

---

## OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

---

**Date Issued:** October 18, 2010

**IBA Report Number:** 10-84

**Rules Committee Date:** October 20, 2010

**Item Number:** 2

---

# Response to Grand Jury Report Titled “San Diego City’s Financial Crisis – The Past, Present, and Future”

## OVERVIEW

On June 8, 2010, the San Diego County Grand Jury issued a report to the Mayor, City Council, San Diego City Employees Retirement System (SDCER), the City’s Audit Committee, and the City’s Auditor. The purpose of the Grand Jury’s report was to assess the financial issues facing the City and also determine what brought the City to its current financial condition. The report also proposed strategies to mitigate the City’s budgetary deficits.

The Grand Jury Report included twenty seven findings and sixteen recommendations. Of these, the City Council is required to respond to all of the findings and nine of the recommendations. The Mayor, City Council, SDCERs, and the City’s Audit Committee and Auditor are required to provide comments to the Presiding Judge of the San Diego Superior Court on each of their respective findings and recommendations in the Grand Jury Report within ninety days. Due to the demands of the legislative calendar, the Presiding Judge granted an extension to the date for the City’s responses to December 1, 2010. This report presents the City Council’s response as recommended by the IBA.

The IBA has reviewed a copy of the Mayor’s draft responses to each of the findings and recommendations. For each finding and recommendation, the City Council may 1) join the Mayor’s response; 2) respond with a modification to the Mayor’s response; or 3) respond independently of the Mayor.

In responding to each Grand Jury finding, the City is required to either 1) agree with the finding or 2) disagree wholly or partially with the finding. Responses to Grand Jury

recommendations must indicate that the recommendation 1) has been implemented; 2) has not yet been implemented, but will be in the future; 3) requires further analysis; or 4) will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable. Explanations for responses are requested when applicable.

Of the 43 items included in the Mayor, Audit Committee, and Auditor’s responses, the IBA recommends that the City Council respond with a modification to the Mayor’s responses for 12 items, and respond independently of the Mayor for 7 items.

The table below provides a summary of the IBA’s recommendations:

Recommendations:	10-128, 10-129, 10-131, 10-132, 10-137	<b><i>Join the Mayor’s and/or Audit Committee &amp; City Auditor Response</i></b>
Findings:	01, 02, 05, 06, 08, 09, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23	
Recommendations:	10-125, 10-127, 10-130, 10-138, 10-139	<b><i>Respond with a Modification to the Mayor’s Response</i></b>
Findings:	03, 04, 07, 12, 18, 19, 24	
Recommendations:	10-130, 10-140	<b><i>Respond Independently of Mayor</i></b>
Findings:	10, 22, 25, 26, 27	

The full text of the Mayor’s responses, and the IBA’s recommended responses on behalf of the City Council, can be found in Attachment A to this report.

---

Lisa Byrne  
Fiscal & Policy Analyst

---

Elaine DuVal  
Fiscal & Policy Analyst

---

Jeff Kavar  
Fiscal & Policy Analyst

---

Melinda Nickelberry  
Fiscal & Policy Analyst

---

Jeffrey Sturak  
Deputy Director

---

APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin  
Independent Budget Analyst

Attachments:

- A. Recommended City Council Responses to Findings and Recommendations in San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “San Diego City’s Financial Crisis – The Past, Present, and Future.”
- B. San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “San Diego City’s Financial Crisis - The Past, Present, and Future.”
- C. San Diego City Employees’ Retirement System Responses to the San Diego County Grand Jury Report entitled “San Diego City’s Financial Crisis - The Past, Present, and Future.”