

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
HISTORICAL RESOURCES BOARD
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 22, 2008
202 C STREET, CITY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
COUNCIL COMMITTEE ROOM, 12TH FLOOR
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101**

Recognition of dedicated years of service and thanks to past Boardmembers Laura Burnett, David Marshall and Delores McNeely *and the*

Annual Awards for the Excellence in Historic Preservation awarded by the Historical Resources Board

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Lemmo at 2:05pm

Chairperson	John Lemmo	Present
Vice Chairperson	Jerry Schaefer	Present
Boardmember	Priscilla Berge	Absent
Boardmember	Maria Curry	Present
Boardmember	John Eisenhart	Present
Boardmember	Otto Emme	Present
Boardmember	Gail Garbini	Present
Boardmember	Paul Johnson	Present
Boardmember	Abel Silvas	Present

Staff to the Board in Attendance: Shannon Anthony, Board Secretary
Cathy Winterrowd, Senior Planner
Kelley Saunders, Senior Planner
Jennifer Hirsch, Senior Planner
Tricia Olsen, Associate Planner

Legal Counsel in Attendance: Marianne Greene, Deputy City Attorney

**ITEM 1 - APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 8, 2007, NOVEMBER 29, 2007,
AND MARCH 27, 2008.**

Minutes for November 29, 2007 are not available at this time

BOARD ACTION:

**MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EMME TO APPROVE THE NOVEMBER 8,
2007 MINUTES**

Seconded by Boardmember Eisenhart Vote: 6-0-2 Motion Passes
(Johnson, Lemmo)

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER JOHNSON TO APPROVE THE MARCH 27, 2008 MINUTES

Seconded by Boardmember Eisenhart

Vote: 8-0-0

Motion Passes

ITEM 2 - PUBLIC COMMENT

Jarvis Ross: In 2000 he had the opportunity to walk into a 400 year old hotel, still operable and not inhabited by low income transients, it was in England. Yesterday he attended a LU&H meeting; they had to postpone an item dealing with demolition and historical property due to the amount of speakers (over 40 people) and the lack of time allotted. His major concerns have to deal with Commercial Historic Preservation. NTC is an example of preservation gone astray. He recently attended an HRB committee, whom reviews projects, meeting where 3 projects from NTC, now Liberty Station, were being reviewed; all three projects violate the major precepts of Federal guidelines. The Public is not always aware of the process, and would be more involved if they were better informed and aware of the process. He wanted to applaud the committee and the Board today on their efforts in the preservation of the historical part of San Diego.

ITEM 3 - ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

A. BOARD ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND GENERAL INFORMATION

• **ABSENCES**

Boardmember Berge notified staff she would be out of town on family business and could not attend this meeting.

• **OTHER GENERAL INFORMATION**

Blue Folders include information on the San Juan Road property from; the property owner, consultant and Councilman Faulconer, information on the San Fernando Street property in addition to some general information from the Gaslamp Quarter.

• **GENERAL BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS**

Boardmember Emme announced that there will be a Postcard trade show in Glendale this weekend.

B. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS

• **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Boardmember Emme will recuse himself from Items 8 and 9 due to economic interest within 300 feet of these items.

EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

None

• **FAILURE TO VISIT DESIGNATION SITES (INCLUDING INTERIORS PROPOSED FOR DESIGNATION)/INVOCATION OF WAIVER**

Boardmember Schaefer did not visit Item 7 – H.R. and Olga McClintock/Herbert Palmer & Milton Sessions House and will abstain from voting on this item.

Boardmember Garbini was unable to hear the Audio of the November 9 and November 29 hearings for Item 5 – 6206 Waverly Avenue. Boardmember, she will abstain from voting on this item.

C. STAFF REPORT

- The continued HRB workshop on historic preservation incentives, including the Mills Act is scheduled for Friday, June 6th at 9:00am in this room. Meeting agendas have been mailed out to all designated property owners and all property owners that have submitted a nomination report. The agenda was emailed to consultants and other interested parties and has been posted on the HRB website.
- Next DAS meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 4, 2008. The next Policy Subcommittee meeting will be held on Monday, June 9, 2008. The next Archaeology Subcommittee meeting will be on Monday, July 14. If established by the Board today, the first Incentives Subcommittee meeting will be held on Monday, June 9, 2008.

Boardmembers will note today's agenda includes 4 referrals from Development Services, three individual homeowner nominations, one of them trailed from the April 2008 meeting, one re-initiation of a homeowner nomination, one designation amendment to exclude an accessory structure, and establishment of the Incentives Ad Hoc Subcommittee. There are 14 individual homeowner nominations that were submitted in 2006, 68 individual homeowner nominations submitted in 2007, and 27 individual homeowner nominations submitted in 2008 that have not been reviewed by staff and brought forward for designation.

D. REQUESTS FOR CONTINUANCES

Linda Canada on behalf of the property owners, Robert and Kimberly Bixel, submitted a written request for a continuance of Item 12 – Paul Thomas Hunt and Fannie M. Hunt.

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EMME TO APPROVE THE CONTINUANCE OF ITEM 12 – PAUL THOMAS HUNT AND FANNIE M. HUNT HOUSE

Seconded by Boardmember Schaefer

Vote: 8-0-0

Motion Passes

E. INFORMATION ITEMS

None

ITEM 4 - REQUESTS FOR ITEMS TO BE PLACED ON CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 7 - H.R. AND OLGA MCCLINTOCK/HERBERT PALMER & MILTON SESSIONS HOUSE located at 7755 Sierra Mar

ITEM 8 - JOHN W. WILLMOTT HARDWARE/FLORENCE APARTMENT BUILDING located at 800-808 W Washington St/4010 Falcon

ITEM 9 - P.D. GRISWOLD PHARMACY/COMMERCIAL BUILDING located at 4003 Goldfinch/820 W Washington

ITEM 11 - ALBERT D. AND ALLIE M. HAGAMAN/WILLIAM B. MELHORN
HOUSE located at 219-221 Kalmia Street

ITEM 15 - ESTABLISHMENT OF THE INCENTIVES AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE

BOARD ACTION:

**MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER JOHNSON TO APPROVE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION OF CONSENT ITEM 7 – H.R. AND OLGA
MCCLINTOCK/HERBERT PALMER & MILTON SESSIONS HOUSE**

Seconded by Boardmember Eisenhart Vote: 7-0-1 Motion Passes
(Schaefer)

**MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO APPROVE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION OF CONSENT ITEMS; 8 – JOHN W. WILLMOTT
HARDWARE/FLORENCE APARTMENT BUILDING AND 9 – P.D. GRISWOLD
PHARMACY/COMMERCIAL BUILDING**

Seconded by Boardmember Curry Vote: 7-0-1 Motion Passes
(Emme)

**MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO APPROVE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION OF CONSENT ITEMS; 11 – ALBERT D. AND ALLIE M.
HAGAMAN/WILLIAM B. MELHORN HOUSE AND 15 – ESTABLISHMENT OF
THE INCENTIVES AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**

Seconded by Boardmember Silvas Vote: 8-0-0 Motion Passes

ACTION ITEMS

ITEM 5 – 6206 WAVERLY AVENUE

Continued from November 2007; Trailed from April 2008

Applicant: Vonn Marie May, on behalf of the owners, Stephen and Claudia Metcalf

Location: 6206 Waverly Avenue, La Jolla Community, Council District 1

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 6206 Waverly Avenue as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Do not designate the house at 6206 Waverly Avenue under any HRB Criteria due to modifications which have resulted in a loss of architectural integrity.

Report Number: HRB-08-024

Staff Report by Kelley Saunders

Testimony Received:

In Favor: None

In Opposition: Vonn Marie May, Claudia Metcalfe, Bill Boehm, Don Schmidt

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Garbini will abstain from voting on this item.

Boardmember Curry does not support staff recommendation. She feels that the value of the house architecturally and the work they have been doing with DAS. The addition does not alter the original design of the house and can be reversed; Criterion C for their work (mitigation) with DAS and Criterion D for Thomas Sheppard. The Board has designated houses with garage additions before; this is not the first one to be considered. This property has two considerations Criterion C and D, and it should be designated on which one it fits best, she supports designated under both Criterion.

Boardmember Emme supports Staff recommendation. Under Criterion C, this is not an excellent example of early ranch style architecture that Sheppard did; it has been compromised, it has been modified with the massing; the bulk and the scale on the primary front façade. They did try to fix and modify it, however it has been compromised. We need to focus on quality and not quantity, and under D there are better examples of Sheppard homes out there.

Boardmember Eisenhart is influenced by the entry and the courtyard, when looking at the totality of the home. It wasn't until after viewing an older photo of the home that he realized the impact that the two-story addition had on the home. He will be supporting Staff's recommendation and will not recommend designation. As for Criterion D, this is an "OK" example of a Thomas Sheppard's work, and not a shining example.

Boardmember Johnson feels that the work of Thomas Sheppard as we know it currently is significant and he believes that his significance will only increase as we move forward in understanding his architecture. He had questions as to what the DAS input was. He is not sure where to stand on this technically, but has difficulty, of the homeowner following the advice, knows that DAS is just advisory not binding, and then this Board not following through with that.

Staff explained that this property came before the full Board in November and there was considerable discussion by the Boardmembers that it did not meet designation criteria, because of substantial alterations, at that time the homeowner requested a continuance to try to figure out some way to try to mitigate that. It was also made clear at DAS that the homeowner was taking a risk of spending the money and doing the work without any guarantee that designation would be supported at that time. It was made clear from the beginning by both Staff and the Board that there were significant alterations made to the house.

Chair Lemmo wanted to clarify that it was Staff's original recommendation in November to designate the property

Staff responded that it was; prior the new information provided on the extent of the alterations made to the garage façade. Although Staff did make the recommendation to designate, the Board was not in support of designation at that meeting.

Boardmember Eisenhart wanted to mention that he was at that first DAS meeting, Mr. Marshall was the chair and there was a disagreement on what to do. When this solution was given to the homeowner, it was thought that the massing might preclude designation.

Chair Lemmo thinks this is an "OK" example of a Sheppard under Criterion D, but is troubled that the initial Staff recommendation stated this to be an excellent example, and the property owner did what she could to get designation.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER JOHNSON TO DESIGNATE ITEM 5 – 6206 WAVERLY AVENUE; UNDER CRITERION D FOR WORK OF A MASTER ARCHITECT

Seconded by Boardmember Curry Vote: 4-2-1 Motion Fails
(Emme, Eisenhart)(Garbini)

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER CURRY TO DESIGNATE ITEM 5 – 6206 WAVERLY AVENUE; UNDER CRITERION C PER THE INITIAL STAFF RECOMMENDATION (FROM NOVEMBER 2007)

Seconded by Boardmember Johnson Vote: 2-4-1 Motion Fails
(Johnson, Curry) – (Garbini)

ITEM 6 – HELMUS W. AND BILLIE L. ANDREWS HOUSE

Continued from March 2008

Applicant: Scott Moomjian, on behalf of owners Larry and Linda Stirling

Location: 2261 San Juan Road, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 2261 San Juan Road as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Helmus W. and Billie L. Andrews House located at 2261 San Juan Road as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C, as an example of Colonial Revival architecture.

Report Number: HRB-08-015 and Memo dated May 8, 2008

Staff Report by Jennifer Hirsch

Testimony Received:

In Favor: None

In Opposition: Scott Moomjian, Larry Stirling, Linda Stirling, David Keitel

Board Discussion:

Chair Lemmo asked Staff how the Stirlings obtained modification permits without it first being referred to HRB Staff in 2002.

Staff responded that the Permits in 2002 were reviewed by Development Services and found to be consistent with the standards, if the house were historic, so they were not referred for designation.

Chair Lemmo wanted to clarify that the policy of bringing demolition and modification permits to this Board is not something that the Board made up, it is part of the Municipal Code, and that is why you are here. The Board's job is to evaluate the resource in accordance with the policies that have been set forth by the City Council and the Municipal Code. He also wanted to comment that if this property had been brought before the Board as a voluntary owner seeking designation as an excellent example of Colonial Revival with the intent of pursuing a Mills Act, he would have had to disagree with that application. This may arguably be an example of Colonial Revival, but he does not think it is an excellent example.

Boardmember Eisenhart agrees that this is more Minimal Traditional, with some examples of Colonial Revival and Art Moderne or Streamline influences. Modifications are reversible or have conformed to the overall style of the original house. He is impressed by the proportions of the building itself; supports designation under Criterion C as a good example of Minimal Traditional.

Boardmember Curry agrees with Boardmember Eisenhart. Modifications are not major and she supports designation with changes to the style.

Boardmember Schaefer asked the consultant about proposed modifications to the front façade.

David Keitel, architect, responded that pending plans include; a new garage addition off to the front of the home, new courtyard in the front, mission tile roofing, and reworking of the front façade for deeper windows.

Boardmember Schaefer wanted to clarify that when Staff uses words such as "an excellent example of..." it is just to emphasize the Criterion being applied. As for the use of the Uptown Survey, the purpose of these inventories is to indicate which properties need to be flagged for additional scrutiny, they are not meant to be the last word. This property certainly merits going through this process, and does not see any problem with using the survey for this purpose. He agrees with colleagues that home has more Minimal Tradition characteristics, but not sure if it is enough to warrant designation, leaning towards not supporting designation.

Boardmember Johnson agrees with Boardmember Schaefer and does not support designation.

Boardmember Emme wanted to point out that history is a moving target; surveys and staff reports can have errors. He is on the fence.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO DESIGNATE ITEM 6 – HELMUS W. AND BILLIE L. ANDREWS HOUSE UNDER CRITERION C AS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF LATE 1930'S, EARLY MINIMAL TRADITIONAL

Seconded by Boardmember Curry

Vote: 3-5-0

Motion Fails

(Lemmo, Schaefer, Johnson, Silvas, Emme)

ITEM 7 – H.R. AND OLGA MCCLINTOCK/HERBERT PALMER & MILTON SESSIONS HOUSE

Applicant: Ruth Alter of Archaeos, on behalf of the owner Philip Stewart

Location: 7755 Sierra Mar, La Jolla Community, Council District 1

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 7755 Sierra Mar as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the H.R. and Olga McClintock/Herbert Palmer & Milton Sessions House under HRB Criterion C as an excellent example of Spanish Eclectic architecture, and Criterion D as the notable work of Master Architect Herbert Palmer, with landscaping by Master Landscape Architect Milton Sessions.

Report Number: HRB-08-031

Staff Report by Kelley Saunders

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 8 – JOHN W. WILLMOTT HARDWARE/FLORENCE APARTMENT BUILDING

Applicant: Marie Burke Lia, on behalf of the owner Paseo de Mission Hills, LLC

Location: 800-808 W Washington St/4010 Falcon, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 800-808 W Washington St/4010 Falcon as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the John W. Willmott Hardware/Florence Apartment Building, located at 800-808 West Washington Street/4010 Falcon Street, as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C as an example of Two-Part Commercial Block construction in the Spanish Eclectic style, restored consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Restoration.

Report Number: HRB-08-032

Staff Report by Tricia Olsen

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 9 – P.D. GRISWOLD PHARMACY/COMMERCIAL BUILDING

Applicant: Marie Burke Lia, on behalf of the owner Paseo de Mission Hills, LLC

Location: 4003 Goldfinch/820 W Washington, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 4003 Goldfinch/820 W Washington as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the P.D. Griswold Pharmacy/Commercial Building, located at 4003 Goldfinch Street/820 West Washington Street, as an excellent example of early 20th

Century Two-Part Commercial Block construction, restored in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Restoration.

Report Number: HRB-08-033

Staff Report by Tricia Olsen

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 10 – T. CLAUDE RYAN HOUSE

Applicant: Scott Moomjian on behalf of the owners

Location: 548 San Fernando Street, Peninsula Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the T. Claude Ryan House, located at 548 San Fernando Street, as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate under one or more established HRB Criteria or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the T. Claude Ryan House, located at 548 San Fernando Street in Point Loma, under HRB Criterion B as the primary residence of historic person T. Claude Ryan.

Report Number: HRB-07-073 and Memo dated May 9, 2008

Staff Report by Cathy Winterrowd

Testimony Received:

In Favor: Scott Moomjian, Bruce Coons

In Opposition: None

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Curry was impressed with the presentation; she is concerned with the precedent they are creating if they designate this home based on Staff's recommendation. When they are talking about a person, they are looking at the period of most important achievements and that is significance of the house when he was living there. The report and other information the Board received shows that there were other homes that he lived in, which no longer exist, this is the last one; but because this is the last one, it may be important, but not necessarily the most important for the period of his achievements. If the period of significance covers all of the years of his life, she can support designation under Criterion B.

Boardmember Emme acknowledges that the bulk of his work was done prior to the living in the home, but there was still significant work done while he lived there, letters show that he never really retired. If designated it will fall under historical regulations and wants it to remain open and publicly visible. He is in support of designation.

Boardmember Schaefer feels this presentation was aimed at him, since he previously voted to against designation on this property. He is still concerned about the alterations made to both the exterior and the interior (demolition of Ryan's studio) of the home, and the visibility of the resource (only view from the public right away is the deck of the home). He will support Staff's recommendation.

Boardmember Silvas can see Ryan sitting on the deck watching the airplanes; he is in support of designation.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER EISENHART TO DESIGNATE ITEM 10 – T. CLAUDE RYAN HOUSE UNDER HRB CRITERION B FOR THE IMPORTANCE OF T. CLAUDE RYAN AND SAN DIEGO’S AVIATION HISTORY PER STAFF’S RECOMMENDATION

Seconded by Boardmember Emme

Vote: 8-0-0

Motion Passes

ITEM 11 – ALBERT D. AND ALLIE M. HAGAMAN/WILLIAM B. MELHORN HOUSE

Applicant: Christianne Knoop on behalf of the property owner, Stephen Davis

Location: 219-221 Kalmia Street, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 219-221 Kalmia Street as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Designate the Albert D. and Allie M. Hagaman/William B. Melhorn House located at 219 – 221 Kalmia Street as a historical resource under HRB Criterion C, as a good example of Spanish Colonial Revival architecture and under HRB Criterion D, as an example of the work of master builder, William B. Melhorn.

Report Number: HRB-08-035

Staff Report by Jennifer Hirsch

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

ITEM 12 – PAUL THOMAS HUNT AND FANNIE M. HUNT HOUSE

Applicant: Linda Canada on behalf of the property owners, Robert and Kimberly Bixel

Location: 4210 Hilldale Street, Kensington-Talmadge Community, Council District 3

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 4210 Hilldale Road as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Do not designate the Paul Thomas Hunt and Fannie M. Hunt House at 4210 Hilldale Road under any HRB Criteria due to modifications which have resulted in a loss of architectural integrity.

Report Number: HRB-08-036

Staff Report by Jodie Brown

CONTINUED AT THE REQUEST OF THE OWNERS

ITEM 13 – R.R. WEST SPEC HOUSE #1

Applicant: Scott Moomjian on behalf of the property owners Christopher and Sylvia Tenorio

Location: 4285 Altamirano Way, Uptown Community, Council District 2

Description: Consider the designation of the property located at 4285 Altamirano Way as a historical resource.

Today's Action: Designate the property as a historical resource under adopted designation criteria, or do not designate.

Staff Recommendation: Do not designate the R.R. West Spec House #1 at 4285 Altamirano Way under any HRB Criteria due to modifications which have resulted in a loss of architectural integrity.

Report Number: HRB-08-037

Staff Report by Jodie Brown

Testimony Received:

In Favor: None

In Opposition: Scott Moomjian, Christopher Tenorio

Board Discussion:

Boardmember Curry supports Staff's recommendation, due to the addition and its impact original design of the house.

Boardmember Schaefer does not think that the addition conforms to the Standards; it is too close to the original fabric of the home. He feels the bigger issue is the tile on the roof; it is S-shaped tile and it should be barrel tile. S-shaped tile is "the kiss of death" for designation and represents a replacement roof from the original. Integrity has been compromised by both the addition and the roof, leaning towards Staff's recommendation.

Boardmember Johnson wanted to mention that is a beautiful and well-done home. A good argument can be made that the addition is sympathetic but differentiated; he is on the fence about the addition. However, the roof tile is, as Boardmember Schaefer put it "the kiss of death, unfortunately in this style of house it is a major character defining feature that has been altered.

Boardmember Eisenhart agrees with the roof problem and it is the number one problem for him. The addition is OK; thinks it can meet the Standards. He is impressed by the existing wood windows and the overall character of the home. For the addition, the new arched windows are too ornate, if there were 3 plain windows with out muttoms, and the roof was replaced with barrel type clay tile, he could support designation.

Boardmember Garbini agrees that it is a beautiful home. One of very first things she noticed as she drove to the home was the addition; visually she could image the home without the addition.

Boardmember Silvas also agrees that it is a beautiful home and location. He feels that that windows stand out as too modern and the landscape as well. He really enjoyed reading the report and appreciated the owner's respect to the history there. Architecturally speaking his colleagues have good points there, and he is still on the fence.

Boardmember Schaefer didn't really have a major issue with the addition, but the roof is major.

Scott Moomjian requested that the Board consider an indefinite continuance, giving the property owners a chance to make correct the areas of concern

Chair Lemmo responded that procedurally this is not the time to ask for a continuance, the Board has already spent a half hour evaluating it without taking action, and you are asking us to take it off the table before we can take an action.

Boardmember Curry commented that the Board has done this before, feels it is fair

Chair Lemmo responded that he didn't like the precedent of going through Staff presentation and Board deliberation, and then be asked to taking something off the table before an action is taken

Boardmember Schaefer would like to do what would be most accommodating to the property owner as they can

Boardmember Emme agrees with the Chair, as far as procedure, not to continue the item at the 11th hour like this.

BOARD ACTION:

NO MOTION PROPOSED TO DESIGNATE

ITEM CLOSED

ITEM 14 –ROSE GROCERY ACCESSORY STRUCTURE (HRB# 809)

Applicant: Sandy Shapery, property owner

Location: 2215-2219 30th Street, Greater Golden Hill Community, Council District 3

Description: Consider the exclusion of an accessory structure from the designated historic Rose Grocery located at 2215-2219 30th Street.

Today's Action: Exclude the accessory structure or do not exclude the accessory structure.

Staff Recommendation: Exclude the accessory structure from the designated historic Rose Grocery located at 2215-2219 30th Street.

Report Number: HRB-08-038

Staff Report by Cathy Winterrowd

Testimony Received:

In Favor: Ron May, Bruce Coons

In Opposition: None

Board Discussion:

Chair Lemmo is in support of Staff's recommendation

Boardmember Emme wanted to bring to attention of the Board that all of the work done on this designated property; the painting, windows, doors, stucco, everything you see was done without permits or Staff involvement. Wants the resolutions to stipulate that being

designated has certain responsibilities such as doors, windows, stucco, fencing, etc; he is thankful that the property owner did all of the right things, but this is not always the case.

BOARD ACTION:

MOTION BY BOARDMEMBER JOHNSON TO SUPPORT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR ITEM 14 – ROSE GROCERY ACCESSORY STRUCTURE

Seconded by Boardmember Eisenhart

Vote: 8-0-0

Motion Passes

ITEM 15 – ESTABLISHMENT OF THE INCENTIVES AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE

Applicant: City Planning and Community Investment Department, Historical Resources Staff

Location: N/A

Description: Establishment of the Incentives Ad Hoc Subcommittee

Today's Action: Establish the Incentives Ad Hoc Subcommittee or do not establish the Ad Hoc Subcommittee

Staff Recommendation: Establish the Incentives Ad Hoc Subcommittee as a stand alone subcommittee that reports directly to the full HRB consistent with the adopted Board procedures.

Report Number: Staff Memo dated May 13, 2008

Staff Report by Cathy Winterrowd

ITEM PASSED ON CONSENT

REMINDER:

NEXT BOARD MEETING DATE: June 26, 2008

LOCATION: City Administration Building
12th Floor, Council Committee Room

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:45PM