



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

**La Jolla Shores Planned District Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes
September 16, 2014
615 Prospect Street, Room 1
La Jolla, California 92037**

Call to Order

1. Approval of the Agenda

Motion: Approve agenda with the amendment to remove item 5) PTS 333430 - Del Oro Court Residence at the request of the applicant. 4/0/0

2. Information Items

- A.** Concept Review-Gergurich Residence, 8850 La Jolla Scenic Drive North
The proposed project is an addition of a new garage (450 SF) and partial second floor addition (900 SF). Total floor area 2,540 SF on a 6,885 sq. lot.
Discussion: Applicant inherited the home from grandparents and intends the remodel and expansion to serve their family of five. The applicant is considering hardy board siding or stucco exterior finish. The trustees prefer stucco finishes. The trustees asked that input from the neighbors in a 300 foot radius be sought as well as exterior concept be provided for future review. **Motion:** None taken
- B.** Concept Review-Stone/Drazenovich, 2530 Via Viesta
Deck addition with spa (1,800 S.F.) at rear of existing 3,178 SF single family residence. The deck will be built out over the manufactured slope.
Discussion: The applicant should provide input from neighbors, geologic assessment, brush management plan, and Staff assessment of the hillside as Environmentally Sensitive Lands. **Motion:** Defer review 4/0/0
- C.** Concept Review-El CRA Rosa Trust Residence, 8545 El Paseo Grande
Remodel of an existing 3,806 SF residential addition for a new total of 4,286 SF on a 7,849 SF lot.
Discussion: The applicant should provide a revised project description, input from neighbors, as well as an exhibit clearly delineating of proposed demolition and proposed addition areas. **Motion:** Defer review 4/0/0

- D.** Concept Review-Burton-Hawkins Eagle Nest, 1963 Paseo Dorado.
The proposed project is a second story addition of 364 SF on a 4,130 square foot residence (NEW DESCRIPTION).
Discussion: The addition is requested while the current construction as a “Minor Addition, Process One” (11/9/2013) is underway. The applicant should provide input from neighbors, proposed height, and floor area ratio. **Motion:** Defer review 4/0/0

3. Action Items

- A.** Jade Property Trust, 8660 Dunaway Drive
Proposed one story addition of 830 SF to the 2,797 SF residence and 141 SF to the 872 SF garage. The lot size is 12,772 SF.
Discussion: The project addition was reduced to address the concerns of the Board. This is the third review of the project. **Motion:** Approve project as Process One and in conformance with LJSPD. 4/0/0
- B.** PTS 333430 - Del Oro Court Residence, 8351 Del Oro Court. Project withdrawn from agenda at the request of the applicant.
Motion: No action
- C.** PTS 381214- Lookout LLC, Room Addition, 7727 Lookout Drive
Process-1. The proposed project is an addition of 498 square foot for a bathroom, bedroom and study to existing 3,178 square foot residence. Historic Resources staff has reviewed and approved the project as consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards.
Discussion: Project addition is reduced to 453 SF. Proposed setback is 4’.
Public Comment:
- Fred Kellogg-neighbor. The addition should be reviewed as a Process 4 due to its nature as the initial phase of a much larger project. The neighborhood is rural in feel and has many historic structures. This addition should be considered in conjunction with concept plans for the entire build out. Further the project should demonstrate the structural setback in a spreadsheet format for properties in a two block radius.
 - Susie-neighbor. The project is part of a larger development and should be considered major. The proposal will add footings for a second story addition. Utility dredging is impacting and undermining a large cedar tree planted at the corner of the property. The tree health or destabilization could cause the tree to fall onto the adjacent property.
 - Diane Kane-neighbor. The community character and neighborhood setbacks were established in 1911 with the original subdivision. Lots were grade separated and only accessory units or corners of structures were allowed to encroach to the 4’ setback. Smaller setbacks were considered if adjacent properties were setback further. The typical separation between structures was 20’.
- Motion:** Approve project as Process One and in conformance with LJSPD. 4/0/0