



Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board Meeting Minutes

October 2, 2013

Attendees: Jon Becker, Thom Clark, Bill Diehl, John Keating, Mike Kenney, Ruth Loucks, Darren Parker, Jeanine Politte, Keith Rhodes, Mike Shoecraft, Dennis Spurr, Ramesses Surban, Zachary Tanton, Melinda Vasquez

Absent: Steve Gore, Cynthia Macshane, Joost Bende, Bill Dumka

Community Members & Guests (Voluntary Sign-in): Stephen Egbert, Ted Shaw

1. The meeting was called to order at 7:34 pm at the Doubletree Golf Resort located at 14455 Peñasquitos Drive, San Diego, California 92129. A Quorum was present.
2. Agenda Modifications: **Motion:** to modify agenda to Move the City of San Diego's Capital Improvement Program, Outreach & Prioritization item to 8:40pm, motioned by Becker, Second: Kenney; Approved: 13, Declined: 0, Abstention: 0.
3. MINUTES:
Motion: To approve the June 26, 2013 RPPB meeting minutes as corrected. M/S/C - Kenney/Becker/Approved; 8 in favor, 1 against (Rhodes), 4 abstentions (Diehl, Valentine, Loucks, Tanton).
4. Guests: No public safety agencies were present.
5. NON-AGENDA, PUBLIC COMMENTS:
 - a. Diehl – October 11, 2013 from 6-9 for the Octoberfest at Hilltop Park hosted by Park & Rec.
 - b. Politte inquired whether agenda items should be discussed during the Open Forum. She understood that only non-agenda items should be covered during the open forum. Brief discussion and possible use of speaker slips.
6. ANNOUNCEMENTS & INFORMATION ITEMS:
 - a. San Diego City Development Services Dept. Report – Michael Prinz – no report
 - b. San Diego City Council Member Mark Kersey, District 5 Report – Lee Friedman
 - Councilmember Kersey is in DC.
 - Happy that you are finalizing your CIP. It's been a pretty fun month. Infrastructure Committee understands that this requires money.
 - Becker asked who Kersey was meeting with in DC. Friedman said that Kersey met with an Infrastructure Coalition and others; unfortunately all the meetings were on the fly due to the shut down.
 - Clark: I'm curious, are things moving along since the end of the drama?
 - I have spoken to people and they say that things are moving faster for them. City staff is wonderful. At the council level, we aren't busy with talking points or worried about the next Filner scandal. Interim Mayor Todd Gloria is busy getting vacant commission and board seats filled; Wear will cover in his report.
 - Rhodes: I think that Todd is willing to delegate and Mayor Filner was not. That created a log jam.
 - I think the general theme is that Bob Filner only trusted himself. When you have a lot of people willing to work together it makes for a more efficient work environment.
 - Tanton: The assessment for the sidewalks was \$900,000, is that still the estimated cost?
 - They came in under budget at approx. \$750,000. Hiring 24 Engineering students to take

this gps/picture phone machine and walk San Diego and then 2 full-time students will crunch the data. The assessment could take 5-7 months and a year to complete the entire assessment.

- Rhodes: Will this be done for pot holes?
 - [No,]Just for sidewalks. The way that pot holes have been dealt with in the past was that trucks would respond to requests. The new process is every nine days all the pothole crew move to a new district [filling potholes in that area, then moving to the next district]. There are 4-5 crews working each day.
 - Diehl: Do they still respond to phone calls? Yes. When they are in that area slated then those calls are taken. Priority calls will still be handled.

c. San Diego City Council Member Lorrie Zapf, District 6 Report – Conrad Wear

- I think the city council will get really busy toward the end of the year.
- Councilmember Zapf is in DC as well. She met with Veteran's Affairs and also met with Nancy Sutley, chair of Council of Environmental Quality. She attended the San Diego downtown library opening. In regards to the nominations and boards, we currently have 60 boards with vacancies, 200 vacancies in total. Every week there will be 4 boards' & commissions' vacancies noticed by the council offices. This week we have Consolidated Plan Advisory Board that rates applications that give 11 billion dollars a year; The Arts & Culture, Youth Commissions, and Small Business advisory Commission. Soon they will be releasing a schedule for all of the commissions and detailed information is online.
- Lastly, I did speak awhile back regarding commercial violations by vehicles advertising product or services. Two things we found out that you should use a physical address, not a cross street when reporting. Second, be sure that it is not a vehicle for sale.
- Another issue is Food Trucks. Recently Todd Gloria reissued the laws for Food Trucks.
- John Keating: Consolidated Caltrans written request to the City Council. Can we get some kind of follow up on it?
 - Park Village speeding is a constant complaint. We are looking at installing V-calm signs. We can't put speed bumps because it's a fire route, traffic is looking for some solutions.
- Jon Becker: V-calms are in our CIP recommendations.
- Politte: Are they speeding down the road where there are no drive ways? Becker said west of the school through residential. Politte added, that finding a location for V-calms is the problem we have on Calle de las Rosas.
- Politte: Rancho Carmel Rd and Carmel Mtn Road. The eastbound CMR left turn light's timing has been off. It's only letting 4 cars in each lane through. People are running the light. It wasn't always like that and I'm wondering if is tied to the Caltrans pilot project that implemented a managed transportation corridor along I-15. I never know who to call?
 - Maybe the timing wasn't turned back.
 - Always call Conrad or myself (Lee).
- Parker: Did the City approve new Cottage Foods regulations? Most likely that is at the county level.(Tighe Jaffe reported on it in next section)
- Spurr shared his appreciation that Kersey attended the PQ PERC event.

d. San Diego County Supervisor Dave Roberts, District 3 Report – Tighe Jaffe

- Energy Choice Committee. The board of supervisor's office unanimously voted to approve \$300,000 for alternative energy sources and setting up a committee. SDG&E are very happy about that perhaps because they will be transmitting the energy.

- Reinvestment grants, we still have money available. Out of the current funds we have approximately \$700,000 for District 3.
 - In Escondido, October 5, 2013, from 9-5, children can receive sealants, fluoride treatments, and dental screenings at the Neighborhood Health Office in Escondido.
 - AB109: Since we are two years in now, a report on what the county is doing to mitigate the burden to provide assessment or treatment to prisoners to mitigate possible criminal behavior. The County jails were not designed to hold prisoners for longer than 1 year. They have greatly expanded the probation department. Within 90 days all of those will be back to give a new report to the board of supervisors. The cooperation between the sheriffs, District Attorneys and Public Defenders office has been phenomenal.
 - Changes to the Cottage Food regulations? Most likely that is at the county level. I will get that information to you and let you guys know. Jaffe will get info back to us.
- e. 77th Assembly District, Member Brian Maienschein's Office Report – Michael Lieberman – not present.
- f. 52nd District, U.S. Congressman Scott Peters' Office Report – Hugo Carmona – not present

7. BUSINESS.

a. **Brian Maienschein – California State 77th Assembly District Update**

- There was a mix up in scheduling and the Assembly member was not present.

b. **Rancho Peñasquitos PFFP Update** – Charlette Strong Williams, City of San Diego (Action Item)

- Rhodes: I want to thank Charlette, she did a very good job for us. She listened to our issues. The committee is in support of the draft.
- Clark: This is the document?
- Charlette: Isn't not substantive, but there is a new change in the name from Progress Guide to the General Plan. This is a new change to the distributed draft.
- Clark: I am not sure if all the communications were distributed to the whole board and feel comfortable voting on it. Rhodes said the draft we have is what we will need to vote on.
- Politte: I went through the email handout that you sent us without the cheat sheet. From what it looks like, words have been changed, DIF has been added, municipal code has been added, 'entitled' has been changed to 'eligible', a whole couple of sentences have been added. When looking at park updates isn't Views West completed (Project 39)? Diehl said that it isn't paid for until all the bills come in. Under the Description, the last four lines were added "The project could trigger upgrades....".
→ Diehl said that the City decided to upgrade the whole park to ADA compliance.
- Politte: The Improvements Projects cost list amounts had huge increases and text needs to be included Politte: We still have the rink on the list. The extension of Penasquitos Dr. into RB, there is no funding and nobody wants it. This community doesn't want that extension because of the increase of traffic in the area. She just wants everyone to be aware and keep that in mind that if we are removing some projects and sponsoring a plan amendment these items should be included also.
- Politte asked Diehl if he had reviewed the Community Fund amounts to verify the balances? Diehl said he will report on next month.
- John Keating: The community plan needs to be updated to do these things. No one is on the hook to pay for it.

- John Keating: Are we burdening the developers with too much cost.
→ No, it is not reflected in their fee.
- Clark: Keith, would you like to make a motion?

Motion: I (Rhodes) would like to make a motion to approve the draft of the Public Facilities Financing Plan & Facilities as presented tonight.

- Diehl: Second; followed by discussion.
- Diehl: Question, the number one priority is the traffic measures and Camino del Sur. Do they have to be done in this order? He'd like to see the Canyonside Tot-Lot moved up in the list.
- Charlette: We do them in the order submitted. If the road isn't done and we have enough revenue to complete another project then we will not hold up everything for that one thing.
- Clark: Any other discussion?
- Kenney: On the sub-committee, what was the consensus or vote on the plan? Becker: Rhodes was the chair, but we didn't take a vote. We had three different meetings on these changes which were incorporated.
- Diehl: We got about 95% of our recommendations.
- Rhodes: I think this is as good as it can get at this time. I think this is a very good document and acceptable to the committee. We did a lot. There were emails, and meetings. Charlette did a really good job. She didn't try to run over us. She worked with us on everything.
- Clark: With no other discussion? Called for a vote. Vote: 15 unanimous approval.
- Charlette: She had it already scheduled for the Infrastructure committee and then the City Council for final approval.

c. **City of San Diego's Capital Improvement Program, Outreach & Prioritization-** (Action Item)

- Clark noted that Steven Gore couldn't be here for the meeting.
- Clark: I talked to Joe Lacava. Problems are that some people don't submit items and others submit the same items. I did get one public item that was asked to be added to the list, Darshana Patel: The Canyonside Park is in desperate need of repairs. ADA is an issue and the paint is flaking off. Tot Lot (it's on the PFFP and already in the queue for 2014). Clark: Is it worth it to add it to the list?
- Becker: Yes, it's been adopted already.
- Diehl: I talked to the Director of Park & Rec and I get her support to move that city wide. They won't take community funds anymore to be paid back by FBA. They won't pay us back anymore. So whatever funds we use from the community funds are gone.
- Becker: Diehl can get that through.
- Clark: Do we submit nothing or do we submit what we submitted last year?
- Tanton: Are there items that we can identify that were not on the PFFP. Number 2 is the Tot Lot, Torrey Highlands should be removed.
- Becker: Good point.
- Politte: That independent project, Rolling Hills, should still be on there until it has been funded or it may delay everything. She is still working with everyone.
- Diehl: If she raises the money for Sienna's Play Garden at Rolling Hills then she can build the tot-lot tomorrow. Could do the park in phases. Cannot use money from the Community Funds and get reimbursed from the FBA Funds anymore – no more advancing of funds.
- Clark: I see three items that are not on the PFFP; 3, 5, 10 and Torrey Highlands.

- Tanton: I agree that we keep it on the list but prioritize the items on the list.
- Politte: Two should stay on. Why should we remove one and not the others. I like it the way it stands.
- Clark: One of the planning groups submitted well over 100 sidewalk improvements which are easy to get funding. The consensus came down and if you stay with the same items and continue to submit them then you will get more traction. If you keep it to 5 projects verses 100 projects, it is likely to get approved. I will let Lee add something; I'm not sure if you want to add anything.
- Lee: Last year when you put these lists in, you didn't have all the time in the world to prepare. If there are things that didn't get added then sure, add it. No one in the city is going to say, they are not imaginative enough.
- Clark: Zach has been in the community and has spoken about the program. We were both at the RPTC meeting and threw it out there for the audience.
- Diehl: #5 d & e can be removed. Community Funds cannot be used for specific sports. If it approves the whole park for everyone then the Rec Council will put in funds to pay for it. The only thing for Canyonside should be a Tot Lot , the other items are not park related, they are maintenance
- Surban would like #6 (New Park Development) moved up on the list because the Canyonside Tot-lot was not on last years list. Discussion about restrooms at the parks and prioritization.
- Politte: All the others are existing parks that need improvements and the new park, Penasquitos Neighborhood park does not exist and won't until there are developer funds to build it.
- Discussion on whether the City would spend CIP monies for an undeveloped park or the other maintenance type issues and an achievable result with our list.
- Clark: My intent wasn't to make this a committee meeting and rehash this. I was thinking it would be easy to re-submit this list as is.
- Politte: Do you want a motion?
- Clark: Yes

Motion: To approve the list with the following changes: remove Torrey Highlands Park, change Canyonside to a) Tot-lot and remove b - e so we will end up with 9. M/S/C - Politte/Shoecraft/
Discussion.

- Tanton: Friendly amendment to change 6 from restrooms to a new park development.
- Becker: Friendly amendment to remove all maintenance issues.
- Tanton: Friendly amendment to move 5c to miscellaneous traffic improvements.
- Clark: Right now we have two items on the friendly amendment.
- Surban wanted to discuss placement of the Peñasquitos Neighborhood Park on the list; the rest did not see it as important.
- Kenney: Asked for clarification and whether we negated the other side of the sheet.
- It was noted and agreed that miscellaneous traffic issues in #10 & 11 would not be submitted but we would keep the list for future reference.
- Lee: If I see something that is not CIP, I would pass it on to the appropriate office.
- Clark reviewed the motion and amendments as stated above. Politte and Shoecraft agreed to the amendments.
- Clark called for a vote to approve the motion and amendments as follows:

Motion: To approve the list with the following changes: 1) remove Torrey Highlands Park, 2) change Canyonside to a. Tot-lot and remove b - e so we will end up with 9, 3) Change 6 from restrooms to a new park development, 4) Remove all maintenance issues, and 5) Move 5 c to miscellaneous traffic improvements. M/S/C - Politte/Shoecraft/Approved - 14 in favor, 1 opposed (Diehl), 0 abstentions/ recusals.

d. Proposed Community Plan Amendment Initiation for Lots 2, 8, and 12 of the Rhodes Crossing Property — Keith Rhodes

- Keating: As a point of order, I don't think there is a conflict of interest, but he is working the project for Sea Breeze.
- Ted Shaw-Atlantis Group: The area that we want to focus on tonight is Area 2, 8, and private park. Rhodes is tied up in 1, 6, 7 with KB Homes to build single family homes. When this project was being processed, they had a couple multi-family units that were not approved but included in all studies for storm drains, reclaimed water, traffic, etc. The changes they would like to discuss are as follows:
 - Area 2 (currently 38 single family units approved) – In working with the resource agencies, Rhodes has agreed to remove 6 units for the vernal pools and would like to add 2 multi-family projects (size unknown).
 - Area 8 (currently 14 single family homes with edge conditions) and would like to modify to include some multi-family.
 - Area 12 is a 40 unit project with a small pocket/private park. Insurance on a private park is a problem.
- We would like your approval to move forward by initiating an amendment to the community plan to begin the process with the City.
- Becker: There is a cumulative effect on the area with 3 projects initiating amendments to the community plan. The land use committee talked about it and a holistic approach to reviewing each individually and collectively as a whole change for the community. The park is not population based and the applicant is looking at a small recreation facility alternative. There is the need for traffic buffering on Via Panacea. As long as it doesn't exceed the budget, it was accepted by the land use committee.
- Shaw: These three areas of Rhodes Crossing are in the Rancho Peñasquitos not Torrey Highlands.
- Becker: The LUC approved a motion for the initiation.
- Prinz: Both Kilroy and Sea Breeze project initiations were approved at Planning Commission and the info about upcoming Rhodes Crossing initiation was shared with the Commissioners. The pending issues are similar (Land use designation, infrastructure facilities, impacts to open space, etc.) and will be reviewed by staff and then scheduled for Planning Commission on Oct. 31 or Nov. 14 depending Commission calendar.
- Rhodes: We plan on submitting it tomorrow.
- Becker: Our motion would include the same conditions to approve initiation that were on the Sea Breeze & Kilroy initiations.
- Clark: Let me work the way around starting with Keating.
- Keating: Initiation is to go forward, to look at the edge conditions and bulk and scale.
- Clark: I guess my question is, to work with Del Mar Mesa planning group. Becker: yes.
- Shaw: In regards to the Diocese (Kilroy) and Sea Breeze projects, they should be included in our decision as cumulative effect on the community.

- Prinz: The requirement is for the Rancho Peñasquitos Planning Board to make the recommendation. You should get some input from the Del Mar Mesa but they don't have the authority.
- Clark: We have the dialogue with the group.
- Shaw: Lisa Ross (DMM planning group) will be there, I assure you.
- Ramesses: There is such a low bar to get a plan amendment initiation, I am good.
- Parker: Asked for clarification on the lots being removed or densification.
- Rhodes: We have 30 lots left as single family, you could say that you are making it up with the multi-family. The City has a 10% affordable homes requirements that will affect the project. They could be condos or apartments.
- Clark: Any final thoughts? There were three conditions on the previous two projects.
- Becker: Briefly, they were adjacency issues sensitive to existing and the preserve, an accumulative effort and to consider the DMM group as part of the process.
- Rhodes: Kilroy will have to mitigate the change they create and Sea Breeze may not need to; they are in Torrey Highlands. This project is in Peñasquitos and this should not impact the build out and traffic requirements/impacts.
- Prinz: Staff will need to look at all 3 projects cumulatively.
- Rhodes recused himself.

Motion: to approve the initiation of the Rhodes Crossing community plan amendment for Lots 2, 8, 12 with the same conditions RPPB recommended for the Kilroy and Seabreeze community plan amendment initiations as follows: .1) evaluate all 3 community plan initiations (Rhodes Crossing, Seabreeze/Merge 56, and Kilroy/Santa Fe Summit IV) relative to each other and the shared public facilities, 2) evaluate the projects and the single family residential, multi-family residential, commercial square footage and the ADTs will not exceed the voter approved caps, 3) Bring the reconsideration back to RPPB for the Transportation Phasing Plan, 4) bring back to RPPB for reconsideration the Facilities Financing Plan, 5) the ADTs will not exceed the existing entitlement for the property). M/S/C – Becker/Kenney/Approved; 14 in favor -0 against -1 recusal (Rhodes).

- Becker: Is there a means to initiate another amendment without a true sponsor (ex: to remove Peñasquitos Drive extension from the Community Plan)?
- Politte: Can it be sponsored by another developer in another area of the community?
- Prinz: To my understanding, there is no way to do it without a true sponsor, but it could be initiated by City Council (fees are waived).

8. RPPB Vacancies – Thom Clark/RPPB

- No one has come forward in District 6 (Twin Trails Neighborhood) to fill the vacancy.

9. REPORTS.

a. Chair Report – Thom Clark

- Claudia Brazilio with San Diego City Transportation/Engineering, wants to bring an item before the board on road humps between Via Fiesta and Via Inez and Camino de la Rosa.
 - Patricia: It was noted that it sounded like the streets to the Montessori, Muslim Community Center, the elementary school and the proposed Santaluz Assisted Living.
 - Clark: She won't be here but she wants to make this an action item and will be sending a letter and drawings.
 - Politte: Who's request? That has to be politically motivated.
 - Politte: Does anyone want to handle this?

- Kenney: I will, it's in my back yard.
 - Clark: I will get it to you.
 - Clark: CEQA training - I probably read 100 pages on the EIR but I didn't make any comments on them. He's saying, get involved. As EIRs do come up we should want to look at them and provide comments when they come forward. Maybe the board has the expertise or community members who might assist us in our review of specific areas in the EIR.
 - Clark: At the CPC meeting, there was a lieutenant who presented on safety concerns, liquor licensing, etc. The other issue is a plastic bag ordinance. The city is looking to mimic LA. (10 cents per bag).
 - Clark: I sent out the procedure for conforming premises which pertain to older facilities and the rollover.
 - Clark: The red line mark up of Council Policy 600-24 document. There were a couple of items, they take on the recommendations and then on to the City.
 - Politte: I have some comments and I will forward them to you because there are a few things in it that could hang us all out to dry. Voice of San Diego had an article today on planning groups and Joe LaCava commented. I thought the article was extremely negative towards planning groups.
 - Politte will send the article link to the board.
 - Clark: The City is looking to have all transportation issues come through us too. Becker added that we already see most of them now. Clark said they talked about the bigger projects (Light rail, HS Rail, etc.)
 - Tanton: The ordinance is a ban on plastic bags. Because Solana Beach just passed an ordinance against plastic bags.
 - Rhodes: There is going to be a health crisis reusing your own bags.
 - Clark: I haven't read the ordinance.
 - Clark noted that he received a call from the Carmel Valley News following approval the Kilroy & Sea Breeze initiations; has not commented.
- b. Vice-Chair Report – Jon Becker
- Becker: no additional items to report on.
- c. Secretary Report – Melinda Vasquez
- Vasquez: Nothing new to report
 - Politte asked to comment on training as the outgoing Secretary. All RPPB members have completed the required training.
 - Clark: Santaluz Assisted Living goes to Planning Commission tomorrow and he received a 62 slide presentation from Evelyn Hydelberg (opposition's presentation). He will email it out to RPPB board.
- d. Standing Committee Reports:
- Land Use (Ramesses Surban)
 - Sorry I wasn't here.
 - Becker: A lot of plan amendments, SCRs, etc. Stay tuned.
 - Politte: As a follow up, according to Sandra Teasley, Khouli has had to resubmit their plans. As of August, they have resubmitted.
 - Becker: Did she say why?
 - Politte: No, I just hope they walk away and sell their property.
 - Becker: They may not have a market for that size, all that concrete isn't cheap.
 - Rhodes: Their drawings, looked like children's drawings.

- Politte: Very poor in comparison to other designs we've seen. Some of their openings suppose to be fire rated were incomplete.
- Telecomm (Darren Parker) – no report

e. Ad Hoc Committee Reports:

- FBA/PFFP Prioritization (Keith Rhodes)-Nothing
- Doubletree Resort (Politte Politte) - Nothing
- Santa Fe Summit II & III (Darren Parker) – I haven't heard anything
- RPPB Electronic Media Site (Steve Gore) –
 - Clark: Steve isn't here and I asked him if this is still an ad hoc committee.
 - Shoecraft: Is it completed, (no), it should be viable then.
 - Clark: We were close so it should be almost ready.
- Santa Fe Summit IV – Kilroy/Diocese Property (Thom Clark) & Merge 56
 - Becker: They are going to do an outreach. Bill Fulton was talking about how this is a quality project.
 - Parker: Intuit wasn't a quality project.
 - Becker: He encouraged Bill Fulton to come to our group and he may be able to put some levity into how this project will fit.
 - Parker: Aren't they required to put out some notices?
 - Parker: They didn't do any outreach last time.
 - Rhodes: It really is the community input that is needed. Gore told Rhodes that he got a lot of emails from the community who did not want it. We had an opportunity to stop the initiation but we didn't.
 - Clark: This is also going to really affect Park Village by moving forward the 2nd exit via Camino del Sur.
 - Rhodes: I agree with that. Sea Breeze is going quickly and they will build the 2nd exit.
 - Shoecraft: Those of us that live off Carmel Mtn. Rd. want access to SR56 and to the local schools.
 - Tanton: Is there a schedule for the workshops yet? Becker: Not yet.
 - Keating: They haven't crafted their message yet. I think it will be in the near future. They are still in the planning stage.
 - Becker: Asked Keating if Sea Breeze has been communicating with Kilroy yet? Keating: not yet.
 - Clark: My selfish view on all three of these projects, I think this is an intelligent group of people and I haven't been a part of similar projects. I want to see us challenge these projects. We should be able to challenge them on the letter of planning, not the esoteric. It is such a vibrant piece, that everything should be done right. I am going to do my homework so I can ask intelligent, challenging questions.
 - Becker: I think the planning commissioners raised very good questions about how these projects will benefit the community and not be business as usual.
 - Rhodes: From my perspective, it does me no good to do a mediocre product. Torrey Highlands was designed to be a move up community. You can't do something mediocre or you are wasting your land. From our perspective, it has to be that way.
 - Clark: Anything else from Merge 56 (Becker)? No.
 - Clark: Becker is chairing Rhodes Crossing, Surban is chairing Merge 56. Kenney is chair of the Black Mtn. Road Widening committee.
- Community Funds (Bill Diehl) – (no report)
- Rhodes Crossing (Jon Becker) – no report

- Black Mtn. Rd Widening (Mike Kenney) – no report

f. Liaison and Organization Reports:

- Black Mountain Open Space Park (Bill Diehl)-The “Trail for All People” is moving along. Ranger station is moving along. It’s held up for the Mira Mesa/Mercy Rd turn out that they need to widen. Both of those projects are fully funded. Keating noted that they are having to make design changes for the Ranger Station to the entrance(s) due to our initiation to remove the Widening of Black Mtn. Rd. from the community plan; not a problem as their plans have not yet been approved.
- MCAS Miramar Community Leaders Forum (Dennis Spurr) – I didn’t attend the meeting; however, the air show is on regardless of the shutdown. Friday & Saturday.
- PQ Fire Safe Council (Dennis Spurr)- Combined the annual meeting with PERC. They have a class every month for disaster preparation and evacuation. www.perc4pq.org. This is the county wide program. Another meeting attended was the Countywide Wildfire Training which expanded on prioritizing fuel reduction treatments. They have a new template for getting grants, etc.
- PQ Town Council (Cynthia Macshane) – Absent.
 - Tanton reported that SDCCU has agreed to sponsor the banner district for a limited time. Fund the design of the banners and will contain the SDCCU logo on them to be replaced with PQ sticker when time is up.
 - Becker: Will this cover the costs for the old banners and where is the banner district?
Tanton: There were approx. 60 banners and some are unusable.
 - Shoecraft: The district is only approved for Black Mtn Rd, Carmel Mtn Rd and, Rancho Pen Blvd. not approved for Park Village. Darshana (Town Council) wants the Park Village banners removed.
- PQ Recreation Council (Steve Gore) –
 - Diehl: The General Development plan update is going to San Diego Park & Rec Board this month. Once we get this done and approved then we can go out and do the EIR.
- Los Pen Canyon PSV CAC (John Keating) –
 - Park rangers have closed all the trails on the Mesa, they have rangers out there giving tickets. The Feds have closed all the trails north of SR-52 also for environmental reasons.. We might be seeing some over use.
 - Politte: We have to patrol it.
 - Keating: We are trying to condition a Federal Agency and we’re not going to get our wish on the East-West Trail that was included in the City’s Trail system plans we approved.
- Park Village LMAD (Jon Becker) –
 - We put in 22 new trees and recycled water and get the word out to the community we are looking for new projects.
- Peñasquitos East LMAD (Bill Diehl) – no meeting
- Torrey Highlands LMAD (Darren Parker) – no meeting until Dec.
- Transportation Agencies (John Keating) –
 - Construction on PQ bike path was supposed to start in October, now delayed. Caltrans hasn’t given us a date when they expect it to begin.
 - We are continuing to watch Calderon Rd. traffic mitigation for School, traffic. The first day, she complained that they weren’t writing tickets.

- Clark: I spoke with her two weeks after school. She said that things have gone back to what it was before. Wasn't there a traffic accident and bike lane barrier breach on SR56? Valentine said there was & Keating has forwarded the incident to Caltrans (4 recent accidents near this spot). This is not where near the spot that Caltrans wants to put the barrier.
- Dennis Spurr: Longer turn lanes on Peñasquitos Dr. (left turn lanes have been extended).

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Melinda Vásquez
RPPB Secretary

Approved 1/8/2014, 14 in favor – 0 against – 3 abstentions (Shoecraft, Dumka, Rhodes).