1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 450 B Street, Suite 780		
3	San Diego, CA 92101		
4	Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:	Case No.: 2019-38	
11	WENDY WHEATCROFT,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND) ORDER	
12	Respondent.		
13)	
14	STIPULATION		
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
16	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
18	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the Election Campaign Control		
20	Ordinance [ECCO], SDMC section 27.2901, et seq.		
21	2. Wendy Wheatcroft is, and was during all times mentioned herein, a candidate for		
22	City Council District 7 in the 2020 election cycle. The Wendy Wheatcroft for SD City Council		
23	2020 committee (Identification No. 1414776) [Committee] is a campaign committee registered		
24	with the State of California established to support Ms. Wheatcroft's candidacy. At all relevant		
25	times herein, the Committee was controlled by Ms. Wheatcroft within the meaning of the		
26	California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016. Ms. Wheatcroft is		
27	referred to herein as "Respondent."		
28	///		
		-1-	

This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at
 its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the
 approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics
 Commission.

5 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the
6 Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine
7 Respondent's liability.

8 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all 9 procedural rights under the SDMC including, but not limited to, a determination of probable 10 cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in 11 any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses 12 testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to 13 have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees 14 that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 15 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an 16 order.

17 6. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego and the Ethics Commission
18 harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation, this
19 stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto.

7. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law
enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring
this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency
with regard to this or any other related matter.

8. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this
Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics
Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission
becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified
because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

1	Summary of Law and Facts		
2	9. Because Respondent's Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a City		
3	of San Diego candidate, Respondent was required to comply with ECCO's advertising disclosure		
4	provisions. In this regard, the Committee was required to clearly identify to the public that it was		
5	paying for the campaign advertisements it disseminated. SDMC § 27.2970.		
6	10. In particular, ECCO requires all committees that publicly display campaign signs		
7	and banners to include the words "Ad paid for by" followed by the name of the committee in a		
8	contrasting typeface at least 5% of the height of the sign or banner. ECCO also requires that		
9	committees printing and distributing individual pieces of campaign literature include the words		
10	"Ad paid for by" followed by the name of the committee in a contrasting typeface at least 10		
11	points in size. The type size requirements ensure that the disclosure is clearly visible and readily		
12	apparent to members of the public.		
13	11. In March of 2019, Respondent paid for a campaign banner that was subsequently		
14	displayed at campaign-related events. The banner did not include an "Ad paid for by" disclosure.		
15	12. From November 2019 through January 2020, Respondent printed 950 campaign		
16	flyers for three different "meet and greet" events that were subsequently distributed. Although		
17	the flyers included the requisite disclosure, it was printed in a 6-point typeface.		
18	Counts		
19	Counts 1 and 2 - Violations of SDMC section 27.2970		
20	13. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.2970 by producing, disseminating, and		
21	displaying the banner and campaign literature described above in paragraphs 11 and 12 without		
22	an "Ad paid for by" disclosure, or with a disclosure printed in a typeface smaller than required.		
23	Factors in Mitigation		
24	14. Respondent has fully cooperated with the Ethics Commission investigation.		
25	Factors in Aggravation		
26	15. Commission staff contacted Respondent on October 31, 2019, to advise her that the		
27	Commission was conducting an investigation into her alleged failure to comply with the City's		
28	campaign advertising disclosure rules, and to remind her of the specific disclosure requirements.		
	-3- STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER		

After this reminder, Respondent prepared and disseminated campaign literature that did not		
comply with the City's disclosure rules concerning type size, as described above in paragraph 12.		
Conclusion		
16. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure compliance		
with all provisions of ECCO in the future.		
17. Respondent acknowledges that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines		
in connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.		
18. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$500 for violating SDMC section		
27.2970. This amount must be paid no later than March 13, 2020, by check or money order		
payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending Commission		
approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set forth below.		
[REDACTED] DATED:		
Stacey Fulhorst, Petitioner SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION		
[REDACTED] DATED:		
WENDY WHEATCROFT, Respondent		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on June 11, 2020.		
The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the		
Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$500.		
DATED: [REDACTED]		
Sid Voorakkara, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION		
-4- STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER		