

NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART SUBCOMMITTEE

www.northparkplanning.org

MEETING AGENDA: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 – 6:00 p.m. North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue.

- I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 pm)
 - A. Call to Order
 - B. Modifications to & adoption of the July 10, 2013 Agenda
 - C. Chair's Comments
 - D. Approval of Previous Minutes: April 10 (Joint Mtg) & May 08, 2013
 - E. Announcements: (See last page of Agenda for details and links)
- II. Non Agenda Public Comment (2 min. each). Please fill out a Public Comment Sheet and give to Chair prior to the meeting.
- III. Information Items:
 - A. None

IV. New/Current Business: (Action Items) See links to background info on page 2

- A. SANDAG Regional Bike Corridor Mid-City & Uptown: Presentation by Bridget Enderle/Beth Robrahn SANDAG (6:10 to 7:00)
- B. University Heights Street Signs: Presentation by Bernie Horan (7:00 to 7:20)
- C. Capital Improvement Project (CIP) List: Begin discussion and prioritization (7:20 to 7:40)
- **D. I 94 Project:** Discussion of City Heights & SESD votes & input. (7:40 to 7:50)

V. Old/Ongoing Business: (*Update/discussion/possible Action Items*) (see announcements for links) (*Note: these ongoing items may be trailed to following month if we run out of time*)

- **A.** Angle Parking Policy: Discussion Report/update from Ernie Bonn
- **B.** Utility Box Task Force: Update and next steps
- C. Regional Bike Corridor Project, Mid-City & Uptown: Update/Discussion
- I. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items. (7:55 to 8:00)
- II. Next Meeting date: PF Subcommittee: Wed., August 14, 2013 6pm

III. Adjournment (8:00 pm)

Times listed are estimates only. Please speak only when recognized by the Chair. Be respectful of others and their ideas. Listen and be open-minded. No interruptions or side conversations. Stay focused on issues rather than personalities.

* **Subcommittee Quorum**: Total seated members cannot exceed 13, with a maximum of 7 elected NPPC Board Members (cannot exceed NPPC quorum) and 6 Community Voting Members. The majority of total seated members must be elected NPPC board members

**** Community Voting Members:** Community members gain subcommittee voting rights after attending at least three subcommittee meetings. Elected Board Members have voting rights anytime.

City of San Diego Street Design Manual: http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/pdf/peddesign.pdf

PF Subcommittee: Dionné Carlson (Chair) 619-584-2496 dionneleighcarlson@cox.net /René A. Vidales (Vice-Chair) 619-819-8690 lanphomus@cox.net UD Subcommittee: Robert Barry (Chair) 619 954-5588 Robert.Barry@cox.net / Cheryl Dye (Vice-Chair) Cheryl.dye@cox.net

Links/Notes/ Attachments/Background for Current/Ongoing Agenda Items: 7/10/2013

Link to San Diego General Plan Recreation Element: http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/recreationelement.pdf -

SANDAG Regional Bike Projects:

- Uptown Regional Bike Corridor <u>Community Advisory Group</u>: Beth Robrahn, Project Manager http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/uptown_intro.aspx
- Mid-City Regional Bike Corridor (Includes North Park) Advisory Group: Bridget Enderle, Project Manager http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/RegionalBikeProjects/NorthParkMidCity_intro.aspx

SR 94 Freeway Interchange Project: http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/SR-94-Corridor/SR94-intro.aspx

Dear Mayor Filner and Councilmembers,

Re: Abandon the SR-94 HOV Project

The City Heights Area Planning Committee formally requests that the city's representatives to SANDAG schedule an agenda item on the SR-94 HOV project so we can have an open discussion regarding whether this project is worthwhile and whether it should be pursued.

Respectfully, Patty Vaccariello Chairwoman, CHAPC

Dear Mayor Filner, ladies and gentlemen,

At its regular meeting earlier this month, the City Heights Area Planning Committee heard a presentation from Caltrans and SANDAG about high-occupancy vehicle lanes on SR-94 between roughly Interstate-805 and Interstate-5. The Committee held a lively, thoughtful discussion of the project and the \$500 millions (or more) that it will cost.

After discussion, the committee approved a motion urging Caltrans and SANDAG to abandon the project as it is now planned and spend the \$500 millions of TransNet funding on transportation and circulation improvements in the communities surrounding that section of SR-94 - Logan Heights, Memorial, Mount Hope, Mountain View, Sherman Heights, Grant Hill, Stockton and City Heights.

There seemed to be two themes in the CPG members' discussion:

 as proposed, there is nothing of value for communities surrounding the section of SR-94 in question; and
it's obvious that SANDAG, acting through Caltrans, intends to make convenient motor vehicle transportation between affluent areas, like Chula Vista and the vicinity of MCAS Miramar, and downtown San Diego. The public recitation from Caltrans and SANDAG was of busses and mass transit for low- and moderate-income folks. In fact the plan speeds the daily commute of more affluent folks from the suburbs. The Committee seemed to see through the rhetoric and recognize the facts of the project. It took an appropriate action.

We understand that the Mayor and the President pro-tempore of the City Council are the City's appointees to the SANDAG Board. I urge them to arrange an agenda item at SANDAG soon to discuss this project with residents of the communities mentioned above, to decide how best to spend \$500-\$600 millions. We should have this discussion soon, before the project is hardened.

Jim Varnadore, City Heights

NPPC-PF Subcommittee 07/10/2013 Announcements:

SANDAG News:

The June 2013 edition of <u>rEgion</u>, the SANDAG electronic newsletter, is now available online. Articles include:

- <u>Community workshops tackle regional issues</u>
- <u>Trolley project takes big step forward</u>
- New lanes opened on SR 78
- <u>SANDAG wins scores of awards</u>

Find SANDAG on Facebook: SANDAGregion and Twitter: @SANDAG

SANDAG Mid-City Rapid Bus Project has started Construction - Info and updates here:

http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/Mid-city-rapid/midcity-rapid-bus-intro.aspx

North Park Community Association (NPCA):

See the NPCA's home page <u>http://northparksd.org/</u> and their community calendar at <u>http://northparksd.org/meetings-calendar</u>. Contact <u>news@northparksd.org</u> to have your event/meeting added to the calendar

University Heights Community Association (UHCA):

See the UHCA home page http://uhsd.org/

Adams Ave Business Association (AABA): http://www.adamsavenuebusiness.com/

University Heights Community Development Corporation (UHCDC): www.uhcdc.org

El Cajon Boulevard Business District: www.theboulevard.org

North Park Main Street: http://northparkmainstreet.com/



PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART SUBCOMMITTEE

MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, May 8, 2013, 6:00 p.m., North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center 2719 Howard Avenue, San Diego, CA 92104

Attendance:	
Seated Board Members:	Dionné Carlson (Chair), René Vidales (Vice-Chair), Robert Barry, Peter Hill, Omar Passons (arrived 6:17)
Community Voting Members: Ernie Bonn, Rob Steppke, Kitty Callen	
Board member not seated:	Roger Lewis
Also present:	Matti Asgarian, Hans Wangbicher, Bruce Shank, Adam Cook, Terry Goodbody, Antoinette Goodbody, Alice Altes, Anna Buising, Preston Lewis

Parliamentary Items:

Call to order. The meeting was called to order at 6:12 pm

Approve May 8, 2013 Agenda. Motion: Approve Agenda Barry/Vidales. 7-0-0

Chair's Comments. Hans, Bruce, and Matti will be eligible to sit at the table (as voting Community Members) for this sub-committee starting tonight.

Approval of Previous Minutes, April 10, 2013.

April 10, 2013 Meeting Minutes will be approved at a future meeting.

Announcements & Non-Agenda Public Comment:

Links to events are listed in the agenda

- SANDAG will host meetings on May 17, June 21, and July 19 on the Regional Transportation Plan
- Cross community Clean-Up at the Texas & Howard vacant lot on Saturday, May 18th 8:30 to noon
- North Park Festival of the Arts, Saturday, May 18th 10 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.
- May 16th University Heights Land Use presentation 6:30 pm at Grace Lutheran Church concerning the boundary between North Park and Uptown
- APWA Sustainability in Public Works Conference, May 13-15 at Sheraton Hotel & Marina
- Library Task Force on May 15th
- NP community Plan update meeting Thursday, May 9 at 2901 North Park Way at 6:30 p.m.
- North Park Mini Park won award from San Diego Chapter of the American Planning Association for Outstanding Planning Effort in Neighborhood Planning

Information Items:

A. Upas Street Pipeline Replacement Project.

Replacement approximately 5.4 miles (28,500 linear feet) of cast iron water mains along Upas Street, Park Boulevard, 5th Avenue.

Alice Altes, Project Manager from the City of San Diego made an introduction. Anna Bissing and Preston Lewis from Infrastructure Engineering Corporation presented the project.

The project limits are from Upas Street/Ray Street to Pacific Highway. Construction schedule calls for project to begin late fall/early spring. Construction schedule accounts for the 2015 construction moratorium around Balboa Park. Project budget is \$20.2 million. The project is installing a 24" pipe, with some locations decreasing to a 16" pipe. This is part of the EPA mandated replacement of pipes in older cities. The existing pipe was installed in 1939.

The project team has reached out to several community groups. This is a challenging project but would like to hear input to make it more livable. The construction management team is already on board. Any resident/business within 300 feet should have received a letter by now with a listing of future public meetings to gather additional input. A Traffic Control Plan was designed with 2-way traffic in mind for 30th Street. Emergency vehicles will always be allowed to go through. Working hours will be 7:30 am to 3:30 pm with some night work for appropriate connections.

The two side-by-side pipelines will have to be replaced one at a time due to engineering considerations. This WILL result in the street being dug up and repaved <u>Twice</u>. Chair Carlson requested that the outreach team provide a really clear and simple written explanation of the reasons for this to her in an email for inclusion in the minutes and on NPPC's social media, and that it be posted on the project website, as this is one issue which can really rile a community if sound explanations are not given ahead of time.

A number of other community groups were suggested to the project team for additional outreach.

New Business:

A. NP Community Plan Update - Recreation Element: Presentation by City staff (Marlon Pangilinan) of evaluation of Community-Proposed Park Sites for population-based parks (from community input received during 2012 CPUAC meetings).

Open Space will be incorporated into the ranking of sites. A discussion of equivalencies will also take place on Thursday's meeting.

Equivalencies:

Board discussion:

- Steppke: Should take a closer look at City owned Park & Recreation properties
- Barry: Regarding private property roof gardens, in order to be a public use would it have to accessible to the public. Those amenities would need to be incorporated in the design process. We cannot request them but we can incentivize them. Regarding equivalencies, Balboa Park is a public park and a regional park and is used by the public at large.
- Vidales: Development Impact Fees (DIF) funds for park uses should not be used for Balboa Park improvements unless there is a really good local nexus. Misused Equivalencies can falsely reduce the demonstrable shortage of park land in North Park.
- Hill: For Example, The Balboa Park Velodrome could use money that could come from NP.
- Steppke: Balboa Park's Morley Field and others have an Unfunded Needs wish list. Equivalencies are a perfect example on how to use the NP DIF funds for Balboa Park unfunded needs list. The Ratio involved/used to apportion DIF Fees is important; Morley Field will not be used exclusively by North Parkers but will continue to be used by the public in general, so not all the money for improvements there should come from NP DIF.

Public discussion:

- T. Goodbody: How do you establish how many people from outside the community use Balboa Park facilities?
- Lewis: There are benefits to equivalencies, such as being adjacent to those facilities being improved. There are positives if the funds are used correctly. Bigger issue is the aspect of using other joint use facilities/rooftops in the community where the access (hours, type, etc.) are set up. Distance of facilities from the North Park community should be considered. In the case of Morley Field and Bird Park, if we identify only those two areas that we consider equivalencies, then we would only do projects on a case by case basis. As long as a rooftop is fully accessible to the public, then that is a reasonable equivalency.

- Hans: Bringing people from outside the area to use your facilities boosts the local economy.
- Callen: Money can be taken away by the city at any time if they need it.

Board discussion:

- Barry: The City came up with 37 suggestions.
- Passons: 28th street adjacent to Balboa Park is an area that should be included. Would also like to close off streets to create more park space. Incentives for projects to become ministerial if they propose a benefit to the community such as density bonus should be considered
- Bonn: Would like all paper streets in North Park Identifies, and included in the potential park sites list.
- Granowitz: Some of those paper streets are already vacated so they wouldn't be counted
- Lewis: If an easement was dedicated it will be used for the use that was dedicated for. Regarding Open Space; the issue of equivalencies here can be problematic. For example; if there are species from the MSCP then public use/access is limited... such as the cases of Switzer Canyon and 30th Street Canyon.
- Barry: should not segregate habitat with any project

After further discussion, there was general consensus that equivalencies should be limited to specific locations, and limited to projects vetted by the community on a case-by-case basis.

Rankings:

- Barry: If we do not do the ranking as a group, we would lose some knowledge because there are people who have specific knowledge about specific sites and the ranking would lose its value.
- Vidales: Ranking spreadsheet needs to be updated to include open space, and would include both the City's ranking and our ranking in separate columns.
- Bonn: Privately owned properties would be more difficult and therefore Uptown Planners eliminated the private properties from their list.

Other topics:

- Asgarian: Graywater reclamation should be incorporated into the Community Plan Update
- Steppke: The facility where this meeting is being held is part of a recreation facility that will eventually be demolished. We need a community room and that should be part of the Recreation Element

B. NP Community Plan Update – Arts & Culture Elements

Vice-Chair Vidales presented the draft element based on feedback received from Lynn Susholtz and Berryl. It was suggested to revise the word "create" to "encourage" or "promote". Roger Lewis attended a previous North Park Main Street meeting where discussions were held on the topic of Arts & Culture in terms of Economic Prosperity.

- C. NP Community Plan Update Remaining Elements No discussion
- **D. Mayor's Traffic Management Plan for Balboa Park** No discussion

Ongoing Business

- A. MTS Streetcar Feasibility Study. No further information
- B. Angle Parking Policy: No new information
- C. Utility Box Task Force. No new information
- D. Regional Bike Corridor Project, Mid-City & Uptown. See Website:

Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items. None

Next Meeting date: Wednesday, June 12, 2013 - Joint Meeting Urban Design & Public Facilities

Adjournment: Motion: To adjourn meeting Barry/Callen. 8-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 7:58 p.m.



Like us: I <u>NorthParkPlanning</u> Follow us: <u>MorthParkPlanning</u>

PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART SUBCOMMITTEE AND URBAN DESIGN/PROJECT REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE JOINT MEETING

MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, April 10, 2013, 6:00 p.m., North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center 2719 Howard Avenue, San Diego, CA 92104

Attendance:

Seated Board Members:	Dionné Carlson (Public Facilities Chair), Robert Barry (Urban Design Chair),
	René Vidales (Public Facilities Vice-Chair), Vicki Granowitz, Omar Passons

Community Voting Members: Ernie Bonn, Rob Steppke, Kitty Callen (arrived 6:17)

Board member not seated: None

Also present:Marlon Pangilinan, Cecilia Gallardo, Dan Normandin, Anthony Bernal, Kevin
Clark, Matti Asgarian, Bruce Shank, Hans Wangbichler, Mike Pickford,
Naida Hindert, Nancy Keys, Sean Chen, Vincent Manno, Aaron Vaksvik, Bill
Mackey, Justin Cressy, Sarah McAlear, Kristin Harms, Alexandra Elias,
George Franck

Parliamentary Items:

Call to order. The meeting was called to order at 6:06 pm

Approve April 10, 2013 Agenda. Motion: Approve Agenda Barry/Vidales. 7-0-0

Chair's Comments. None

Approval of Previous Public Facilities Minutes, March 19, 2013.

<u>Motion</u>: Approve March 19, 2013 PF Meeting Minutes with the following corrections: On Non-Agenda Public Comment, Item C, revise to "Janet O'Dea and Ernie met with Mayor Filner on historic preservation issues (Uptown Planners Subcommittee) brought forward at Councilmember Gloria's "History Day" but never acted on. Ernie also referred to earlier correspondence to the Mayor on University Heights Teacher's Training Annex. He requested sending it again". Granowitz/Bonn. 5-0-2 (Barry and Passons abstained)

Approval of Previous Urban Design Minutes, March 4, 2013.

Minutes were not presented and they will be presented at a future Urban Design meeting

Announcements & Non-Agenda Public Comment:

- Passons: Mayor will be presenting budget on April 15
- Barry: Council President Todd Gloria will be listing the categories for the budget
- Carlson: SOHO Event
- Bonn: 4200 block of Alabama St. has been evaluated to increase parking and will be adding 7 more spaces for conversion from diagonal to head-in parking. This is a future agenda item. City hired Joe Jimenez as new traffic engineer to work with parking districts.

Information Items: None

New Business:

A. Stop Sign at Mississippi Street and Madison Avenue. Traffic calming on Madison between Texas Street and Park Boulevard, first heard on 2/13/2013 as a V-Calm Sign request. Signatures were collected for the preferred option of stop sign

Signatures were gathered from all four properties at the intersection of Mississippi Street and Madison Avenue and nearby properties. Sean Chen presented the original petition signatures.

After discussion the following motion was made.

<u>MOTION</u>: To approve the installation of a 4-way stop at the intersection of Mississippi St. and Madison Ave. for traffic calming purposes. Granowitz/Passons. 8-0-0

B. North Park Community Plan Update – Land Use Map. Presentations, discussion & comment on proposed Land Use Map prior to hand off to City staff.

Marlon Pangilinan from the City Planning Division presented the Land Use Plan. Dan Normandin and Cecilia Gallardo from the City Planning Division were also present.

There are 18 different Land Use Categories in the 1986 Community Plan and there are 12 Land Use Categories proposed in the Community Plan Update. The general aspect of the category did not change. No such change will be from a residential to a commercial, but most changes will occur within the same category.

Marlon Pangilinan presented a summary of Land Use changes.

- Proposing a commercial density reduction form Commercial and Multiple Use to Community Commercial on 30th St. between Madison Ave. and Meade Ave.
- Proposing a commercial density reduction from Commercial and Multiple Use to Neighborhood Commercial on Park Blvd. between Adams Ave. and Meade Ave.
- Proposing a commercial density reduction from Commercial and Multiple Use to Neighborhood Commercial on the Vons supermarket block (Howard Ave., 30th St., Polk Ave., Ohio St.) with two different options.
- Proposing no alcohol services in transition areas, reducing bulk and scale for new development that will be facing existing residential in the guidelines; also proposing office portion of commercial.
- Nodes would be commercial zoning with residential optional

Public:

- Bill Markey: Zoning does not reflect existing lot lines. Chair Barry response: Zoning will be discussed at a different meeting
- Alexandria Elias: Splitting land use within the same lot is problematic; committed to make a historic neighborhood livable.
- Kristin Harms: Will provide Chair Barry with maps of those transitional areas of concern
- Nancy Keys: asked about street widths, answer we are allowing higher density based on the wider street and will also be studied with the traffic modeling that is part of the Mobility Element
- Hans Wangbichler: Concerned about building heights. Response: Floor Area Ratio (FAR) will vary depending on the area
- Mike Pickford: Concerned about alley vacations in commercial areas. City response: City Council approval required for vacations.

Chair Carlson suggested to Mr. Mackey and Ms. Elias to send an enlarged figure with the area of concern to Chair Barry & Marlon with an explanation of their concerns.

Board input:

- Chair Carlson: (In response to a question about why there are lower density "end caps" on the blocks that face Madison, Meade & Monroe Aves) There is a predominance of existing historic bungalows and Spanish Revival single family residences on those East/West running streets, which still retain their original integrity, and which historic neighborhood character we want to preserve by keeping the density designation lower, and thus discouraging demolition & infill there. Whereas the North/South running streets (the centers of those blocks, are now mostly multifamily housing with a denser character, thus their higher density designation.
- Passons: Is City staff getting questions from organized groups or individuals? Response: Only from individuals so far
- Steppke: Should consider south of El Cajon Blvd. like Howard, Polk, and Lincoln. Should the south side of Lincoln be replicated on the north side or should the north side be replicated on the south side?
- Bonn: Process for Lot consolidations. City staff response: Some could be done ministerially
- Bonn: Consistency for Park Blvd. east and west sides. City staff response: Will be mirroring each other
- Carlson: Asked about how discretionary Floor Area Ratio (FAR) overlay zones might get integrated into the NP Land Use Plan in some commercial areas. City staff response: City may develop a Land Development Code amendment for the current Civic San Diego area (former CCDC area) in downtown. So the question is whether the downtown approach (that is attached to a zone or a package of zones) would apply for North Park.

City staff: When there are old buildings that are non-conforming, they are best to be maintained as they are

Public input:

- Sarah McAlear: C-1-2 commercial at 30th & Upas. Downzoning in commercial areas can have big impacts
- Matti Asgarian asked for definitions and the following responses were given:
 - a) FAR or Floor Area Ratio: The number of floors allowed within your remaining area within your parcel once you subtract setbacks, parking requirements, etc.
 - b) DU/Ac. or Dwelling Units per Acre: Another way of saying density, the number of units that are allowed in an acre of land

(Granowitz left at 7:48)

Board input:

- Chair Barry: Concerned about reducing options in the future, with chances of decreasing the committee's ability to scrutinize future project reviews. Regarding Commercial transition areas, are they under commercial land use designation, or a residential land use designation, or is it a hybrid? City staff response: Proposed to retain commercial designation and allow more flexibility moving forward. Residential would restrict further commercial development. Could keep existing land use designations and zones that implement those land use designation. Proposed Supplemental Community Regulations that would supplement the land development code.
- Chair Barry: Concerned that neighbors would not be able to weigh in on future development on higher density areas. Would like to hear more about overlay zones, that is, retaining existing ministerial land use designation but providing an option for discretionary overlay zones.
- Vidales: Asked whether the proposed Guidelines would be a separate publication or part of one of the Community Plan Elements. City staff response: The guidelines would be policies within the Urban Design Element
- Chair Carlson: Kristin Harms left the meeting earlier but wanted to convey that both sides of Park Blvd. should mirror each other

Public input:

• Kevin Clark: Asked about the 30th St. corridor. City staff response: Meade Ave. would become the dividing line between the high density commercial and the less dense commercial. North of Meade Ave. on 30th St. and along Adams Ave. would be less dense commercial. Along 30th St. south of Meade Ave. would be high density commercial, just like most of El Cajon Blvd. and most of University Ave. Meade Ave. will also be the dividing line along Park Blvd.

Anthony Bernal is waiting to hear back from staff if the joint University Heights working group is feasible.

Ernie Bonn announced that a land use discussion about University Heights will be held on May 16 at Grace Lutheran Church on Park Blvd.

The Land Use Element will continue to be discussed at the May 6 Urban Design Sub-Committee meeting.

C. North Park Community Plan Update - Recreation Element: Discussion of presentation by City staff of evaluation of Community-proposed Park Sites. Discussions & comment on Recreation Element prior to handing off to City staff

Mr. Pangilinan presented matrix with the community-suggested locations, showing data on each location and a feasibility/prioritization ranking for each site, based on the list of criteria used by City Staff (also presented in a hand-out).

Discussion on matrix

- Barry: Site 16B will not be part of the Joint-Use and should not be a dog leash park (McKinley). Keep 16A
- Barry: Site 34 should not be a pocket park since it is not big enough
- Vidales: Site 29, does the 13,000 sf. include area beyond the fence and within the slopes for the freeway? Sometimes right-of-way lines and fences are not in the same location and we may end up with a lot less than 13,000 sf.
- Shank: There is a need for an off-leash dog park. Maybe Site 3 in the northern area of North Park?

D. North Park Community Plan Update – Remaining Elements. No discussion

Ongoing Business

- A. MTS Streetcar Feasibility Study. No discussion
- B. Angle Parking Policy: No discussion
- C. Utility Box Task Force. No discussion
- D. Regional Bike Corridor Project, Mid-City & Uptown. No discussion

Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items. None

Next Public Facilities Meeting date: Wednesday, May 8, 2013

Next Urban Design Meeting date: Monday, May 6, 2013

Adjournment: Motion: To adjourn meeting Callen/Passons. 7-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 7:59 p.m.