1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 450 B Street, Suite 780		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
4			
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:	) Case No.: 2019-34	
11	RAUL CAMPILLO,	) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	Respondent.	) ORDER	
13		) )	
14			
15	STIPULATION		
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
17	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
18	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
19	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
20	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the Election Campaign Control		
21	Ordinance [ECCO], SDMC section 27.2901, et seq.		
22	2. Raul Campillo is, and was during all times mentioned herein, a candidate for City		
23	Council District 7 in the 2020 election cycle.	The Raul Campillo for City Council District 7 2020	
24	committee (Identification No. 1415303) [Committee] is a campaign committee registered with		
25	the State of California established to support Mr. Campillo's candidacy. At all relevant times		
26	herein, the Committee was controlled by Mr. Campillo within the meaning of the California		
27	Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016. Mr. Campillo is referred to		
28	herein as "Respondent."		
		-1-	
	STIPULATION,	DECISION, AND ORDER	

28 | | / / /

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.
- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego and the Ethics Commission harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation, this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto.
- 7. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 8. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

## **Summary of Law and Facts**

- 9. Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a City of San Diego candidate, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions in ECCO.
- 10. ECCO requires all candidates who print and distribute campaign literature in support of their candidacies to include the words "Ad paid for by" followed by the name of the candidate's committee. This disclosure must be printed in a typeface at least 10 points in size that contrasts with the background. With respect to yard signs, the disclosure must be printed in a contrasting typeface at least 5% of the sign height. The type size requirements ensure that the disclosure is clearly visible and readily apparent to members of the public. SDMC § 27.2970.
- 11. In February of 2019, Respondent paid for 500 business cards that were subsequently distributed in support of his candidacy. Although the business cards included the requisite wording, the disclosure was printed in a 2.8-point typeface.
- 12. In April of 2019, Respondent ordered 20 campaign signs that were subsequently displayed by volunteers at various events. Although the signs included the requisite wording, the disclosure was printed in a typeface equal to 0.7% of the sign height.
- 13. In April 2019, Respondent ordered 500 campaign flyers that were subsequently distributed. Although the flyers included the requisite wording, the disclosure was printed in a 5.5-point typeface.
- 14. In October of 2019, Respondent ordered 500 campaign flyers and prepared 40 substantially similar flyers in-house. Although these flyers included the requisite wording, the disclosure was printed in a 5.5-point typeface. According to Respondent, he had only distributed approximately 70 of these flyers before he met with Commission staff and learned that they were not in compliance with ECCO. Respondent added a 10-point disclosure to the remaining flyers before they were distributed.

## **Counts**

## Counts 1 through 4 - Violations of SDMC section 27.2970

15. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.2970 by producing, disseminating, and displaying the campaign literature and signs described above in paragraphs 11 through 14 with

1	"Ad paid for by" disclosures in a typeface smaller than required.	
2	Factors in Mitigation	
3	16. Respondent has fully cooperated with the Ethics Commission investigation.	
4	<u>Conclusion</u>	
5	17. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure compliance	
6	with all provisions of ECCO in the future.	
7	18. Respondent acknowledges that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines	
8	in connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.	
9	19. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$1,000 for violating SDMC	
10	section 27.2970. This amount must be paid no later than December 6, 2019, by check or money	
11	order payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending Commission	
12	approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set forth below.	
13	[REDACTED]	
14	DATED:	
15	Stacey Fulhorst, Petitioner SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
16	[REDACTED]	
17	DATED: RAUL CAMPILLO, Respondent	
18		
19	DECISION AND ORDER	
20	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on January 9,	
21	2020. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$1,000.	
22		
23		
24	[REDACTED] DATED:	
25	Sid Voorakkara, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
26		
27		
28		
I	1	