PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY

17 ON VOLTAIRE 4103 / 4111 VOLTAIRE STREET

PTS #: 640598 (VOLTAIRE STREET SDP)

APN: 533-233-19-00

4103 / 4111 Voltaire Street San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared By:

Tyler G Lawson, P.E.

RCE 80356

EXP: 12-31-20

Pasco Laret Suiter & Associates, Inc. 535 N. Highway 101, Suite A Solana Beach, CA 92075

PASCO LARET SUITER & ASSOCIATES

CIVIL ENGINEERING + LAND PLANNING + LAND SURVEYING

Prepared for: CityMark Communities LLC 3818 Park Blvd San Diego, CA 92103

January 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. IN | TRODUCTION |
|-------|--|
| 1.1 | Project Description |
| 1.2 | Existing Conditions |
| 1.3 | Proposed Conditions |
| 2. ME | THODOLOGY4 |
| 2.1 | Rational Method4 |
| 2.2 | Runoff Coefficient4 |
| 2.3 | Rainfall Intensity5 |
| 2.4 | Tributary Areas5 |
| 3. CA | LCULATIONS / RESULTS |
| 3.1 | Pre & Post Development Peak Flow Comparison5 |
| 3.2 | Storm Water Quality |
| 4. CC | DNCLUSION |
| | Appendix 1Pre & Post Project Hydrology CalculationsAppendix 2Existing and Proposed Drainage ExhibitsAppendix 3Detention and Routing Analysis |

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description

The 0.60 acre site is located west of the intersection of San Clemente Street and Voltaire Street in San Diego, CA. The currently 4-parcel site exists today with single-family residential development on the southern-most parcel, commercial development immediately adjacent, both with parking off the Alley to the west, and non-developed / vacant parcels to the north along Voltaire. The proposed project includes the demolition of the existing buildings along with all on-site improvements and proposes two (2) new multi-family residential buildings with street-level commercial space, a shared access driveway, and covered parking. The project also includes new improvements around the building which include sidewalk, landscaping, new driveway cuts, and other surface improvements typical of this type of development.

The project is designed in accordance with the January 2017 Edition of the Drainage Design Manual, the 2016 San Diego Storm Water Standards Manual and complies with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Region 9 MS4 Permit, Order No. R9-2015-0100. The project does not propose work adjacent to federally regulated waters therefore Sections 401 and 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) are not applicable.

1.2 Existing Conditions

The site appears to generally sheet flow storm water runoff from the southeast corner of the site to the northwest corner adjacent to Voltaire Street. From the northwest corner of the site, water is discharged to the street gutter in the public right-of-way and continues northwest toward Catalina Boulevard. The storm water then continues north on Famosa Boulevard until it is collected by a public storm drain inlet located near the intersection of Whittier Street and Famosa Boulevard. It then continues north along Nimitz Boulevard, and ultimately discharges to the San Diego River Flood Control Channel. The peak storm water run-off was calculated using the rational method equation (Q=CiA) as shown in Equation A-1 of the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual. The 4.4 in/hr intensity was determined from the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual's Appendix H. using the minimum allowable time of concentration of 5 minutes. This resulted in a peak preproject run-off for the site at Q = 1.32 CFS using a runoff coefficient of 0.50 based on commercial zoning and land use from Table A-1 in the 2017 Storm Water Standards Manual and the reduction described in Footnote 2 based on the existing site impervious area. Refer to the Appendix of this report for additional information.

1.3 Proposed Conditions

The project proposes the development of a new multi-family residential building and the surface improvements (i.e. asphalt paving and concrete sidewalk) to support the proposed building. The proposed drainage condition will remain unchanged as all water will be collected and routed to the curb and gutter on Voltaire Street adjacent to the northwest corner of the property. The project is a priority development project, therefore pollutant removal and hydromodification management measures are implemented to demonstrate compliance with the Regional MS4 Permit. In addition, site design measures for storm water runoff are proposed where feasible. The proposed project will result in an increase of impervious area and therefore will increase the post project peak runoff. The post project condition has been delineated by three (3) drainage management areas (DMA's) which are tributary to their respective sidewalk underdrain and curb outlet structures discharging to the

public right-of-way. The roof runoff is collected and conveyed to raised planter biofiltration basins located on the side of the proposed structures. The post project flow of 1.86 CFS was calculated using the Rational Method Q=CiA where the intensity was derived from the San Diego Drainage Design Manual assuming a 5-minute time of concentration (Tc) which is the shortest Tc allowable. A table summarizing the pre-project and post-project peak flows is provided at the end of this study.

2. <u>METHODOLOGY</u>

The proposed project has been analyzed to determine the peak runoff flow for 100-year, 6-hour rainfall event using the Rational Method per the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual (Section 1-102.3). The Runoff Coefficient, C, for the existing and proposed conditions were selected using Table A-1 in the Appendix A of the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual. The time of concentration for all existing and proposed drainage areas were calculated using the minimum T_C of 5 min, which yields an intensity of 4.4 inches per hour in accordance with the City of San Diego's Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart included as Figure A-1 in the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual. A copy of this Figure has been added to Appendix 1 of this report as well for reference.

The proposed LID best management practices have been sized and located such that all runoff will be directed to landscape planters or through pervious areas where feasible before ultimately discharging to the downstream storm drain system.

2.1 Rational Method

As mentioned above, runoff from the project site was calculated for the 100-year, 6-hour storm event. Runoff was calculated using the Rational Method which is given by the following equation:

 $Q = C \times I \times A$ Equation A-1 of City of SD Drainage Design Manual

Where:

Q = Flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs)

C = Runoff coefficient (Determined from Table A-1 of City of SD Drainage Design Manual)

I = Rainfall Intensity in inches per hour (in/hr)

A = Drainage basin area in acres, (ac)

Rational Method calculations were performed using the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual Equation A-1, as shown above.

2.2 Runoff Coefficient

The runoff coefficients for the project were used from Table A-1 from the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual (January, 2017), using the Revised C Method for commercial use in the pre-developed condition (0.50) and the value shown in Table A-1 for Multi-Units land use for the post-developed project, which is 0.70.

2.3 Rainfall Intensity

Rainfall intensity was determined using the Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves shown in Section A.1.3 of the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual (January, 2017). Based on a 5-minute time of concentration, an intensity of 4.4 inches per hour is used in accordance with Figure A-1.

2.4 Tributary Areas

Drainage basins are delineated in the Post-Project Hydrology Exhibit in Appendix 2 and graphically portray the tributary area for each drainage basin. Each drainage basin has been defined by the area being conveyed to each curb outlet location discharging from the property. Ultimately, runoff is all conveyed west along Voltaire Street and continues downstream toward Catalina Boulevard.

3. CALCULATIONS / RESULTS

3.1 Pre & Post Development Peak Flow Comparison

Below are a series of tables which summarize the calculations provided in the Appendix of this report.

| SITE IMPERVIOUS AREA COMPOSITION | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA (ACRES) | TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA (ACRES) | TOTAL PROJECT AREA (ACRES) | % IMPERVIOUS SURFACES | RUNOFF COEFFICIENT "C" | | | |
| Existing | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.60 | 35.1% | 0.50 | | | |
| Proposed | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 82.1% | 0.70 | | | |

Table 1. Runoff Coefficient "C" Comparison

The table above shows the difference in the runoff coefficient, "C", between the existing and proposed condition. For additional explanation on how each runoff coefficient was calculated, refer to Appendix 1 of this report.

| EXIS | TING DRAINA | ge flo' | WS |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| DRAINAGE AREA | DRAINAGE AREA (ACRES) | Q ₁₀₀ (CFS) | I ₁₀₀ (IN/HR) |
| EX-1 | 0.60 | 1.32 | 4.4 |

Table 2. Existing Condition Peak Drainage Flow Rates

Table 2 above lists the peak flow rates for the project site in the existing condition for the respective rainfall events.

| PROPOSED DRAINAGE FLOWS | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| DRAINAGE AREA | DRAINAGE AREA (ACRES) | Q ₁₀₀ (CFS) | I ₁₀₀ (IN/HR) | | | |
| PR 1-5 | 0.60 | 1.86 | 4.4 | | | |

Table 3. Proposed Condition Peak Drainage Flow Rates

The table above lists the peak flow rates for the project site for the proposed condition for the 100year, 6-hour storm event. The table combines the three (3) drainage management areas and peak runoff produced at each discharge location from the property. As in the existing condition, all water discharging to the public right-of-way offsite eventually confluences near the northwest corner of the site and is conveyed west along Voltaire Street toward downstream public storm drain infrastructure.

| PEAK DRAINAGE FLOW COMPARISON | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|------|--|--|--|
| | DRAINAGE | 0 | | | | | |
| CONDITION | AREA | | V_{100} | С | | | |
| | (ACRES) | (CF3) | (CU-FT) | | | | |
| Existing | 0.60 | 1.32 | 2,714 | 0.50 | | | |
| Proposed | 0.60 | 1.86 | 3,800 | 0.70 | | | |

Table 4. Proposed Condition Peak Drainage Flow Rates

Table 4 above shows a comparison between the peak flow rates and precipitation volume for the proposed condition and the existing condition.

As shown in Table 4, the project increases the peak runoff rate and runoff volume for the design storms analyzed when comparing the pre-project condition to the post-project condition because the proposed development proposes additional impervious surfaces in addition to multi-unit residential land use. The increase in post-developed peak flows is mitigated by the proposed biofiltration basin planters, which are sized to provide detention volume while also complying with the Regional MS4 Permit requirements for hydromodification management. Curb outlet structures are proposed to convey treated and detained runoff from the property, and are adequately sized to handle the peak flows. See Appendix 3 of this report for a summary of the detention / routing analysis completed for the entire site, showing the detained peak runoff.

3.2 Storm Water Quality

The project's runoff will be treated for storm water quality by site design and source control measures where applicable and feasible. The project also proposes biofiltration planter areas. The project is a "Priority" Development project however, low impact design is implemented where feasible in order to comply with storm water quality measures required of all development projects.

PASCO LARET SUITER & ASSOCIATES CIVIL ENGINEERING + LAND PLANNING + LAND SURVEYING

APPENDIX 1

PRE-PROJECT & POST-PROJECT HYDROLOGY

CALCULATIONS AND SUPPORT MATERIAL

| PRE-PROJECT HYDROLOGY | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | Total | Total | | | | Weighted | Peak | Peak Runoff |
| Drainage | Area | Area | Area | Total Impervious | | % | Runoff | Runoff Q: | Volume: |
| Area | Description | (Ac) | (sq-ft) | Area (Sq-Ft) | % Impervious | Pervious | Coefficient | (CFS) | (cu-ft) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| EX-1 | Existing Site | 0.60 | 26059 | 9140 | 35% | 65% | 0.50 | 1.33 | 2714 |
| Totals: | | 0.60 | 26059 | | | | 0.50 | 1.33 | 2714 |

| POST-PROJECT HYDROLOGY | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | Total | Total | Total Impervious | | | Weighted | Peak | Peak Runoff |
| BMP | Basin | Area | Area | Area | | % | Runoff | Runoff Q: | Volume: |
| Location | Description | (Ac) | (sq-ft) | (Sq-Ft) | % Impervious | Pervious | Coefficient | (CFS) | (cu-ft) |
| PR-1 | OUTLET-1 | 0.3561 | 15510 | - | - | - | 0.70 | 1.11 | 2262 |
| PR-2 | OUTLET-2 | 0.102 | 4445 | - | - | - | 0.70 | 0.32 | 648 |
| PR-3 | OUTLET-3 | 0.072 | 3135 | - | - | - | 0.70 | 0.22 | 457 |
| PR-4 | SELF-TREATING | 0.041 | 1785 | - | - | - | 0.70 | 0.13 | 260 |
| PR-5 | DE MINIMIS | 0.0272 | 1184 | - | - | - | 0.70 | 0.08 | 173 |
| Totals: | | 0.60 | 26059 | | | | 0.70 | 1.86 | 3800 |

| 100 Yr Sto | orm at 5 Min TC | | Runoff Coefficie | ent |
|------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|------|
| Intensity: | 4.40 | in/hr | Pre-Project | 0.5 |
| Precip: | 2.50 | in | Post-Project | 0.70 |

APPENDIX A: RATIONAL METHOD AND MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD

| Lond Hos | Runoff Coefficient (C) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Lanu Use | Soil Type (1) |
| Residential: | |
| Single Family | 0.55 |
| Multi-Units | 0.70 |
| Mobile Homes | 0.65 |
| Rural (lots greater than ½ acre) | 0.45 |
| Commercial ⁽²⁾ | |
| 80% Impervious | 0.85 |
| Industrial ⁽²⁾ | |
| 90% Impervious | 0.95 |

Table A-1. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Type D soil to be used for all areas.

⁽²⁾ Where actual conditions deviate significantly from the tabulated imperviousness values of 80% or 90%, the values given for coefficient C, may be revised by multiplying 80% or 90% by the ratio of actual imperviousness to the tabulated imperviousness. However, in case shall the final coefficient be less than 0.50. For example: Consider commercial property on D soil.

| Actual imperviousness | = | 50% |
|--------------------------|---------|------|
| Tabulated imperviousness | = | 80% |
| Revised C = $(50/80)$ x | (0.85 = | 0.53 |

The values in Table A–1 are typical for urban areas. However, if the basin contains rural or agricultural land use, parks, golf courses, or other types of nonurban land use that are expected to be permanent, the appropriate value should be selected based upon the soil and cover and approved by the City.

A.1.3. Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensity (I) is the rainfall in inches per hour (in/hr.) for a duration equal to the T_c for a selected storm frequency. Once a particular storm frequency has been selected for design and a T_c calculated for the drainage area, the rainfall intensity can be determined from the Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart (Figure A-1).





Figure A-1. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Design Chart



PASCO LARET SUITER & ASSOCIATES

CIVIL ENGINEERING + LAND PLANNING + LAND SURVEYING

APPENDIX 2

EXISTING & PROPOSED

DRAINAGE EXHIBITS



PRE-DEVELOPMENT HYDROLOGY NODE MAP

SCALE: 1" = 10' HORIZONTAL

LEGEND

| SUBJECT PROPERTY / SUBDIVISION BOUNDARY |
|--|
| EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY / ADJACENT LOT LINE |
| CENTERLINE OF ROAD |
| EXISTING CONTOUR |
| EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION |
| EXISTING MAJOR DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY |
| EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA |



BASIN A - AREA CALCULATIONS

| TOTAL BASIN AREA | 26,059 SF (0.598 AC) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| BASIN EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA | 9,140 SF (0.210 AC) |
| BASIN EXISTING PERVIOUS AREA | 16,919 SF (0.388 AC) |
| % IMPERVIOUS | 35.1% |
| *Cn | 0.50 |
| | |

*ASSUME TYPE D SOILS; EXISTING LAND USE IS COMMERCIAL AT 35.1% IMPERVIOUS AREA. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT OF 0.50 USED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REVISED C METHOD DESCRIBED IN APPENDIX A OF CITY OF SAN DIEGO DRAINAGE DESIGN MANUAL





SCALE: 1" = 10' HORIZONTAL



CIVIL ENGINEERING + LAND PLANNING + LAND SURVEYING

APPENDIX 3

DETENTION AND ROUTING ANALYSIS

Watershed Model Schematic

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2020



Project: 100-YR.gpw

Tuesday, 01 / 7 / 2020

Hydrograph Summary Report Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

| Hyd. No. | Hydrograph type (origin) | Peak flow (cfs) | Time interval (min) | Time to Peak (min) | Hyd. volume (cuft) | Inflow hyd(s) | Maximum elevation (ft) | Total strge used (cuft) | Hydrograph Description |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Manual | 1.860 | 5 | 245 | 3,948 | | | | 100-Year Inflow |
| 2 | Reservoir | 1.318 | 5 | 245 | 3,892 | 1 | 103.93 | 1,393 | <no description=""></no> |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 100-YR.gpw | | | | Return Period: 100 Year | | Tuesday, 01 / 7 / 2020 | | | |

Hydrograph Report

| Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension | Tuesday, 01 / 7 / 2020 | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Hyd. No. 1 | | | |
| 100-Year Inflow | | | |
| Hydrograph type Storm frequency Time interval | = Manual = 100 yrs = 5 min | Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume | = 1.860 cfs = 245 min = 3,948 cuft |



Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Tuesday, 01 / 7 / 2020

Hyd. No. 2

<no description>

| Hydrograph type | = Reservoir | Peak discharge | = 1.318 cfs |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Storm frequency | = 100 yrs | Time to peak | = 4.08 hrs |
| Time interval | = 5 min | Hyd. volume | = 3,892 cuft |
| Inflow hyd. No. | = 1 - 100-Year Inflow | Max. Elevation | = 103.93 ft |
| Reservoir name | = All Site BMPs | Max. Storage | = 1,393 cuft |

Storage Indication method used.



