

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 19, 2015

Chris Collins Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club 7854 Ivanhoe Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037

Dear Mr. Collins:

Subject: EOT Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club, PTS Project No. 378223 and Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club GRD, PTS Project No. 311927

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that the project referenced above (No. 378223) has been inactive for at least 90 calendar days, and therefore the Development Services Department is currently in the process of closing out this project file. If you wish to continue processing this project, please resubmit the required documents listed below by September 21, 2015 or your Extension of Time (EOT) application will be considered "abandoned" and the project will be closed.

The Development Services Department completed the most recent review for this project more than 12 months ago, and the results of that review were documented in an assessment letter (Attachment 1) which was mailed to you on August 1, 2014. Thus far, there has not been any activity on the project or a resubmittal from your project team. I have also attached revised engineering comments.

Municipal Code Section 126.0114 requires that a development permit application be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits within 90 calendar days. Once closed, the application, plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed. To reapply, the applicant shall be required to submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials, and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

If you wish to continue processing the discretionary project (PTS 378223) for an extension of time to extend Site Development Permit 618626, a resubmittal of the required documents listed below shall be submitted within 30 days (September 21, 2015). Please note that delays in resubmitting projects and/or responding to City staff's inquiries negatively impact this Department's ability to effectively manage workload, which can lead to both higher processing costs and longer timelines for your project.

Submit the following within 30 days to continue processing the EOT for PTS 378223:

- \$3,000 to continue processing (Current balance is approximately \$1,280.00).
- Revised Noticing Package required for approval.
- Vote or Letter from the Community Planning Group recommending you to proceed.

In addition, please be advised that PTS 311927, Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club GRD, the associated grading permit application <u>has expired</u> with no opportunity for an extension. The account also has a deficit of -\$987.72. To continue the grading approval, a new application must be submitted and the deficit cleared.

If you have questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (619) 446-5223 or by email at HMDeisher@sandiego.gov.

Sincerely,

Helene Deisher Development Project Manager Development Services Department

Attachments:

1. Revised Engineering Comments

2. Assessment Letter (August 11, 2014)

Cc: file

Mike Richmond, Deputy Director, Code Enforcement Division, Development Services Heide Farst & Patti Phillips, Real Estate Assets Kerry Santoro Deputy Director, Land Development Review, Development Services

Kerry Santoro Deputy Director, Land Development Review, Development Services Reviewing staff

8/18/15 3:15 pm Page 1 of 2

L64A-003A

Project Information Project Nbr: 378223 Project Mgr: Deisher, Helene	Title: EOT Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club (619) 446-5223 hmdeisher@sandlego.gov	
Review Information		

Cycle Type: 4 LDR-Engineering Review(Appmt.) Submitted: Deemed Complete on 08/18/2015 Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review **Cycle Distributed:** Reviewer: Canning, Jack Assigned: 08/18/2015 (619) 446-5425 Started: 08/18/2015 Review Due: 09/09/2015 jcanning@sandlego.gov Hours of Review: 1.00 Completed: 08/18/2015 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Conditions Closed: 08/18/2015

We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Conditions.

Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (1 of which are new issues).

Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 77 reviews, 88.3% were on-time, and 44.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

🖻 Enginee	ering 1	Ist Review	
	Issue	The second s	
Cleared?	<u>Num</u> 1	Issue Text The Engineering Review Section has reviewed the subject development and have the following comments that need to be addressed prior to a Public Notice of Decision. Upon resubmittal, we will complete our review of the Extension of Time Plans.	
X	2	(From Cycle 1) The Engineering Review Section cannot support the Extension of Time to the previously Approved Site Development Permit No.618626 at this time because to comply with State law regarding the Municipal Storm Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, a revised Water Quality Technical Report is required.	
X	3	(From Cycle 1) This project is subject to the regulations contained in the revised City's Storm Water Standards dated January 20, 2012. An approved revised Water Quality Technical Report is required which has been requested to be submitted by the Drainage and Grades plan checker for PTS No.311927. The applicant is required to determine if the proposed project must implement hydromodification controls. Add to the required revised WQTR a HMP Decision Matrix as shown in Figure 4-1 of the Storm Water Standards.	
X	4	(continued below) (From Cycle 1) For a project to be exempt, report must identify which of the 6 conditions of exemption apply to them and note that on the HMP Decision Matrix. If the project is exempt the report shall state so in their conclusion and refer to the completed Figure 4-1. If Hydromodification Controls are required they must state what they are in the WQTR. If Hydromodification Controls are required submit a Drainage Report which verifies the calculations and conclusions prove compliance to Hydromodification Management Plan Controls. (continued below) (From Cycle 1)	
X	5	A detailed storm water analysis cannot be deferred because, as a priority project full compliance with applicable storm water regulations is deemed to be the project's "mitigation". Without the assurance of an approved Water Quality Technical Report (WQTR) that contains the detailed storm water analysis, the project would be deemed as potentially having unmitigated impacts, and could not receive clearance from the City's Environmental Analysis Section. The approval actions for priority projects are conditioned for the projects to comply with all of the elements of their approved WQTR.	
X	6	(From Cycle 1) Submit a copy of the revised Water Quality Technical Report when it has been approved by the Drainage and Grades plan checker for PTS No.311927. The EOT cannot be supported by Engineering Review until the project complies with the current Storm Water Standards.	
🖻 Enginee	ering I	(From Cycle 1) Review Support	
Cleared?	<u>Issue</u> <u>Num</u>	Issue Text	
For question	is rega	rding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Jack Canning at (619) 446-5425: Project Nbr: 378223 / Cy	cle: 4

Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

8/18/15 3:15 pm Page 2 of 2

L64A-003A

Issue Cleared? Num Issue Text

7 Per PTS No.311927, the Drainage and Grades Section has determined the proposed project is not a priority development project and therefore not subject to Priority Development Permit requirements per the current City of San Diego Storm Water Standards, Therefore Engineering Review supports the Extension of Time Request.

Applicant should note that the exemption criteria that currently exempts the project from PDP requirements is being removed from the new Storm Water Standards and this project will be subject to new strom water requirements when it is submitted for a construction permit.

or questions regarding the LDR-Engineering Review review, please call. Jack Canning at (619) 446-5425. Project Nbr. 378223 / Cycle. 4

(New Issue) [Recommended]



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 1, 2014

Chris Collins Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club 7854 Ivanhoe Avenue La Jolla, California 92037

Dear Mr. Collins:

Subject: EOT Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club Assessment Letter; Project No. 378223; Account No. 24004855; Fairbanks Ranch Specific Plan

The Development Services Department has completed the initial of the project referenced above, and described as:

Extension of Time for Project No. 169091, Site Development Permit for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club to restore an existing trail and impacts to adjacent areas, caused by unauthorized grading activity, create a joint use trail for pedestrians and equestrians, and to grade a private exercise track within the AR-1-1, AR-1-2 and OF-1-1 zones in Fairbanks Ranch Specific Plan area.

Enclosed is a Cycle Issues Report (Enclosure 1) which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines, outside agencies and the community planning group. The purpose of this assessment letter is to summarize the significant project issues and identify a course of action for the processing of your project.

If any additional requirements should arise during the subsequent review of your project, we will identify the issue and the reason for the additional requirement. To resolve any outstanding issues, please provide the information that is requested in the Cycle Issues Report. If you choose not to provide the requested additional information or make the requested revisions, processing may continue. However, the project may be recommended for denial if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved and the appropriate findings for approval cannot be made.

The Development Services Department will generally formulate a formal recommendation for your project subsequent to completion of the following milestones: 1) After the City Council recognized Community Planning Group has provided a formal project recommendation; 2) After all City staff project-review comments have been adequately addressed; and 3) During the final stages of the environmental review process.

Page 2 Chris Collins August 1, 2014

As your Development Project Manager, I will coordinate all correspondence, emails, phone calls, and meetings directly with the applicants assigned "Point of Contact." The addressee on this letter has been designated as the Point of Contact for your project. Please notify me if you should decide to change your Point of Contact while I am managing this project.

I. REQUIRED APPROVALS/FINDINGS - Your project as currently proposed requires the processing of:

Required approvals: Process 2 Extension of Time: The decision to approve, conditionally approve, or deny the project will be made by City Staff.

Required Findings: In order to recommend approval of your project, certain findings must be substantiated in the record. Enclosure 2 contains the required findings.

II. SIGNIFICANT PROJECT ISSUES: The significant project issues are summarized below. Resolution of these issues could affect your project. Additional explanation is provided in the Cycle Issues Report.

In order to approve the Extension of Time, the project must comply with State regulation for Water Quality. Please complete the requirements as requested by the LDR-Engineering reviewer.

- III. STUDIES/REPORTS REQUIRED: A number of documents have been identified as necessary to the project's review. Reference the attached Submittal Requirements Report (Enclosure 3)
- IV. PROJECT ACCOUNT STATUS: Our current accounting system does not provide for real-time information regarding account status, however, our records show approximately \$500.00 billed to date. Based on the processing point, unresolved issues, and level of controversy of your project, it is anticipated that no deposit is needed.

During the processing of your project, you will continue to receive statements with the break-down of staff charges to your account. Should you have questions about those charges, please feel free to contact me directly.

V. TIMELINE: Upon your review of the attached Cycle Issues Report, you may wish to schedule a meeting with staff and your consultants prior to resubmitting the project. Please telephone me if you wish to schedule a meeting with staff. During the meeting, we will also focus on key milestones that must be met in order to facilitate the review of your proposal and to project a potential timeline for a hearing date. Your next review cycle should take approximately 20 days to complete.

Page 3 Chris Collins August 1, 2014

Municipal Code Section 126.0114 requires that a development permit application be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits within 90 calendar days. Once closed, the application, plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed. To reapply, the applicant shall be required to submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials, and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

If you wish to continue processing this project, please note that delays in resubmitting projects and/or responding to City staff's inquiries negatively impact this Department's ability to effectively manage workload, which can lead to both higher processing costs and longer timelines for your project.

VI. RESUBMITTALS/NEXT STEPS: Resubmittals are done on a walk-in basis. Please check in on the third floor of the Development Service Center (1222 First Avenue). Please be prepared to provide the following:

A. <u>Plans and Reports</u>: Provide the number of sets of plans and reports as shown on the attached Submittal Requirements Report. The plans should be folded to an approximate $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inch size.

B. <u>Cycle Issues Report response letter</u>: Prepare a cover letter that specifically describes how you have addressed each of the issues identified in the Cycle Issues Report and any issues identified in this cover letter, if applicable. Or, you may choose to simply submit the Cycle Issues Report, identifying within the margins how you have addressed the issue. If the issue is addressed on one or more sheets of the plans or the reports, please reference the plan, sheet number, report or page number as appropriate. If it is not feasible to address a particular issue, please indicate the reason. Include a copy of this Assessment Letter, Cycle Issues Report and your response letter if applicable, with each set of plans.</u>

C. <u>CEQA Filing Fees</u>: Prior to approving your project for a decision, the following must be forwarded to me to be filed with the CEQA NOD:

• A check, payable to the "San Diego County Clerk" in the amount of \$50.00, with a copy of the prior Notice of Determination for 169091. Please include your project number on the check.

D. <u>Records Fee</u>: Prior to scheduling your project for a decision you must pay the Records Fee to cover the cost of imaging and archiving your complete project record electronically (see Information Bulletin 503). Please forward to me a check payable to the "City Treasurer" in the amount of \$90.00.

Page 4 Chris Collins August 1, 2014

VII. COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP: Staff provides the decision maker with the recommendation from your locally recognized community planning group. If you have not already done so, please contact Frisco White, Chaîrperson of the Carmel Valley Community Planning Board at (619) 542-1188 to schedule your project for a recommendation from the group. If you have already obtained a recommendation from the community planning group, in your resubmittal, if applicable, please indicate how your project incorporates any input suggested to you by the community planning group.

Information Bulletin 620, "Coordination of Project Management with Community Planning Committees" (available at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u>), provides some valuable information about the advisory role the Community Planning Group. Council Policy 600-24 provides standard operating procedures and responsibilities of recognized Community Planning Committees and is available at http://www.sandiego.gov/city-clerk/officialdocs/index.shtml.

VIII. STAFF REVIEW TEAM: Should you require clarification about specific comments from the staff reviewing team, please contact me, or feel free to contact the reviewer directly. The names and telephone numbers of each reviewer can be found on the enclosed Cycle Issues Report.

In conclusion, please note that information forms and bulletins, project submittal requirements, and the Land Development Code may be accessed on line at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u>. Many land use plans for the various communities throughout the City of San Diego are now available on line at <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/profiles/index.shtml</u>

For modifications to the project scope, submittal requirements or questions regarding any of the above, please contact me prior to resubmittal. I may be reached by telephone at (619) 557-7908 or via e-mail at jtemple@sandiego.gov.

Sincerely. Jeannette Temple

Development Project Manager

Enclosures:

- 1. Cycle No. 1 Issues Report
- 2. Required Findings
- 3. Submittal Requirements Report

Page 5 Chris Collins August 1, 2014

cc: File

Frisco White, CVCPB Reviewing Staff (Assessment letter only) Dan Monroe, Planning Department

 (\cdot)

\$					
Cycle Issues					7/31/14 2:36 pr
		THE CITY OF SAN I			Page 1 of 4
L64A-003A	122	Development Serv 2 First Avenue, San Diego,		54	
Project Information					
Project Nbr: 378223	Title: EOT Rancho Santa	Fe Polo Club			
Project Mgr: Temple, Jean	nette (619) 5	57-7908 jt	emple@sandie	go.gov	
Review Information	······································				
Cycle Type:	1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	07/04/2014	Deemed Complete on 07/04	/2014
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed:	07/04/2014		
Reviewer:	Stanco Jr, Joseph	Assigned:	07/08/2014		t
	(619) 446-5373	Started:	07/23/2014		
	Jstanco@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	07/28/2014		
Hours of Review:	0.50	Completed:	07/23/2014	COMPLETED ON TIME	
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	07/31/2014		
. The review due date was o	changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06	3/2014 per agreement with	customer.		
. We request a 2nd complet	te submittal for LDR-Planning Rev	view on this project as: Sut	omitted (Multi-I	Discipline).	
. The reviewer has requeste	ed more documents be submitted.	£			

and the second

, Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 157 reviews, 43.3% were on-time, and 65.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Ī		V - JUI	Y 2014
ele, els fra e ers	Cleared?	<u>Issue</u> <u>Num</u>	Issue Text
	×	1	No changes are proposed to the approved project scope, Exhibit 'A', or SDP conditions. Planning staff has no issues with the proposed EOT. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the "LDR-Planning Review' review, please call. Joseph Stanco Jr at (619) 446-5373. Project Nbr-378223 / Cycle: 1

Page 2: Development Services Page 2: 64A-003A 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154 Development Services 64A-003A 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154 Development Services Review Information Cycle Type: 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 07/04/2014 Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014 Review: Cooper Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 SUDD Cooper 20 Sandiego, gov Review Due: 07/28/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/28/2014 Next Review Method: Submitted Multi-Discipline) The review de date was changed to 07/28/2014 Next Review Inter 4000 80/07/2014 from 08/08/2014 from 08/08/2014 to 07/28/2014 Complete submitted for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review de date was changed to 07/28/2014 Complete submitted Submitted Last morth. LDR-Environmental Determination Size Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension No. 47(15-PC-2, by Pianning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Sit	Cycle Iss	1185					7/31/14 2:36 p
64A-003A 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154 eview Information Cycle Type: 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 07/04/2014 Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014 Reviewer: Cooper, Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 Started: 07/15/2014 SUCooper@sandlego.gov Review Complete: 07/28/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Nots of Review: 3,00 Completed: 07/28/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Not Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Net Review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/08/2014 per agreement with customer. Were request a 2nd complete submitted for LOR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review reas equested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals. 2 1st Review (July '14) Exercised Magnitive Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project, No. 169091, which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (Wew Issue) Q The project was reviewed and delemmend that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15102(a): (1) No substantial changes accur with respect to the distornia Environ	yole 133	uco					Page 2 of
Evidew Information Cycle Type: 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 07/04/2014 Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014 Reviewing Discipline: LDR.Environmental Cycle Distributed: 07/04/2014 Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014 Reviewer: Cooper, Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 Subornation (#19) 446-5378 Started: 07/15/2014 Completed: 07/23/2014 Hours of Review: 3.00 Completed: 07/23/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/08/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental Determination Issue Image: Character and addition in the origon and addition on addition of the City of San Diego. Ourrently, and TSan-C2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Ourrently, and TSan-C2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Image: Character and the target and addicted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Ourrently, andieta base prov	64A-003A		122			54	
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental Cycle Distributed: 07/04/2014 Reviewer: Cooper, Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 (81) 446-5373 Started: 07/15/2014 SUGcoper@sandlego.gov Review Due: 07/28/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) The review has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals. 2 1st Review (July '14) Started Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project No. 76.0901), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) 2 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Culdelines Section 15162(a): () No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is underfaken that wou		ation					
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental Cycle Distributed: 07/04/2014 Reviewer: Cooper, Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 SUGooper@sandlego.gov Review Due: 07/28/2014 Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review for documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental Determination Image: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). Extended Submitted Megative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) 2 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): () No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions of the previous MND; and (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances	Cvc	le Type	1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted	07/04/2014	Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014	
Reviewer: Cooper, Scott Assigned: 07/15/2014 (619) 448-5378 Started: 07/15/2014 SJCcoper@sandlego.gov Review Use: 07/23/2014 Next Review Method: SJCcoper@sandlego.gov Review Use: 07/23/2014 Next Review Method: SJCcoper@sandlego.gov Review Use: 07/23/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: SJCcoper@sandlego.gov Coset: 07/31/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review due date was changed to 07/23/2014 from 03/06/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review due date was changed to bot? Started: 07/15/2014 Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.							
(619) 446-6373 Started: 07/18/2014 SUCooper@sendlego.gov Review Due: 07/28/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 COMPLETED ON TIME Next Review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 form 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer. We request 24 and complete submitted (Multi-Discipline). The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.				-			
SJCooper@sandiego.gov Review Due: 07/28/2014 Hours of Review Method: SUbmitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals. P 1st Review (July '14) P 1st Review (July Review and/or				.			
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 07/31/2014 The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 form 08/08/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submitted for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.			SJCooper@sandiego.gov				
The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer. We request a 2nd complete submitted for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The review has requested more documents be submitted. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals. 7 1st Review (July '14)	Hours of	Review	* 3.00	Completed:	07/25/2014	COMPLETED ON TIME	
We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline). The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted. .ast month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.	Next Review	Method	: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	07/31/2014		
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted. aast month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals. <table> 1st Review (July '14) Environmental Determination Issue Image: Cleared? Num Issue Text Image: Cleared? Num Issue Text</table>	he review due	date was	s changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06	/2014 per agreement with	customer.		
ast month LDR-Environmental performed 118 reviews, 41.5% were on-time, and 53.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals, 1st Review (July '14) Environmental Determination Cleared2 Num Issue Text I I Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project, No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. I The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a). (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) I Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previous y certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.				al on this project as: Subm	itted (Multi-Dis	cipine).	
 Paste Review (July '14) ➢ Environmental Determination Issue Issue Section 2 Num Issue Text No. 169091) while was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (Image: Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): (I) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (Image: Commission to the previous MND; and (Image:				5% were on-time, and 53 1	were on pro	iects at less than < 3 complete submi	ttals
Environmental Determination Issue Issue Text IM Instance IM Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project. No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. IM (New Issue) IM 2 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) IM 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091.	alor month Flag	C 140 90 40	montal performed the ferrence and	The field of time, dire out	n noie on pie		(((a)))
Environmental Determination Issue Issue Text Image: Segme Text Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project. No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. Image: Segme Text (New Issue) (New Issue) Image: Section 15162(a): (No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; and Image: ND substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and Image: Section 15162(a): (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Image: Section 15162(a): 3 Image: No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and Image: Section 15162(b): 3 Image: Section 15162(b): 3 Image: Section 15162(b): 4 Image: Section 15162(b): 5 Image: Section 15162(b): 5 Image: Section 15162(c): 6 Image: Section 15162(c): 6 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							
Environmental Determination Issue Issue Text Image: Cleared? Num Issue Text Image: Cleared? Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project. No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. Image: Cleared? (New Issue) Image: Cleared? (Nethermined that in accordance with clearin revisions of t							
Issue Cleared? Num Issue Text Image: Section 1 Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project, No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. Image: Section 15 (Section 15 (Sectin 15 (Section 1	1st Review (July '14	4)			***************************************	
Cleared? Num Issue Text Image: Section 1 Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project, No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. Image: Section 1 (New Issue) Image: Section 15162(a): (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Image: Section 1 Section 15 currently addressed and disclosed in previous for the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previous locatified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091.	🖻 Environ	mental	Determination	•			
 Image: Section 1 Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091 was prepared for the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club project (Project, No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. 		Issue					
 No. 169091), which was certified and adopted, on July 14, 2011, by Resolution No. 4713-PC-2, by Planning Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. 	Cleared?						
 Commission of the City of San Diego. Currently, an Extension of Time to Site Development Permit is being requested. (New Issue) The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	x						
 requested. (New Issue) 2 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	1 . 3 . 4						
 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		n na ang na	
 The project was reviewed and determined that in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	6						
 Guidelines Section 15162(a): No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 			(New Issue)				
 No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of the previous MND; No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	X			mined that in accordance w	ith California	Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	
 MND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	. 1 		Suidelines Section 15162(a):				
 (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	2 2 9		1) No substantial changes are pro	posed to the project which	would require	major revisions of the previous	
 would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	2 - 2 - 4 - 4		MND;				
 would require any revisions to the previous MND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 			2) No substantial changes occur	with respect to the circumst	ances under v	which the project is undertaken that	
at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.	1						
at the time the previous MND was certified. (New Issue) 3 Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.			2) There is no new information of a	botostial importance that	ion pot know	and anuld not have been known	
 (New Issue) Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. 	8 4 8				vas not knowr	and could not have been known	
mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.			(New Issue)				
of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.	X	3	Therefore, no subsequent environm	ental document is required	in that no new	v additional impacts and/or	
No. 169091. Please be aware that the environmental review and/or any determinations made may change in response to any project changes and/or new information.							
any project changes and/or new information.	1						
any project changes and/or new information.			Please he aware that the environme	infal review and/or any date	minatione m	de may change in response to	
	5 5 7				annauyna mi	and may change in response to	

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Scott Cooper at (619) 446-5378. Project Nbr: 378223 / Cycle: 1 p2k v 02.03.38

Cycle Issues	THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
L64A-003A	Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 921
Review Information	

Cycle Type:	1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	07/04/2014	Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014	
Reviewing Discipline:	LDR-Engineering Review	Cycle Distributed:	07/04/2014		
Reviewer:	Canning, Jack	Assigned:	07/07/2014		
	(619) 446-5425	Started:	07/21/2014		
	jcanning@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	07/28/2014		
Hours of Review:	3.00	Completed:	07/22/2014	COMPLETED ON TIME	
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	07/31/2014		
The review due date was r	hanged to 07/28/2014 from 08/06	2014 per screement with	eustomer.		

*****6

The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer. The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer. First Review Issues.

. The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issu . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

. The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 6 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).

, Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 90 reviews, 94.4% were on-time, and 64.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

The second second	Issue	
leared?	Num	Issue Text
	1	The Engineering Review Section has reviewed the subject development and have the following comments that need to be addressed prior to a Public Notice of Decision. Upon resubmittal, we will complete our review of the Extension of Time Plans.
	2	(New Issue) The Engineering Review Section cannot support the Extension of Time to the previously Approved Site Development Permit No.618626 at this time because to comply with State law regarding the Municipal Storm Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, a revised Water Quality Technical Report is required.
	3	(New Issue) This project is subject to the regulations contained in the revised City's Storm Water Standards dated January 20, 2012. An approved revised Water Quality Technical Report is required which has been requested to be submitted by the Drainage and Grades plan checker for PTS No.311927.
		The applicant is required to determine if the proposed project must implement hydromodification controls. Add to the required revised WQTR a HMP Decision Matrix as shown in Figure 4-1 of the Storm Water Standards. (continued below) (New Issue)
	4	For a project to be exempt, report must identify which of the 6 conditions of exemption apply to them and note that on the HMP Decision Matrix. If the project is exempt the report shall state so in their conclusion and refer to the completed Figure 4-1. If Hydromodification Controls are required they must state what they are in the WQTR. If Hydromodification Controls are required submit a Drainage Report which verifies the calculations and conclusions prove compliance to Hydromodification Management Plan Controls.
	5	(continued below) (New Issue) A detailed storm water analysis cannot be deferred because, as a priority project full compliance with applicable storm water regulations is deemed to be the project's "initigation". Without the assurance of an approved Water Quality Technical Report (WQTR) that contains the detailed storm water analysis, the project would be deemed as potentially having unmitigated impacts, and could not receive clearance from the City's Environmental Analysis Section. The approval actions for priority projects are conditioned for the projects to comply with all of the elements of their approved WQTR.
	6	(New Issue) Submit a copy of the revised Water Quality Technical Report when it has been approved by the Drainage and Grades plan checker for PTS No.311927. The EOT cannot be supported by Engineering Review until the project complies with the current Storm Water Standards.
		(New Issue) See Reused Comments

For questions regarding the LDR-Engineering Review review, please call. Jack Canning at (619) 446-5425. Project-Nbr. 378223 / Cycle: 1

Jeannette Temple 557-7908

7/31/14 2:36 pm

Page 3 of 4

Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

7/31/14 2:36 pm Page 4 of 4

L64A-003A

Review Information						
Cycle Type:	1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted:	07/04/2014	Deemed Complete on 07/04/2014		
Reviewing Discipline:	Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed:	07/04/2014			
Reviewer:	Temple, Jeannette	Assigned:	07/31/2014			
	(619) 557-7908	Started:	07/31/2014			
	jtemple@sandiego.gov	Review Due:	07/28/2014			
Hours of Review:	0.50	Completed:	07/31/2014	COMPLETED LATE		
Next Review Method:	Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Closed:	07/31/2014			
. The review due date was changed to 07/28/2014 from 08/06/2014 per agreement with customer.						
. The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.						

. We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).

The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

. Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).

. Last month Community Planning Group performed 90 reviews, 45.6% were on-time, and 66.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review July 2014

Issue Cleared? Num Issue Text

Please contact the Chair for the Carmel Valley Community Planning Board, (as identified in the assessment letter) to make arrangements to present your project for review at their next available meeting. This Community Plannig Group is officially recognized by the City as a representative of the community, and an advisor to the City in actions that would affect the community. The Development Services Department has notified the group of your request and has sent them a copy of your project plans and documents. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the Community Planning Group' review, please call Jeannette Temple at (619) 557-7908. Project Nbr: 378223 / Cycle: 1

Extension of Time of a Development Permit - Section 126.0111

- A. (An extension of time, except for a Coastal Development Permit, may be approved without new conditions if the decision maker makes both of the following findings:)
- 1. The project as originally approved and without any new conditions would not place the occupants of the proposed development or the immediate community in a condition dangerous to their health or safety; and
- 2. No new condition is required to comply with state or federal law.
- B. (An extension of time, except for a Coastal Development Permit, may be approved with new conditions if the decision maker makes one of the following findings:)
- 1. New conditions are necessary to protect the health or safety of the residents of the development or the immediate community; or
- 2. New conditions are necessary to comply with applicable state or federal law.

Submittal Requirements

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Development Services 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

7/31/14 2:37 pm

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

Project Information

Project Nbr: 378223 T	itle: EOT Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club	······································	
Project Mgr: Temple, Jeannette	(619)557-7908	jtemple@sandlego.gov	
·····			

Review Cycle Information

Review Cycle: 3 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Opened: 07/31/2014 2:32 pt Due:	m Submitted: Closed:
Required Documents:		······································
Package Type	Pkg Qty Document Type	Qty Needed
Development Plans	3 Applicant Response to Issues	3
Development Plans	3_Development Approval - Prior	3
Water Quality Technical Report	2 Water Quality Technical Report	2
	See Letter for F	Revised Requirements