## APPENDIX D

## QUANTITATIVE METHODOLOGIES FOR MONITORING PLANT SURVIVORSHIP AND FITNESS PARAMETERS

## QUANTITATIVE METHODOLOGIES FOR MONITORING PLANT SURVIVORSHIP AND FITNESS PARAMETERS

Plant survivorship and fitness are two parameters that are widely **recognized** as indicators of population viability, particularly when assessed in conjunction with other aspects of population biology. These parameters are not included as part of the field monitoring program for covered plant species, however, because they can be time-intensive and, thus, add significantly to monitoring costs. In addition, initial indications of population viability can be obtained using other methods. However, the monitoring plan does recommend that these parameters be investigated if significant declines in population viability are detected through other methods. Therefore, a discussion of each parameter is presented below, along with specific monitoring methods.

#### Plant Survivorship and Fitness Parameters

Survivorship data, as measured by individual plant mortality, can be used in conjunction with **population** size, age class, and reproductive data to provide an indication of the stability of a population, its potential for long-term persistence, and the source (e.g., intrinsic versus extrinsic) of any threats. For example, a species may be short-lived, but produce enough seed so that population size remains stable over time. Conversely, individuals of a long-lived species may experience low mortality, but reproduce infrequently. Because of their relatively long reproductive life, however, these populations may also be stable. Species with small populations that experience high mortality and low levels of fitness face the greatest threats to long-term viability.

Fitness refers to the ability of a species to successfully reproduce, as measured by fruit or seed set. Research indicates that small populations may be more susceptible to disruptions of their normal breeding system than larger populations, with the effect that their feproductive capacity and, ultimately, long-term viability are threatened (Falk and Holsinger 1991; Ellstrand 1992; Ellstrand and Elam 1993). Populations that are becoming smaller may experience a change in pollinator behavior, with pollinator flights becoming more restricted or pollinators unable to find the population at all. In either case, the effects may include reduced outcrossing, lower seed set, and if the rate of self-pollination increases, possibly lower seed viability (Oostermeijer et al. 1992).

#### Monitoring Methodologies

Survivorship data will be recorded in a subset of the monitoring quadrats (Section 5.2.2.4 of the monitoring plan). Survivorship data for annual plants will be obtained by recording number of individuals in the subplots two times during any monitoring year: (1) early in the growing season and (2) late in the growing season. The exact timing of monitoring will be species-specific and may vary due to climatic conditions. Survivorship data for herbaceous perennials and shrubs will be obtained by marking individuals and following their survivorship over time. Individuals will be recorded as either live or dead. Within the survivorship quadrats, recruits will also be tagged and followed for **survivability**. Survivorship information can be used in conjunction with population structure information to determine survivability in different age classes.

Using this same subset of quadrats, fitness data will be obtained for the target species. Data on seed set will be collected one time during any monitoring year, at the period of maximum seed production for the species of concern. Mature fruits will be collected from a **pre-determined** number of plants and tallied according to the number of developed seed, aborted seed, and dead seed. The width, height, and length of plants from which seed is collected will also be measured to obtain an estimate of canopy volume that can be correlated to seed production. Seed collection methodology will follow the Center for Plant Conservation (CPC) guidelines for collecting sensitive plant propagules (**Falk** and Holsinger 1991). It is imperative, however, that seed collection does not intefere with the species' reproductive ecology or demographics. In some cases, this may limit the frequency with which seed is collected. In the case of very small populations, seed collection may not be appropriate at all, in which case a qualitative assessment of seed production may be necessary. An institution such as **Rancho** Santa Ana Botanic Garden may be interested in collected seed for viability and germination testing, and for long-term storage in their existing seed storage bank.

#### **Data Analysis**

In terms of data analysis, survivorship will be expressed as percent plant mortality over the growing season, while fitness will be expressed as fruit or seed set. The mean and standard deviation percent mortality and fruit or seed set will be calculated for **the** population. If survivorship and fitness data are collected over a number of monitoring periods, data from tile initial effort can be compared to site-specific data collected in

subsequent years. Percent mortality and fruit or seed set will be graphed as a function of sampling period to illustrate any changes that have occurred. Appropriate **statistical** hypothesis tests (e.g., **ANOVA** and **multivariate** analysis of variance (**MANOVA**)) should be employed to facilitate drawing conclusions about population trends. Correlation analyses may be used to test for relationships over time among mortality and fruit or seed set. A trend of increasing mortality and low seed set, particularly in conjunction with decreasing population size, may indicate that the viability of the population is **threatened**, especially with a small population. Simple linear regression, multiple regression, and **linear** discriminant function analyses may be used to identify significant relationships between environmental factors, such as temperature, rainfall, fire, flooding, or human **encroachment**, and the population parameters measured.

In addition to statistical testing, a simple index number can be calculated to show the percentage increase or decrease in the parameters measured over time. The index number is defined as the ratio of one value to the other, multiplied by 100. When the comparison number equals the base number, the resulting index number will have a value of 100.

Where multiple years of data are collected, an appropriate test for time series analysis may be used to identify significant trends. The major task of a time series analysis is to describe the nature of the variation of a variable at different points in time so that its future values can be predicted (Kachigan 1986). A time series analysis is also used to determine whether a long-term trend is significant or just part of an extended cyclic process of population change.

D-3

# APPENDIX E

## DATA **FORMS** FOR COVERED PLANT SPECIES FIELD MONITORING

page<u>of</u>

## FIELD DATA COLLECTION FORM COVERED PLANT SPECIES MONITORING

COVERED SPECIES	
MONITORING LOCATION	:
MONITOR(S)	
PHOTODOCUMENTATION YES NO	IF YES, PHOTO NUMBER(S)
CNPS FORM ATTACHED YES NO	
MAPPING OF DISTURBANCE YES NO	
SECTION I. QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF	DISTURBANCE FACTORS
LIST INVASIVE SPECIES	APPROXIMATE PERCENT COVER
······································	
the second s	
LIST TYPES/EVIDENCE OF VEGETATIVE DISTURBANCE	INDICATE DEGREE OF DISTURBANCE
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
LIST TYPES/EVIDENCE OF SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE DISTURBANCE	INDICATE DEGREE OF DISTURBANCE
	3• ·
ADDITIONAL NOTES:	
8.	10 10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	the second s

page\_ of \_\_\_\_

#### FIELD DATA COLLECTION FORM QUANTITATIVE MONITORING FOR COVERED PLANT SPECIES (con't.)

### SECTION II. QUANTITATIVE FIELD MONITORING

TRANSECTNUMBER	TRANSECT LENGTH	
QUADRAT SIZE	NUMBER OF QUADRATS	
TOTAL AREA SAMPLED	(Can be calculated in the office, based on population	on extent)

QUADRAT NUMBER	NUMBER OF PLANTS	AGE CLASSES <sup>1</sup>						
		SEEDLING	JUVENILE	ADULT FL	ADULT NFL			
		1	1		1			
			2					
	*		1	1				
				1				
	and the second s		1					
		_						
	<u></u>							
					1.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
TOTALS		1	1	1	1			
		-						

<sup>1</sup>ADULT FL = ADULT FLOWERING; ADULT NFL = ADULT NONFLOWERING; SCORE AS PRESENCE OR ABSENCE IN EACH QUADRAT.

-

## DATA REDUCTION FORM COVERED PLANT SPECIES MONITORING

COVERED SPECIES MONITORING LOCATION		
TOTAL AREA SAMPLED		*
NUMBER OF TRANSECTS	•	TOTAL TRANSECT LENGTH
NUMBER OF QUADRATS		TOTAL QUADRAT SEE

TRANSECT NUMBER	NUMBER OF PLANTS	AGE CLASSES'								
	20	SEEDLING	JUVENILE	ADULT <b>FL</b>	ADULT NFL					
1										
2										
3										
4										
5			1. 1. A.							
6										
7		1								
8		1								
9										
10										
11		1								
12										
13	*				- Q					
14 .		5.6								
15										
N										
SUM										
MEAN		2								
STANDARD DEVIATION	•									
VARIANCE					1					

ADULT FL = ADULT FLOWERING; ADULT NFL = ADULT NONFLOWERING.

NOTES:

### FINAL SUMMARY FORM COVERED PLANT SPECIES MONITORING

COVERED SPECIES MONITORING LOCATION MONITORING DATE	
I. POPULATION DENSITY	±
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS =	
AREA SAMPLED =	
DENSITY = <u>NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS</u> = AREA SAMPLED	f
II. POPULATION SIZE	
POPULATION SIZE = AREA SAMPLED X	DENSITY
=X	
III. AGE CLASS STRUCTURE	
AGE CLASS STRUCTURE = <u>NUMBER OF OUADRAT</u> TOTAL NUM	<u>S IN WHICH THE AGE CLASS OCCURS(1)</u> IBER OF QUADRATS SAMPLED
SEEDLINGS%	12
JUVENILES%	a 4 <u>8</u>
FLOWERING ADULTS%	
NONFLOWERING ADULTS%	
NOTES:	
	· · · · ·

(1) Refer to field data collection form for number of quadrats in which each age class occurs and the total number of quadrats **sampled**.

# APPENDIX F

## DATA FORMS FOR WILDLIFE MONITORING

## MSCP California Gnatcatcher/Coastal Cactus Wren Plot Summary Form

(For each plot fill out this form for each year of monitoring)

Plot ID:	Map Number(s):		
Survey Period:	·····	Cumulative Hours of Field Effort:	
Observers:		\$ <sup>7,4</sup>	

## **Detected Focal Bird Species**

		Total Birds	5		Birds	in Interior	of Plot *	1	Birds in Periphery of <b>Plot**</b>			
First Priority	No.	No. Single	No. Single	No.Unk.	No.	No. Single	No. Single	No. Unk.	No.	No. Single	No. Single	No. Unk.
Focal Species	Pairs	Males	Females	Sex	Pairs	Males	Females	Sex	Pairs	Males	Females	Sex
Californiagnatcatche	r											
Cactus Wren	e 25							11 - N	V			
Second Priority Species (List)	- <sup>02</sup>											
									4			
						-	10					
0												
4						-						
							*		181			
40				1							21.50	
						× .		-			12	
		7			•							
									,			
. e					•							
	54.) 	18	8									

\* Individuals seen on at least one visit>200' inside plot boundaries.

\*\* Individuals not detected on any visit >200' inside or outside of plot boundaries.

MSCP California Gnatcatcher/Coastal Cact\_ Wren Survey Data Sheet

(Fill out this form and a species list form **for each** monitoring plot visit)

Monitori	ing Plot ID:_		_Map Num	ber(s):						
Observe	r(s):				Time S	tart:		Time Fi	nish:	
Weather: Temperature (°F): Wind (mph): Cloud Cover (%): Precipitation (e.g., heavy fog, rain, drizzle			le).	Minimum 		,		Maximu 	m • • • •	24 
Mon	Dorinhomy		Time Stort	Time Einich	No. of	No. of	No. of	No of	No Hoord	
Sight. No	Y/N*	Focal Species**	Sighting	Sighting	Prs	Single Males	s Single Females	Unk Sex	Not Seen	(Continue on Back)
		빈			:			8		
2			1	* •		•				
	-						ļ			
							·	,		
		h								
			12		14				4 8	

\* If sighting is within 200' of monitoring plot boundary, record "Y", otherwise enter "N". On maps/data forms, record sightings outside of plot that are < 200' from boundary.

\*\* Due to the timing of the surveys, it is assumed gnatcatchers and cactus wrens are in adult plumage. Any detected juveniles should be clearly **labeled** as such. **Other detected** sensitive species should also be entered onto this form. \*\*\* "Comments" should include **info**. on banding status, breeding activity, plumage, and any **interesting** observations.

### MSCP Focal Bird Species Plot Survey Database

8

3 . \* . **U** V . \* . . .

							Total Birds			Birds	in Interior o	f Plot		Birds in Periphery of Plot			
	Plot	Map	Focal	Sur.	Tot. Hrs.	No.	No. Single	No. Single	No. Unk.	No. No. Single No. Single No. Unk.				. No. No. Single No. Single No. Unk			
ł	ID	No	Species	Year	Effort	Pairs	Males	Females	Sex	Pairs	Males	Females	Sex	Pairs.	Males	Females	Sex
}	1															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ł																	
															3.		
							-				н						
					•												
														·			
			3				1										
						+		•									
															1		
F)															•		
~									0	•		18					
				1									-				
				1													
				1													1
				1													
				1													
				1													
																	2
				+													
				+													
												1	1.00	- 11			

T. J. Commun. March . Sec. 1.

Upland Re	ptile Diversity D	ata Form		·	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Date	Site ID	Array ID	Bucket ID	Snake Tran ID	Species	Sex/A an	Mara	Length	Marka	Teaslin No.	Decan1	Disselfier	These Complet
		2 - C			-	Jeange	141453	Length	aviaries.	Toechp No.	Recapt	Disposition	Tissue Sample
			-										
		-											
				-									
		-								1.1			
12.21													
The second second	×.						-						
													+
-													
		-											
		-											
1.1.1.1				1									
and a second	-												
-			10										
in the second			_										
						*							e
SX 3.													
		1										1.11	
and the second													
									-				
													-
									-				_
													-
-													
													-
	3 C						1						
													-
	1.1	-											
	51												
	- Pariner												
	3.14												_
	1.00												
				-									
									1	1	1		