CITY OF SAN DIEGO HISTORICAL RESOURCES BOARD

DESIGN ASSISTANCE SUBCOMMITTEE

Wednesday, August 6, 2014, at 4:00 PM
5th Floor Large Conference Room
City Operations Building, Development Services Department
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA

MEETING NOTES

1. ATTENDANCE 4:09pm

Subcommittee Members Gail Garbini; Ann Woods; Tom Larimer

Recusals

City Staff

HRB Jodie Brown;

Guests

Item 3A Paul Johnson Item 3B Tri Huynh

- 2. Public Comment (on matters not on the agenda)
 None
- 3. Project Reviews

■ **ITEM 3A**:

<u>Listings</u>: HRB Site #1008-061 <u>Address</u>: 3543 Pershing Avenue

Historic Name: Dryden North Park Historic District

Significance: District Contributor

Mills Act Status: No

PTS #: N/A

Project Contact: William and Karen Strack; Paul Johnson

Treatment: Rehabilitation

<u>Project Scope</u>: The owner would like to replace the non historic windows with wood, divided light casement windows. Additionally, the owner would like to install either a tripartite window or three casement windows in a large focal window on the front façade.

Existing Square Feet: 1700 Additional Square Feet: 0

Total Proposed Square Feet: 1700

Prior DAS Review: N/A

<u>Staff Presentation</u>: The subject property is a contributor to the Dryden North Park Historic District. Prior to the establishment of the district and the current owner's

purchase of the home all of the windows were replaced. The owner would like to replace the windows with historically appropriate windows. Based on some investigation, it has been determined that the original windows were not double hung. Given the lack of historic photos, staff has requested that the proposed casement windows are single light to avoid any conjecture. The property owner would like to install divided light windows.

<u>Applicant Presentation</u>: Other than the front feature window, the issue is the divided light pattern of the casement. While it is not primary information and only circumstantial evidence, the windows used by Dryden were primarily divided light.

Public Comment:

None

Q&A:

Subcommittee-member Issue or Question	Applicant's Response
So number 13 is set because of the historic	Yes
window?	
Page 16 shows a similar window that they	Yes
are asking for and setting precedence?	
I don't see an issue with window #1.	
How will you adjust the light pattern on #1	Most of the time the light pattern has
	an odd number of windows.
Based on the photo is seems that the lights	
are more square than rectangular.	
Window #10 in the dining room and seems	
appropriate.	
How wide are #11 and 12	They are 3' wide
Are there any other Dryden homes that are	I am not sure.
casements that are 3' wide?	
Have you seen different when the front is 6-	Yes
light?	

Subcommittee Discussion and Comment:

Subcommittee-member	Comments
Garbini	I agree. They appear to be taller than wide on the light
	pattern.
Larimer	It seems to be appropriate. I feel like we are taking a
	good pass at guessing what would have been there. The
	design is consistent with the style. I would rather tend to
	go with divided lights.

Staff Comment:

None

Recommended Modifications:

Correct the number of light in the front fixed window based on the historic photo.

Consensu	<u>18</u> :
	Consistent with the Standards
Σ	Consistent with the Standards if modified as noted
	Inconsistent with the Standards and needs revision and additional review
	Inconsistent with the Standards but is the best feasible alternative
	Inconsistent with the Standards

■ <u>ITEM 3B</u>:

Listings: HRB Site #807

<u>Address</u>: 3223-3225 Euclid Avenue <u>Historic Name</u>: Islenair Historic District

Significance: Non Contributor

Mills Act Status: No PTS #: 371546

Project Contact: Phat Huynh; Tri Huynh

Treatment: Rehabilitation

<u>Project Scope</u>: Construct two new 2-story single family homes on vacant lot.

Existing Square Feet: 0
Additional Square Feet: 1482
Total Proposed Square Feet: 2964

Prior DAS Review: N/A

<u>Staff Presentation</u>: The proposed property is located along Euclid Avenue and is included in the Islenair Historic District. The lot is currently vacant and the property owner is proposing to construct two, 2-story single family homes set back toward the rear of the lot.

<u>Applicant Presentation</u>: I looked around the district and tried to see what the predominant designs were. Predominantly, the homes in the district are Spanish Eclectic. A number of the homes have recessed windows with beveled corners. I have provide photos of all the buildings on Euclid. I am proposing two new structures on the vacant lot with similar features but in a modern design.

Public Comment:

None

Q&A:

Subcommittee-member Issue or Question	Applicant's Response
What is the nature of the district? It is part of the small home movement and not too	No, but the topography is sloped so you won't see it in the district.
many two stories?	you won't see it in the district.
I am ok with the 2 story. I would	
recommend a heavier stucco finish.	
In the 3 photos that you shared. There is no	

Subcommittee-member Issue or Question	Applicant's Response
detail in how the roof transitions from the	
sloped roof to the wall.	
Consider a shed roof that the gable roof will	The wall per structural needs to be fire
be going into	rated with no overhangs which is why
	it is design in this manner.
The massing is fine.	
The garage door is jarring. Are there any in	Yes, there is a mix
the neighborhood that are up front?	
Just sliding glass doors at the balcony	French doors would be more fitting.
What is the finish of the garage door? I	Roll up metal door. Most had painted
have seen some that are heavy plastic that	wood trim around the door, but most
look more like wood. What about a	were replacement.
simulated wood door.	
Consider the paint colors and do three	
colors.	

Subcommittee Discussion and Comment:

Subcommittee-member	Comments
Larimer	In photos the stucco at the eave is rolled and that should
	be considered.
Garbini	The stucco should be stylized and have some texture.
	The heavy dash finish would be appropriate with
	undulating surface.

Staff Comment:

None

Recommended Modifications:

Consider a three color paint scheme and heavy dash stucco finish

Consensus:

Consistent with the Standards	
X Consistent with the Standards if modified as noted	
☐ Inconsistent with the Standards and needs revision and additional r	review
Inconsistent with the Standards but is the best feasible alternative	
Inconsistent with the Standards	

4. Adjourned at 5:15 PM

The next regularly-scheduled Subcommittee Meeting will be on September 3, 2014 at 4:00 PM.

For more information, please contact Jodie Brown at JDBrown@sandiego.gov or 619.533.6300