	ı I		
1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 450 B Street, Suite 780		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
4			
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2020-33	
11	SUCCESS SAN DIEGO IN SUPPORT OF BARBARA BRY FOR MAYOR 2020; and) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	GOULD & ORELLANA, LLC,)	
13	Respondents.)	
14	CHANA) H ATHON	
15	STIPULATION		
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
17	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego		
18	Ethics Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to		
19	administer, implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego		
20	Municipal Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the Election		
21	Campaign Control Ordinance [ECCO], SDMC section 27.2901, et seq.		
22	2. At all times mentioned herein, Success San Diego in Support of Barbara Bry for		
23	Mayor 2020 [SSD] was a City committee primarily formed to support Barbara Bry for Mayor in		
24	the March 2020 primary and November 2020 general elections. The committee was registered		
25	with the State of California (Identification No. 1417787). Gould & Orellana, LLC [G&O] was, at		
26	all relevant times, the campaign treasurer for SSD. Together, SSD and G&O are referred to		
27	herein as "Respondents."		
28			

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondents' liability.
- 5. Respondents understand and knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all procedural rights under the SDMC including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondents agree that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondents agree to hold the City of San Diego and the Ethics Commission harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation, this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto.
- 7. Respondents acknowledge that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency regarding this or any other related matter.
- 8. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondents further agree that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

7

5

9

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

Summary of Law and Facts

- 9. ECCO defines "committee" as any person or combination of persons who, within a single calendar year, raise \$2,000 or more or make expenditures of \$1,000 or more for the purpose of supporting or opposing a City candidate or ballot measure. SDMC § 27.2903.
- 10. ECCO requires committees to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code section 81000, et seq. and the Regulations adopted by the Fair Political Practices Commission. SDMC § 27.2930. It is unlawful under ECCO to fail to comply with the disclosure requirements of ECCO and state law. SDMC § 27.2930(i).
- Pursuant to Government Code sections 82036.5 and 84204, any committee that makes independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more to support or oppose a candidate or measure in the ninety day period preceding an election is required to file a Late Independent Expenditure Report [Form 496] within twenty-four hours with the City Clerk. For the March 3, 2020 primary election, this ninety-day period commenced on December 4, 2019. For the November 3, 2020 general election, the ninety-day period commenced on August 5, 2020.
- 12. Respondent SSD made expenditures totaling \$65,717 to disseminate a campaign mailer on February 25, 2020 in support of Barbara Bry's mayoral candidacy. Respondents failed to file a Form 496 to disclose this independent expenditure within twenty-four hours. Respondents did not file the Form 496 until October 20, 2020, after they were contacted by the Ethics Commission staff and more than six months after the primary election.
- Respondent SSD made expenditures totaling \$60,302 to disseminate a campaign mailer on September 25, 2020 in support of Barbara Bry's mayoral candidacy. Respondents failed to file a Form 496 to disclose this independent expenditure within twenty-four hours. Respondents did not file the Form 496 until October 9, 2020, eleven days late.
- In order to provide the public with readily-accessible information concerning the major sources of funding to committees formed to support and oppose City candidates and measures, ECCO requires such committees to include the text "Funding details at www.sandiego.gov/donors" on or during its campaign advertisements. ECCO also requires that, within three business days of receiving \$10,000 (in the aggregate) or more from a single

deadline for notifying the Ethics Commission was February 24, 2020. Respondents never made

27

28

the notification.

G&O has therefore taken full responsibility for the violations described herein.

28

1	Factors in Aggravation	
2	30. Respondents were reminded of the \$10,000 donor notification requirement by	
3	Ethics Commission staff on several occasions.	
4	<u>Conclusion</u>	
5	31. F	Respondents agree to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure compliance
6	with all provisions of ECCO in the future.	
7	32. Respondents acknowledge that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fine	
8	in connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.	
9	33. Respondents agree to pay a fine in the amount of \$7,000 for violating SDMC	
10	sections 27.2970 and 27.2975. This amount must be paid no later than January 8, 2021, by chec	
11	or money order payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending	
12	Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set	
13	forth below.	
14		
15		[REDACTED]
16	DATED:	Stacey Fulhorst, Petitioner
17		SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION
18		
19	DATED:	[REDACTED]
20		Gwen Rosenberg, Principal Officer RESPONDENT SUCCESS SAN DIEGO IN SUPPORT OF BARBARA BRY FOR MAYOR 2020
		OF BARBARA BRT FOR MATOR 2020
22 23		[REDACTED]
24	DATED:	David L. Gould, Managing Partner
25	///	GOULD & ORELLANA, LLC
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
20		-6-

DECISION AND ORDER The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on January 14, 2021. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondents pay a fine in the amount of \$7,000. [REDACTED] DATED: Sid Voorakkara, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER