

Encanto Neighborhood Community Planning Group



ENCANTO NEIGHBORHOODS COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

MINUTES OF ENCPG CPU SPECIAL MEETING

Jacobs Center, 404 Euclid Avenue, San Diego, CA 92114, Community Room

Date: July 28, 2014

Seat	Member	Here?	Seat	Member	Here?
Alta Vista	Marry Young	Y	At-Large	Salimisha Logan	Y
Broadway Heights	Maxine Sherard	Y	At-Large	Alfredo Ybarra	Y
Chollas View	Ardelle Matthews	N	At-Large	Patrick Ambrosio	Y
Emerald Hills	Gayle Reid	N	At-Large	Derryl Williams	N
Encanto-North	Vacant	N/A	At-Large	Steve Ward	Y
Encanto-South	Kenneth Malbrough	Y	At-Large	April Flake	N
Lincoln Park	Leslie Dudley	Y	At-Large	Khalada Salaam-Al	Y
Valencia Park	Monte Jones	N	At-Large	Brian Pollard	Y
City Dept.	Name	Here?	Dept	Name	Here?
Planning	Laura Gates	N	Mayor	Darnisha Hunter	N
Planning	Karen Bucey	Y	4 th District	Tylisa Suseberry	N

Number of Visitors: 4

Sign-in sheet on file: Y

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** At: 6:34PM by Mr. Ken Malbrough(Ken M.)
2. **INTRODUCTIONS:** ENCPG quorum introduced themselves.
3. **CONMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC:** Ken M. then asked the ENCPG Board Members if there was going to be any public comment in the New Business of the Special Meeting? The ENCPG had a discussion and with a show of hands, it was unanimously supported to allow the public to provide feed-back and comments. Ken M. then asked, if there was any public comment? Ms. Kathleen Ferrier – Ms. Ferrier shared that she represents Circulate San Diego, a 501(c)(3) to help promote healthy living by advocating for walkability communities. Ms. Ferrier mentioned she hopes to provide some insights to Element 3 in sharing that the Encanto Area was ranked 3rd in research done to determine where was the highest occurrences of pedestrian accidents with vehicles in San Diego? Ms. Ferrier encouraged all ENCPG members to support traffic calming concepts like a round-about to slow traffic rather than any road-diet concepts that narrows the road for vehicles with more challenges in navigating the road.
4. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA:** Motion made by Mr. Patrick Ambrosio(Patrick A.); to accept the agenda as presented, the motion was 2nd by Mr. Steven Ward; vote unanimously(10-0-0) by the ENCPG to adopt the new agenda was passed.

Encanto Neighborhood Community Planning Group



5. NEW BUSINESS:

A) Review CPU Element 1(Introduction)

Ken M. provided projected over view and then the Board provided feed-back. The first feedback noted that the entire introduction was the section lacked suction difficult to follow in reading. Global recommendation to use the spell check function. An example was in the final CPU Element 1 the sections numbered list that in the CPU one would find a section numbered 1.3 “Community Profile”, but in the Figures 1-3 the section is labeled “Planning Framework”. It was requested to keep a matching naming convention. It was requested to add on page 1-7, in the section of “Location and Boundaries” In the final paragraph the community of Emerald Hills is missing(please make sure to add). On page 1-3, in the section of “Plan Purpose and Process” it was hoped to add in the paragraph that starts to mention the living document that... the Plan must be monitored and amended when necessary. “i.e. providing additional mixed use to new developments”. Adding the example provides an important emphasis of what type of new changes that are favored by the community. On page 1-7, in the “Social and Historical Context” that there is no current support for the reference... “South Chollas Valley” as it is too close of a simile for the modern reference of SouthEast San Diego for a generalization to negative stereotypes. This is also a Global request to input more the new community names to provide a new perception of image. On page 1-10, the statements are too general; it would be best to have some clarity for the paragraphs in principles six and eight. It was requested that in both of the principals to provide empirical data reference to gauge the standards the community wishes to achieve. On page 1-6, it is requested to replace to wording of “night life” in the “Community Vision” statement. What is implied with the night life vision? The ENCPG supports more civic activity, but no more small business dealing with alcohol. The ENCPG vision is to limit the number of liquor licenses. There was a request to change night life with quality business attractions. A question from the Board to City Staff Karen Bucey, Does the Plan’s introduction addresses where parks will be located? Ms. Karen B. replied, “Yes, there are properties that will not be sold to any developers and remain as open space is protected. Some park/open space may be owned by the City’s Park & Recreation Department are identified in the plan.” Another question for Ms. Karen B., “Is there a way to add the concept of having more community walkability in the introduction? An example, can the introduction give more details that support services but wanted to provide a feel of mix-use that reflects the walkability with the transit areas. Additionally, is there a way to add destinations like nature path walking areas or community gatherings like the Jacob’s amphitheater?”

There was a request to reword on page 1-10 in the General Plan Guiding Principles section # 8; to reflect the percentages that would be allowed in the ENCPG area. The new verbiage request was to also hope to have a reference that Council Policy 600-4 would require a fee for new affordable housing that gets built in the ENCPG area. In the same section but #6 to give a detailed example...”and visitors. i.e. Transit areas, enhanced streetscapes, paseos. “

There was another request on page 1-7 in the description of the Location and Boundaries; that the wording be changed to reflect the name of State Route 94

Encanto Neighborhood Community Planning Group



to being State Route 94 Martin Luther King Freeway; this is also a global change request when referencing the freeway. On the same page, it is requested to expand upon the paragraph of Demographic Profile to provide more details in the residents percentages in the ENCPG area, i.e. Filipino, Vietnamese, Somalian.

There were different views that mentioned the Introduction was difficult to read through due to over generalization of topics. It was thought that the General Plan and the Community Plan introduction would contain neighborhood specific details. Karen B. affirmed that the details will be noted in the policies in other chapters.

- B) Review CPU Element 3(Mobility) Ken M. provided an over-view concerning the mobility element and then the Board provided feed-back.

There was a request to make an addition to page 3-3 to walkability policies P-MO-3 that..."signalized intersections also have a delay flow timers too." Also on page 3-3 in covering the Walkable Communities; is there a way to make a policy that requires to add sidewalks in areas that no sidewalk currently exist? Additionally, can there be a policy in areas that are being developed that already have sidewalks to ensure that the walking area to be increased/widened and improved for a larger pedestrian use in the ENCPG area? As motorized-wheelchairs are widely seen in the streets, is there also a policy that can be added that new curbs in projects be cut with the allowance for wheel-chairs? There was a request on page 3-7 to make a mention the need to have bike lanes along the Euclid Avenue and Imperial Avenue corridors.

Ken M. then asked the audience for questions. A request was made to have the plan have a mention that supports the use of light rail vs. bus transit. The public member prefers to see light rail improvements in the plan for this element. Another request was to have a mention of different traffic calming options; rather than just a road-diet. It was suggested that roundabouts to be mentioned for traffic calming suggestions; especially along 69th Street & Sychar Street and at the intersections of Market Street and Pitta Street.

Another request was made to add a mention for the community support of the re-positioning of the 47th Street trolley stop based on the improvements proposed by SANDAG. Another request was to have a mention in the element to have more public trash receptacles along the major corridors street. Karen B. replied that it is a valid request, but it should be placed for better and an increase of public furniture in Urban Design Element 4. It was also requested to add on page 3-3 for bicycling protection; to have more examples to the street and bike lanes with safety in mind; bot-dots and full dedicated lanes with no parking on corridor streets. Ken M. requested to have an added policy that allows and supports delay flow traffic areas in the ENCPG main corridor streets. Additionally, Ken M. hoped to add that there be a mention to ensuring that sidewalks to be added and bike lanes along Federal Blvd.

- C) Review CPU Element 4(Urban Design) Due to time constraints, this review was not done.

Encanto Neighborhood Community Planning Group



6. **ADJOURMENT:** Motion made by Mr. Patrick A. to adjourn the meeting; the motion was 2nd by Mr. Steven Ward; vote unanimously(10-0-0) by the ENCPG to adjourn at 9:17PM.