Mira Mesa Community Planning Group Draft Agenda & Public Notice

Date/Time: Monday, August 19th, 7:00pm

Location: Vulcan Materials Conference Room, 7220 Trade Street, San Diego CA 92121

Action/Information: All items noted as (Action) items may be moved/seconded as a Question for discussion and vote. All items not so noted will be information items.

Order of Consideration: Items on this agenda may be discussed in an order different than shown here for the convenience of elected officials, representatives of government agencies or other participants. The Chair will present such changes in the order of consideration for unanimous consent if there are no objections, or subject them to a motion/second and 2/3 vote as indicated by Robert's Rules (11th Ed., pg. 363).

Call to Order – In attendance:

- 1. Non-Agenda Public Comments: 3 Minutes per speaker. No discussion will be entertained nor action taken at this meeting on matters raised in Non-Agenda Public Comments, but a matter may be referred for further study and possible action at a future meeting.
- 2. Adopt Draft Agenda (Action)
- 3. Adopt Previous Meeting Minutes (Action). The minutes of the previous meeting will be circulated among the members of the Executive Committee as a PDF document prior to the meeting via email. An opportunity to request corrections will be made at this point in the meeting. Should no such requests be made, the Chair will deem the minutes adopted by unanimous consent.
- 4. Old Business
 - a. Sorrento Valley neighborhood boundaries subcommittee report (Action). The Sorrento Valley Boundary Subcommittee will meet at <u>6pm prior to this meeting</u> at the same location. It is expected that two boundary options will be presented. The Subcommittee will report to the Planning Group at this point in the agenda of the regular meeting. This item serves as notice of the Subcommittee meeting under Council Policy 600-24, Article VI, Section 2(b)(2).
- 5. New Business
 - a. Qualcomm will present project to convert paved over space to athletic fields. (Action)
 - b. Zephyr Partners will present initial ideas for an apartment complex behind the commercial pad at Camino Ruiz and Zapata.
 - c. Kilroy Realty will be presenting Pacific Corporate Center Lot 8. This project was once presented in 2007 and Kilroy represents that an approved SCR is still in place. They will be presenting refreshed architecture.

- d. Capital Improvement Project prioritization: City offered training on August 28th at 6:30pm. Training will be held at Clairemont Library, 4616 Clairemont Dr. San Diego CA 92117. One slot is available.
- 6. Elected Officials/Government Agencies
 - a. United States Congress California 52nd District
 - b. California Senate District 39
 - c. California Assembly District 77
 - d. San Diego County Board of Supervisors District 3
 - e. San Diego Mayor's Office
 - f. San Diego City Council District 6
 - g. San Diego Unified School District
 - h. MCAS Miramar
 - i. CalTrans
- 7. Announcements: 2 Minutes per speaker. Community groups are encouraged to promote awareness of their events at this point in the meeting.
- 8. Reports
 - a. Report of the Chair
 - i. Development Services has provided a response to Vulcan/KLR Planning with respect to Stone Creek. The letter from the City (attached) seems to indicate the option of extending mining operations is being considered. No request has yet been received to place the project on the agenda for review. The full Cycle Review is attached and begins on page 8 of this PDF. Note pages 12 & 13 (of 31) (upper right corner of the Cycle Review pages).
 - 1. Issue #5, population-based park facilities identification is not marked as "cleared."
 - Issue #39: A "detailed phasing program for development... of public facilities..." is also not marked as "cleared." The Cycle Review specifically shows this as required by the Community Plan.
 - 3. Issue #120 shows "Population Based Park Acreage" has been accepted by Park Planning Staff.
 - Issue #144: This seems to suggest Light Rail Transit (LRT) or the San Diego Trolley – is being removed from the Transportation Element of the Community Plan.
 - 5. Issue #145: There appears to be a "revision of Carroll Center Park to Stone Creek Central Park" that will certainly be of interest.
 - ii. The owner's of the Office Mall complex have submitted a Process 1 SCR requesting reallocation of square footage for their upcoming project. Total

square footage remains the same. The SCR is Process 1 and thus will not come before the group. DSD provided an FYI update.

- iii. The Board of Education approved the contract to build Jonas Salk Elementary School as part of the Superintendent's Consent Agenda at the July 30th BOE Meeting. Groundbreaking will be September 7th, 10am.
- iv. The BOE also approved the general outlines of a contract with AT&T for the faux tree at Wangenheim. The Chair provided an email to the BOE at the request of the Principal at Wangenheim outlining the Planning Group's review and support for the project.
- v. Speed Limit Change on Miramar Rd. between Camino Ruiz and Pomerado Rd. The change will mean different limits in each direction. See attached notice.
- vi. Crown Castle had an application in to renew a CUP for antennae at 7060 Miramar Rd. DSD has informed us they have withdrawn the application and are planning on removing the pole/antennae.
- vii. The fire department has put out a notice/brochure on brush management. It is attached here. Kenny Nakayama informs us the fire dept will begin inspections along the canyon rim in September.
- viii. The SAN airport authority newsletter and an announcement concerning water quality studies for the Los Penasquitos watershed are attached.
- b. Transportation Subcommittee
- c. Stone Creek Subcommittee
- d. SD Ice Arena Cell Phone Antennae Applications Subcommittee
- e. Community Planners Committee: Bill Fulton, the new director of the Planning Department, introduced himself. Online submittal process for Neighborhood Infrastructure input is live. No August meeting. Next meeting is 9/24/2013.
- f. Los Peñasquitos Canyon Citizen's Advisory Committee

Adjourn: 8:30pm – 9:00pm



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 14, 2013

Ms. Karen L. Ruggels K L R PLANNING P.O. Box 882676 San Diego, California 92168-2676

Via Email: Karen@klrplanning.com

Dear Ms. Ruggels:

Subject: Stone Creek Project Assessment Letter; Project No. 67943; Internal Order No. 23422637; Mira Mesa Community Plan

The Development Services Department has completed the seventh review of the above referenced project. The project application proposes a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amend CUP No. 10-315-2 to extend the duration of mining activities or, a Community Plan Amendment, Rezone, Vesting Tentative Map, Planned Development Permit, Site Development Permit, Development Agreement, and a CUP to amend CUP No. 10-315-2 to develop a Master Plan with mixed-use development for the approximately 288.5-acre "CalMat" Company portion of the Carroll Canyon Master Plan area located west of Black Mountain Road, north of Activity Road, east of Kibler Drive and south of Jade Coast Drive in the AR-1-1, IL-2-1, RS-1-14, MCAS Miramar Airport Influence Area, and Airport Environs Overlay Zones within the Mira Mesa Community Plan.

Attached to this Assessment Letter is the Cycle Issues Reports (Enclosure 1) which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines, outside agencies and the community planning group. The purpose of this Assessment Letter is to summarize the significant project issues and identify a course of action for the processing of your project.

If any additional requirements should arise during the subsequent review of your project, we will identify the issue and the reason for the additional requirement. To resolve any outstanding issues, please provide the information that is requested in the Cycle Issues Report. If you choose not to provide the requested additional information or make the requested revisions, processing may continue. However, the project may be recommended for denial if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved and the appropriate findings for approval cannot be made.

Page 2 Ms. Ruggels August 14, 2013

I. **REQUIRED APPROVALS/FINDINGS** - Your project as currently proposed requires the processing of:

Required approvals include:

Process Five, City Council decision for the Community Plan Amendment (CPA), Rezone, and Development Agreement; and

Process Four, Planning Commission decision, Planned Development Permit (PDP), Vesting Tentative Map (VTM), and Site Development Permit (SDP) with deviations to environmentally sensitive lands, and Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to amend CUP No. 10-315-2.

Consistent with the City's Municipal Code Section 112.0103, when an applicant applies for more than one permit, map, or other approval for a single development, the applications will be consolidated for processing and shall be reviewed by a single decision maker at the highest level of authority for that development. Therefore, the project as proposed would require a Process 5, City Council decision.

• **Required Findings:** In order to recommend approval of your project, certain findings must be substantiated in the record. We have received your draft findings and if you desire to make any changes to the draft findings, please prepare and provide 5 hard copies and CD copy in word doc format.

II. SIGNIFICANT PROJECT ISSUES: Our recent project review has identified numerous significant project issues provided in the Cycle Issues Report (Enclosure 1). Please carefully review each issue and provide any requested documents and response to each issue.

III. STUDIES/REPORTS REQUIRED: A number of documents have been identified as necessary to the project's review. Reference the attached Submittal Requirements Reports (Enclosure 2) and the Cycle Issues Report for specific documents required and quantity.

IV. PROJECT ACCOUNT STATUS: Our current accounting system does not provide for real-time information regarding account status and most recent City staff charges have not been posted on the account. Unfortunately, our existing accounting program is undergoing a transition to a new program; however, our latest data indicates you have approximately \$9,525.00 remaining in your deposit account.

During the processing of your project, you will continue to receive monthly statements with the break-down of staff charges to your account. The account statements also include an invoice for payment to be utilized as necessary. The minimum balance required for a Planned Development Permit application is \$8,000.00, <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-</u>services/industry/pdf/infobulletin/ib504.pdf. To avoid project delays due to insufficient account

Page 3 Ms. Ruggels August 14, 2013

funds, please ensure that your deposit account maintains the minimum account balance at all times. Should you have questions about those charges, please feel free to contact me directly.

V. **TIMELINE:** Upon your review of the attached Cycle Issues Report, you may wish to schedule a meeting with staff and your consultants prior to resubmitting the project. Please phone me if you wish to schedule a meeting with staff. During the meeting, we will also focus on key milestones that must be met in order to facilitate the review of your proposal and to project a potential timeline for a hearing date. Your next review cycle should take approximately 25 working days to complete.

Municipal Code Section 126.0114 requires that a development permit application be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits within 90 calendar days. Once closed, the application, plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed. To reapply, the applicant shall be required to submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials, and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

If you wish to continue processing this project, please note that delays in resubmitting projects and/or responding to City staff's inquiries negatively impact this Department's ability to effectively manage workload, which can lead to both higher processing costs and longer timelines for your project.

VI. RESUBMITTALS/NEXT STEPS: Project re-submittals are done on a walk-in basis. Please check-in on the third floor of the Development Service Center (1222 First Avenue) to be placed on the list for the submittal counter. *Project re-submittals directly to the Development Project Manager will not be accepted.* Please be prepared to provide the following:

A. <u>Plans and Reports</u>: Sixteen (16) of sets of plans as shown on the attached Submittal Requirements Report <u>and one electronic copy on CD-R</u>. The plans should be folded to an approximate $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inch size. See the enclosed Submittal Requirements for the quantity sets of the reports that are required.

B. <u>Cycle Issues Report response letter</u>: Prepare a cover letter that specifically describes how you have addressed each of the issues identified in the Cycle Issues Report and any issues identified in this cover letter, if applicable. Or, you may choose to simply submit the Cycle Issues Report, identifying within the margins how you have addressed the issue. If the issue is addressed on one or more sheets of the plans or the reports, please reference the plan, sheet number, report or page number as appropriate. If it is not feasible to address a particular issue, please indicate the reason. Include a copy of this Assessment Letter, Cycle Issues Report and your response letter if applicable, with each set of plans. Page 4 Ms. Ruggels August 14, 2013

VII. **COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP:** Staff provides the decision maker with the recommendation from your locally recognized community planning group. If you have not already done so, please contact Mr. John Horst, Chair of the Mira Mesa Community Planning Group at <u>mmcpg.chair@gmail.com</u> to schedule your project for a recommendation from the group.

Bulletin 620 which is available on our department website at

<u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/</u>, provides some valuable information about the advisory role the Community Planning Group. For your reference, Council Policy 600-24 available on the City website at <u>http://clerkdoc.sannet.gov/Website/council-policy</u>, provides "Standard Operating procedures and Responsibilities of recognized Community Planning Committees".

VIII. STAFF REVIEW TEAM: Should you require clarification about specific comments from the staff reviewing team, please contact me, or feel free to contact the reviewer directly. Each review staff member is listed in the Cycle Issues Reports' Review Information section along with their telephone number.

In conclusion, please note that information forms and bulletins, project submittal requirements, and, the Land Development Code, may be accessed on line at <u>http://www.ci.san-diego.ca.us/development-services/industry/forms.shtml</u>. Many land use plans for the various community groups throughout the City of San Diego are now available on line at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/profiles/</u>.

For modifications to the project scope, submittal requirements or questions regarding any of the above, please contact me prior to resubmittal. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5356 or via e-mail at tdaly@sandiego.gov.

Sincere Tim D Development Project Manager

tpd

Enclosures:

- 1. Cycle Issues Reports
- 2. Submittal Requirements



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

_64A-003A	1222	2 First Avenue, San Diego,	CA 92101-41	54
leview Information				49.6000 - 798
Cycle Type:	38 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	01/09/2013	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2013
	Plan-Long Range Planning	Cycle Distributed:	01/10/2013	
	Monroe, Dan	Assigned:	01/10/2013	
	(619) 236-5529	Started:	01/31/2013	
	DMMonroe@SanDiego.gov	Review Due:	02/01/2013	
Hours of Review:	11.00	Completed:		COMPLETED LATE
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	08/14/2013	
We request a 8th complet The reviewer has requeste	d they want to review this project ag e submittal for Plan-Long Range Pl ed more documents be submitted. standing review issues with Plan-Lo	lanning on this project as:	Submitted (M	lulti-Discipline).
The reviewer has not sign Last month Plan-Long Ra		s, 75.0% were on-time, and	d 41.2% were	on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.
		-,		
1st Review				
<u>Issue</u> Cleared 2 Num Issue 1	Taxt			
Cleared? Num Issue		o support residential deve	looment partic	cularly an evaluation of
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana	<u>Text</u> Ilysis of all public facilities needed t and park needs if residential uses a			
Cleared? Num Issue 5 b. Ana school popular	lysis of all public facilities needed to	are proposed. This would	include the ide	entification of
<u>Cleared?</u> <u>Num</u> <u>Issue</u> ☐ 5 b. Ana school popula 2nd Review	lysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a	are proposed. This would	include the ide	entification of
<u>Cleared?</u> Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan	lysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a	are proposed. This would	include the ide	entification of
<u>Cleared?</u> Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school populat P 2nd Review P Master Plan Issue	lysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to	are proposed. This would	include the ide	entification of
<u>Cleared?</u> Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school populat 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan <u>Issue</u> <u>Cleared?</u> Num Is	lysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to sue Text	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen	include the ide tial population	entification of . (From Cycle 4)
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popular 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Issue Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt	lysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue.Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school populat > 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan <u>Issue</u> <u>Cleared?</u> Num Is □ 39 A pt pt	ilysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St ormation reque	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school populat > 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan <u>Issue</u> <u>Cleared?</u> Num Is □ 39 A pt pt P	ilysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St ormation reque	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popular 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Issue Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt ph	ilysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St ormation reque	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2nd Review © Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt pt 5th Review July 2010 © Attachment A	ilysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St ormation reque	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt pt 5 5th Review July 2010 ▷ Attachment A Issue	ilysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info	include the ide tial population financial respo d. The draft St ormation reque	entification of . (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt pt 5 th Review July 2010 ▷ Attachment A Cleared? Num Is □ 118 9c set	Alysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing 0 <u>sue Text</u> b. The master plan should include 2 ections of the creek in Chapter 4.	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info program shall be included	include the ide tial population d. The draft St ormation reque d in the master	entification of (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community r plan. (From Cycle 9)
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt pt 5 th Review July 2010 ▷ Attachment A Cleared? Num Is □ 118 9c set	Aysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing 0 <u>sue Text</u> b. The master plan should include 2	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info program shall be included	include the ide tial population d. The draft St ormation reque d in the master	entification of (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community r plan. (From Cycle 9)
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pu pr ▷ 5th Review July 2010 ▷ Attachment A Cleared? Num Is □ 118 9c se (f ▷ Attachment B	Alysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing 0 <u>sue Text</u> b. The master plan should include 2 ections of the creek in Chapter 4.	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info program shall be included	include the ide tial population d. The draft St ormation reque d in the master	entification of (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community r plan. (From Cycle 9)
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula 2 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan Cleared? Num Is □ 39 A pt pt 5 th Review July 2010 ▷ Attachment A Cleared? Num Is □ 118 9c se (f	Alysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing 0 <u>sue Text</u> to The master plan should include 2 octions of the creek in Chapter 4. From Cycle 25)	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen lopment, including timing, 6c has not been submitted not include the detailed info program shall be included	include the ide tial population d. The draft St ormation reque d in the master	entification of (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community r plan. (From Cycle 9)
Cleared? Num Issue □ 5 b. Ana school popula ≥ 2nd Review ▷ Master Plan □ 39 A □ 10 S ○ Attachment A S □ 118 90 ○ Attachment B S □ Issue S □ Cleared? Num Is □ Cleared?	Alysis of all public facilities needed to and park needs if residential uses a tion-based park facilities needed to <u>sue Text</u> detailed phasing program for devel ublic facilities as noted in comment hasing Plan in Chapter 7 but does n an states that this detailed phasing 0 <u>sue Text</u> to The master plan should include 2 octions of the creek in Chapter 4. From Cycle 25)	are proposed. This would serve a proposed residen for has not been submitted to include the detailed info program shall be included 200' creek channel and 50	include the ide tial population d. The draft St ormation reque d in the master	entification of (From Cycle 4) onsibilities, and the provision of one Creek Master Plan includes a ested. The Mira Mesa Community r plan. (From Cycle 9) er dimensions on conceptual cross

🖻 6th Review Jan 2012

	lssue	
Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
×	136	Previous issue relating to analysis of public facilities and services and identified at the PC CPA Initiation hearing will be more fully analyzed with review of EIR screenchecks (Issue 5). (From Cycle 33)
X	137	Staff appreciates the additional information provided with the Carroll Canyon Creek Corridor Exhibit that identifies the 200 foot minimum average creek corridor and 50 foot buffer area on both sides of the corridor to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the Carroll Canyon Master Plan Element of the Mira Mesa Community Plan (MMCP). Staff acknowledges that reference to the 200 foot creek channel and 50 foot buffer has been provided in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 of the Stone Creek Master Plan document. (From Cycle 33)
X	138	However, there is an inconsistency in the Stone Creek Master Plan document. Page 5-2, Section 5.1, 2nd paragraph, states that the project is consistent with the MMCP, Carroll Canyon Master Plan Element, that identifies a 200 foot creek corridor and 50 foot landscape buffer. Page 5-14, Section 5.3.4, 2nd paragraph, states that active recreation (which would include turf) will occur up to the banks of the low-flow channel. (From Cycle 33)

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Long Range Planning' review, please call Dan Monroe at (619) 236-5529. Project Nbr: 67943 / Cycle: 38

L64A-003A

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154 8/14/13 1:18 pm Page 13 of 31

		Issue				
Cle	ared?	Num	Issue Text			
	X	139	cont'd: Because of this, staff does not agree that the project is consistent with the MMCP. Should active recreation uses be sought to come up to the low-flow channel, the MMCP's Carroll Canyon Master Plan Element must be amended and Master Plan Sections 5.1 and 5.2 revised to eliminate this inconsistency. (From Cycle 33)			
	140 Staff has also identified several inconsistencies within Chapter 5 between the text that has been provided and several figures that are referenced in the text. Long Range Planning and Park Planning staff would like to request a meeting with the applicant to discuss and resolve these issues. Please coordinate this meeting through the Development Project Manager, Tim Daly. (From Cycle 33)					
	in Revi		eb 2013			
	<u> </u>	<u>issue</u>				
<u>Cle</u>	ared?	<u>Num</u>	Issue Text			
		141	As stated by the applicant, previous comments 5 and 39 will be addressed with preparation of the Draft EIR. (New Issue)			
	🖻 MM(CP Re	evisions			
		is	sue			
	<u>Clear</u>		lum Issue Text			
			142 Additional revisions to the proposed MMCP amendment are needed. Please show existing and proposed figures and highlight areas of change with a callout, circle, or some other method to identify the area that is changing. (New Issue)			
	, 🗖		143 Please update Figure 10 as necessary to show the segment of Camino Ruiz which is now proposed as a Six Lane Primary Arterial. (New Issue)			
			144 If confirmed with SANDAG, please update Figure 12 and page 48 of the Transportation Element of the MMCP (5. Future Transit Service in Carroll Canyon) to remove reference to LRT service and identify that bus service would be provided along Carroll Canyon. (New Issue)			
			145 Page 59, please provide in strikeout/underline the revision of Carroll Center park to Stone Creek Central Park. Also provide in strikeout/underline format, the revision to Figure 15 to show the change to Carroll Center park.			

(New Issue)
146 Page 97a, please revise the 4th sentence regarding the year of approval for Stone Creek. We can leave as 'XXXX' until it is close enough to the public hearing. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Long Range Planning' review, please call Dan Monroe at (619) 236-5529. Project Nbr: 67943 / Cycle: 38



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE OF NOTICE: July 25, 2013

NOTICE OF FUTURE DECISION

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

As a property owner, tenant, or person who has requested notice, you should know the Development Services Department Staff may make a decision to approve, conditionally approve, modify or deny an application for a Coastal Development Permit (Process 2) to remove surface parking and install a turf ball field with night lighting at the Qualcomm Pacific Center Campus. The project is located at 10155 Pacific Heights Blvd. The site is restricted by Planned Industrial Development Permit No. 88-1033 and is located in the IL-2-1 and IL-3-1 Zones within the Mira Mesa Community Plan, the Coastal Overlay Zone within Council District 6.

PROJECT TYPE/NUMBER:	COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/330707
PROJECT NAME:	<u>QUALCOMM PAC CTR SPORTS FIELD</u>
APPLICANT:	PHILLIP BONA
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	MIRA MESA
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	6
CITY PROJECT MANAGER:	John S. Fisher [,]
MAILING ADDRESS:	1222 First Ave., MS 302, San Diego, CA 92101-4155
PHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL:	(619) 446-5231 / <u>jsfisher@sandiego.gov</u>

The decision by City staff will be made **without** a public hearing no less than *eleven (11)* business days after the date of mailing the Notice of Future Decision. If you want to receive a "Notice of Decision", you must submit a written request to the City Project Manager listed above no later than *ten (10)* business days from the mailing date of the Notice.

The decision of the Development Services Department Staff can be appealed to the **Planning Commission**. An appeal must be made within *twelve (12)* business days after the decision date. Appeal applications are available at the Development Services Department, 1222 First Avenue, 3rd Floor, Check-In Counter, San Diego, CA 92101.

This project is undergoing environmental review.

You may contact **John Horst** of the **Mira Mesa Community Planning Group** at <u>miramesacommunityplanninggroup@gmail.com</u> to inquire about the community planning group meeting dates, times, and location for community review of this project.

If you have any questions about the project after reviewing this information, you may contact the City Project Manager listed above. This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request.

Internal Order Number: 24003932



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

July 22, 2013

TR 329, 894

Bari Vaz, Chair Mira Mesa Community Planning Group PMB 230 10606-8 Camino Ruiz San Diego, CA 92126

Dear Bari Vaz:

This is regarding the posted speed limit on Miramar Road between Camino Ruiz and Pomerado Road in the community of Mira Mesa. Local governments must follow the procedures set by the State of California when setting speed limits on public streets. The California Speed Trap Law (California Vehicle Code Section 40802) requires that the City survey the prevailing speeds on classified streets every seven years in order to keep our speed limits radar enforceable under the provisions of the law.

We have recently surveyed the above segment of Miramar Road and the results of the study indicate that the existing posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour for the eastbound direction of traffic can be lowered to 40 miles per hour and still remain radar enforceable. Therefore, we will be changing the posted speed limit for eastbound traffic from 45 miles per hour to 40 miles per hour. The speed limit for westbound traffic cannot be lowered and will remain at 45 miles per hour.

It is our practice to notify the community planning groups before changing the speed limits. If you have any questions concerning this action please contact David Chiang at (619) 533-3098.

Sincerely

Gary Pence Senior Traffic Engineer

DOC:sg

cc: Lieutenant Andrew Hoffman, San Diego Police Department, Traffic Division

Transportation and Storm Water Department

Proactive Brush Management Program



The Fire-Rescue Department responds to hundreds of vegetation fires annually involving excessive vegetation not maintained by property owners. In February 2008, the Fire-Rescue Department expanded its Proactive Brush Management Program City wide. Door to door brush inspections are being conducted of properties located within the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) areas for compliance.

Starting in mid to late September 2013, the Fire-Rescue Department's Fire Prevention Bureau staff will begin conducting door to door brush inspections of canyon rim homes or homes that abut native vegetation in the southern portion of Mira Mesa community (Between 805 and I-15, south of Mira Mesa Blvd to Marine Corps Air Station Miramar). The purpose of the inspections is to assure properties are in compliance with the City's Brush Management Regulation. Property owners are required by the California Fire Code and the City of San Diego Municipal Code to keep their property free of any potential fire hazards at all times.

For information on how to provide a proper defensible space and to assure your property is in compliance, can be found on the Fire-Rescues Departments website at: <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/fireandems/inspections/brush.shtml</u>

Check your local directory assistance or websites for potential landscapers or tree contractors. The Fire Rescue Department cannot provide or recommend landscape or tree contractors. It is the responsibility of the property owner to assure all brush management work is conducted within the requirements of the City's Brush Management Regulation / City Landscape Regulation 142.042: <u>http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art02Division04.p</u> df





What a property owner can expect:

- A uniformed Code Compliance Officer with the Fire-Rescue Department's Brush Management will be inspecting the property.
- Because of an extremely high volume of inspections all initial inspections are unannounced.
- If no one is home at the time of the initial inspection or the property cannot be viewed from an adjacent property, the Code Compliance Officer will leave a door hanger requesting the property owner to contact the Fire-Rescue Department within 5 business days so arrangements can be made to inspect the property.
- It is the goal of the Fire-Rescue Department to minimize any inconvenience to the property owner. We will attempt to reasonably accommodate the property owner's schedule.
- All properties must be inspected; failure to contact the Fire-Rescue Department within 5 business days may result in legal action to gain access to the property.
- The inspections consist of evaluating all vegetation conditions within the property boundaries for compliance of the California Fire Code and the City's Brush Management/Landscape Regulation.
- If the property is found to be in violation and the property owner is home, compliance requirements will be discussed at that time. All Notices of Violation will be mailed to the legal property owner within 3 business days of the inspection.
- If the property is found to be in violation, the re-inspection date will be indicated on the Notice of Violation. Usually 30 days from the date of the initial inspection will be given to bring the property into compliance.
- If compliance is not achieved after the initial 30 days, the property owner will be given an additional 2 weeks to comply.
- <u>A \$300 non-compliance fee will be incurred by the property owner for the 3rd and subsequent visits.</u>
- If compliance is not achieved after the 3rd visit, the inspection will be forwarded to the City's Attorney's Office for abatement action to bring the property into compliance.

It is the goal of the Fire-Rescue Department to reduce the wildfire risk to the community by providing a proper defensible space. A proper defensible space allows the fire department time to arrive and space to fight the fire.

If you have any questions contact the Fire-Rescue Department's Brush Management Hotline at (619) 533-4444.

San Diego International Airport

WORKING TO MASTER THE ART OF AIRPORTS

In This Issue

RSVP for The Green Build Grand Tour

Small Business Success Story: QC Southwest

Airport Launches Second Annual Sustainability Report

Sustainability Fun Facts

Stay Connected

SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

THE GREEN BUILD. A NEW DESTINATION. RSVP AT SAN.ORG/CELEBRATE AUGUST 10&11 10AM-6PM TOUR.



Small Business Success Story: QC Southwest

SAN _{eNewsletter}

July/August 2013

Quick Links

Public meetings Construction alerts Arts and culture Airport website

Stay Connected!

It's easy to connect with San Diego International Airport using social media. Just click on your favorite tool below:



The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Board adopted a small business preference policy in 2009 and strongly supports fostering opportunities for San Diego's small business community to work with the airport.

A few years ago, Kim Ballard's husband read in the newspaper about upcoming bid opportunities on The Green Build at San Diego International Airport. Little did they know that reading that article was the launching point for years of airport work for their company, QC Southwest, an Escondido-based construction materials testing and inspection firm.



QC Southwest worked on multiple projects on the airfield, in the terminal and on the duallevel roadway.

QC Southwest's first contract was with Turner/PCL/Flatiron to support the tarmac expansion, and its work grew considerably beyond that initial project. A second contract was awarded by Turner/PCL/Flatiron to provide inspection throughout the new terminal. QC Southwest also earned work with Kiewit-Sundt on the bridges and elevated roadway, moving from the runway to the "land side" of the project.

"We pursued work on The Green Build because we wanted to sustain work for our employees and work on the largest, highest-visibility project in the county," said Ballard. "We are quite proud that we were awarded work at the beginning of the project and we'll be working until it's finished - from womb to tomb."

QC Southwest was founded in 1999 and has about 15 employees at any given time. The company hired additional employees to fulfill the various contracts, and will continue to seek opportunities with SDIA after the grand opening of The Green Build. In fact, QC Southwest will be working on the Rental Car Center planned for the north side of the airport.

Airport Launches Second Annual Sustainability Report

Sustainability is no small matter at San Diego International Airport (SDIA). The airport and its team of professionals are guided by a deep commitment to sustainability across all its operations. Last year, SDIA became the first major airport in the United States to publish an



Employee BLOG

annual sustainability report based on the guidelines of the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). This second report builds on that commitment.



This year's report illustrates SDIA's sustainability achievements from the past fiscal year (July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012), providing year-over-year results so the public can track its progress. Further demonstrating a strong commitment to this process, the airport significantly expanded the number of areas where it is reporting results, and will continue to challenge itself to add more and more reporting indicators.

You're invited to view the report online at <u>http://sustain.san.org/</u>, where you'll find articles, videos, photos and charts that demonstrate the airport's sustainability results over the past year. This report is just one way SDIA is continuing to build and improve on its sustainability story.

Sustainability Fun Facts

- As part of The Green Build Terminal 2 expansion, the airport recycled 27,000 tons of construction waste in 2012, the equivalent of approximately 750 empty Boeing 737 airplanes
- The airport reduced its energy needs by 4 percent in 2012 through the use of energy efficient/renewable energy-based products
- The airport's Quieter Home Program has helped reduce aircraft noise for more than 2,500 homeowners, demonstrating the airport's commitment to the surrounding community



A CHANGE FOR THE BETTER BEGINS WITH YOU THINK BLUE SAN DIEGO

How can we improve water quality in San Diego?

The City of San Diego, together with other responsible agencies, needs your help to develop new strategies for improving water quality in the San Diego Region. A Water Quality Improvement Plan is being developed for the Los Peñasquitos Watershed that will include strategies for identifying and addressing high priority water quality concerns in this area. We need public input



and ideas to make this plan as strong as possible and want to hear from you about how to do that.

The new plan will be developed following a two year public input process and consideration by stakeholders in the Los Peñasquitos Watershed. The plan is being developed by the agencies responsible for protecting water quality in the Los Peñasquitos Watershed, including the cities of San Diego, Del Mar, and Poway along with the County of San Diego and the California Department of Transportation.

You can visit <u>www.projectcleanwater.org</u> for more information about the planning process and about the new storm water regulations.

The first workshop for the Los Peñasquitos Watershed will be held:

Wednesday, September 4th, 2013 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm City of Poway Council Chambers 13325 Civic Center Drive, Poway, CA 92064

We look forward to meeting you at the workshop. Please help get the word out by sending this invitation to any community groups, businesses or residents that may be interested in attending. A workshop flyer can be found here.

For further information, contact our Think Blue storm water hotline at (619) 235-1000 or at <u>thinkblue@sandiego.gov</u>.

Water Quality Improvement Plan workshops will be held for all three watersheds listed below. Each are open to the public and all are encouraged to attend.

LOS PEÑAQUITOS WATERSHED

Click for more info

Wednesday, September 4th CITY OF POWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 13325 Civic Center Drive Poway, CA 92064 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.

SAN DIEGUITO WATERSHED Thursday, September 5th

CITY OF POWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 13325 Civic Center Drive Poway, CA 92064 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.

Click for more info

MISSION BAY/LA JOLLA WATERSHEDS Saturday, September 7th LINDA VISTA LIBRARY 2160 Ulric Street San Diego, CA 92111 9:00 A.M. - 11:00 A.M.

Click for more info



Think Blue is the City of San Diego's storm water pollution prevention program. Launched in 2001, Think Blue helps educate residents, businesses and industry leaders about the effects of storm water pollution and about ways to prevent pollution from harming our environment. Visit us online at www.thinkblue.org to learn how a change for the better begins with you.

© Think Blue San Diego

Catch up with Think Blue! Like our page at facebook.com/thinkbluesandiego or visit us at thinkblue.org.

