



**NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE**

[www.northparkplanning.org](http://www.northparkplanning.org)

**URBAN DESIGN-PROJECT REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE**

**MEETING AGENDA: Monday, April 4, 2011 – 6:00 p.m.**

**North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue**

**I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 pm)**

- A. Call to Order
- B. Modifications & Adoption of the Agenda
- C. Approval of Previous Minutes: March 7, 2011
- D. Announcements

**II. Non Agenda Public Comment (2 minutes each).** Please fill out a Public Comment Sheet and give to Chair prior to the meeting.

**III. Information**

None

**IV. Action**

- A. Arco - Texas & El Cajon (Project No. 195449):** Proposed project is an amendment to a Conditional Use Permit (CUP 501-PC) to remodel an existing convenience store and automobile service station by constructing a 400-square-foot storage addition and an 845-square-foot car wash. The project is located at 2340 El Cajon Blvd on a 0.48-acre site within the CN-1 zone of the Mid-City Communities Planned District and the Greater North Park Community Plan area. The proposed project requires a Process Three Conditional Use Permit and a Process Three Site Development Permit for the requested deviations to the Mid-City Communities Planned District.
- B. AT&T – Plymouth Congregational Church (Project No. 224477):** Neighborhood Use Permit application (Process Two) for a wireless communication facility consisting of antennas located within a new (50') tower structure within the setback with associated equipment located adjacent to the tower. The subcommittee voted to recommend denial of the project at the March 7 meeting; however, the applicant has requested to present a new site design for additional consideration.

**V. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items**

**Next scheduled meeting date: Monday, May 2, 2011**

**VI. Adjournment (8:00 pm)**

*For information about this subcommittee please contact the Chair, Robert Barry, at 619 954-5588 or [robert.barry@cox.net](mailto:robert.barry@cox.net). The next meeting of the North Park Planning Committee is April 19, 2011, 6:30 pm, at the North Park Christian Fellowship, 2901 North Park Way (at 29th Street).*



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

March 21, 2011

Krystal Patterson  
PlanCom, Inc., agents representing AT&T Mobility  
302 State Pl.  
Escondido, CA 92029

Sent via e-mail only to: [krystal.patterson@plancominc.com](mailto:krystal.patterson@plancominc.com)

Dear Krystal Patterson:

Subject: AT&T – Plymouth Congregational, 1<sup>st</sup> Review Assessment Letter  
Project #224477; Internal Order #24001285  
Located at: 2717 University Ave.

The Development Services Department [DSD] has completed its most recent review of the above-referenced telecom project. In order to expedite the return of DSD's comments to you, this brief cover letter is provided in lieu of a formal Assessment Letter.

Enclosed you will find a Cycle Issues Report, which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies. Please resubmit your project with the information and the revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report as soon as possible to facilitate the most efficient processing of your project.

If additional requirements arise during the subsequent review of your project, DSD will identify the issues and the reasons for the additional requirements. If you resubmit your project but fail to provide the information or make the revisions requested in the enclosed or subsequent Cycle Issues Reports, DSD will continue to process the project; however, the project may be recommended for denial, if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved or the appropriate findings for approval cannot be made.

Please be advised of San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 126.0114, which states:

The development permit application file shall be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits 90 calendar days from the date the application was deemed complete or the last written

request by the City, whichever is later. Once closed, the application, plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed by the City Manager. To reapply, the applicant shall submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

To avoid the closure of your application file under SDMC section 126.0114, you must resubmit your project with the information and revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report **on or before June 21, 2011**. When you are ready to resubmit your project, please call (619) 446-5300 to make an appointment for a “Discretionary Resubmittal.” Resubmittals may also be done on a walk-in basis, however, you may experience a longer than desirable wait time. In either case, please check in on the third floor of the Development Service Center at 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101.

At your appointment, please provide the plans, information, revisions, and/or other documentation requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report and Submittal Requirements Report. The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 ½ x 11 inch size.

Records Fee: Prior to scheduling your project for a decision you must pay the Records Fee to cover the cost of imaging and archiving your complete project record electronically (see Information Bulletin 503). Please forward payment payable to the “City Treasurer” in the amount of \$90.

Our most recent records show that there is a balance of \$-52.26 in the Deposit Account for your project. However, please be advised that the cost of the review DSD just completed has not been posted to your Deposit Account, and it may take four to six weeks to post these costs to the account. Therefore, please pay attached invoice prior to project resubmittal.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter, the information and revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report, the plans and documentation listed in the Submittal Requirements Report, and/or any modifications to the project scope. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5349 or via e-mail at [ahempton@sandiego.gov](mailto:ahempton@sandiego.gov).

Sincerely,

Alex Hempton, AICP  
Associate Planner

Enclosures:

1. Cycle No. 5 Issues Report

Page 3  
AT&T – Plymouth Congregational  
Project No. 224477

2. Submittal Requirements Report
3. Invoice

cc: File



# Cycle Issues

3/21/11 1:02 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

Page 1 of 7

L64A-003A

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 224477 **Title:** AT&T Plymouth Congregational  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349

Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Plan-Historic	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Oakley, Jeffrey (619) 236-6582	<b>Assigned:</b> 02/14/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.00	<b>Started:</b> 02/17/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Conditions	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/04/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 02/17/2011	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/09/2011 from 03/09/2011 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for Plan-Historic on this project as: Conditions.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month Plan-Historic performed 129 reviews, 95.3% were on-time, and 91.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Documents Required - Please review Information Bulletin #580 and provide all Historical Documents required when submitting under the "Discretionary Review Process." You may contact the Historical Resources Planner (Jeffrey Oakley) at (619) 236-6582 with any comments or questions.  <a href="http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/infobulletinsnumb.shtml">http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/infobulletinsnumb.shtml</a> (From Cycle 4)

### 2nd Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	The church building that is the subject structure for this project scope of work was built in 1979 and is not over 45-years old, thus the proposed project is consistent with the standards and other structures on the same parcel that are over 45-years old are not being impacted. Since there were no photos provided for the other structures, an Historical Determination cannot be made on these structures. All future projects proposed on this subject property shall require submittals to Plan-Historic staff for the "Over 45-Year Review Process." (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Planning Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 02/04/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.00	<b>Started:</b> 02/04/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/04/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 03/21/2011	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/09/2011 from 03/09/2011 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 4th complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 14 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (12 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 106 reviews, 52.8% were on-time, and 64.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## FAA Part 77

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	FAA Part 77 Calculation:  150' Lowest FAA Part 77 Notification Surface elevation - 350' Highest ground elevation = -200' Maximum Structure Height allowed without requiring FAA notification (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Applicant has indicated that they will not self-certify plans. Please provide a Notice of No Hazard from the FAA.  Note: The FAA Determination of No Hazard is included on the "Submittal Requirements Report" but is optional in the system for the next submittal and is not required if not yet available. (From Cycle 3)

## 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	This project proposes a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) consisting of 12 antennas and a 26' x 13' equipment enclosure. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Setback: The equipment enclosure and tower element are proposed within the front setback. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Equipment Enclosure: The equipment enclosure, at 338 sq. ft., exceeds the 250 sq. ft. maximum allowed by the WCF Design Requirements of the LDC. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Identify requested deviations to the setback and the equipment area size on the title sheet. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	The equipment room proposes identifies the removal of an existing tree of a substantial size. See LDR-Landscape issues for issues related to the removal of this tree and the WCF Regulations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Process: This project may be processed with a Site Development Permit (SDP), Process 3 to allow the proposed deviations.  If the project was not located within the setback and the equipment area did not exceed 250 sq. ft. (or was located within an existing building), the project could be processed as a Process 1, Limited Use, if it remained in the MCCPD-CL-1 zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	23	Deviations to the WCF Regulations and Development Regulations for the Zone are normally processed with a Planned Development Permit, Process 4. In this case, since the project is located within the Mid-City Communities Planned District Ordinance, PDP's are not permitted. The deviations may be processed with an SDP Process 3 - Mid-City Communities Development Permit, if the required findings can be made in the affirmative. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	24	Photo Simulation: Tree is proposed to be removed. Remove from photo sim to clearly demonstrate the end result. Photo sim showing tree is misleading. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	25	Providing additional trees within the public right-of-way could off-set the bulk/mass of proposed tower and equipment area. Also consider repaving the public right-of-way adjacent to this project to match recent sidewalk improvements in North Park. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	26	Plans, Sheet A-2, show iron access gates that extend into the public right-of-way. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	27	Remove sheet L-4; not reviewed at this time. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	28	Sheet L-2 labeled irrigation plan; please correct. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Landscaping	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 03/10/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 03/10/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/04/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 03/21/2011	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/09/2011 from 03/09/2011 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (4 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 29 reviews, 75.9% were on-time, and 69.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Completeness Check

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Provide the following note on the Landscape Development Plan: "All landscape and irrigation shall conform to the standards of the City-Wide Landscape Regulations and the City of San Diego Land Development Manual Landscape Standards and all other landscape related City and Regional Standards." (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Provide the following note on the planting plan:  MINIMUM TREE SEPARATION DISTANCE Improvement/ Minimum Distance to Street Tree Traffic signals (stop sign) - 20 feet Underground utility lines - 5 feet (10' for sewer) Above ground utility structures - 10 feet Driveway (entries) - 10 feet Intersections (intersecting curb lines of two streets) - 25 feet (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Provide the following standard note on the Landscape Plan: All graded, disturbed or eroded areas that will not be permanently paved or covered by structures shall be permanently revegetated and irrigated as shown in Table 142-04F and in accordance with the standards in the Land Development Manual [142.0411(a)]. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Provide the following note on the Landscape Development Plan: "Irrigation: An automatic, electrically controlled irrigation system shall be provided as required for proper irrigation, development, and maintenance of the vegetation in a healthy, disease-resistant condition. The design of the system shall provide adequate support for the vegetation selected." Also, indicate the type(s) of irrigation system(s) proposed; i.e. spray, drip, etc. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Provide the following note on the Landscape Development Plan; fill in the blank with who shall be responsible for long-term maintenance (i.e. owner, project association, other):  "Maintenance: All required landscape areas shall be maintained by _____. Landscape & irrigation areas in the public ROW shall be maintained by _____. The landscape areas shall be maintained free of debris and litter and all plant material shall be maintained in a healthy growing condition. Diseased or dead plant material shall be satisfactorily treated or replaced per the conditions of the permit." (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Please remove sheets L1 and L3 as they are not reviewed at this time. They contain construction-level details that will be reviewed during the building permit review. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Provide at least three species for each plant category to allow flexibility at the plan check / construction phase. (Having a selection allows the developer to use an alternate species in case the original species cannot be obtained.) (From Cycle 3) [Recommended]

1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	As part of this project, an existing tree, identified as Ficus nitida, 30'H x 30'W is proposed to be removed. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	The WCF regulations require that when trees with a trunk width of 4 inches or more are removed or significantly trimmed for the installation or operation of the WCF, then replacement trees of a similar size shall be planted to the satisfaction of the City Manager.  Provide replacement trees per the WCF regulations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Provide Landscape Calculations, per the City's Landscape Regulations, to ensure that with the removal of the existing tree and addition of the replacement trees, the property will comply with the Landscape Regulations in terms of the required Street Yard Planting Area & Points. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Landscaping' review, please call Alexander Hempton at (619) 446-5349. Project Nbr: 224477 / Cycle: 5





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Relocate the equipment enclosure so that the existing tree is not removed. If this cannot be accomplished, Staff would consider the addition of trees within the public right-of-way, at a minimum 36-inch box size. Existing trees could be replaced with this size and/or a double row of trees could be proposed. (New Issue)







# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Community Planning Group	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 02/04/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.25	<b>Started:</b> 02/04/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/04/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 02/04/2011	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/09/2011 from 03/09/2011 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 28 reviews, 67.9% were on-time, and 60.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CPG Contact Info

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please present project to the North Park Planning Committee to receive a recommendation. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE ROB STEPPKE, CHAIR 3939 ARIZONA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92104  (619) 297-2012 info@northparkplanning.org http://www.northparkplanning.org  Project Distribution to: ROBERT BARRY, 2114 WESTLAND AVENUE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92104 (619) 954-5588 rbarry53@cox.net (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Environmental	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Benally, Rhonda (619) 446-5468	<b>Assigned:</b> 02/07/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.00	<b>Started:</b> 03/02/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/09/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 03/08/2011	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 57 reviews, 42.1% were on-time, and 63.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review 3/8/2011

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

1 Project Scope:

The project is a Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) to allow for a wireless communication facility (WCF) consisting of antennas located within a new tower structure within the setback with associated equipment located adjacent to the tower. The project is located at 2717 University Avenue in the CL-1 zone of the Greater North Park Community Plan, Transit Area Overlay Zone, and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 77 Notification Area.

2 Project Issues:

It is likely the project may be exempt from CEQA, however additional information is required to determine if impacts in the following issue areas, Health and Safety and Paleontology, would be considered significant. (New Issue)

Health and Safety

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

3 FAA Notification:

LDR-Planning has indicated the applicant will not self-certify plans. Please provide a copy of the Notice of No Hazard from the FAA to EAS. Until this information is received EAS is not able to clear this issue. (New Issue)

Grading/Excavation/Paleontolog

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

4 This area is moderately sensitive for paleontological resources. It appears from review of the Equipment & Antenna Plan (Sheet A-2) that some excavation/grading will be required for the trenching for the proposal of an approximately 35'-0" long power/fiber and a 112'-0" long underground power and telco route. Please provide the total amount of grading/excavation in cubic yards and the depth of cut proposed on the Site Plan. Until this information is provided, EAS is not able to determine if monitoring for paleontological resources will be required. (New Issue)

Historical (Architectural)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

5 The City's Plan-Historic (PHS) staff has reviewed the project and determined the church building that is the subject structure for this project scope of work was built in 1979 and is not over 45-years old. Therefore the proposed project is consistent with the standards; additionally, other structures on the same parcel that are over 45-years old are not being impacted. (New Issue)

6 (Continued)

Since there were no photos provided for the other structures, however, a Historical Determination cannot be made on these structures. Please note all future projects proposed on this subject property shall require submittals to PHS for the "Over 45-Year Review Process." (New Issue)

New Issue Group (1357448)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

7 Please note additional environmental issues may arise as the review progresses. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Rhonda Benally at (619) 446-5468. Project Nbr: 224477 / Cycle: 5





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 02/02/2011	Deemed Complete on 02/03/2011
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 02/03/2011	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Ocen, Julius (619) 446-5295	<b>Assigned:</b> 02/04/2011	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 2.00	<b>Started:</b> 03/01/2011	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 03/04/2011	
	<b>Completed:</b> 03/01/2011	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 03/21/2011	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/09/2011 from 03/09/2011 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 41 reviews, 92.7% were on-time, and 55.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 1st Review Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	ADD NOTE TO PLANS:  Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	ADD NOTE TO PLANS:  Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards. (New Issue)

### Draft Engineering Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards. (New Issue)





# Submittal Requirements

3/21/11 1:03 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 224477      **Title:** AT&T Plymouth Congregational  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619)446-5349      Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Cycle Information

**Review Cycle:** 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

**Opened:** 03/21/2011 1:02 pm

**Submitted:**

**Due:**

**Closed:**

## Required Documents:

<u>Package Type</u>	<u>Pkg Qty</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Qty Needed</u>
Storm Water	1	Storm Water Req. Applicability Checklist (DS-560)	1
Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	4	Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	4
Photo Simulations	4	Photo Simulations	4
Applicant Correspondence	4	Applicant Correspondence	4





# Invoice

3/21/11 1:08 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-007

Invoice Number: **380539**



Status: Invoiced

Issued: 03/21/2011 1:08 pm Hempton, Alexander

Voided:

Customer: Becker, Kevin C.

Development: 147316 Devel Num 147316

Project: **224477** AT&T Plymouth Congregationa PM: Hempton, Alexander (619)446-5349



Project Fees:

<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fee Amount</u>
Deposit Account	7,500.00	Dollars	\$7,500.00
Deposit Account	2,000.00	Dollars	\$-2,000.00
<b>Approval Total:</b>			\$5,500.00
<b>Job Total:</b>			\$5,500.00

Job: 459207 2717 UNIVERSITY AV

Approval Nbr: **7 99789** ZUC Zoning Use Certificate Status: Created



<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fee Amount</u>
Records-Discretionary Projects	1.00	Pages	\$90.00
<b>Approval Total:</b>			\$90.00
<b>Job Total:</b>			\$90.00
<b>Project Total:</b>			\$5,590.00
<b>Invoice Total:</b>			\$5,590.00

