guide and General Plan or the adopted Community Plan. The La Jolla Crossroads project proposes to develop approximately 34 acres of vacant land within the University Community Planning area. The development would include 1,500 multi-family units, approximately 163,000 square feet of scientific research facilities, open space/recreational areas, and associated infrastructure improvements including roadways, water and sewer connections.

The proposed residential project requires approval of an amendment to the University Community Plan and the City of San Diego Progress Guide and General Plan to redesignate the project site from industrial to residential. Approval of the proposed plan amendment would fulfill the goals of the Housing Element of the Community Plan by providing 1,500 multi-family units ranging from 1-3 bedrooms. The apartment vacancy rate in the community is less than 1 percent, rental rates are unaffordable for many, and there is an insufficient supply of student housing at University of California, San Diego [UCSD]. This proposal would result in a balance of housing and scientific research facilities for the community and region of San Diego.

Scientific research would provide additional jobs in the community as well as in the region. With approval of the associated Community Plan amendment requests, the proposed project would conform to the City's Progress Guide and General Plan and to the University Community Plan. It already conforms to the policies and goals of both plans. The property is proposed for urban development; in fact, it is located immediately east of an "urban node" and will thus help discourage sprawl into unrelated communities. The scientific research will be located near the UCSD, whose professional faculty and top-flight research facilities can provide regional synergy with this use.

The proposed project would provide needed housing and employment opportunities to University City and the region. In particular, the project would provide housing for diverse populations, such as students and seniors, where such housing is especially needed because of both low vacancy rates and the proximity of related educational facilities. The project will assist achievement of the Community Plan's goal of a self-sufficient community offering a balance of housing, employment, business, and educational opportunities. It will place housing near the Towne Centre core as the Community Plan desires.

The project would also implement key segments of two major Circulation Element roads which are critical to the transportation system serving the University Community Plan area. Golden Haven Drive would be linked to Judicial Drive and the project would be responsible for

#### -PAGE 2 OF 12-

building the first segment of Judicial Drive, south of La Jolla Village Drive, including the underpass needed to connect with the existing portion of Judicial Drive to the north of La Jolla Village Drive; without the project's portion of the road there would be a gap in the road, leaving the tunnel connecting to nothing on the south.

2. The proposed use will not be detrimental to the health, safety and general welfare of persons residing or working in the area and will not adversely affect other properties in the vicinity. The proposed residential development for 1,500 multi-family units, and the 163,000 square feet of scientific research facilities would be compatible with the land use and densities within the surrounding area. The permit prepared for this project, PRD/PID/RPO 99-0647, provides conditions to ensure project compliance with all relevant regulations of the San Diego Municipal Code and to ensure the safety and general welfare of persons residing or working in the area. The conditions include compliance with the Landscape Ordinance, the conditions of the Tentative Map, and incorporates the Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program required for this project as described in Environmental Impact Report [EIR] No. 99-0647. The EIR has identified a number of significant impacts of which most through implementation of project related conditions will be mitigated.

3. The proposed use will fully comply with the relevant regulations of the Municipal Code in effect for this site. The project design will be consistent with the relevant regulations for this site per the Municipal Code. The proposed project will comply with applicable development regulations including the Planned Residential Development Ordinance, the Planned Industrial Development Ordinance, the Hillside Review Overlay Zone Ordinance, and the "Hillside Design and Development Guidelines."

The project as represented by the land development (grading) plan and the site design, incorporated the principles of the adopted University Community Plan and the Hillside Design Guidelines insofar as the project meets the purpose and intent of the Planned Residential and Planned Industrial Development permit ordinances in encouraging innovative and imaginative planning, integrated community facilities and services (particularly in the HR zoned areas), to achieve minimal disturbance of the natural terrain and vegetation and to permit greater flexibility in design.

#### PROPOSED RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE [RPO] FINDINGS:

Because the proposed project cannot comply with the requirements of the RPO as they relate to steep slope encroachment and impacts to biologically sensitive resources (specifically, wetlands), the following findings are required under San Diego Municipal Code sections 101.0462.0012, 101.0462.0027 and 101.0462.0038.

#### 1. Section 101.0462.0012 (Alternative Compliance Findings)

Section 101.0462.0012 allows projects which cannot comply with a strict application of the RPO to be approved if they would either: (1) result in unnecessary hardship to the applicant;

(2) create conflict with City Council policy, the Progress Guide and General Plan, or any adopted community plan; or (3) preclude provisions of extraordinary benefit to the general public.

As described below, application of the steep slope and wetland avoidance regulations would pose an unnecessary hardship to the project applicant.

a. There are special circumstances or conditions applying to the land that are peculiar to such land and not of the applicant's making whereby the strict application of the provisions of this section would deprive the property owner of reasonable use of the land.

Strict application of the RPO would deprive the property owner of reasonable use of the land for two reasons. First, it would deny access to the property. Second, it would not leave sufficient land area to make development and use of the property economically viable. The development needs the roads for access, and the City needs the roads for circulation. The result, however, is that a huge amount of the property must be used for expensive roads, and the amount of land left for private development is insufficient to support both a private development and the public infrastructure.

First, strict application of the RPO would prevent construction of Golden Haven Drive and Judicial Drive, from which most of the impacts arise. Both roads are identified as Circulation Element roads in the University Community Plan. Both roads are critical components of the overall transportation system for the community and are necessary to provide access to any development within the subject property. Access directly to La Jolla Village Drive would not be allowed due to the proximity of the southbound on-ramp to Interstate 805 [I-805]. Access exclusively from Golden Haven Drive would reduce impacts to the northern- and eastern-most drainage areas but would impact the confluence of the two drainages. In addition, access from Golden Haven Drive without a connection of Judicial Drive beneath La Jolla Village Drive to Nobel Drive would create significant traffic impacts on roadway segments within the project area. Towne Centre Drive would carry a greater traffic burden which would reduce the level of service between Executive Drive and Nobel Drive to LOS E and F; with full extension of Judicial Drive, these segments of Towne Centre Drive would operate at LOS D or better. La Jolla Village Drive between Towne Centre Drive and I-805 would decrease from LOS D to LOS F without Judicial Drive. In addition, the intersections of Towne Centre Drive/Executive Way, Towne Centre Drive/La Jolla Village Drive, and Genesee Avenue/La Jolla Village Drive would experience diminished levels of service without the full extension of Judicial Drive.

The alignments of these two roads through the subject property cannot change because they are fixed by surrounding development and existing road connections, consistent with the Community Plan. Golden Haven Drive must connect to its current terminus to the southwest. Judicial Drive must connect with the offsite alignment to the southeast. Judicial Drive's northwest connection is set by the requirement that the roadway pass under La Jolla Village Drive. The intersection of Judicial Drive and

#### -PAGE 4 OF 12-

Executive Drive is near completion and establishes the connection point underneath La Jolla Village Drive. A location of the underpass further east would not allow the extension to the south to meet grade and curvature requirements of the City. A westward relocation of Judicial Drive would cause existing buildings and parking structures to obstruct the alignment.

Second, strict application of the RPO would leave insufficient land available for private development to be feasible. The site is bisected by two drainage courses supporting wetland vegetation. Together with wetlands buffers, very little land would be available for private development. Private development would have to be crowded into corners of the property to which access, because the property represents a "hole" within surrounding development, would be infeasible. Much of the otherwise developable remainder of the property would be located along La Jolla Village Drive, which will not allow access from the site. Only very small portions of the southern part of the property would retain access to the outside world via the construction of Judicial Drive to the south.

The result of these constraints is that strict compliance would make productive use of the property infeasible. A more complete discussion of financial feasibility appears below (finding b) and is incorporated herein.

b. There are no feasible measures that can further minimize the potential adverse effects on environmentally sensitive lands.

This is true for two reasons. First, the increased costs of the alternatives cannot be supported by revenues, particularly when revenues are reduced as a result of restrictions on the availability of developable land. Second, the alternative measures would not actually provide the benefits intended by the RPO.

First, additional mitigation measures are not feasible. A financial analysis was prepared using three types of projects, each with three alternative development areas intended to respond to RPO constraints. The analysis applied three of the most commonly-used financial evaluation tools to evaluate these alternatives. The result of the study was that only the proposed project is financially feasible. All of the nine alternative scenarios would result in a loss, which would both prevent any use of the property and prevent construction of the necessary circulation element roads.

Three RPO alternatives were analyzed. The first RPO alternative assumes that retaining walls could be placed along the major roadways and around development areas so as to reduce encroachment into wetlands to the greatest degree possible. The second RPO alternative preserves the wetlands as much as possible but does not use retaining walls to maximize the potential development area; the result is less development area because slopes must reach back from the wetlands into the development area. The third RPO alternative preserves the east/west drainage course but not the tributary ephemeral drainages.

For each RPO alternative, three different types of product were evaluated. The first product type was a 4-story wood rental with two concrete levels of parking. This would allow between 438 and 568 apartment units and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative. The second product type was a 5-story wood rental with 2-3 concrete levels of parking. This would allow between 547 and 707 units and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative. The third product type was a high rise rental with 2-3 concrete levels of parking. This would allow 1,500 units (the same as the proposed project) and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative.

None of these alternatives is financially feasible because all would result in a loss for the developer, no matter what was built. Three commonly used measures of financial returns were applied to evaluate this issue. First, the internal rates of return for all nine of the reduced-impact alternatives (three RPO alternatives each with three types of product) are negative. Second, stabilized values for all nine of the reduced-impact alternatives are less than the costs of those alternatives. Finally, rents necessary to cover development costs for all nine alternatives would greatly exceed market rents in the area. Alternative projects would result in not merely a below-market return, but losses. Even the proposed project is marginal from an investor's viewpoint because it would provide an internal rate of return about half of what the market usually demands of real estate development projects.

Second, the RPO alternatives would not provide the benefits intended by the RPO. Most of the wetland impacts (73 percent) arise from the Circulation Element roads, which are required for plan conformance and circulation. It was also discovered that even the most environmentally-sensitive alternative (with extensive retaining walls) would not satisfy the RPO. This is because soil, wall height and OSHA safety constraints would result in footings, broad slopes, and temporary construction roads so large as to disturb essentially the same area of wetlands as traditional manufactured slopes used to construct the roadways.

c. Alternative compliance for the development will not adversely affect the Progress Guide and General Plan for the City of San Diego.

The property lies within the Planned Urbanizing area. The residential and scientific research uses proposed by the project would be compatible with the Progress Guide. The residential area would provide needed housing to the University Community Plan area as well as relieve housing demand throughout the City; the apartment vacancy rate in the community is less than 1 percent, rental rates are unaffordable for many, and no student housing is available at UCSD. The project would provide housing for special populations, such as students and seniors, where such housing is especially needed. Scientific research would provide additional jobs in the community as well as in the region. Development of the property would not be inconsistent with the Open Space Element because the General Plan does not call for open space on the site. Development of the property would

implement a major component of the backbone roadway system within the University Community Plan area and improve the level of service in the area.

The loss of environmental resources is minimal. The wetlands on site have low value because they are relatively small, isolated from other natural areas, and surrounded by heavy urban development. Other planned and existing development consists of high density housing, a temple, Nobel Research Park, a library, a major freeway (1-805), and a major road (La Jolla Village Drive). The southern willow scrub, which comprises most of the wetlands on site, has a particularly low value. These wetlands were disturbed many years ago for a City sewer line. There is little of the understory growth that makes habitat valuable to wildlife, largely because there is no upstream seed source; instead, upstream consists largely of urban development. In addition, there is little peripheral low-lying terrain along the creek to support an extended wetland with herbaceous growth. Impacts on the most sensitive biological resources can readily be mitigated off-site. Off-site mitigation will actually improve the quality and quantity of preserved habitat and wetlands.

d. The proposed development will conform to the adopted community plan for the area and any other applicable plans, policies and ordinances.

With approval of the associated Community Plan amendment requests, the proposed project would conform to the City's Progress Guide and General Plan and to the University Community Plan. It already conforms to the policies and goals of both plans. The property is proposed for urban development; in fact, it is located immediately east of an urban node and will thus help discourage sprawl into unrelated communities. The scientific research will be located near the UCSD, whose professional faculty and topflight research facilities can provide regional synergy with this use. The proposed project would provide needed bousing and employment opportunities to University City and the region. In particular, the project would provide housing for diverse populations, such as students and seniors, where such housing is especially needed because of both low vacancy rates and the proximity of related educational facilities. The project will assist achievement of the Community Plan's goal of a self-sufficient community offering a balance of housing, employment, business, and educational opportunities. It will place housing near the Towne Centre core as the Community Plan desires. The project would also implement key segments of two major Circulation Element roads which are critical to the transportation system serving the University Community Plan area. Golden Haven Drive would be linked to Judicial Drive and the project would be responsible for building the first segment of Judicial Drive, south of La Jolla Village Drive, including the underpass needed to connect with the existing portion of Judicial Drive to the north of La Jolla Village Drive; without the project's portion of the road there would be a gap in the road, leaving the tunnel connecting to nothing on the south.

-PAGE 7 OF 12-

#### 2. Section 101.0462.0027 (Findings for Impacts to Sensitive Biological Resources)

This section allows issuance of a RPO permit for a development that impacts sensitive biological resources with the following findings.

a. The proposed development will not adversely impact the applicable land use plan.

The residential and scientific research uses proposed by the project would be compatible with the City of San Diego's Progress Guide and General Plan as well as the land use element of the University Community Plan. The residential area would provide needed housing to the University Community Plan area as well as relieve housing demand throughout the City; the apartment vacancy rate in the community is less than 1 percent, rental rates are unaffordable for many, and no student housing is available at UCSD. The project would provide housing for special populations, such as students and seniors, where such housing is especially needed. Scientific research would provide additional jobs in the community as well as in the region. Development of the property would not be inconsistent with the Open Space Element because the General Plan does not call for open space on the site. Development of the property would implement a major component of the backbone roadway system within the University Community Plan area and improve the level of service in the area.

b. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

The permits controlling the development and continued use of the proposed development of this site require compliance with the City's regulations and other regional, State, and Federal regulations (including but not limited to the Uniform Building, Fire, Plumbing, Electrical, and Mechanical Codes) to prevent detrimental impacts to the health, safety and general welfare of persons residing or working in the proposed development as well as the surrounding area.

c. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Municipal Code.

The permits controlling the development and continued use of the proposed development will assure that the project complies with all applicable regulations of the Municipal Code.

d. The site is physically suitable for the design and siting of the proposed development, and the development will result in minimum disturbance to sensitive biological resources.

The site of the proposed project is physically suitable for the proposed development. Geological studies have confirmed that there is no unusual seismic risk to proposed development, and standard remedial grading measures will protect future

## -PAGE 8 OF 12-

buildings and their occupants from adverse geological impacts. The proposed uses comply with development type and noise exposure limitations established by the MCAS Miranlar's Comprehensive Land Use Plan relative to military aircraft operations. Noise attenuation measures will be installed to achieve interior noise standards for proposed residential and scientific research buildings exposed to unacceptable noise levels from adjacent major roadways or military aircraft operations. With improvements and payment of fair-share fees included as a part of the proposed development, adequate infrastructure (e.g. water, sewer, roads) will be available to serve the proposed development.

While the development would impact all of the sensitive biological resources which occur on the subject property, there is no feasible way to preserve any substantial portion of these resources. Construction of the circulation element roads planned across the subject property, even without the proposed development, will impact 73 percent of the onsite wetlands as well as portions of the coastal sage scrub and southern mixed chaparral habitats. As evaluated in the EIR, no means exist to reduce impacts to the sensitive biological resources while allowing a reasonable return on the project applicant's investment. Furthermore, the subject property is an isolated area of biological resources and is not included in any of the Multi-Habitat Preserve Areas [MHPA] designated by the City's Subarea Plan of the Multiple Species Conservation Program [MSCP)]. Nor is the property adjacent to an MHPA.

e. The proposed development will be sited and designed to prevent adverse impacts on any adjacent sensitive biological resources.

While the eastern boundary of proposed project is adjacent to land which currently supports sensitive biological resources, these resources will be eliminated by the approved developments of the Nobel Research Park and the Nobel Athletic Park. The development of these two projects would eliminate the sensitive biological resources which occur within their boundaries and, thus, eliminate the only sensitive biological resources which lie adjacent to the proposed development.

f. The proposed development will be consistent with the City of San Diego's Multiple Species Conservation Program [MSCP] Subarea Plan.

Development of the subject property would not have a significant impact on the long-term conservation of biological resources. While the property docs exhibit sensitive biological resources, its location is not conducive to long-term conservation by virtue of the fact that the site is surrounded on three sides by development. Although the land to the east is undeveloped, it is planned for scientific research and park development and is bounded by I-805 further east.

The MSCP identifies specific areas which are considered vital to the long-term conservation of biological resources. These areas are given an MHPA designation. As indicated earlier, the proposed property does not lie within an MHPA nor does it lie adjacent to any MHPA land. The nearest MHPA designations occur to the east of 1-805

-PAGE 9 OF 12-

and in Rose Canyon to the south. The freeway separates the project site from the MHPA area to the east. The existing development of Renaissance La Jolla and the future Nobel Research Park would separate the subject property from Rose Canyon. Thus, the project site represents a small, relatively isolated island of biological resources with no substantial long-term conservation value.

g. The nature and extent of mitigation required as a condition of the permit is reasonably related to, and calculated to alleviate, negative impacts created by the proposed development.

The mitigation measures which will be implemented by the proposed project are specifically designed to fulfill the requirements of the City's MSCP Subarea Plan and Biology Guidelines. As compensation for impacts to sensitive upland biological resources, offsite habitat will be acquired and preserved at the ratios specified in the City's Biology Guidelines. Impacts to sensitive biological resources in Tier One habitats will be compensated by acquiring and preserving habitat within Tier One, while impacts to resources in Tiers Two and Three would be compensated by acquiring and preserving habitat in one or a combination of Tiers One through Three.

With respect to wetland impacts, the applicant will create comparable wetland habitat at a ratio of 3:1 within a disturbed area located adjacent to good quality wetland habitat within the Tijuana River Valley. The compensation will include monitoring and maintain for a five-year period. While the project applicant has the option to compensate for wetland impacts through a combination of creation, enhancement or preservation of wetland habitat, the City along with the State and Federal Wildlife Agencies must find that the proposed alternative wetland compensation program provides equivalent value, and satisfies the "no net loss" of wetlands policies of the State and Federal Wildlife Agencies.

# 3. Section 101.0462.0028 (Deviation Findings from Sensitive Biological Resources Regulations)

This section allows issuance of a RPO permit for a development which does not comply with the development regulations imposed by RPO with the following findings.

a. There are no feasible measures that can further minimize the potential adverse effects on environmentally sensitive lands.

The increased costs of the alternatives cannot be supported by revenues, particularly when revenues are reduced as a result of restrictions on the availability of developable land. In addition, the alternative measures would not actually provide the benefits intended by the RPO.

Additional mitigation measures are not feasible. A financial analysis was prepared using three types of projects, each with three alternative development areas intended to

#### -PAGE 10 OF 12-

respond to RPO constraints. The analysis applied three of the most commonly-used financial evaluation tools to evaluate these alternatives. The result of the study was that only the proposed project is financially feasible. All of the nine alternative scenarios would result in a loss, which would both prevent any use of the property and prevent construction of the necessary circulation element roads.

Three RPO alternatives were analyzed. The first RPO alternative assumes that retaining walls could be placed along the major roadways and around development areas so as to reduce encroachment into wetlands to the greatest degree possible. The second RPO alternative preserves the wetlands as much as possible but does not use retaining walls to maximize the potential development area; the result is less development area because slopes must reach back from the wetlands into the development area. The third RPO alternative preserves the east/west drainage course but not the tributary ephemeral drainages.

For each RPO alternative, three different types of product were evaluated. The first product type was a 4-story wood rental with two concrete levels of parking. This would allow between 438 and 568 apartment units and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative. The second product type was a 5-story wood rental with two to three concrete levels of parking. This would allow between 547 and 707 units and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative. The third product type was a high rise rental with two to three concrete levels of parking. This would allow between 547 and 707 units and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative. The third product type was a high rise rental with two to three concrete levels of parking. This would allow 1,500 units (the same as the proposed project) and either 70,000 or 60,000 square feet of scientific research, depending on the RPO alternative.

None of these alternatives is financially feasible because all would result in a loss for the developer, no matter what was built. Three commonly used measures of financial returns were applied to evaluate this issue. First, the internal rates of return for all nine of the reduced-impact alternatives (three RPO alternatives each with three types of product) are negative. Second, stabilized values for all nine of the reduced-impact alternatives are less than the costs of those alternatives. Finally, rents necessary to cover development costs for all nine alternatives would greatly exceed market rents in the area. Alternative projects would result in not merely a below-market return, but losses. Even the proposed project is marginal from an investor's viewpoint because it would provide an internal rate of return about half of what the market usually demands of real estate development projects.

The RPO alternatives would not provide the benefits intended by the RPO. Most of the wetland impacts (73 percent) arise from the Circulation Element roads, which are required for plan conformance and circulation. It was also discovered that even the most environmentally-sensitive alternative (with extensive retaining walls) would not satisfy the RPO. This is because soil, wall height and OSHA safety constraints would result in footings, broad slopes, and temporary construction roads so large as to disturb essentially

the same area of wetlands as traditional manufactured slopes used to construct the roadways.

Ъ. The proposed deviation is the minimum necessary to afford relief from special circumstances or conditions of the land not of the applicant's making.

As indicated in the previous finding and confirmed in the analysis of RPO alternatives contained in the EIR, there are no other development scenarios which can feasiblely minimize impacts to sensitive biological resources, and wetlands in particular. Construction of the two circulation roads will impact 73 percent of the wetlands as well as coastal sage scrub and southern maritime sage scrub. As these roads are essential to the long-term viability of the road network in the University Community Plan, these impacts to sensitive biological resources will occur even without the proposed project. Further reductions in impacts to sensitive biological resources would leave insufficient land available for private development to be feasible as the majority of the land (87 percent) is classified as sensitive biological resources.

The above findings are supported by the minutes, maps and exhibits, all of which are

herein incorporated by reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the recommendation of the Planning Commission is sustained, and PID/PRD/HRP/RPO Permit No. 99-0647, amending PRD Permit No. 88-0500 and PCD Permit No. 90-0144, is granted to La Jolla Crossroads, Owner/Permittee, under the terms and conditions set forth in the permit attached hereto and made a part hereof.

APPROVED: CASEY GWINN, City Attorney By

Mary Jo Lanzafame Deputy City Attorney

MJL:lc 02/03/01 Or.Dept:Clerk R-2001-1122 Form=permitr.frm Reviewed by Farah Mahzari

-PAGE 12 OF 12-

Attachment 17

A 383 Passed and adopted by the Council of the City of San Diego on <u>November 21, 2000</u> by the following vote:

YEAS: MATHIS, WEAR, KEHOE, STEVENS, McCARTY, VARGAS, MAYOR GOLDING.

NAYS: BLAIR, STALLINGS.

NOT PRESENT: NONE.

VACANT: NONE.

#### AUTHENTICATED BY:

#### **SUSAN GOLDING** Mayor of The City of San Diego, California

#### CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(SEAL)

(SEAL) .

By: \_\_\_\_\_PEGGY ROGERS \_\_Deputy

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of RESOLUTION No. R-294275, passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San

Diego, California on November 21, 2000.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California Deputy By

## DOCUMENT - PID/PRD/RPO 88-0500

#### FILED - NOVEMBER 21, 2000

## OWNER/PERMITTEE LA JOLLA CROSSROADS

To develop 1,500 apartment units and up to 162,000 square feet of scientific research, and legally described as a portion of Map No.36; Parcels A and B of Five Creeks Map No. 12234, and Lot 4 of La Jolla Gateway Map No. 11308, in the University Community Plan the RS-1-14 in zone area, (previously referred to as the R1-5000 zone) (proposed RM-3-9 and IP-1-1 zones (previously referred as the R-600 and SR zones.

CC: Permit Intake Services, MS #501 (For distribution)

## SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

P.O. BOX 82776, SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-2776 619.400.2400 WWW.SAN.ORG

February 29, 2012

Ms. Laura Black City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Airport Land Use Commission Determination – Community Plan Amendment and Zone Reclassification to Construct 472 Multi-Family Residential Units at 9015, 9025, 9029 & 9035 Judicial Drive, City of San Diego; Marine Corps Air Station Miramar Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan – MIR-12-002; Resolution No. 2012-0003 ALUC

Dear Ms. Black:

This letter is to notify the City of San Diego ("City") of the February 9, 2012 consistency determination that was made by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Authority" or "SDCRAA"), acting in its capacity as the San Diego County Airport Land Use Commission ("ALUC"), for the referenced project. The ALUC has determined that the proposed project is **conditionally consistent** with the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"). A copy of Resolution 2012-0003 ALUC, approved by the ALUC on February 9, 2012 and memorializing the consistency determination, is enclosed for your information.

The ALUC's determination that the community plan amendment and zone reclassification to construct 472 multi-family residential units at 9015, 9025, 9029 & 9035 Judicial Drive project is **conditionally consistent** with the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar ALUCP was made consistent with the ALUC Policies and the State Aeronautics Act provisions (Cal. Pub. Util. Code §21670-21679.5), and was based on numerous facts and findings, including those summarized below:

- (1) The proposed project involves a community plan amendment and zone reclassification to construct 472 multifamily residential units.
- (2) The proposed project is located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour. The ALUCP identifies residential uses located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour as compatible with airport uses, provided that the residences are sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level. Therefore, as a condition of project approval, the structures must be sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level.
- (3) The proposed project is in compliance with the ALUCP airspace protection surfaces because a determination of no hazard to air navigation has been issued by the FAA.



SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT Ms. Black Page 2

- (4) The proposed project is located outside the APZs and the TZ.
- (5) Therefore, if the proposed project contains the above-required conditions, the proposed project would be consistent with the MCAS Miramar ALUCP.
- (6) This ALUC action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Pub. Res. Code Section 21065; and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act Pub. Res. Code Section 30106.

Please contact Mr. Ed Gowens at (619) 400-2244 if you have any questions regarding the issues addressed in this letter.

Very truly yours,

AULMA Thella F. Bowens

President/CEO

TFB/AJ/EG

Enclosures: Resolution 2012-0003 ALUC

 cc: Amy Gonzalez, SDCRAA – General Counsel Ron Bolyard, Caltrans – Division of Aeronautics Chris Schmidt, Caltrans – District 11
 C. Laura Thornton, MCAS Miramar Tait Galloway, City of San Diego – Development Services

Attachment 18

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2012-0003 ALUC

A RESOLUTION OF THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY, MAKING A DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT: COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT AND ZONE RECLASSIFICATION TO CONSTRUCT 472 MULTIFAMILY RESIDENTIAL UNITS AT 9015, 9025, 9029 & 9035 JUDICIAL DRIVE, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, IS CONDITIONALLY CONSISTENT WITH THE MARINE CORPS AIR STATION MIRAMAR AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN.

WHEREAS, the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, acting in its capacity as the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for San Diego County, pursuant to Section 21670.3 of the Public Utilities Code, was requested by the City of San Diego to determine the consistency of a proposed development project: Community Plan Amendment and Zone Reclassification to Construct 472 Multifamily Residential Units at 9015, 9025, 9029 & 9035 Judicial Drive, City of San Diego, which is located within the Airport Influence Area (AIA) for the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), adopted in 2008 and amended in 2010 and 2011; and

WHEREAS, the plans submitted to the ALUC for the proposed project indicate that it would involve a community plan amendment and zone reclassification to construct 472 multifamily residential units; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project would be located within the 60-65 decibel (dB) Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contour, and the ALUCP identifies residential uses located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour as compatible with airport uses, provided that the residences are sound attenuated to a 45 dB CNEL interior noise level; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project is in compliance with the ALUCP airspace protection surfaces because a determination of no hazard to air navigation has been issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA); and

WHEREAS, the proposed project is located outside the Accident Potential Zones (APZs) and the Transition Zone (TZ); and

WHEREAS, the ALUC has considered the information provided by staff, including information in the staff report and other relevant material regarding the project; and

Resolution No. 2012-0003 ALUC Page 2 of 3

WHEREAS, the ALUC has provided an opportunity for the City of San Diego, the U.S. Marine Corps, and interested members of the public to present information regarding this matter;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the ALUC determines that the proposed project: Community Plan Amendment and Zone Reclassification to Construct 472 Multifamily Residential Units at 9015, 9025, 9029 & 9035 Judicial Drive, City of San Diego, is conditionally consistent with the MCAS Miramar ALUCP, which was adopted in 2008 and amended in 2010 and 2011, based upon the following facts and findings:

- (1) The proposed project involves a community plan amendment and zone reclassification to construct 472 multifamily residential units.
- (2) The proposed project is located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour. The ALUCP identifies residential uses located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour as compatible with airport uses, provided that the residences are sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level. Therefore, as a condition of project approval, the structures must be sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level.
- (3) The proposed project is in compliance with the ALUCP airspace protection surfaces because a determination of no hazard to air navigation has been issued by the FAA.
- (4) The proposed project is located outside the APZs and the TZ.
- (5) Therefore, if the proposed project contains the above-required conditions, the proposed project would be consistent with the MCAS Miramar ALUCP.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this ALUC determination is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Pub. Res. Code Section 21065, and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act, Pub. Res. Code Section 30106. Resolution No. 2012-0003 ALUC Page 3 of 3

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the ALUC for San Diego County at a special meeting this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners: Boland, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Panknin, Smisek

NOES: Commissioners: None

ABSENT: Commissioners: Cox, Robinson, Young

ATTEST:

TONY R.)RUSSELL DIRECTOR, CORPORATE SERVICES/ AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER GENERAL COUNSEL



## Attachment 19

## Black, Laura

From:	Gowens Ed [egowens@san.org]
Sent:	Tuesday, September 25, 2012 11:16 AM
To:	Black, Laura
Cc:	Dee Snow; Stuart; 'Brandy Alvarez'; John D. Leppert
Subject:	RE: La Jolla Crossroads
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Hi Laura,

Thanks for the message. I'm just getting back to the office today and going through my many emails.

Based upon the applicant's information in your message, I'm satisfied that no further action is required by our agency or the FAA.

Regards,

## Ed Gowens

Airport Land Use Commission San Diego County Regional Airport Authority 🗡 Post Office Box 82776 San Diego, California 92138-2776 voice (619) 400-2244 fax (619) 400-2459

All correspondence with this email address is a matter of public record subject to third party review.

Is it worth a tree to print me?

From: Black, Laura [mailto:LBlack@sandiego.gov] Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 8:09 AM To: Gowens Ed Cc: Thornton CIV Laura; Lias CIV Juan H; Camper CIV Kristin M; Dee Snow; Stuart; 'Brandy Alvarez'; John D. Leppert Subject: RE: La Jolla Crossroads Importance: High

Hi Ed.

Please see the response from the applicant for the La Jolla Crossroads, Dee Snow, with Garden Communities. Thanks. Laura

Ed,

Thank you for bringing your question to our attention. In response, please be advised that the maximum building heights for the buildings proposed as part of the project have not changed. The Federal Aviation Administration's Aeronautical Study (Appendix E of the EIR), dated December 27, 2011, concludes that project's structures, at their

## Attachment 19

declared maximum heights, would not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation. The height of the project is described as 75 feet above ground level (AGL). This measurement was taken from the project's finished grade or podium elevation, and is still consistent with the current project design. The City's Municipal Code, however, defines building height differently. The City requires building height to be measured from finish grade or the pre-existing ground elevation, whichever is situated lower. When calculating from the pre-existing ground elevation, the project height is up to 91 feet 10 inches because the project's podium is created through adding fill. The project does not exceed 75 feet in height from the finished grade or podium. For example, the maximum height of Building 10 per the City's definition is 89 feet, but 25 feet of this is situated below the podium. Therefore, the height of the building from finished grade or podium is actually only 64 feet. None of the buildings proposed as a part of the project exceed 75 feet in height from the grade or podium. As previously stated, the maximum building heights for the proposed project have not changed. Therefore, there is no need to re-submit to the FAA. As an aside, all of the maximum declared building heights identified in the FAA's determinations for this project, e.g. either 400 feet or 407 feet, actually fall below the MCAS Airport Elevation of 478 feet.

As always, we are available to answer any additional questions you may have. Dee

Dee Snow Garden Communities 9110 Judicial Drive - OFC San Diego, CA 92122 PH (858) 200-2244 FAX (858)558-9483 Cell (858) 922-1229 dees@gardencommunitiesca.com

From: Gowens Ed [mailto:egowens@san.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 11:48 AM
To: Black, Laura
Cc: Thornton CIV Laura; Lias CIV Juan H; Camper CIV Kristin M
Subject: La Jolla Crossroads

Hi Laura,

I don't know if you're still the project manager for this project, but if not, could you please pass this message along to the appropriate City staff? Otherwise, please take note of the following.

We have been advised that the project proposes a height of 91+ feet, but the ALUC reviewed the project in February at a height of 75 feet per the FAA determination of no hazard to air navigation. The MCAS Miramar ALUCP provides that an increase in height does not require project resubmittal to the ALUC for a new consistency determination—provided the new height is also not a hazard as determined by the FAA.

So as a heads up, the project sponsor should obtain a revised determination of no hazard from the FAA to account for the proposed height increase. Provided the FAA determination remains no hazard to air navigation, no new ALUC review for this project is required.

Please let me know if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Regards,

Ed Gowens

Airport Land Use Commission San Diego County Regional Airport Authority → Post Office Box 82776 San Diego, California 92138-2776

Attachment 19 Aeronautical Study No. 2011-AWP-7898-OE



Mail Processing Center Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Regional Office Obstruction Evaluation Group 2601 Meacham Boulevard Fort Worth, TX 76137

Issued Date: 12/27/2011

Stuart Posnock La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC 8530 Costa Verde Boulevard - Office San Diego, CA 92122

#### **\*\* DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION \*\***

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Building La Jolla Crossroads-Bldgs 10 & 11 Corner "1"
Location:	San Diego, CA
Latitude:	32-52-27.98N NAD 83
Longitude:	117-12-08.00W
Heights:	325 feet site elevation (SE)
	75 feet above ground level (AGL)
	400 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be completed and returned to this office any time the project is abandoned or:

\_\_\_\_\_ At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part I) \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_ Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part II)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/ lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed and maintained in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 K Change 2.

The structure considered under this study lies in proximity to an airport and occupants may be subjected to noise from aircraft operating to and from the airport.

This determination expires on 06/27/2013 unless:

- (a) extended, revised or terminated by the issuing office.
- (b) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.

NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights, and frequencies or use of greater power will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power, or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

Any failure or malfunction that lasts more than thirty (30) minutes and affects a top light or flashing obstruction light, regardless of its position, should be reported immediately to (877) 487-6867 so a Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) can be issued. As soon as the normal operation is restored, notify the same number.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (310) 725-6557. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2011-AWP-7898-OE.

Signature Control No: 154667359-155832585 Karen McDonald Specialist (DNE)

Attachment(s) Case Description Map(s) New construction of a 472 unit multi-family residential development, 5 stories of condominiums over 3 levels of subterranean parking.

١

Attachment 19



٠,

Sectional Map for ASN 2011-AWP-7898-OE

Attachment 19



Page 5 of 5



Mail Processing Center Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Regional Office Obstruction Evaluation Group 2601 Meacham Boulevard Fort Worth, TX 76137

Issued Date: 12/27/2011

Stuart Posnock La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC 8530 Costa Verde Boulevard - Office San Diego, CA 92122

## **\*\* DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION \*\***

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Building La Jolla Crossroads-Bldgs 10 & 11 Corner "2"
Location:	San Diego, CA
Latitude:	32-52-24.92N NAD 83
Longitude:	117-12-05.63W
Heights:	325 feet site elevation (SE)
-	75 feet above ground level (AGL)
	400 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be completed and returned to this office any time the project is abandoned or:

At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part I) X Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part II)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/ lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed and maintained in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 K Change 2.

The structure considered under this study lies in proximity to an airport and occupants may be subjected to noise from aircraft operating to and from the airport.

This determination expires on 06/27/2013 unless:

- (a) extended, revised or terminated by the issuing office.
- (b) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.

NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights, and frequencies or use of greater power will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power, or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

Any failure or malfunction that lasts more than thirty (30) minutes and affects a top light or flashing obstruction light, regardless of its position, should be reported immediately to (877) 487-6867 so a Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) can be issued. As soon as the normal operation is restored, notify the same number.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (310) 725-6557. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2011-AWP-7899-OE.

Signature Control No: 154667361-155832577 Karen McDonald Specialist (DNE)

Attachment(s) Case Description Map(s) New construction of a 472 unit multi-family residential development, 5 stories of condominiums over 3 levels of subterranean parking.

## Verified Map for ASN 2011-AWP-7899-OE

Attachment 19



Sectional Map for ASN 2011-AWP-7899-OE

Attachment 19





Mail Processing Center Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Regional Office Obstruction Evaluation Group 2601 Meacham Boulevard Fort Worth, TX 76137

Issued Date: 12/27/2011

Stuart Posnock La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC 8530 Costa Verde Boulevard - Office San Diego, CA 92122

## **\*\* DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION \*\***

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Building La Jolla Crossroads-Bldgs 10 & 11 Corner "3"
Location:	San Diego, CA
Latitude:	32-52-23.74N NAD 83
Longitude:	117-12-05.16W
Heights:	325 feet site elevation (SE)
-	75 feet above ground level (AGL)
	400 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be completed and returned to this office any time the project is abandoned or:

\_\_\_\_\_ At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part I) \_\_\_\_\_ Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part II)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/ lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed and maintained in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 K Change 2.

The structure considered under this study lies in proximity to an airport and occupants may be subjected to noise from aircraft operating to and from the airport.

This determination expires on 06/27/2013 unless:

- (a) extended, revised or terminated by the issuing office.
- (b) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.

NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights, and frequencies or use of greater power will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power, or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

Any failure or malfunction that lasts more than thirty (30) minutes and affects a top light or flashing obstruction light, regardless of its position, should be reported immediately to (877) 487-6867 so a Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) can be issued. As soon as the normal operation is restored, notify the same number.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (310) 725-6557. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2011-AWP-7900-OE.

Signature Control No: 154667363-155832581 Karen McDonald Specialist (DNE)

Attachment(s) Case Description Map(s) New construction of a 472 unit multi-family residential development, 5 stories of condominiums over 3 levels of subterranean parking.

## Verified Map for ASN 2011-AWP-7900-OE

Attachment 19



Attachment 19



٠,



Mail Processing Center Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Regional Office Obstruction Evaluation Group 2601 Meacham Boulevard Fort Worth, TX 76137

Issued Date: 12/27/2011

Stuart Posnock La Jolla Crossroads 1, LLC 8530 Costa Verde Boulevard - Office San Diego, CA 92122

## **\*\* DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION \*\***

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Building La Jolla Crossroads-Bldgs 10 & 11 Corner "4"
Location:	San Diego, CA
Latitude:	32-52-23.03N NAD 83
Longitude:	117-12-07.65W
Heights:	325 feet site elevation (SE)
	75 feet above ground level (AGL)
	400 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be completed and returned to this office any time the project is abandoned or:

\_\_\_\_\_ At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part I) \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_ Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part II)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/ lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed and maintained in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 K Change 2.

The structure considered under this study lies in proximity to an airport and occupants may be subjected to noise from aircraft operating to and from the airport.

This determination expires on 06/27/2013 unless:

- (a) extended, revised or terminated by the issuing office.
- (b) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.