1 2	MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney MARK ANKCORN, Chief Deputy City Attorney California State Bar No. 166871 KEVIN B. KING, Deputy City Attorney	
3	California State Bar No. 309397 Office of the City Attorney	
4	1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101-4100	
5	Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California	Exempt from fees per Gov't Code § 6103 To the benefit of the City of San Diego
8	Superior Court of California	
9	In and for the County of San Diego	
10	The People of the State of California,	Case No.
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties under the Unfair Competition
13	Payless Smoke Shop Inc.; B&P Sales Inc. dba	Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, Et Seq.)
14 15	Payless Smoke Shop #3; Nasman Haseeb Kiryakous, individually; NBK Inc. dba Keg N	(UNLIMITED MATTER Amount Demanded
16	Bottle-Sorrento Valley; Tony Konja, individually; The Najib and Bernadet Konja Family Trust, Mama Berna LLC, and Baba	Exceeds \$25,000)
17	Najib LP dba Keg N Bottle-National City; and Does 1-20 inclusive,	
18	Defendants	
19		
20	The People of the State of California ("People"), acting by and through San Diego City	
21	Attorney Mara W. Elliott, allege the following based on information and belief:	
22	1. E-cigarette use by teens and young adults is a serious public health concern	
23	nationwide. The nicotine from e-cigarettes is highly addictive and is particularly problematic for	
24	youth due to its effects on brain development. Flavored tobacco products are especially	
25	dangerous as an easy "on ramp" to nicotine addiction; the overwhelming majority of young	
26	smokers use flavored e-cigarettes. Nicotine addiction can prime youth to use other addictive	
27	substances, like traditional cigarettes, alcohol, and drugs. Nicotine use can also cause reduced	
28		

impulse control, deficits in attention and cognition, and mood disorders.¹

- 2. The growth of e-cigarette use by youth has been fueled by the manufacturing and advertisement of kid-friendly flavors, like cotton candy, bubblegum, and a wide range of fruits. In 2022, approximately 14.1% of high school students and 3.3% of middle school students were using e-cigarettes putting millions of teens at risk of nicotine addictions and its associated harms. 85% of these youth users used flavored e-cigarettes.²
- 3. The heavy marketing push of fruity and candy-like flavors has also affected the broader public. Tobacco and menthol flavor sales decreased, while fruity flavors increased, and e-cigarette sales spiked overall by 46 percent from 2020 to 2022.³ In 2021, 11% of young adults (aged 18–24) and 4.5% of all adults were using e-cigarettes.⁴
- 4. To combat this public health concern, both California and the City of San Diego prohibit the sale of flavored tobacco products to any person, including adults. Health & Safety Code § 104559.5; San Diego Municipal Code §42.1603.
- 5. Despite these prohibitions, several Payless Smoke Shop and Keg 'N Bottle stores ("Defendants") have continued selling flavored tobacco products in the City of San Diego and elsewhere in California. These violations of state and local law constitute an unlawful business practice and violate California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.

¹ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Young Adults. A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2016.

² Cooper M., Park-Lee E, Ren C., Cornelius M, Jamal A., Cullen KA. Notes from the Field: E-Cigarette Use Among Middle and High School Students — United States, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022;71:1283–1285. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7140a3

³ Ali FR., Seidenberg AB, Crane E., Seaman E., Tynan MA, Marynak K. E-Cigarette Unit Sales By Product and Flavor Type, and Top-Selling Brands, United States, 2020–2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2023;72:672–677. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7225a1

⁴ Kramarow EA, Elgaddal N. Current Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults Aged 18 and Over: United States, 2021. NCHS Data Brief, no 475. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2023. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc:129966

6. Defendants' conduct is also an unfair business practice under the UCL because Defendants maintain an advantage over their law-abiding competitors by profiting from the sale of prohibited products.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. The Superior Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article VI, Section 10 of the California Constitution, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes other than those specifically enumerated therein.
- 8. The Superior Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because:
 (i) Defendants' principal places of business are in the State of California, (ii) Defendants are authorized to and conduct business in and across this state, and (iii) Defendants otherwise have sufficient minimum contacts with and purposefully avail themselves of the markets of this state, thus rendering the Superior Court's exercise of jurisdiction consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 9. Venue is proper under Code of Civil Procedure section 393(a), because the illegal acts described below occurred in the City and County of San Diego.

Parties

- 10. The People of the State of California bring this civil enforcement action by and through San Diego City Attorney Mara W. Elliott pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17204 and 17206(a).
- 11. Defendant Payless Smoke Shop Inc. does business at two retail locations, named "Payless Smoke Shop #1" on 5101 El Cajon Blvd and "Payless Smoke Shop #2" on 6663 El Cajon Blvd, both in the City of San Diego. Payless Smoke Shop, Inc., is a California corporation with its principal place of business in the City of San Diego.
- 12. Defendant B&P Sales, Inc. does business as "Payless Smoke Shop #3" on 6320 Potomac St. in the City of San Diego. B&P Sales, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in the City of San Diego.
- 13. On information and belief, Defendant Nasman Haseeb Kiryakous is, and was at all relevant times, the owner, Chief Executive Office ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer

("CFO") of Payless Smoke Shop Inc. and B&P Sales Inc. On information and belief, Defendant Kiryakous actively participated in, and exercised control over, the operations of, and is the Responsible Corporate Officer under California law for, Payless Smoke Shop Inc. and B&P Sales Inc. Defendants in Paragraphs 11 through 13 (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant Payless Smoke Shop").

- 14. Defendant NBK Inc. does business as "Keg N Bottle-Sorrento Valley" on 5973 Lusk Blvd in the City of San Diego. NBK, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in the City of San Diego.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant Tony Konja is, and was at all relevant times, the owner, CEO and CFO of NBK Inc. On information and belief, Defendant Konja actively participated in, and exercised control over, the operations of, and is the Responsible Corporate Officer under California law for, NBK Inc.
- 16. Defendants The Najib and Bernadet Konja Family Trust and Mama Berna LLC are general partners of Baba Najib LP. Baba Najib LP's principal place of business is in the City of San Diego. On information and belief, Baba Najib LP and Tony Konja are, and were at all relevant times, co-owners of a retail store on 2335 Highland Ave named "Keg N Bottle-National City." On information and belief, Defendant Konja actively participated in, and exercised control over, the operations of Keg N Bottle-National City. (Defendants in Paragraphs 14 through 16 hereinafter referred to as "Defendant Keg 'N Bottle").
- 17. The true names or capacities of Defendants sued as Doe Defendants 1 through 20 are unknown to the People. The People are informed and believe, and on this basis, allege that each of the Doe Defendants are legally responsible for the conduct alleged herein. The People will amend its complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of the Doe Defendants and the allegations against them as soon as they are ascertained.
- 18. On information and belief, at all relevant times, each Defendant, including Doe Defendants, was the owner, agent, principal employee, employer, master, servant, partner, franchiser, joint-venturer, co-conspirator, aider, and abettor of each of its co-Defendants, and engages (and continues to engage) in the wrongful actions and inaction alleged herein and acted

within the scope of its authority in such relationships with the permission and consent of each co-Defendant.

Facts

- 19. Payless Smoke Shop is a chain of three retail stores⁵ in the City of San Diego that sells a wide variety of tobacco products, including e-cigarettes, cigars, hookah, and the associated equipment and accessories.
- 20. Keg 'N Bottle is a chain of stores, primarily in the County of San Diego, that sells alcohol and tobacco products. There are six Keg 'N Bottle locations throughout the City of San Diego, and additional retail locations in National City, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, Rancho Santa Fe, and Santa Barbara.⁶
- 21. Effective December 21, 2022, in the State of California, "[a] tobacco retailer, or any of the tobacco retailer's agents or employees, shall not sell, offer for sale, or possess with the intent to sell or offer for sale, a flavored tobacco product or a tobacco product flavor enhancer." Health & Safety Code § 104559.5(b)(1).
- 22. "Characterizing flavor means a distinguishable taste or aroma, or both, other than the taste or aroma of tobacco, imparted by a tobacco product or any byproduct produced by the tobacco product. Characterizing flavors include, but are not limited to, tastes or aromas relating to any fruit, chocolate, vanilla, honey, candy, cocoa, dessert, alcoholic beverage, menthol, mint, wintergreen, herb, or spice." Health & Safety Code § 104559.5(a)(1) [internal quotations omitted].

⁵ These stores are located at 5101 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego CA 92115, 6663 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego CA 92115, and 6320 Potomac St, San Diego, CA 92139.

⁶ These stores are located at 6060 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92115, 5973 Lusk Blvd, San Diego, CA 92121, 3566 Mt Acadia Blvd, San Diego, CA 92111, 5950 Santo Rd Suite N, San Diego, CA 92124, 6380 Del Cerro Blvd, Suite A, San Diego, CA 92120, 1030 Torrey Pines Rd, La Jolla, CA 92037, 1827 Massachusetts Ave, Lemon Grove, CA 91945, 2335 Highland Ave, National City, CA 91950, 3681 Avocado Blvd, La Mesa, CA 91941, 16079 San Dieguito Road, Suite #A2, Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091, and 915 Embarcadero del Mar, Isla Vista, CA 93117.

28

///

///

///

Cause of Action

Violation of Unfair Competition Law

(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.)

- 30. All preceding factual statements and allegations are incorporated by reference.
- 31. The UCL, Business and Professions Code section 17200 prohibits "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."
- 32. "Any person who engages, has engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each violation, which shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the State of California ... by any city attorney of a city having a population in excess of 750,000 ... in any court of competent jurisdiction." Bus. & Prof. Code § 17206(a).
- 33. The Business and Professions Code section 17206.1(a) also provides: "In addition to any liability for a civil penalty pursuant to Section 17206, a person who violates this chapter, and the act or acts of unfair competition are perpetrated against one or more senior citizens or disabled persons, may be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each violation, which may be assessed and recovered in a civil action as prescribed in Section 17206."
- 34. Defendants are "person(s)" as defined by the Business and Professions Code section 17201, which includes "natural persons, corporations, firms, partnerships, joint stock companies, associations and other organizations of persons."
- 35. Defendants' sale of flavored tobacco products is unlawful under California and City of San Diego law and, therefore, constitutes an unlawful business practice under the UCL. Defendants have been selling flavored tobacco products from December 21, 2022 (the effective date of the statewide ban) to at least the date of this Complaint. Defendants have been selling flavored tobacco products at their locations in the City of San Diego from January 1, 2023 (the effective date of the City of San Diego ban) to at least the date of this Complaint. Each sale of a flavored tobacco product, at each location, is a separate violation of state and local law and, as

1	42. The People recover such costs of this action, including costs of investigation; and		
2	43. The People be granted such other and further relief as this Court may deem to be		
3	just and proper.		
4	Dated: August 22, 2023 MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney		
5			
6			
7	15-		
8	Kevin B. King Deputy City Attorney		
9	Deputy City Attorney		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			