PARK AND RECREATION BOARD POLICY

SUBJECT:

Conflict of Interest Code

BACKGROUND:

The Political Reform Act of 1974 (Proposition 9) has mandated that such codes be written for governmental bodies, boards, etc.

PURPOSE:

To clarify what the actions of Board and Committee members should be when a possible conflict of interest exists.

POLICY:

Incorporated into this policy is the attached Conflict of Interest Code of the Park and Recreation Board of the City of San Diego, approved by the Board at its May 18, 1976 meeting.

The following clarification is offered for situations where Board or Committee members are also officers or members of non-profit organizations with matters before the Board/Committees:

Board Members

The Political Reform Act of 1974 does not apply as it pertains only to profit making entities. However, Charter Section 94 and Government Code Section 1090 do apply if there is a contract or lease situation before the Board. The legal impact of these sections is to void any contract formed after participation by a Board member having the requisite "interest" therein, unless (Government Code Section 1091) the Board member discloses such interest to the Board, it is noted in the Board minutes, and the disclosing member does not participate in the discussion or vote. The Board may then approve the contract in good faith by a majority vote, if a quorum is still present after disqualification.

Board Members must submit to the Board Secretary a listing of all organizations belonged to.

Committee Members

As opposed to Board members, Committee members "are appointed by the Chairman of the Board and exert negligible influence with respect to ultimate dispositions made at Council level regarding park and recreation matters." Thus, "Committee members (except for the San Diego-La Jolla Underwater Park Committee) are not City officials and, therefore, are not subject to the provisions of Charter Section 94 and Government Code Section 1090. Thus, their membership, etc., in non-profit organizations does not create any conflict of interest in the context discussed above." (Quotations from Memorandum of Law, May 18, 1976, "Conflict of Interest," attached.)

(Continued)

Committee Members

However, it is the Park and Recreation Board's policy that when a member of a Park and Recreation Board committee is also a member of the Board of Directors of an organization which brings a matter before the committee for a decision, that member shall abstain from voting.

Attachments (2)

SUBSTANTIATION: Conflict of Interest Code of the Park and Recreation Board of the City of San Diego (attached), adopted by the Board at its May 18, 1976 meeting.

Memorandum of Law, May 18, 1976, "Conflict of Interest" (attached).

Park and Recreation Board Minutes of May 18, 1976, Pages 1681-82.

Park and Recreation Board Minutes of January 6, 1971, Page 885.

RESOLUTION	No	218571		(R- ⁷⁷⁻²⁰⁵⁸
			Adopted on	JUL 20 1977

JÉ IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego as follows:

That the Conflict of Interest Code of the Park and Recreation Board, submitted pursuant to the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended, is hereby approved.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By Mancy My Jones

Deputy City Attorney

MiJ:rc:048.7.1

5/5/77

Or.Dept.: Park & Recreation

CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE OF THE PARK AND RECREATION BOARD OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

I. GENERAL STANDARDS

SECTION 100 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

- A. The maintenance of the highest standards of honesty, integrity, impartiality and conduct by the members of the Park and Recreation Board is essential to assure the proper performance of City business and maintenance of confidence by citizens in their government. The avoidance of conflicts of interest on the part of board members through informed judgment is indispensable to the maintenance of these standards.
- B. Pursuant to the provisions of Government Code
 Section 87300 et seq., the Park and Recreation Board of The City
 of San Diego hereby adopts the following Conflict of Interest
 Code. Nothing contained herein is intended to modify or abridge
 the provisions of the Political Reform Act of 1974 (Government
 Code Section 81000 et seq.). The provisions of this Code are
 additional to Title 9, Chapter 7 of the Government Code (Section
 87100 et seq.) and other laws pertaining to conflicts of interest.
 Except as otherwise indicated, the definitions of said Act and
 regulations adopted pursuant thereto are incorporated herein and
 this Code shall be interpreted in a manner consistent therewith.
- C. This Code reflects accepted standards imposed by the criminal and civil law of the State of California.

 However informational these regulations are, they are not a complete enumeration of all restrictions imposed by statutes.

The omission of a reference to such statute in no way affects its validity or applicability to a board member's conduct.

SECTION 101 DEFINITIONS

The definitions set forth in Title 9, Chapter 2 of the Government Code (Section 82000 et seq.) shall govern the interpretation of this Code.

SECTION 102 REMEDIAL ACTION

- A. In addition to civil and criminal penalties set forth in Title 9, Chapter 2 of the Government Code (Section 90000 et seq.), a violation of this Code by a member of the Park and Recreation Board may be the cause for remedial action which may include, but is not limited to:
 - Disqualification for a particular assignment.
 - 2. Removal from the board.
- B. Remedial action shall be effected in accordance with all applicable laws for notice, hearing and review.
- II. CONDUCT AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF MEMBERS
 SECTION 200 PROSCRIBED ACTIONS
- A. A board member shall avoid any action, whether or not specifically prohibited by law, which might result in or create the appearance of:
 - 1. Using public office for private gain.
 - Giving preferential treatment to any person.
 - 3. Losing complete independence or impartiality.
- B. In addition, every board member shall be familiar with and abide by Council Policy No. 000-4.

- A. A board member shall not solicit or accept, directly or indirectly, any gift, gratuity, favor, entertainment, loan, or any other thing of more than nominal monetary value, from a person who has dealings with the board and who:
 - 1. Has, or is seeking to obtain, contractual or other business or financial relations with The City of San Diego.
 - 2. Conducts operations or activities that are regulated by The City of San Diego.
 - 3. Has interests that may be substantially affected by the performance or nonperformance of City governmental duties.
- B. None of the foregoing shall be deemed to prohibit the acceptance of loans from banks or other financial institutions on customary terms to finance proper and usual activities of board members, unsolicited promotional materials of nominal value, or the defrayal by others of a legitimate business expense.
- c. A gift or gratuity, the receipt of which is prohibited by this section, shall be returned to the donor when feasible. If no return is feasible, the gift or gratuity shall be conveyed through the secretary of the board to a public charity.

SECTION 203 FINANCIAL INTERESTS

A board member shall not:

- A. Have a direct or indirect financial interest that conflicts or appears to conflict with his or her Board duties and responsibilities; or
- B. Engage in, directly or indirectly, a financial transaction as a result of or primarily relying on information obtained through his or her board affiliation.

SECTION 204 USE OF CITY PROPERTY

A board member shall not directly or indirectly use, or allow the use of City property or any kind for other than official duties.

SECTION 205 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

- A. A conflict of interest may exist whenever a board member has a substantial personal or private interest in a matter which involves duties and responsibilities as a board member. The trust of the citizenry demands that a board member take no action which would constitute the use of his or her position to advance personal or private interests. Each board member should avoid situations which present the possibility or the appearance that such board member's official position might be used to private advantage.
- B. Neither the provisions of the California Penal Code, California Government Code, nor the standards of conduct prescribed in this Code, are to be regarded as comprehensive. Each board member must, in each instance involving a personal or private interest in a matter which also involves his or her duties and responsibilities as a board member, make certain that his or her actions do not have the effect or the appearance of the use of such official position for the furtherance of his or her own interests or those of board member's family or business associates.
- C. The statutory provisions of conflict of interest are contained in Title 9, Chapter 7 of the Government Code (Section 87100, et seq.). The violation of these sections is more specifically outlined in Chapter 11 thereof (Section 91000, et seq.).

SECTION 206 DISQUALIFICATION BECAUSE OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS

Designated board members must disqualify themselves from making or participating in the making of any decisions in which they have a reportable financial interest when it is reasonably foreseeable that such interest may be materially affected by the decision. No board member shall be required to disqualify himself or herself with respect to any matter which could not be legally acted upon or decided without his or her participation.

When any person holding an official position as a board member has reason to believe he or she may be disqualified, he or she shall immediately report the nature of the potential conflict and absent himself or herself from further consideration of the matter.

III. STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS

After review and consultation with the board's legal advisor, it has been determined that by virtue of the board's responsibilities as defined in Section 26.32 of the San Diego Municipal Code, board members are not designated employees pursuant to Section 82019 of the California Government Code in that they are unsalaried members of a board or commission which serves a solely adviscry function.

The foregoing Conflict of Interest Code has been prepared by the Park and Recreation Board after a fair opportunity for
the members of the board to present their views had been offered. The Code is submitted to the City Council this $\frac{23}{3}$ day of
Jele., 1977.
James Milch, Chairman Park and Recreation Board
The above Conflict of Interest Code was approved by the
Council of The City of San Diego, acting as the code reviewing body pursuant to the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended,
by Resolution No. 218871; on the 10th
day of, 1977.
EDWARD NIELSEN Ed Nielsen City Clerk
By La Verne & Miller asst. City clerk
V

Office of The City Attorney City of San Diego

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

February 8, 2007

TO:

City Departments

FROM:

City Attorney

SUBJECT:

Determining Whether a City Consultant Must File a Statement of Economic

Interests (Form 700)

INTRODUCTION

The City of San Diego retains many professional consultants each year, such as architects, engineers, lobbyists, attorneys, and auditors. Under the California Political Reform Act [PRA], regulations promulgated by the Fair Political Practices Commission [FPPC] and the City's Conflict of Interest Codes, a determination must be made at the time of hiring as to whether the level of services provided by the individual consultants require disclosure of their economic interests. In most cases, City consultants will not be required to disclose because they are hired for a limited scope and purpose, or their recommendations are subject to significant substantive review. On the other hand, consultants that are acting in a staff capacity or making governmental decisions are required to disclose certain economic interests under the applicable department's Conflict of Interest Code.

This memorandum provides an overview of the applicable laws and recommendations to assist departments in making the determination as to whether a consultant must disclose his or her economic interests by filing a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700) [SEI] with the Office of the City Clerk.

DISCUSSION

The City recently conducted the required biannual review of all the Conflict of Interest Codes for each department to determine if any amendments or revisions were necessary. Appendix A of each department's Conflict of Interest Codes lists designated positions which involve the making, or participation in the making, of decisions which may foreseeably have a material effect on any financial interest. Appendix B describes the sources of income that an

¹ The Conflict of Interest Codes may be viewed on the City Clerk's website at http://www.sandiego.gov/city-clerk/elections/eid/index.shtml.

employee holding a designated position must disclose by completing the form SEI and filing it at the Office of the City Clerk as a public record.

In addition to designated positions, the Conflict of Interest Codes identify "consultants" as individuals who are required to file a SEI. Whether a "consultant" is required to file is a determination that must be made on a case-by-case basis following the regulations issued by the Fair Political Practices Commission [FPPC]. The term "consultant" as used in the Conflict of Interest Codes should follow the definition provided in the FPPC regulations as more fully discussed below.²

A. Overview of the Law and Policy Regarding Consultant Disclosure.

1. The Political Reform Act.

The purpose of the conflict of interest provisions of the Political Reform Act [Act] is to ensure public officials, whether elected or appointed, perform their duties in an impartial manner, free from bias caused by their financial interests or those of persons who have supported them. By disclosing relevant financial interests, public officials can determine whether a conflict of interest exists and avoid participating in a matter. The Act also makes this information available to the public to help ensure government decisions are free from undue influence or improper financial motives.

In particular, the purpose of these laws is "to promote and accomplish several state policies including: (1) assuring the independence, impartiality and honesty of public officials; (2) informing citizens regarding those economic interests of officials which might present a conflict of interest; (3) preventing improper personal gain by persons holding public office; (4) assuring that governmental decisions are properly arrived at; and (5) preventing special interests from unduly influencing governmental decisions." County of Nevada v. MacMillen, 11 Cal. 3d 662, 667 (1974), citing legislative findings in then-Government Code section 3601. See, Govt. Code § 81001(b).

"Public officials" include elected officers and other high-ranking public employees. In addition, certain "designated employees" are considered "public officials." The "designated employees" are the persons holding positions set forth in the City's conflict of interest code who are required to make financial disclosures. In addition, certain consultants to government agencies may be considered a "consultant" under the Act's definition and thus be required to make disclosures. In general, this occurs if the agency has delegated governmental decision-making authority to that person or the consultant is acting in a "staff capacity."

² The City cannot issue a "blanket" code requiring all outside consultants to automatically file statements of economic interest as a condition of receiving a City contract. See City of Carmelby-the-Sea v. Young, 2 Cal. 3d. 259 (1970) and County of Nevada v. MacMillen, 11 Cal. 3d. 662 (1974).

2. Definition of "Consultant."

According to Title 2, Section 18701(a)(2) of the California Code of Regulations, the regulations of the FPPC, and the California Government Code, a "consultant" is defined as follows:

- (2) "Consultant" means an individual³ who, pursuant to a contract with a state or local government agency:
 - (A) Makes a governmental decision whether to:
 - 1. Approve a rate, rule or regulation;
 - 2. Adopt or enforce a law;
 - 3. Issue, deny, suspend, or revoke any permit, license, application, certificate, approval, order, or similar authorization or entitlement;
 - Authorize the agency to enter into, modify, or renew a contract provided it is the type of contract that requires agency approval;
 - 5. Grant agency approval to a contract which requires agency approval and to which the agency is a party, or to the specifications for such a contract;
 - 6. Grant agency approval to a plan, design, report, study, or similar item:
 - Adopt, or grant agency approval of, policies, standards, or guidelines for the agency, or for any subdivision thereof; or
 - (B) Serves in a staff capacity with the agency <u>and</u> in that capacity participates in making a governmental decision as defined in Regulation 18702.2, <u>or performs the same or substantially all the same duties for the agency that would otherwise be performed by an individual holding a position specified in the agency's Conflict of Interest Code under Government Code Section 87302.</u>

2 Cal. Code of Regs. § 18701(a)(2)[emphasis added].

Thus, a "consultant" will be required to disclose certain financial interests if he or she either makes a "governmental decision" or serves in a "staff capacity." These terms are placed in quotes as they have special definitions under the Act and as interpreted by the FPPC.

³ A consultant is a natural person and not a corporation or entity; the individuals within a firm are the ones who file. Thus, if the contract is with a large corporation or entity, the work of individual employees must be reviewed to determine which individuals must file. See Widders Advice Letter, No. I-90-212.

a. "Makes a Governmental Decision."

Under section 18701(a)(2)(A), an individual "makes a governmental decision" when he or she, acting within the authority of his or her position: (i) votes on a matter; (ii) appoints a person; (iii) obligates or commits the agency to any course of action; (iv) enters into any contractual agreement on behalf of the agency; (v) determines not to act on the actions above. 2 Cal. Code of Regs. § 18702.1. A person likely would be considered a "consultant" if such decision making authority is delegated to that person.

b. Serves in a "Staff Capacity."

Under section 18701(a)(2)(B), a "consultant" will be required to disclose financial interests if he or she serves in a "staff capacity" and participates in making a governmental decision as defined in Regulation 18702.2. This includes an official who, when acting within the authority of his or her position,

- (a) Negotiates, without significant substantive review, with a governmental entity or private person regarding a governmental decision . . . or
- (b) Advises or makes recommendations to the decision maker either directly or without significant intervening substantive review, by:
 - (1) Conducting research or making any investigation which requires the exercise of judgment on the part of the official and the purpose of which is to influence a governmental decision referenced in Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 18701(a)(2)(A); or
 - (2) Preparing or presenting any report, analysis, or opinion, orally, or in writing, which requires the exercise of judgment on the part of the official and the purpose of which is to influence a governmental decision referenced in Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 18701(a)(2)(A).

2 Cal. Code of Regs. § 18702.2; Cal. Govt. Code § 83112.

According to the FPPC, an individual "serves in a staff capacity" if he or she performs substantially all the same tasks that normally would be performed by a staff member of a government entity. The length of a contractor's services to an agency and whether services are rendered on a regular and continuous basis are other factors to consider in making this determination. Similarly, an individual will be considered a "consultant" if he or she performs the same or substantially all the same duties for the City that would otherwise be performed by an individual holding a position specified in the agency's Conflict of Interest Code under Government Code section 87302.

In most cases, individuals who work on only one project or a limited range of projects for an agency are not considered to be working in a "staff capacity." However, if the scope of duties changes and an individual provides ongoing advice on a wide range of matters, he or she may be deemed to be acting in a "staff capacity." This is especially so if the tasks are substantially the same as those performed by a person in a position that is or should be specified in the City's conflict of interest code. Accordingly, individuals who serve in a staff capacity and "participate in" government decisions on general matters on an ongoing basis would be "consultants" under the above regulations.

B. Examples of Consultant Determinations by the FPPC.

The following examples are illustrative only and should not be relied on without additional factual review of the types of services being provided by a particular consultant.

The FPPC has determined the following may be "consultants:"

- Law firm retained to provide general advice and assistance to an agency on an ongoing basis. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Outside contractor to provide "plan checkers" who review plans for building code compliance and approve or deny permits. (Kalland Advice Letter, No. I-96-78.)
- Investment managers who manage and invest the portion of the agency's assets, have authority to direct others with respect to the acquisition or disposition of securities, have complete authority and discretion to establish accounts with securities brokers or dealers, votes on issues of mergers or acquisitions as directed by managers. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Real estate investment consultant who participates in the making of system policy; participates in purchasing decisions by making recommendations regarding appropriate software; can affect persons and entities who serve the agency as real estate advisors and managers. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Federal lobbyist who makes on-the-spot decisions to influence a particular result before House and Senate committees on behalf of the agency; duties are broad and undefinable. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- As-needed environmental consultant hired for three years; negotiates directly with federal, state and local agencies on behalf of the agency to obtain concurrence with environmental study's conclusions; performs duties similar to those of a staff person included in the agency's conflict of interest code. (Patterson Advice Letter, No. A-92-570).

- Architect who determined type of construction, quality of construction within budgetary limitations of the agency, provided advice and consultation with the client and assisted in obtaining permits and approvals; assisted in obtaining bids and awarding construction contract; participating in decisions in the same manner as an in-house architect would if the agency had such an architect. (Davis Advice Letter, No. I-91-473).
- Firm providing computer evaluation services and interacts directly with the decision-makers on an on-going basis as an integral part of the decision-making process; attends committee meetings and Board of Supervisors meetings as required; evaluates bid responses and advises committee as to the appropriate bid to select. (Workman Advice Letter, No. I-87-078).

The FPPC has determined the following may not be "consultants:"

- Agent custodian of assets that does not make or participate in the making of decisions, but rather obtains direction for each and every transaction from other sources, is in a ministerial position. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Law firm that provides legal services for a particular piece of litigation; decisions are subject to on-going review or direction by the agency. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Investment advisory committee members whose recommendations have been rejected or significantly amended or modified by final decision-makers; solely advisory. (Koppes Advice Letter, No. A-88-408).
- Consultant retained to construct a specific project according to specifications of a plan and there are no deviations from the plan. (Godwin Advice Letter, No. I-91-428).
- Survey-engineer that did not participate in any official decision-making. (In re Maloney (1977) 3 FCCP Ops. 69).
- Traffic subconsultant who provided traffic studies, identified necessary street designs
 and alternative mitigation measures where there was significant intervening
 substantive review by the lead consultant. (Gilbert Advice Letter, No. I-88-441).
- Firm providing sales tax auditing services; no research other than downloading
 existing data and reviewing the agency's allocations from the State Board of
 Equalization; no recommendations to City staff. (Wasko Advice Letter, No. A-04270).

C. Extent of Disclosure of Financial Interests.

The determination of which consultants must file is only the first issue. The second issue is the extent and scope of the disclosures they must make. The specific disclosures to be made are detailed in Appendix B to conflict of interest codes for individual City departments. Each City department must engage in a case-by-case analysis to determine which disclosure category is implicated.

Generally, "consultants" will be required to provide the broadest disclosures listed in the code for the department that employs them. However, a more limited disclosure may be appropriate if the consultant is providing a limited scope of services. In that situation, the designated City official must file a written determination that describes the duties and the extent of the disclosure requirements.

The City official designated in the applicable department's Conflict of Interest Code has the responsibility to ensure compliance with these laws. We recommend that a determination as to whether the outside consultant meets the definition of a "consultant" required to file a SEI be made by the official at the time the contract is signed. Any doubts about whether an outside consultant is a "consultant" should be resolved in favor of disclosure to meet the public policy objectives of the Act. In addition, departments should continually monitor the contracts to determine whether any changes to the scope of work or other factors may lead to a determination that the contractor has become a "consultant" required to make the required financial disclosures. Consultants also have a duty to alert the department when they become aware of facts that indicate they may have an obligation to make financial disclosures as a "consultant."

When the designated City official determines that an individual meets the definition of a "consultant" the official must notify the consultant of the filing obligation and scope of disclosure. The official must also file a determination with the Office of the City Clerk who will monitor compliance with filing requirements. Sample determination forms and letters are available from the Office of the City Clerk. We also recommend that written determinations that an outside contractor does not meet the definition of a "consultant" be maintained as a public record by the department or placed on file at the Office of the City Clerk.

CONCLUSION

A consultant for the City will meet the criteria of a "consultant" required to make certain financial disclosures if the individual "makes a governmental decision" or serves in a "staff capacity." The City official designated in the department's Conflict of Interest Code must make the determination as to whether a given consultant is to file. This is because the person who hired the consultant is in the best position to know the scope of his or her work and whether that scope changes over the course of the contract. Any doubts about whether an outside consultant is a "consultant" should be resolved in favor of disclosure.

When the individual is determined to be a "consultant" the City official must also determine the scope of the financial disclosure and notify the consultant of the filing requirements. Written determinations by the departments that a consultant must disclose his or her financial interests must be filed with the Office of the City Clerk to help ensure compliance with these laws. Finally, this Office is available to assist departments in making determinations about whether specific consultants should disclose their financial interests and the appropriate level of disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE City Attorney

MJA:jb

cc: May

Mayor and Councilmembers Elizabeth Maland, City Clerk



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE:

February 9, 2007

TO:

Deputy Chiefs, Directors, Agency Heads, and Commission Chairs

FROM:

Jo Anne SawyerKnow, Deputy Chief, Office of Ethics and Integrity

SUBJECT:

Conflict of Interest Code Reporting Requirements: Consultants

The Political Reform Act of 1974 ("Act") (Gov. Code §§ 81000-91014) requires that many consultants, doing business with a state or local government agency, disclose certain personal financial holdings through the annual filing of a Statement of Economic Interest ("SEI" or Form 700). Specifically, this disclosure requirement applies to any consultant of the City of San Diego that either makes a governmental decision or serves in the capacity of staff and, in that capacity, participates in making a governmental decision. The City, through the City Clerk, is tasked with notifying such consultants of their disclosure responsibilities.

Conflict of Interest Codes for each individual department or agency include the following (or similar) language regarding consultants:

"Consultants shall be included in the list of designated positions and shall disclose, pursuant to the broadest category in the code, subject to the following limitation:

The Department Director or a Deputy Director may determine in writing that a particular consultant, although a designated position, is hired to perform a range of duties that is limited in scope and thus is not required to fully comply with the disclosure requirements described in this section. Such written determination shall include a description of the consultant's duties and, based upon that description, a statement of the extent of disclosure requirements. The Director's or Deputy Director's determination is a public record and shall be retained for public inspection in the same manner and location as this Conflict of Interest Code."

Thus, the City is required to maintain records, available to the public, which list all consultants indicating those that have limited or no reporting responsibilities and specifying the reason for such determination. However, City departments, agencies and commissions have not been consistent in providing such records. Therefore, this office has been tasked with the responsibility of ensuring that the City complies with its reporting responsibilities as required under the Act.

Provision of 2007 Consultant List

All Deputy Chiefs, department directors, agency heads and chairs of commissions are instructed to <u>immediately</u> review the attached Consultant Report (Attachment A), generated recently by the City Clerk, to determine which consultants have contracts under their control. The names of these consultants should be transferred to the 2007 Consultant List Form (Attachment B)

Page 2
February 9, 2007
Conflict of Interest Code Reporting Requirements: Consultants

indicating with an "x" which consultants are required to file a Form 700 and which are not. Further, if, after review, it is determined that there are consultants currently doing business with a department/agency/commission under your control, whose names are not on the Consultant Report, those consultants must also be added to the 2007 Consultant List Form. For each consultant, please indicate whether the City Clerk has been notified of the retention of each consultant. Finally, if you are uncertain whether a company or individual, performing services for your department, agency or commission should be classified as a "consultant," please so indicate on the List. The completed 2007 Consultant List Form must be returned, signed, to this Office no later than February 17, 2007.

Conflict of Interest Code: Determination of Applicability for Consultant

Secondly, with respect to those retained consultants for which notification has <u>not</u> been provided by your department/agency or commission to the City Clerk, you or your designee are instructed to complete and file <u>directly</u> with the City Clerk (along with a copy to the Office of Ethics and Integrity) a form entitled "Conflict of Interest Code: Determination of Applicability for Consultant" ("Determination Form" -- Attachment C). Please note that instructions for the completion of this form, a recently issued City Attorney Opinion (dated February 8, 2007) on this subject, and the definition of "Consultant," are attached for your information and assistance. The Determination form must be filed with both offices no later than March 2, 2007.

The completed copy of the Determination Form should also be provided to each of the affected consultants. If you or your designee determines that a particular consultant should be filing the Form 700, and the consultant has historically failed to do so, he/she should also be notified that he/she should submit Form 700s for the prior four years to the City Clerk's Office as soon as possible.

PLEASE NOTE that the Office of the City Attorney is currently reviewing a draft administrative regulation concerning SEI filings by consultants. Once that regulation is issued, the process outlined in it must be followed by City Departments, Agencies and affected Commissions.

Jo Anne SawyerKnoll Deputy Chief, Office of Ethics and Integrity

cc: Ronne Froman, Chief Operating Officer Elizabeth Maland, City Clerk

Consultants

Consultant	Dept/Agency	/ Consultan	Consultantd	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Yes	CCDC	7		Ashley	Mark	Ty-Lin International
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Lutes	Gordon	PDC
	Attorney's O	~		Beach	Bruce W.	BBK Law Firm
10	Attorney's O	~		Diven	Warren B.	BBK Law Firm
	Attorney's O	~		Hagerty	Shawn D.	
	Attorney's O	~		Landers	Karen F.	BBK Law Firm
	Attorney's O			Betts	J. Rod	Paul, Plevin, Sullivan, Co
	Attorney's O	P		Shanahan	Donald	
	CCDC	Y	V	Abbott	Jim	Harris & Associates
	CCDC	y	\checkmark	Arceneaux	Larry	Kane Ballmer & Berkman
	CCDC	V	✓	Arceneaux	Larry	Katz Hollis
	CCDC	Y	\checkmark	Axelson	Gordon	BDS Engineering, Inc.
	CCDC	Y	✓	Babcock	Chuck	PBS&J
	CCDC	V	\checkmark	Beach	Bruce	Best Beast & Krieger, LL
	CCDC	v	✓	Beck	Steve	Ninyo & Moore
Ī	CCDC	✓	✓	Beresford	Linda	Opper & Varco, LLP
Ī	CCDC	✓	✓	Bhatia	Rajeev	Dyett & Bhatia
	CCDC	V	✓	Black	Laurie	LJ Black Consulting
	CCDC	Y	V	Bridges	John	P&D Consultants
C	CCDC	Y	V	Caringella	Rob	JR&C, Inc.
C	CCDC	Y	✓	Castro	Arturo	Tucker, Sadler & Associat
C	CCDC	Y	✓	Chapin	Mike	Geocon
	CCDC	✓ (✓	Chavez	Severo	BDS Engineering, Inc.
C	CCDC	✓ [✓	Clinton	Louis	Earth Tech Inc.
C	CCDC		•	Colburn	Jerry	OP&C, Inc.
C	CCDC		✓	Como	Melanie	Heritage A&P
C	CCDC	Z	✓	Curtin	Julie	DCI
C	CCDC		✓ .	Cutler	Eliot	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer
C	CCDC		✓	Daley	Dennis	Daley & Heft
1			_	Detisch	Don	Law Office of Don Detisc
-			_	Dolan	Christy	Edaw, Inc.
	and the second s		-	Drake	Curt	Heritage A&P
-			_	Edwards	Alan	Aegis Software, Inc.

Consultant	Dept/Agency/		Annual Vision Control to the Control of the Control	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Ye	s CCDC	V	V	Estrada	Vicki	Estrada Land Planning
	CCDC	V	V	Fehlman	Mark	Fehlman Le Barre
	CCDC	V	✓	Fisher	Yara	P&D Consultants, Inc.
	CCDC	~	V	Gabrielson	Tim	Rick Engineering
	CCDC	V	\checkmark	Gaeto	Tom	Construction T & E
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Garahan	Patrick	
	CCDC	~	V	Gavino	Linnie	KMA
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Gerhke	Rob	RBF Consulting
	CCDC	v	V	Gibson	Patrick	Kaku Associates, Inc.
	CCDC	v	V	Godsey	James V.	Macias Gini & O'Connell
	CCDC	✓	V	Hamilton	Pam M.	
	CCDC	✓	✓	Hankinson	Eric	RailPros
	CCDC	✓	V	Hara	Jerry	Diamond Production Grou
	CCDC	Y	V	Hirsch	Cathy	Pacific Railway Enterprise
	CCDC	~	✓	Jacobs	Paul	JCFK&K
	CCDC	~	✓	Johnson	Dan	SCS Engineers
	CCDC	Y	✓	Jones	Bob	JR&C, Inc.
	CCDC	✓	✓	Kane	Murray	Kane Ballmer & Berkman
	CCDC	✓	✓	Katz	Jeff	Jeff Katz & Architecture
	CCDC	~	✓	Kitahata	Gary	Kitahata & Company
	CCDC	~	✓	Landers	Karen	Best Best & Krieger, LLP
	CCDC	~	✓	Lia	Marie	Marie Burke Lia, Atty
-	CCDC	Y	✓	Lund	Bill	Flores Lund
	CCDC	Y	✓	Lynn	Heidel	Allen Matkins Leck Gamb
	CCDC	✓	✓	Magno	Eileen	Heritage A&P
	CCDC	✓	✓	Marra	Paul	KMA
	CCDC		✓	Marshall	David	Heritage A&P
	CCDC	✓	✓	Marshall	Julie	Rincon Consultants, Inc.
* 4	CCDC	V	✓	Mather	Bob	Westlake Reed Leskosky
	CCDC	V	✓	McAteer	Therese	McAteer & McAteer
	CCDC	✓	V	McCraw	Vince	OP&C, Inc.
- 1	CCDC	V	\mathbf{V}	Michael	Thomas	Harris & Associates
		V	V	Mills	Tom	Gradient Engineers, Inc.
		V	V	Molentin	Scott	Estrada Land Planning
		✓		Mooney	Brian	Mooney Jones & Stokes
A		V		Munro	Robin	Allen Matkins Leck Gamb

Consultant	Dept/Age	ncy/ Consu	ıltan Consultantd	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Ye	s CCDC	~	V	Nasland	Steve	Nasland Engineering
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Nesbit	Elaine	EE&K Architects
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Noyal	Scott	Daley & Heft
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	O'Connor	Karen	Pfocus
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Ohlson	Camille	Camille Olson
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Opper	Richard	Opper & Varco, LLP
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Peterson	Mark	Wilson & Company
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Puddy	Don	Kitchell Cem, Inc.
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Rask	Walter	Roma
	CCDC	~	\mathbf{Z}	Rauch	Andrew	Daley & Heft
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Roach	Steve	JR&C, Inc.
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Saunders	Javier	Harris & Associates
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Schaefer	Dr. Jerry	ASM Affiliates
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Schug	Dave	URS Corporation
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Smith	Rick	Camille Ohlson
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Snyder	Sandy	Snyder Estremo
	CCDC	~		Snyder	Steve	Snyder Estremo
	CCDC	~		Sobel	Steve	SOM
	CCDC	~	.	Sopp	Dr. Trudy	The Centre for Organizati
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Spengler	Chris	SCS Engineers
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Stadler	Dave	OP&C, Inc.
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Thornburgh	Larry	Nasland Engineering
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Tyker	Erika	Red Door Interactive, Inc
	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Varco	Suzanne	Opper & Varco, LLP
	CCDC	Y	V	Vettel	Joe	Geocon
į	CCDC	~	\checkmark	Wasserman	Glenn	Kane Ballmer & Berkman
	CCDC		$ \mathbf{Z} $		IBI Group	
1	CCDC		\checkmark		Diligence O	
	CCDC		$ \mathbf{Z} $		Tetra Tech, I	
	CCDC		$ \mathbf{Z} $		Bacon's Mul	
	CCDC	P	\checkmark		Po Shu Won	
·	CCDC		✓		Motivational	
Ī	CCDC	中	\checkmark		Tetre Tech	
Ī	CCDC	口	\checkmark		Bruce A. Hu	
-	CCDC		$ \mathbf{V} $		Visual Asylu	
1.	CCDC		\checkmark		Bennett Peji	

Consultant	Dept/Agency	/ Consultan		NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Yes	CCDC	H			Headstrong I	
	CCDC	P	\checkmark	Beshears	James	
	CCDC	P	V	Chifici	Gasper	PBS&J
	CCDC	P	\checkmark	Cross	Jeff	Flores Lund
	CCDC	P	\checkmark	Meola	Apriano	Pinnacle International
	CCDC Boar	P		Holmes Pea	Helen	
	Debt Manag	~		Bermis	Jim	Montague DeRose & Ass
	Debt Manag	~		Cimino-DeR	Darlene	Montague DeRose
	Debt Manag	~		Jacobs	Dick	Dick Jacobs Associates
	Debt Manag	V		Roess	Andrea R.	Davis Taussig & Associa
	Debt Manag	Y		Runk	Stephen A.	David Taussig & Associa
	Debt Manag	~		Yang	Chia-Jung	Montague DeRose
	Debt Manag	P		Bemis	James R.	Montague DeRose
	Developmen	~		McLarney	Timothy P.	True North Research
	Developmen	~		Miller	Margo S.	Miller Consulting Group
	Developmen			Ryan	Dennis	True North Research
	Environment		\checkmark	Civale	Kevin	Hawkins, Delafield & Wo
	Environment			Ayster	Ken	SCS Engineers/SCS Field
	Environment		\checkmark		Geocon Con	
	Environment		✓	Berge, P.E.	Thomas A.	
	Environment		✓	Collins	Susan	Hilton, Farnkopf & Hobs
	Environment			Cotton	Matthew	Integrated Waste Manage
	Environment		V	Douglas	Diane	URS Corporation
	Environment		V	Epler	Robert	HDR Engineering, Inc.
	Environment		✓	Hatch	Massie	URS Corporation
	Environment		✓	Hilton	Robert	Hilton, Farnkpf & Hobson
	Environment		✓	Johnson	Angela	URS Corporation
	Environment			Jones	Jay	SCS Engineers
	Environment		✓	Klein	Michael	Klein Edwards Profession
	Environment		V	McRae	Tessa	SCS Engineers
	Environment			Mock	Pat	URS Corporation
	Environment			Monsen	William A.	MRW & Associates, Inc.
	Environment			Morris	Deborah	Hilton, Frankopf & Hobse
Ī	Environment		V	Muller	Nadine	Hilton, Farnkopf & Hobs
Ī	Environment		V	Patterson	Ken	Advance Energy Innovati
1	Environment		V	Peterson	Eric	Hawkins, Delafield & Wo

Consultant	Dept/Agency/	Consultan		NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Yes	Environment	E		Simonson	Richard	Hilton, Farnkopf & Hobse
	Environment	H	V	Torrey	Sean	SCS Engineers/SCS Field
	Environment		V	Tseng	Eugene	E. Tseng & Associates, In
	Environment		V	Vidal	Danilo	SCS Engineers/SCS Field
	Environment	~	V	Edwards	Joan	Land the same
	Housing Co	~		Trimble	Gerald M.	
	Metropolitan	~	✓	Behle	Adam	
	Metropolitan	~	✓	Binsfield	Albert	
	Metropolitan		V	Booker	Melissa A.	
1,1	Metropolitan	~	✓	Cathcart	James	
	Metropolitan	Y		Egense	Anders	Boyle Engineering
	Metropolitan	✓		Evans	John	HDR Engineering, Inc.
	Metropolitan	y		Flores	Michael	HDR Engineering, Inc.
	Metropolitan	7		Hays	Raymond	Value Management Strate
2	Metropolitan	v		Ince	Kyle	Merkel & Associates, Inc.
j	Metropolitan	V		Jensen	Diana	Merkel & Associates, Inc.
j	Metropolitan	y []	MacFarlane,	Donald	Boyle Engineering
Ī	Metropolitan	v		Merkel	Keith W.	Merkel & Associates, Inc.
j	Metropolitan]	Missirlian	Vasken	
· .	Metropolitan	v		Olthof	Jeroen	
1	Metropolitan]	Rink	Steve R.	
1	Metropolitan]	Skidmore	Anthony	
1	Metropolitan]	Sweesy	Michael	
1	Metropolitan			Umphres	Margaret	
N	Metropolitan			Waters	Lisa	
N	Metropolitan			Wessels	Eric	
C	Office of Ho			Welty	Robert	
F	ublic Safet		Z	Marx	David	URS Corporation
F	Real Estate			Prentice	Paul	Newport Pacific Capitol C
F	Real Estate			Raygoza	Noel	Pedus
F	Real Estate			Robinson	R. Maurice	Maurice Robinson Associ
F	Leal Estate			Shlaes	Noah	Grubb & Ellis
P	lisk Manag] , [Brogan	Patrick A.	
			7	James	Adrian	Rogge Global Partners/Sio
S	CS -		2 .	Gutzler	Robert	SCS Engineers
	DCCC		2	Freeman	Richard M.	Sheppard Mullin

Consultant	Dept/Agency	// Consultan	Consultantd	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Yes	SDCCC	~	V	Lipka	Robin	The Marlin Alliance
	SDCCC	V	V	Petty	Regina	
	SDCCC	~	\checkmark	Wild	Ron	The Marlin Alliance
	SDCCC	V	\checkmark	Wofford	Robin	
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Butler	David	Rogge Global Partners/Si
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Ader	Richard	US Realty Advisors, LLC
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Anslow	Robert	Globeflex Capital, LP
	SDCERS	✓	\checkmark	Ariano	Paul J.	Wall Street Associates
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Assante	Linda	The Townsend Group
	SDCERS	V	V	Barnes	Michael	Rogge Global Partners/Sie
	SDCERS	V	V	Beitner	Brian	TCW Associates Realty
	SDCERS	V	V	Bell	Richard	Rogge Global Partners/Sie
	SDCERS	V	V	Blum	Craig	TCW Associates Realry
	SDCERS	V	V	Brandes	Charles	Brandes Investment Partn
	SDCERS	Y	V	Burlingame	Steve	TCW Associates Realty
	SDCERS	V	V	Callahan	James A.	Callan Associates, Inc.
	SDCERS	V	✓	Campos	Darrell	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V		Carbone	James	RREEF
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Carlson	Mark	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	V	Casellini	Marlena	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Conway	Malie	Rogge Global Partners/Sie
	SDCERS	V	✓	Cook	Robert J.	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Deere	Robert	Dimensional Fund Adviso
	SDCERS	V	$ \mathbf{V} $	Deng	Jingxin	Rogge Global Parners
1	SDCERS	V	✓	Douglas	George	SSI Investment Managem
5	SDCERS	V	✓	Dow	Jonathan	Rogge Global Partners
5	SDCERS	V	V	Dubchansky	Scott B.	Metropolitan West Asset
5	SDCERS	V	✓	Ebner	Bradford	Salus Capital Managemen
5	SDCERS	V	✓	Feinberg	Peter	RREEF Funds
5	SDCERS	v	~	Forsythe	Doug	Nicholas-Applegate Capit
5	SDCERS	v	✓	Galluccio	Nick	TCW Associates Realty
5	SDCERS	y 1	✓	Gaylord	Laura R.	RREEF Funds
_	SDCERS	v	V	Gilliard	David	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	v	v	Gilmartin	Richard	Rogge Global Partners
	SDCERS	v	✓	Gonzalez	Timothy K.	RREEF Funds
	DCERS	v	✓	Gottfurcht	John	SSI Investment Managem

Consultant	Dept/Agend	cy/ Consultar	Consultantd	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Yes	SDCERS	~	V	Gottfurcht	Amy Jo	SSI Investment Managem
	SDCERS	~		Graham	John	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	V	V	Gray	Richard	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	~	\checkmark	Gross	William	PIMCO
	SDCERS	Y	V	Heffernan	Tim	Pyramid Global Advisors
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Howley	Sean J.	Putman Investments
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Hughes	Chris	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	~	\checkmark	Jeffrey, III	William	Wall Street Associates
	SDCERS	V	V	Johnson	Matt	The Townsend Group
	SDCERS	V		Johnson	Kevin D.	Dodge & Cox
	SDCERS	V	✓	Keight	Timothy	RREEF Funds
. 14	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Kent	Mike	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	Y	LeCoq	Paul K.	Wall Street Associates
	SDCERS	V	V	Ledy	David	US Realty Advisors, LLC
	SDCERS	✓	V	Leitner III	Charles B.	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	✓	V	Makowske	John	Rogge Global Partners
	SDCERS	✓ .	\checkmark	Mann	Richard	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	~	\checkmark	Mansor	Asieh	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	✓	McAuliffe	Brian	RREEF Fund
	SDCERS	V	✓	McCain	Kenneth F.	Wall Street Associates
	SDCERS	V	✓	Meier	Steven	State Street Global
	SDCERS	V	✓	Merriman	Dwight	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	✓	Moller-Butc	Jens	Rogge Global Partners/Sio
1	SDCERS	V	✓	Moore	Patrick	Metropolitan West Asset
5	SDCERS	Y	✓	Otto	Warren	RREEF Funds
5	SDCERS	Y	V	Raso	Sol A.	RREEF Funds
5	SDCERS	V	✓	Reilly	Mark S.	State Street Global
5	SDCERS	V	✓	Rivelle	Tad	Metropolitan West Asset
5	SDCERS	y	✓	Robertson	John F.	RREEF Funds
5	SDCERS	y	•	Rogge	Olaf	Rogge Global Partners/Sio
5	SDCERS		✓	Rudebeck	Annabel	Rogge Global Partners/Sio
5	DCERS	<u>v</u>	✓	Ryan	Marla K.	Delta Asset Management
-	DCERS	v	_	Saber	Denise	Rogge Global Partners/Sio
-	DCERS	V	v	Shadek	Edward	Putman Advisory Compan
<u> </u>	DCERS	V	✓	Silvers	David	US Realty Advisors, LLC
	DCERS	V	_	Spruill	Ann	Grantham, Mayo, Van Ott

Consultant	Dept/Agency		Consultantd	NameLast	NameFirst	CompanyName
Ye	s SDCERS	~	V	Steppe	Stephen M.	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	~	\checkmark	Sutton	Anthony	Putnam Advisory Compar
	SDCERS		V	Suvall	Susan	TCW Associates Realty
	SDCERS	~	V	Swanezy	Susan	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	~	V	Thariyan	Stephen	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	~	V	Vojticek	John	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	~	\checkmark	Weaver	Daniel	RREEF Funds
	SDCERS	V	V	Wiese	C.	Wall Street Associates
	SDCERS	V	\checkmark	Wimyard	Vincent	Nisholas-Applegate
	SDCERS	~	✓	Witzer	David	Rogge Global Partners/Sic
	SDCERS	Y	✓	Yalonis	Micolyn	The Townsend Group
	SEDC	V		Hughes	Marc	
	SEDC	v		Mooney	Brian	
	SEDC	V		Robinson	Carl	
	SEDC	~		Soo-Hoo	Greg	
	SEDC (staff)	V		Butler	Patricia	
	SEDC (staff)	V		Collins	Herman	
	SEDC (staff)	V		Nielsen	David	
	SEDC (staff)	Y		Trimble	Gerald M.	
No	Arts & Cultu			Brookes	Nigel	
	Arts & Cultu			Jones	Christine	
	Arts & Cultu			Shaw	Felicia	
	Arts & Cultu			Spriggs	Dana	
	Attoney's Of			Billy	Catherine L.	
	Attoney's Of			Boardman	Jane Maria	
	Attorney's O			Vaughan	Susan J.	
	Attorney's O])	✓	Bradley	Catherine M.	
	Attorney's O			Ables	Melissa D.	
	Attorney's O			Adams	Diana Y.	
	Attorney's O]	Aguilar	Andrew J.	
	Attorney's O			Anderson	Deirdre	
	Attorney's O			Ayeni	Anthony	
	Attorney's O			Bamberg	Amy M.	
	Attorney's O			Bamberg	Daniel F.	
1	Attorney's O			Barrett	Bobby	
1	Attorney's O			Bean	Darren Lloy	