(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a WORD form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It may open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened and edited.

This WORD form will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information. You can also copy and paste from another document into a text field.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.
- To add new lines in tables, tab past the last text box and a new row will appear. Or right click to insert new rows as with other tables.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to lwoodward@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select *Create and Attach to Email*. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in additional emails.

Report Prepared by: Historical Resources Board and Staff

Date of commission/board review: January 24, 2013

Minimum Requirements for Certification

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- 1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. No changes to the City's Historical Resources Regulations are currently proposed.
- Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal code. http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf
 http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance)

1.	During the reporting period, did you have	e a local	register program to create local lar	ndmarks/local districts (or a simila	r list
	of designations) created by local law?	¥Yes	□ No		

2. If the answer is yes, then, during the reporting period, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	Number of Contributors in District	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Margaret Rice Robertson House/ 7712 Hillside Drive	10/27/2011	Type here.	11/30/2011
Alfred and Jessica Phinney/Thomas L. Shepherd House /7124 Olivetas Avenue	10/27/2011		11/30/2011
The LIFE House/6025 Waverly Avenue	10/27/2011		11/30/2011
J.A. and Mary Smith/ William Wahrenberger House /4188 Arden Way	10/27/2011		11/30/2011
Richard and Viola Requa House /4346 Valle Vista Way	10/27/2011		11/30/2011
Isaac and Flora Walker House /2722 29th Street	10/27/2011		11/30/2011
Louise Severin Spec. House #2 / 4970 Marlborough Drive	11/18/2011		3/13/2012
Elmer and Ida Hall House /2806 Gregory Street	11/18/2011		3/13/2012
John R. and Florence Porterfield Beardsley House / 3130 Shadowlawn Street	11/18/2011		3/13/2012

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

David O. Dryden Spec. House	1/26/2012	2/29/2012
#2 /3676 28th Street	1/20/2012	2/29/2012
Alexander Schreiber		
Speculation House #5 /4167	1/26/2012	2/29/2012
Palmetto Way		
T.M. and Leonora H. Russell		
Speculation House No.1/3141	1/26/2012	2/29/2012
Dale Street		
Antonio and Josephine		
Giacalone House /519 West	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
Date Street		
Oakley J. Hall House /3510	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
Dove Court	3/22/2012	3/11/2012
M.B. and Ida Irvin/Alexander		
Schreiber Spec. House #2/4181	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
Stephens Street		
George and Bertha Cooley/ Lois		
and Benjamin Torgerson Spec.	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
House #1/ 5158 Marlborough	3/22/2012	3/11/2012
Drive		
Mission Brewery Bottling Plant	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
/1747 Hancock Street	3,22,2012	3/11/2012
Charles and Marie Brenner		
Spec. House #1/ 4075 Couts	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
Street		
Edmund and Elsie		
Herman/Russell Forester	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
House/ 1262 Fleetridge Drive		
Woolworth Building/3067-3075	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
University Avenue		

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Morris B. Irvin Spec. House No. 2/4239 Saint James Place	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
George Gans Spec House #4 /3005 33rd Street	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
David Louis and Susan E. Kretsinger House/ 2539 Palm Avenue	3/22/2012	5/14/2012
The Luscomb Building /1797 San Diego Avenue	4/26/2012	On Appeal
Leslie R. and Isabel M. Smith/Charles H. Salyers House/ 2626 Clove Street	4/26/2012	6/4/2012
Josh Delvalle House/ 1535 28th Street	4/26/2012	6/4/2012
San Diego Free Speech Site at Heller's Corner /Southeast corner of the intersection of Fifth Ave and E Street	4/26/2012	Commemorative
Mary and Julia Pickett Spec House #1 /3665 Jackdaw Street	5/24/2012	8/1/2012
Dr. Frank Dixon House /2355 Avenida De La Playa	5/24/2012	8/1/2012
Eason Enterprises/Cliff May Spec House #1/ 4777 Avion Way	5/24/2012	8/1/2012
Jacob Bronowski House/9438 La Jolla Farms Road	6/28/2012	8/1/2012
James and Evangeline Walker House/7450 High Avenue	6/28/2012	8/1/2012

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

	1	
J. Francis and Clara Munro	6/28/2012	8/1/2012
House/2140 Upas Street		
Ben and Frieda Kaplan House	6/28/2012	8/1/2012
/1225 Concord Street	0/20/2012	0/1/2012
Hans and Mabel Hirte House	6/28/2012	8/1/2012
/2465 Curlew Street	0/28/2012	8/1/2012
Dr. Franklin and Leone		
Lindemulder /Ralph Frank	6/28/2012	8/1/2012
House/2251 San Juan Road		
Annie Porter House /2126-30	7/26/2012	On Annual
Front Street	7/20/2012	On Appeal
Fred W. Osburn House/2430	7/26/2012	11/6/2012
Union Street	7/20/2012	11/0/2012
Lillian Lentell Cottages /7761		
Eads Avenue and 7762 Bishops	7/26/2012	On Appeal
Lane		
August and Ellen Ross House	7/26/2012	11/6/2012
/4010 Wesleyan Place	7/20/2012	11/0/2012
Helen Ruth Dailey/ Russell		
Forester House /7750	7/26/2012	11/6/2012
Ludington Place		
Luigi and Louise Perna/ Frank		
Hope, Sr. House /2320 Hickory	7/26/2012	11/6/2012
Street		
Gordon Eby House/2335 Juan	9/27/2012	*still at vacandan's office
Street	9/21/2012	*still at recorder's office
Casa De Las Joyas /7902	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
Roseland Drive	9/21/2012	11/0/2012

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Walter and Emilie Albrecht House /535 San Gorgonio Street	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
Frank and Barbara Hope, Jr. House /3430 Bangor Place	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
Paul and Charlotte Hutchinson House /1856 Viking Way	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
Ridgeway House /4256 Ridgeway Drive	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
Franta Stewart Spec House #1/ 5317 Wilshire Drive	9/27/2012	11/6/2012
John Steven McGroarty Spec House #1/1004 Devonshire Drive	9/27/2012	11/6/2012

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

3. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors.

Property Name/Address	Date Removed
Anderson Furniture Building / 741 F Street	05/08/2012
(The designation of this building was appealed to the	
City Council and overturned. Therefore, the designation	
of the property was never final.)	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

C. I	Historic Preservation Element/Plan
	1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? \square No
	Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.
	http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf
	2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan? Yes No If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.
	3. When will your next General Plan update occur? 15 to 20 Years
D. R	eview Responsibilities
	1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?
	\square All projects subject to design review go the commission.
	Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee (DAS) of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical resources. Historical Resources staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical resources that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a project as a minor modification or if the DAS review concludes that a project is consistent with the Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review and comment.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

 What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Historical Resources staff reviews all environmental documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

historical resource during the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the Historical Resources section of environmental documents prepared by the City of San Diego.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *Draft CEQA documents are reviewed and approved by Historical Resources staff prior to public review when a designated historical resource would be impacted by a proposed project. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and the environmental document, specifically the adequacy of the proposed mitigation measures, to the Planning Commission.*

4. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local
 government? Historical Resources staff reviews and approves the Historical Resources section of all
 Section 106 documents for projects prepared for the City that may have an effect on a National
 Register eligible resource prior to the public review period. Historical Resources staff prepares the
 Historical Resources section of Section 106 documents prepared by the City of San Diego.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the Section 106 document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy and Design Assistance Subcommittees and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Programmatic Agreements.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Dr. Michael Baksh	Archaeologist	07/13/2010	03/01/2013	mgbaksh@aol.com
Priscilla Berge	Historian	11/14/2006	03/01/2013	paberge@cox.net
Alex Bethke	Historian	01/28/2009	03/01/2014	abethke03@gmail.com
Maria Curry	Historic Architect / Historic Preservation Planner	05/24/2004	03/01/2012	marucurry@yahoo.com
Gail Garbini	Landscape Architect	02/11/2008	03/01/2013	ggarbini@garbiniandgarbini.com
Richard Larimer	Architect	04/10/2012	03/01/2014	tlarimer@larimerdesign.com
John Lemmo	Law	02/11/2008	03/01/2014	john.lemmo@procopio.com
Linda Marrone	Real Estate	12/28/2008	03/01/2013	Imarrone@san.rr.com
Evelya Zepeda Rivera	General/Fine Arts	04/10/2012	03/01/2014	erivera@iuvopa.com
Abel Silvas	Native American/Californio Family Descendant	03/24/2003	03/01/2011	runninggrunion@juno.com
Dr. Ann Woods	Architectural History	11/12/2009	03/01/2013	awoods@sandiego.edu

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If your do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, why have the professional qualifications not been met and how is professional expertise being provided? N/A
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? The HRB currently has two termed-out positions. The Mayor's office and CLG staff are actively recruiting knowledgeable individuals to fill these positions. The termed-out Boardmembers continue to serve until they are replaced.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

1.	Is the staff to your	commission the same	as your CLG coordinator?	🗷 Yes	☐ No
----	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	-------	------

2.	If the position(s	 is not currentl 	y filled, wh	y is there a vacanc	y? Type here.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Shannon Anthony	Board Secretary	Development Services	SAnthony@sandiego.gov
Board Secretary		Department; Advance Planning	
(03/2008 to present)		& Engineering Division	
Jodie Brown	History & Planning	Development Services	JDBrown@sandiego.gov
Senior Planner		Department; Advance Planning	
(02/2008 – 03/2010; 10/2010 to		& Engineering Division	
present)			
Jeffrey Oakley	Urban Planning	Development Services	JOakley@sandiego.gov
Associate Planner		Department; Advance Planning	
(02/2010 to present)		& Engineering Division	
Kelley Stanco	History & Planning	Development Services	KStanco@sandiego.gov
Senior Planner		Department; Advance Planning	
(03/2006 to present)		& Engineering Division	
Cathy Winterrowd	History & Planning;	Development Services	CWinterrowd@sandiego.gov
Assistant Deputy Director	Ethnography	Department; Advance Planning	
CLG Liaison		& Engineering Division	
(12/2005 to present)			

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all new staff.

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Dr. Michael Baksh		X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X		X	X	No Meeting	X
Priscilla Berge	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Alex Bethke	X	X	No Meeting	X		X	X	X	X		No Meeting	X
Maria Curry	X		No Meeting	X		X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Gail Garbini	X	X	No Meeting	X		X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Richard Larimer			No Meeting				X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
John Lemmo	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X		No Meeting	X
Linda Marrone	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Evelya Zepeda Rivera			No Meeting				X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Abel Silvas	X	X	No Meeting	X		X		X	X	X	No Meeting	
Dr. Ann Woods	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X		X	No Meeting	X
Shannon Anthony Board Secretary	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Jodie Brown Senior Planner			No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Jeffrey Oakley Associate Planner	X	X	No Meeting	X		X		X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Kelley Stanco Senior Planner	X	X	No Meeting	X	X		X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X
Cathy Winterrowd Assistant Deputy Director	X	X	No Meeting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	No Meeting	X

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Kelley Stanco Jodie Brown	CPF Webinar on State Historic Building Code: Fire & Life Safety	1.5 Hours	California Preservation Foundation	08/14/2012
Kelley Stanco Jodie Brown	CPF Webinar on State Historic Building Code: Accessibility	1.5 Hours	California Preservation Foundation	08/28/2012
Jodie Brown Jeffrey Oakley Boardmembers Berge, Woods, Curry, Garbini, and Bethke	CPF Webinar: What Style is It?	1.5 Hours	California Preservation Foundation	01/24/2012
Cathy Winterrowd Boardmembers Lemmo, Baksh, Silvas, Woods, and Berge	2012 Society for California Archaeology (SCA) Annual Conference	2 days	Various symposia, presentations and workshops with a focus on California archaeology and Native American resources	3/29/2012 – 4/1/2012
Jodie Brown	2012 APA National Planning Conference: Historic Preservation Issues, Disaster Planning & TDRs	4 Days	American Planning Association	04/14/2012 — 04/17/2012
Boardmembers	Ethics Training	1 Hour	City Staff	10/27/2011
Boardmembers	San Ysidro Survey Workshop	30 minutes	City Staff and Consultant	01/26/2012
Boardmembers	Old Town Survey Workshop	30 minutes	City Staff and Consultant	09/27/2012
Boardmembers	Midway Survey Workshop	30 minutes	City Staff and Consultant	09/27/2012

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic</u> Preservation Act

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Uptown	A new historic context with limited field work is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Uptown community. Themes identified included the influence of the subdivision boom, streetcar development, suburbanization and the automobile.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Staff is working to finalize the draft context.
Golden Hill	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Golden Hill community. The context focuses on the development of Golden Hill as one of the earliest residential districts located outside of downtown.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process. Submitted to OHP in 2011.
North Park	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the North Park community.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Draft context finalized, awaiting public hearing process. Submitted to OHP in 2011.
Old Town	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Old Town community.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Staff is working to finalize the draft context.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Midway	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Midway community.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process. Staff is working to finalize the draft context.

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (electronic format preferred) with this report.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
North Park	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 1,466	Approx 6,500	In Process. Draft survey finalized, awaiting public hearing process.	Type here.
Golden Hill	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 441	Approx 5,000	In Process. Draft survey finalized, awaiting public hearing process.	
Old Town	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 285	Approx 234	In Progress. Draft survey report under review by staff.	
Midway	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 902	Approx 613	In Progress. Draft survey report under review by staff.	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

How are you using the survey data? These surveys are conducted as part of a community plan update process within each community. The community plan constitutes the land use element of the City's General Plan for the subject area and is used to make land use and planning decisions for 10 or more years. The community plan survey, guided by a historic context, will be used as a planning tool to inform the plan update by making it possible to evaluate resources for land use planning purposes and to identify important aspects of community character. Areas identified as potential historic districts or as containing potentially significant individual resources are reviewed to determine whether or not the land use designations and zoning would have the potential to apply development pressure within these areas and adversely impact these resources. Second, potential historic districts are mapped and flagged for future intensive survey. Third, potentially significant individual resources are evaluated at the project level when an permit application is submitted.

C. Corrections or changes to Inventory

Property Name/Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Status Code Change From - To	Reason	Date of Change
None	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs have you undertaken? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Item or Event	Description	Date
Potential Historical Resource Review – Public Working Group	The Potential Historical Resource Review (SDMC 143.0212) requires that staff determine if a potentially significant historical resource exists on site prior to the approval of a construction or a development permit. A working group led by Historical Resources staff and comprised of individuals from local community planning groups and historical organizations participates in this review process by providing input to staff on the history and potential significance of a property under the adopted HRB criteria, prior to staff approving a project.	Ongoing
Individual meetings with historic property owners	To review the potential for historic designation. Initial design review for projects involving designated historic resources and potential historic resources. To review specific conditions and responsibilities of property owners with new Mills Act Agreements.	Ongoing
Community Planning Group Historical Resources Training Session	City-sponsored training for interested members of community planning groups in the City's historical resources program and regulations. Specific topics included identification and treatment of historical resources, designation criteria and common architectural styles found in San Diego, responsibilities and benefits of historic property ownership, historic contexts, and use of historic surveys in the community plan update (planning) process.	October 27, 2011
San Diego City Council Committee on Land Use and Housing	Staff presented information on several issues related to the preservation program including the permit review process for potential historical resources, the City's customization and use of CHRID to manage our historical resources database, and the Mills Act program.	November 16, 2011
La Jolla Historical Society Workshop on Historical Designation	Staff provided information on the City's regulations, designation report requirements and criteria for listing a property on the City's Register	January 28, 2012

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Item or Event	Description	Date
Old San Diego Community Plan Update Meeting	Staff and the consultant presented an overview of the context statement and the draft survey results and solicited input from the advisory group on the results and the location of any additional resources in their community.	March 20, 2012
Midway Community Plan Update Meeting	Staff and the consultant presented an overview of the context statement and the draft survey results and solicited input from the advisory group on the results and the location of any additional resources in their community.	March 21, 2012
Old San Diego Community Plan Update Meeting	Staff and the consultant presented an overview of the draft Archaeology study, documenting areas of archaeological sensitivity in the planning area.	June 13, 2012

V. National Park Service Baseline Questionnaire for new CLGs (certified after September 30, 2011).

contributing properties) locally registered/designated as of September 30, 2011?

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to the NPS on your behalf. Guidance for completing the Baseline Questionnaire is located at www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html.

A. CLG Inventory Program

1. What is the net cumulative number of historic properties in your CLG inventory as of September 30, 2011? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal during the report year. Type here.

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1.	As of September 30.2011, did your local government have a local register program to create local landmarks/local historic
	districts (or a similar list of designations created by local law? Yes No

Type here.

2. If the answer is yes, what is the net cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties (i.e.,

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

C.	Local	Tax Incentives Program
	1.	As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a local historic preservation tax incentives program (e.g. Mills Act)? \square Yes \square No
	2.	If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties whose owners have taken advantage of those incentives as of September 30, 2011? Type here.
D.	Local	"Bricks and Mortar" Grants/Loans Program
	1.	As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a locally-funded, historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? Type here.
	2.	If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties assisted by these grants or loans as of September 30, 2011? Type here.
E.	Local	Design Review/Regulatory Program
	1.	As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to or impacts on properties with a historic district? Yes No
	2.	If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties that your local government has reviewed under that process as of September 30, 2011? Type here.
F.	Local	Property Acquisition Program
	1.	As of September 30, 2011, did your local government by purchase, donation, condemnation, or other means help to acquire or acquire itself some degree of title (e.g., fee simple interest or an easement) in historic properties?

2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties with a property interest acquisition assisted or carried out by your local government as of September 30, 2011? Type here.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

VI. Additional Information for National Park Service Annual Products Report for CLGs (certified before September 30, 2011).

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. **Please read** "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located at www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html.

A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, how many historic properties did your local government add to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
National, State and Local Designations	51

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

(This information is captured under I.B. above.)

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

- 1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act?

 ✓ Yes ✓ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program since October 1, 2011?

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Mills Act	43

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

1.	. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did you have a local government historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ☑No			
2.	 If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) after October 1, 2011? Type here. 			
	Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited		
N/A		Type here.		
E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program				
	1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to, or impacts on, properties with a historic district? Yes No			
2.	 If the answer is yes then, since October 1, 2011, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's Historic preservation regulatory law(s)? approx 1,700 			
F. Lo	cal Property Acquisition Program			
ac	uring the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September quire) historic properties in whole or in part through put the answer is yes, then how many properties have Type here.	ourchase, donation, or other means? ☐Yes 🗷 No) · · ·	
	Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefite	d	
N/A	<u> </u>	Type here.		

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

VII. In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

- A. What are the most critical preservation planning issues? Three critical preservation planning issues have begun to emerge. First, the recent uptick in the economy is creating renewed redevelopment pressure in older communities and on designated historic resources. An increase in permit applications overall has been noted, and staff has received numerous inquiries regarding redevelopment of designated and potentially historic resources. Second, an ever-increasing number of properties are reaching 45 years of age, the City's threshold of review for potential historic significance as part of a building permit application. As a result, areas of the City developed well after WWII are coming up for review, and residents of those communities are not necessarily supportive of the historic review process. Education and outreach to the public, as well as maintenance and updates to the Modernism Context Statement may be required to help address this issue. Third, there are a number of properties, both designated and potentially historic, within the boundary of the City of San Diego but under the jurisdiction of a higher agency. In these instances, the higher agency has the authority over development or redevelopment of the historic property under their ownership or control. In instances where the higher agency may be proposing substantial alteration or demolition of historic resources. the community looks to City staff and the Historic Resources Board to intervene, when there is unfortunately no authority to do so. Action available to the City is limited to public outreach/education and staff and Board involvement in any public hearing or review process that may be associated with a project.
- B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? Two significant milestones were met during the reporting period. First, the "designation nomination queue" which has been in place for nearly ten years has been eliminated. Due to the popularity of the City's designation program and limited staff resources to process nominations, a waiting list was established. Over the course of the last 9-10 years, the wait time from submittal to hearing was as much as 2 to 2.5 years. Over the past few years, staff has maintained an aggressive schedule of review and processing. As a result, the queue has been eliminated, and nominations can now expect to be heard by the Historical Resources Board within 90 days of receipt. Second, the City has established and made live our CHRID database. After several years of work which included numerous customizations of the database to meet the City's needs and best facilitate public access to information, the database with an initial selection of designated properties listed on or after 2009 have

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

been posted and is accessible to the public. We are currently working on entering data for properties designated prior to 2009. Information provided for each property includes location information, a photograph, date of construction, style, key architectural features and significance; as well as copies of the nomination materials, staff report and resolution documenting the Board's action. As we continue to enter data for older designations, a significant amount of information previously accessible only by visiting City offices will be readily available online and free of charge.

- C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? In May of each year the City's HRB recognizes individuals, groups, businesses and agencies who positively contribute to the preservation and advancement of San Diego's unique history and heritage. The Board recognizes achievements in the categories of Agency, Archaeology, Architectural Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Restoration, Community History, Cultural Diversity, Cultural Landscape, History, Individual Accomplishment, and Preservation Advancement. Nominations are accepted from Boardmembers, staff and members of the public between February and April each year. The award recipients are recognized at the annual ceremony in May, where they receive their Awards of Excellence from the Board and commendations from various City Councilmembers. Additionally, during the last two weeks of May, posters and photographs, brochures, and exhibits are displayed in the lobby of the City Administration Building to highlight historic preservation in San Diego. The display coincides with the annual awards celebration.
- D. How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? Goals were met as follows:
 - 1.) Amend Municipal Code to allow for collection of fines and civil penalties for unpermitted alteration of historic resources and designated historic resources. These amendments will serve as a deterrent to those who might consider adversely altering a historic resource prior to obtaining the required permits. (GOAL MET)
 - 2.) Complete the context statements and reconnaissance surveys for the Midway and Old Town Community Planning Areas, which are currently underway as part of the community plan updates. (GOAL MET, Initial Drafts Complete)
 - 3.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract. (GOAL MET)
 - 4.) Complete the pending Mission Hills Expansion historic district submitted by members of the

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

community in 2011. (GOAL NOT YET MET, we expect to process this district in 2013.)

- 5.) Work through and eliminate the queue of pending historic designation nominations and begin processing new nominations within 90 days of receipt. (GOAL MET)
- 6.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation. (GOAL NOT YET MET, will pursue in 2013.)
- 7.) Work with the San Diego AlA to present a workshop on San Diego Modernism. (GOAL NOT YET MET, will pursue in 2013.)
- 8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park. (GOAL NOT YET MET, will pursue in 2013.)
- E. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2012-2013? Our goals for 2012-2013 are as follows:
 - 1.) Transfer remaining data on the City's designated historic resources to the CHRID database.
 - 2.) Complete the context statement and finish clean-up of reconnaissance survey data for the Uptown Community Planning Area, which is currently underway as part of the community plan update.
 - 3.) Begin work on the historic context statement for the Southeast Community Planning Area, which will soon be undertaken as part of the community plan update.
 - 4.) Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
 - 5.) Complete the pending Mission Hills Expansion historic district submitted by members of the community in 2011.
 - 6.) Provide training to staff, Boardmembers and members of the public on resource integrity and eligibility for designation.
 - 7.) Work with the San Diego AIA to present a workshop on San Diego Modernism.
 - 8.) In conjunction with NPS, hold an all day workshop with City workers, lease holders, and non-profits on NHL stewardship best practices as they apply to the historically significant buildings and cultural landscape of Balboa Park.
- F. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? **National Historic Landmark Stewardship**

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

G. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Cultural Landscapes	Workshop or Webinar

H. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP?

☑ Yes ☐ No

XII Attachments

Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and staff
Minutes from commission meetings
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance N/A
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan N/A
Public outreach publications N/A

When report is completed, save and email as an attachment to lwoodward@parks.ca.gov.

You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select *Create and Attach to Email.*