#### Appendix B - Public Meetings

#### Public Meeting #1 Materials

Invitation - English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Sign-In Sheet/Attendees

Presentation

**Board Comments** 

Comment Card – English, Spanish

Comment Card Responses

#### Public Meeting #2 Materials

Invitation - English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Sign-In Sheet/Attendees

Presentation

Boards

Board Comments

Handout Materials (Booklet, Educational Materials)

Comment Card – English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Comment Card Responses

**Evaluation Summary** 



#### Public Meeting #1 Materials

Invitation – English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Sign-In Sheet/Attendees

Presentation

Board Comments

Comment Card – English, Spanish

Comment Card Responses



## El Cajon Blvd



Join us for a Community Workshop to review ideas on how best to improve the travel experience between Highland Avenue and 50th Street in Mid-City. We will discuss traffic, parking, crosswalks, bicycling, branding concepts, landscaping, lighting, benches, and more.

#### Saturday, November 14th at 10:00am Copley-Price Family YMCA 4300 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92105 Followed by a Little Saigon District Plaque Dedication.

For more info, please contact Vickie White with the City of San Diego Planning Department at vwhite@sandiego.gov





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

## El Cajon Blvd



Acompáñenos en un taller comunitario para comentar ideas acerca de cómo podemos mejorar la experiencia de tránsito entre Highland Avenue y la calle 50 en Mid-City. Hablaremos del tráfico, estacionamiento, cruces peatonales, tránsito en bicicleta, conceptos de identidad, paisajismo, iluminación, bancas y más.

#### Sábado, 14 de noviembre, a las 10:00am Copley-Price Family YMCA

#### 4300 El Cajon Blvd., San Diego, CA 92105

Después del taller le invitamos a la Develación de la placa conmemorativa que identifica al Little Saigon District.

Para más información favor de contactar a Vickie White del Departamento de Planeación de la Ciudad de San Diego vwhite@sandiego.gov





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

## Đại Lộ El Cajon



Hãy cùng tham gia với chúng tôi Buổi Hội Thảo Chung để xem lại những ý tưởng làm sao để cải thiện trãi nghiệm lưu thông giữa đường Highland Avenue và Đường 50th Street vùng Mid-City. Chúng ta sẽ thảo luận về giao thông, chỗ đậu xe, đường băng qua đường, xe đạp, khái niệm xây dựng thương hiệu, cảnh quan, đèn sáng, băng ghế, và nhiều nữa.

Vào lúc 10 giờ sáng, thứ Bảy, Ngày 14 tháng Mười Một Copley-Price Family YMCA 4300 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92105 Theo sau là việc Tặng Bảng Hiệu Khu Little Saigon

Mọi chi tiết xin liên lạc Vickie White ở Ban Quy Hoạch Thành Phố San Diego vwhite@sandiego.gov (tiếng Anh) or Su Nguyen su@littlesaigonsandiego.org (tiếng Việt)





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	EMAIL
JESS	_	
EHC	_	
Little Saigon F.	_	
Bouleward BIA		
	JESS	EHC SS

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE #		EMAIL
Londy Maturino	Hoover High	_		
Carlos Garcice	Hoover High	_		
Danny Veeh	SANDAG			
Tootie homas	El Cayou BIVA. BEA	_		
Phillip Phan	LSF			
Ron Luans	LSF	k		
			,	
,				

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	EMAIL
Martha Castro	Hoover High		
Mike Havesck	Azalea Park		
Itet Tran	LSF		
Sy Numer	LSF		
DZUY NGUYEN	ESF LSF		
EUDAG BUI	CEFE LSF		
8XVONG DA	LSF #		
Zaloy Forman	El Caron Blod BLA		
Miriam Rodnig			

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	EMAIL
Tram ham	Little Saizon Poundation		
Van Vo	DSF		
HAU LAM	R8 LSF		
ATY TRAN	LSF		
CAREN DUFOUR	ACCESS YOUTH ACADEMY		
De le	TAT Radio		
Dura Nguerer	LITTLE Saen SD Fordah		
Hoan Truons	Education for Humanity		
BINH NOUYEN			

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	EMAIL
Ron Anderson	Ken/TAL - Resident		
Guadalupe Perez		_	
Carlos Falcon	Hoover high	_	
Angela Noble	Resident	-	
Ohnstüne Mussey	Regulant	_	
Ahastzsia Brauster	CHEDC/ resident	a	
Racpit Dimperent	office of cowcic member MAN. Emeriority	-	
Aw putrom	RESIDENT		
Tram Trav	LSF	~	
	1		

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	EMAIL
Avital About y	CHCDC		

## EL CAJON BOULEVARD Complete Boulevard Study Workshop

Location:	ҮМСА
	4300 El Cajon Boulevard
	San Diego, CA 92105
Date:	November 14, 2015
Time:	10:00am – 12:00pm

#### **MEETING AGENDA**

- Welcome and Introductions Bennett Peji
- Meeting Purpose Jim Townsend, Bennett Peji and Vickie White
- Background / Study Overview Jim Townsend and Vickie White
- Room Orientation Jim Townsend
- Concept Layout and Evaluations Jim Townsend
- Urban Design Elements Marian Marum
- Participant Discussion, Questions and Ideas Bennett Peji
- Adjourn

#### **HIGHLAND AVENUE TO 50TH STREET**

#### **Travel Demand**

#### Average Daily Traffic (ADT) Projections



#### Mid-City Regional Bike Corridor Project



#### 100+ Pedestrian Counts **During Peak Hours** 50-99 N. Side of El Caion Blvd 1-49 1,351 . Side of El Cajon Blvd 1,554 Hours Observed: 7-9am & 4-6pm Crossing El Cajon Blvd 1,282 106 230 10 569 47th St Euclid Ave 49th St 15th St 46th St 48th St **Wenlo Ave** 50th

#### Pedestrians Observed Moving Eastward and Westward along El Cajon Boulevard

100+

60-99 1-59

1-59

Hours Observed: 7-9am & 4-6pm



#### Pedestrian Demand-Pedestrians Observed Crossing El Cajon Boulevard

#### **HIGHLAND AVENUE TO 50TH STREE**

#### **Parking Utilization**

#### **Observed On Street Parking**



#### Legend Curb Parking Metered Parking Parking Use AM Motorcycle Parking </ 2/3 • PM No Parking >2/3 — Alley

Real Parking Capacity \*1 car=1 vehicle Observed Vehicles Parked \*1 dot =1 vehicle Mid Day

#### Side Street Accommodations for Angled Parking



#### Parking by the Numbers



9th St

#### **Corridor Concept**



#### EL CAJON BOULEVARD **Corridor Concept**



**50TH STREE** 

BLVD CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT STUDY - FAIRMOUNT AVENUE TO

CAJON

ᆸ

ATE COMPLI

#### 100 - (b) (b) 12 MENLO -12 - CP( 46TH - C.P.(34) ST CELEBE – C.P. 14 188.689 AVE - C.P. (2) BLVD P.① - C.P. 🛈 SHEET AJO **46TH** EI SEF ST CHAMOUNE 21.748 н AVE E.A. UPIED ON STREET PARKING 5.5 OBJERVED TIME LEGENDS: MD PM AM 玩 C = DECORATIVE DOUBLE 1 ADA COMPLIANT RAMP - = STORM WATER INLET \_\_\_\_ = RED CURB 15'+ SIDEWALK-GREATEST 119 💢 = FIRE HYDRANT m = RAPID BUS TRANSIT STOP GREEN CURB NON-COMPLIANT RAMP 10-14.9' SIDEWALK-MARGINA OPPORTUNITY EL CAJON BLVD CORRIDOR STUDY FAIRMOUNT AVE. TO 50TH ST. 507 = LOCAL BUS STOP C.P. = CURB PARKING $(\cdot)$ = SHADE TREE iler's DAMAGED RAMP <10' SIDEWALK-LOW 11 47 = CROSSWALK 12 20 = PALM TREE = SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CURB WITHOUT RAMP 31' 13 1 1 RAISED MEDIAN 407 1" = 40' 14 2 = PLANT - TRAFFIC SIGN TYPE 15 STREET LIGHT BULB OUT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA ENGINEERING AND CAPITAL PROJECTS DEPARTMENT CONSUL TANT TRUCTION CHANGE / ADDENDUM 7 AFFECTED OR ADDED SHEET NUMBERS WILSON APPROVAL NO. CITY OF SAN DIEGO &COMPANY PUBLIC WORKS PROJECT IF THIS BAR DOES NOT MEASURE 1\* THEN DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE CJ ROBERTS AS-BUILTS

#### **Corridor Concept**



#### **49TH STREET TO 50TH STREET**



#### **Corridor Concept**

- All on street parking removed,
- Bike lane added,
- Limited available right-of-way does not support widening the curb-
- to-curb width to install bicycle lanes.



#### EL CAJON BOULEVARD Corridor Concept

#### On street parking remains,

- Dedicated bike and bus lane,
- One lane in each direction,
- Approximately 10,000 vehicles per day would need to be redirected
- and use a different route.



#### **HIGHLAND AVENUE TO 45TH STREET**

#### **Corridor Concept**



#### EL CAJON BOULEVARD Corridor Concept

#### **CHAMOUNE AVENUE TO MENLO AVENUE**



#### **47TH STREET TO ESTRELLA AVENUE**

#### **Corridor Concept**



#### **49TH STREET TO 50TH STREFT**

**Corridor Concept** 



Δ

#### Streetscape Section-Little Saigon District



#### EL CAJON BOULEVARD Streetscape Section





# **Urban Design Treatments #1**

Opportunities for Sidewalk < 10'





## Opportunities for Sidewalk 10'-15'



















5





















































Parking lane

Tree zone

Walking zone

Outdoor cafe zone

é

20

 $\otimes$ 

Ð

red Amount of Space:

20

>15′

ľ2





## A J O N BOULEV AR HIGHLAND AVE. TO 50TH ST

# Urban Design Treatments #2

#### Parklet





A parklet is an expansion of the sidewalk into one or more on-street to extend the sidewalk space, and retrofitting it with benches parking spaces to create people-oriented places. Parklets introduce planters, tables and chairs, umbreliaz, and bike racks. In reversionable factures such as earling, planting, bicycle parking, or elements of play. Parklets encourage pedestrian activity by offering these human-scale "endes" in the stream, which is especially beneficial in areas that lack sufficient sidewalk within or access to public space. Parklets are typically created by building a platform on the pavement

## **Bio-Retention Swales and Rain Gardens**





A bio-retention swale, or curbside garden, is designed to act like a sponge, sopping up rainwater and street runoff that floods the city's sewer systems during storms and compromises the health of local waterways. The low notched curb is designed to artch rainfal runoff, which is then filtered through layers of stornes and plant roots to purify it and protect downstream ecosystems. These planted areas help mitigate the "grey"



infrastructure of our urban environment. Rain gardens and bioswales reduce the amount of pollution reaching creeks and streams. Bioswales are not vegetated on the bottom and tend to be deeper basins where soil and rock fitter the water. Rain gardens on the other hand tend to be shallow and completely vegetated.

adtered paver 1" overhang on

> Geotestie 12° wide at all parimeters, turn up against curb

idoness

## Permeable Pavers



per Enginee



#### **Monuments and Branding Elements**

## SAIGON C





Identified Branding Element Locations



#### **HIGHLAND AVENUE TO 50TH STREET**



#### Hoover High School Concept



## N BO



#### BOUL

#### **47TH STREET TO ESTRELLA AVENUE**

#### **Corridor Concept**





### EL CAJON BOULEVARD **Corridor Concept**



#### **AVENUE TO MENLO AVENUE**

CHAMOUNE

10 FAIRMOUNT AVENUE 18 STUDY CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT BLVD CAJON Ē







The work on a little Server dit

# Opportunities for Sidewalk 10'-15'



# Opportunities for Sidewalk >15'








# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### **Corridor Concept**

NO Madrans ON E.C.B. No Median on Northbound turns from ECB to 1770 on Estable

- medians extend 4 Blks ± 4 alleys - total of 8"streets" closed off > Dograe water to police | fire / residents' access ... from Euclid to Winona. O Narrow sidewalk at 47th at ECB to improve line of site for cars turning west onto ECBS I agree water this suggestion



#### STREET TO ESTRELLA AV

### JON BOULEV ELCA NO Mediais AloyE ECB that block left torns from 5, & 5 teets to ECB-Ale metric nothbound furner from ECB & chammer of Merlin Menlo websick nothbound hume at this long interestion to reduce bottlened effect and create sign Relecture notity **Corridor Concept** 3 29 542 MENLO CHAMOUNE 1 AVE BB BLVD 0 CAJO 6TH EX PARKING CHAMOUNE ST **KFC** AVE \* Would like to see pedestrian scaled lighting along entire study area to acorn lamps Unlandscoped medians as the chain improvement do not velated to a complete Blud. more lighting on 13 mile on 13 be mile no medines useded because fare so will be more parter on the sorphis







Non-Metered Spaces III Metered Spaces 28% are Metered Spaces NO ADDITIONAL PARKing Meters on E.C.B. OV

# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### **HIGHLAND AVENUE TO 50TH STREET**

### **Travel Demand**

#### Average Daily Traffic (ADT) Projections



#### Mid-City Regional Bike Corridor Project





	Pedestrian Counts	During Peak Hours
	N. Side of El Cajon Blvd	1,351
IC	S. Side of El Cajon Blvd	1,554
ine	Crossing El Cajon Blvd	1,282

# ELCAJON BOULEVARD

## Please complete and send to Vickie White by email at vwhite@sandiego.gov or by mail to 1222 First Avenue, MS 413, San Diego, CA 92101

	No		
2			
Yes			
lements			
	Yes Yes erience?? Yes erience? Yes lements Yes	Yes       No         Yes       No         Yes       No         erience??       Yes         No       No         erience?       Yes         No       No         Iements       No         Yes       No	Yes       No         Yes       No         Yes       No         Yes       No         erience??       Yes         No

## ELCAJON BOULEVARD COMENTARIOS

Favor de completar esta hoja y enviarla a Vickie White por correo electrónico a vwhite@sandiego.gov o por correo a 1222 First Avenue, MS 413, San Diego, CA 92101. ¡Gracias por sus comentarios!

¿Está usted de acuerdo con este concepto? Por favor expresa tu opinión:			
oncepto #2 de Diseño Urbano / U	Irban Design Trea	itments #2	
¿Está usted de acuerdo con este concepto? Por favor expresa tu opinión:			
oncepto de Corredor Vial / Corrid	lor Concept 📃		
¿Está usted de acuerdo con este concepto? Por favor expresa tu opinión:			
oncepto de la Escuela Preparato	ria Hoover / Hoov	ver High Sc	hool Concept 📃
¿Está usted de acuerdo con este concepto? Por favor expresa tu opinión:			
emanda de Transporte / Travel D	Demand		
¿Es lo presentado congruente con su experienc Por favor expresa tu opinión:	•		
tilización del Estacionamiento /	Parking Utilizati	on	
¿Es lo presentado congruente con su experienc Por favor expresa tu opinión:	•		
oncepto de Monumentos y Elem	entos Temáticos		
¿Está usted de acuerdo con este concepto? Por favor expresa tu opinión:	Sí	No	

## <u>El Cajon Boulevard – General</u> <u>Comments</u>

Other Comments:

- Would love to see these designs in advance to allow more thought
- Would like to participate in project working group
- We should be able to see crash history- where?
- Community working needs to be created
- Landscaped plan at Winona to ½ block east. Have all possible shade trees been included? I've used the Rapid Bus stop and the area is an oven for months out of the year. Also, use broad spreading trees. Do not use the trees on the 4400 block of Euclid
- 1986 DSD policy on the utilities in the PROW needs to be updated to include sidewalks. See the March 25<sup>th</sup>? Utility Undergrounding Advisory Report to council. Video of V. Granowte & D. Moty.
- I support medians on ECB
- In an ideal world, Hoover students would have bridge over, or a tunnel under ECB
- Organize the flow of cars dropping off kids at Hoover

#### Topic: Corridor Concepts

No. of checked yes: 17 No. of checked no: 11 No. left blank: 7

#### <u>Support</u>

- I really like the medians. Perhaps shorter ones with shorter turning lanes
- But we need divider in the middle in Little Saigon district to use more parking on the side
- More lighting on El Cajon BLVD would be nice (pedestrian lighting)
- Need more parking spaces
- Minimize dividers to have more parking spaces on the side of the road
- The bike and bus lane need more lighting. Safety crosswalk at Hoover (jaywalking) at 45<sup>th</sup> Street
- More crosswalks
- Want to make sure medians are accessible to/by peds, not the sign that says "no access". Want to see landscaped medians; would like to see more crosswalks to coordinate with the bus stops; would like to see more traffic calming measures-bikes not accommodated?
- Landscaped medians would be great

- Restore as much parking as possible by remarking ped curbs wherever possible
- No medians and bike lanes. Safety for people other drivers is missing. Bulb outs good to slow cars
- Need traffic signal at Estrella. Consider ped refuge crossing N-S. Consider lowering speed limit. Recommend Altadena signal
- On ECB @ Euclid (North) need a priority West turn signal light to encourage freeway access via ECB @ Fairmount (North) instead of cutthrough traffic using freeway access on Aldine Dr. in Talmadge, a road that carries 18,000 ADTs and it was designed to accommodate 6,000 ADTs. The ECB cut-through into Talmadge onto Aldine has created unsafe traffic conditions for decades. Our community would love to for the ECB cut-through traffic into our community be reduced
- Consider a gated 2-lane bikeway in the median proposal; crossings can be co-located with existing controlled intersection
- Change parking on Highland Ave (NE side) to reverse diagonal parking
- Building long medians in the center of the street prevent/delay police access to alleys + streets that have high crime rates- there also will be a cut-offs to residents to get in/out of neighborhoods

#### Topic: Hoover High School Concept

No. of checked yes: 19 No. of checked no: 8 No. left blank: 8

#### <u>Support</u>

- Need a stop sign for school parking and a crosswalk in front of it, connect to 45<sup>th</sup> street
- Need more stop signs at 45<sup>th</sup> street
- Safe for people to cross at 45<sup>th</sup> street, pedestrian lighting along to corridor
- Safe for crossing at 45<sup>th</sup> street with crosswalk, more lighting at night to make it safer for students crossing
- See comments on the street map- the map has 4 monuments. What monuments are expected to be installed?
- It is necessary for to add crosswalks and light signals for students' safety. Widen sidewalks, add school zone, engage, etc.
- Decorative fence on ECB to enforce crosswalks is a good, safe idea
- More safety implementations do need to be made in this area
- Need stop sign on parking entrance. Very dangerous. Yield to pedestrian sign on 45<sup>th</sup>
- Need safety crosswalk at Hoover High School

#### <u>Critique</u>

- Ped safety improvement needed at 45th to deal with student behavior preference to cross there. Maybe a ped refuge with yield to ped sign + lots of light, offset signal is a big improvement
- No comment at this time until Hoover solidifies its plans for it parking and campus, with egress in and out of parking lot
- Better street crossings for Hoover students
- Eval for public space and parking on Highland not on El Cajon
- Restore the original North/South crossing to the front of Hoover
- Add pedestrian bridge across El Cajon BLVD in front of Hoover, add Little Saigon branding
- The radar at 45th Street or a crosswalk in between 45th or a pedestrian refuge. With flashing light (yield) something provide safety for student
- Build a small monument in this area is very good to attract more people
- Like median fencing- emphasize crosswalks around school
- Would like to see additional crosswalk in front of school. Widen median in front of school

#### Topic: Monuments and Branding

No. of checked yes: 17 No. of checked no: 6 No. left blank: 12

#### <u>Support</u>

- Menlo is a good spot
- Excited for cultural district enhancement
- Need Vietnamese monument
- Need to consult with Vietnamese architecture
- Need Vietnamese monuments
- It represents a multicultural, especially in the central of Vietnamese community
- Need to look beyond private property enhancements. Technically the sign is on private property. More need for public realm. The art is pretty though... almost there!
- Cultural branding of district, community events message board
- I agree with most of it. Monuments + Branding needs to stay within the boundaries of the corridor. Also do not agree with putting any signage or monuments on Hoover property
- Only 1- the art mural design on comm. building wall. SD cult. Art alliance does great work locating

#### <u>Critique</u>

- Monument should not be on Hoover property- competes with school signage
- The images should be designed + vetted by a working group from w/in Little Saigon (residents, business). It looks good but it feels copy-pasted, not authentic to this particular community
- Monuments should be less commercial aesthetically, perhaps commission locals for design concepts
- Please don't let the commission for public art + culture decide the public art. It does a terrible job @ picking public art
- Be sure to ask community of the authenticity of all designs: signs, murals see too cartoonish and dramatic
- Not in front of school- misinterpret for a Vietnamese school- confusion- no elements before district starts- monument would get lost-no monument at school
- local artists with mural experience- great way to include public art + prevent graffiti which is a real problem in this entire stretch- also promote US on mural
- All for identifying an area, love the signage throughout the city for places like Hillcrest but this seems more Las Vegas style and something more timeless and simple should be used (building sized signage). Consider locally-centered artists for building sides

#### Topic: Parking Utilization

No. of checked yes: 10 No. of checked no: 13 No. left blank: 12

#### <u>Support</u>

- People always complain about needing more parking but these #s show that the available spaces aren't even fully utilized so I think we don't need to accommodate more parking at the expense of pedestrians
- Need more parking space
- Need more space parking
- Remove some red zones for parking
- Parking should be non metered and surrounding communities already entertain overflow parking

#### <u>Critique</u>

- Evaluation for one-way street conversions + public space/ + parking couplets on S/S N/O or S/O ECB
- Remove <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of parking meters -> poor community
- Remove Ped zone
- On 47<sup>th</sup> + ECB, line of sight is greatly diminished for Westbound turns to Fairmount for freeway access
- The parking is mostly empty
- No meters!
- Wherever possible, red curb should be removed to allow parking on ECBalso no additional parking meters
- If there is a red curb that is not necessary, then get rid of it! Spots that aren't metered need spacer to be marked for optimal use of parking
- Do not support parking meters- causes more people to park up to residential streets and no need for meters. No removal of parking for bike lanes- crazy! Enough already about making everything about bikes-24,000 cars v 100 bikes- come on! Get the traffic flowing.
- Continue to use parking on ECB + preferably non metered, limit diverted parking to neighborhoods so residents are able to park by their homes
- Not important
- No meters- side streets cannot handle more now + future

#### Topic:

#### Travel Demand

No. of checked yes: 14 No. of checked no: 13 No. left blank: 8

#### <u>Support</u>

- #s are very low, a lot more foot traffic
- Reduce spec, good traffic
- Reduce speed

- Limit the N/S traffic diversions through residential areas. Limit stop lights usage for E/W travel on ECB to maintain safe level
- I'd like an explanation of ADT forecast. Need to analyze crash data to prevent future deaths
- From 50<sup>th</sup> to Menlo, restrict Northbound turn on Westbound traffic. Such turns create serious bottleneck effect of traffic forcing motorists to take these turns and bringing heightened unsafe traffic volume into the community of Talmadge wit streets not designed to handle high volume of traffic
- You say that there are 10,000 vehicle trips daily?
- I did not see an analysis of this
- Would like to see cars on El Cajon BLVD increase rather than be pushed to residential streets or corridors not designed for this type of traffic such as Aldine
- I would like to see Ped demand overlaid with crash data
- The final report should recommend a time phasing plan for changes
- Less people demand the need to cross at the crosswalks without lights because they probably walk to those w/ lights to feel safer
- Need an inserted right turn lane from ECB onto Northbound Fairmount. Traffic comes to a standstill in this narrow area + traffic can't turn onto Fairmount
- Consider a gated median for a two-way bike lane with traffic diversions to neighborhoods
- Not important
- Keep traffic emphasis to ECB- changes should not impact side streets traffic

#### Topic: Urban Design Treatments #1

No. of checked yes: 21 No. of checked no: 1 No. left blank: 13

#### <u>Support</u>

- Need Vietnamese monuments
- This would look good and make the El Cajon Little Saigon area come clean (??)
- Need to ensure maintenance is kept up. It is one thing to add trash cans, but they need to be emptied often
- In theory, yes. But I am unclear as to where these could go
- Benches are very attractive
- Need branding for Little Saigon
- It is very important to create an environment that is walkable and feels safe for pedestrians. Keeping the sidewalks wide provides for more usage of the space
- Bikes lanes are a good idea. It encourages alternative transportation
- Needs to provide Little Saigon element
- Great concept, it is definitely a plus

- Needs bike lanes
- Need design for the culture for Little Saigon Foundation
- Need Little Saigon vending
- Create focal point for the public space of Highland and Menlo. Evaluate converting to one way or curb extensions
- It really needs for Little Saigon District
- More recycle and trash bins, more street lighting along El Cajon (students walk home late)
- Please use shade trees and no palm trees, prefer 10'-15'. Ideas give a little bit everything. Anything that makes it more walkable yet place you want to stay and enjoy is welcomed
- Love seating- eyes on the street! Community!
- Trees instead of palm trees
- Would like to see ped scale lighting along corridor (acorn lighting)
- Just don't install benches along high crime areas (Estrella to Altadena)

#### Topic: Urban Design Treatments #2

No. of checked yes: 22 No. of checked no: 2 No. left blank: 11

#### <u>Support</u>

- Nice!
- Where are you recommending permeable pavers and parklets? I say pavers everywhere and parklets are great but are too much of a burden for business owners to pay for- should be a city initiative
- We need space (??) or design (??) to have more Vietnamese culture
- Parklets provide a fun and engaging space for pedestrians to connect and engage with the community. The pavers are also important for storm water usage
- Plants are good
- Please have an architect that has multicultural background in designing
- Need more design for the culture- Vietnamese branding
- Curb the curbside for having more spaces to load/unload passengers

- Do not put medians on ECB, that block left turns onto the Blvd
- It is an interesting use of space but could be more wasted space (parklets). Do appreciate pavers and rain gardens. Any other methods of being environmentally conscious is appreciated
- Parklet-Yes!
- Prefer this treatment over #1 for peaceful/tranquil esthetic feeling
- Bike Lanes
- Scramble at Menlo, painted (??) int.
- Need monuments
- Concerns about passengers able to get out of car where there is a raised curb or other barriers. A little curve on the street side of the swale? For older passengers and room for parents fitting kids into car seats
- Menlo may be a more people friendly location for LS signage and flag. Could be a community gathering spot (by using the parking lots)

#### Public Meeting #2 Materials

Invitation -English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Sign-In Sheet/Attendees

Presentation

Boards

Board Comments

Handout Materials (Booklet, Educational Materials)

Comment Card - English, Spanish, Vietnamese

Comment Card Responses

Evaluation Summary



# El Cajon Blvd Complete Blvd Planning Study



Join us for an important Community Open House to learn about and select your preferred concepts for improvements along El Cajon Blvd in Mid-City. We will discuss traffic, parking, crosswalks, bicycling, branding concepts, landscaping, walking and transit.

## Tuesday, August 23 from 5:30-7:30pm

Presentation begins at 6pm at Hoover High School Auditorium 4474 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92115

For more info, please contact Maureen Gardiner with the City of San Diego Planning Department at MGardiner@sandiego.gov





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

# El Cajon Blvd Estudio Completo Planificación del Boulevard



Acompáñenos en un importante evento comunitario de puertas abiertas para conocer y elegir sus conceptos preferidos acerca de las mejoras a lo largo de El Cajon Blvd en Mid-City. Hablaremos del tráfico, estacionamiento, cruces peatonales, ciclistas, conceptos de *branding*, paisajismo, circulación a pie y en los modos de transporte.

## Martes, 23 de agosto de 5:30-7:30pm

La presentación comienza a las 6pm Hoover High School Auditorium 4474 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92115

Para más información favor de contactar a Maureen Gardiner del Departamento de Planeación de la Ciudad de San Diego MGardiner@sandiego.gov





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

# Đại Lộ El Cajon Nghiên Cứu Hoàn Chỉnh Quy Hoạch Đại Lộ



Hãy cùng chúng tôi tham dự buổi Họp Cộng Đồng quan trọng để thảo luận và chọn những ý tưởng mà quý vị ưu tiên để cải thiện Đại Lộ El Cajon trong vùng Mid-City (Khu Little Saigon San Diego). Chúng ta sẽ thảo luận về giao thông, chổ đậu xe, lối băng qua đường, đường xe đạp, các ý tưởng xây dựng thương hiệu, quang cảnh, đèn sáng, và các băng ghế.

Thứ Ba, ngày 23 tháng Tám từ 5:30 chiều-7:30 tối Thuyết trình bắt đầu 6 giờ chiều Tại Hội Trường Trường Trung Học Hoover High 4474 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA 92115

Muốn biết thêm thông tin, xin vui lòng liên lạc Maureen Gardiner qua email MGardiner@sandiego.gov Ban Quy Hoạch Thành Phố San Diego (Tiếng Anh) Hoặc Su Nguyen qua email su@littlesaigonsandiego.org (Tiếng Việt)





www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/transportation/mobility/ecblvdstudy

	El Colon Deuleuro
	El Cajon Boulevard
Name Ali A. Hori	Organization America's Finest Charter School
	SANDAG
	Resident
-	Resident
	Resident CHCDC
	Resident
	Resident
	El Cajon Blvd BIA Resident
	San Diego Small Engine
Brian Reyes	Media Arts Center
	Hoover High School Business Owner
	Resident
Danny Chouen	Resident
	Lotus Equity Group
,	KenTal CPG Resident
	Resident
Duong Nguyen	Little Saigon Foundation
Dzuy Nguyen	Nguyen Nan Tea
	MidCity Ambassador Jamie's Joy
Eli Nguyen	Resident
Eric Young	Mayor's Office
	KNSD NBC 7 BET-EHC
	Little Saigon Foundation
Fred Lindahl	TMAD
	Resident
	Resident
	Resident Resident
Hannah Cheadle	Resident
	Resident
Hoa Tran Huang Ly	444 El Cajon Blvd Little Saigon Foundation
Huong Nguyen	444 El Cajon Blvd
	Talmadge Resident
	Resident Bike SD
	College Area Business District
Julio Ramos	SDUSD
	Circulate SD
	Business Owner TMAD/Talmadge
	Work
Luong Long	Little Saigon Foundation
	CFCP
	City Heights Parent Leaders TCE
	Resident
Megan Kucharski	
	Business Owner
	Resident Resident
	Nguyen Nan Tea
Norma Clark	Resident
	Real Estate Lau Apartments
	Resident
Philip Phan	Little Saigon Foundation
	Resident
	Business Owner Resident
	Property Owner
	Resident
Rudy Vargas	City Council District 9
	Resident
	Resident Resident
	Resident
	HHSA
	Little Saigon Foundation
	KPBS Business Owner
	Resident
	Resident
Thu Pham	Little Saigon Foundation
	TCC
	Little Saigon Foundation EHC
Tuam Lim	
Tuong Prui	Resident City Heights Parent Leaders

# EL CAJON BOULEVARD Complete Boulevard Alternatives Study

## **Meeting Agenda**

- Welcome and Introductions
- Meeting and Project Purpose
- Transportation Planning Definitions
- Existing Conditions
- Alternatives
- Schedule

## **Project Purpose**

## El Cajon Walk Audit



### November 14, 2015 Open House



## **Project Purpose**

- Project Limits Highland Avenue to 50<sup>th</sup> Street.
- Identify opportunities to integrate/improve multimodal transportation on El Cajon Boulevard:
  - Bicycle.
  - Pedestrian.
  - Transit.
  - Auto.
- Identify urban design enhancement opportunities.
- Identify Little Saigon District identity opportunities.

## **Meeting Purpose**

- 2<sup>nd</sup> Open House.
- Public review and comment on refined concepts.
  - 14 total concepts.
  - 7 viable concepts.
- Objective: Identify and move forward with one final concept.

## **Existing Conditions**

Existing				
	tiw ti	↓ 12 <sup>°</sup> ↓ 11 <sup>°</sup> ↓ 10 <sup>°</sup> ↓ 11 <sup>°</sup> ↓ 12 <sup>°</sup> avel Lane h Sharrow     Travel Lane Travel Lane with Sharrow     Travel Lane with Sharrow		
CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR		<ul> <li>Wide crossing distances.</li> <li>No pedestrian refuge areas.</li> <li>Spacing between controlled crossings (in some areas).</li> </ul>	
Pedestrian Mobility along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Protected by signals or stop signs at side streets.</li> <li>Parked vehicles act as buffer between pedestrians and traffic.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sidewalk conditions are poor in parts of the corridor (too narrow, cracked, uneven.)</li> <li>Wide side-street crossing distances.</li> <li>Unrestricted left turn movements create additional conflicts for autos, bikes, and pedestrians.</li> </ul>	
Bike Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>Bikes were observed on the sidewalk.</li> <li>High "Level of Stress" rating.</li> <li>Limited spaces creates conflict with traffic, transit, and parked vehicles.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) RAPID route.</li> <li>High use local transit service.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor transit stop connectivity.</li> <li>Stop amenities only include signed bus stop and bench in some locations.</li> </ul>	
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Four lanes of traffic.</li> <li>Center turning lane accommodates traffic.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High traffic volume with unrestricted access reduces capacity and safety for all road users (bicycles, pedestrians and autos).</li> </ul>	
Safety	POOR	Traffic signals are generally equally spaced.	<ul> <li>Bicycles operate in mixed traffic.</li> <li>Pedestrian fatality crashes high on roadway.</li> </ul>	
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	• Some space is available for street furniture and plantings.	<ul> <li>Some constrained locations.</li> <li>Space is underutilized.</li> <li>Limited vegetation / trees in the corridor.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Parking	FAIR	Both sides of street accommodate on-street parallel parking.	Little Saigon District has identified desire for more parking.	

## **Existing Conditions**



- Parking;
- Bicycle Accommodation;
- Pedestrian Enhancements;
- Urban Design Features;
- Transit Stop Enhancements;
- Safety Enhancements;

- 4-lanes for Existing Traffic;
- No Change to Rapid Bus Schedule;
- No Traffic Diversion;
- Left-turn Lanes; and
- Fire/Emergency Services access.

## **Transportation Planning Definitions:** Bicycle Facilities



Share

- Inexpensive to
   implement
- Existing road conditions are main factor for implementation







**Cycle Track** 



- Relatively
   inexpensive to
   implement
- Requires 4' of unobstructed space



- Uses physical buffer from traffic and pedestrians
- Inclusive use for riders of all comfort levels

#### **Bicycle Boulevard**



- Similar to Sharethe-Road treatment but has greater connectivity
- Requires trafficcalming measures for implementation

## **Transportation Planning Definitions:** Traffic-Calming & Signage

#### Parklet





**Bulb-Out** 





- Encourages pedestrian activity
- Features include seating, planting, bicycle parking or elements of play
- Traffic-calming treatment
- Increases safety of pedestrians

٠

- Section of the sidewalk between curb and through zone
- Street furniture, rain gardens, utility poles, etc. can be placed here



Monument



Banner

- Artistic expression; possibly to represent cultural heritage of place
- Gives sense of place to pedestrians

- Defines cultural districts
- Cost-effective

## **Transportation Planning Definitions:** Parking and Lane Utilization



### Angle Parking

### Reverse Angle Parking

Bus/Bike Shared Lane Peak-Hour Travel/Park Lane



- Uses small amount of street width
- Currently exists along El Cajon Boulevard



- Uses slightly more width than parallel parking
- Found on slower speeds and lower-volume streets



- Provides additional parking efficiency
- Safer for cyclists when bicycle facilities are placed adjacent to



- Accommodates busses and bicycles
- Recommended when dedicated facilities for bus and bicycle are not feasible



 Operates as a bus/bike lane during peak times

•

Can be used as parking or other curbside activities during off-peak times

## **Transportation Planning Definitions:** Lane Configuration

Dedicated Turn Lane



• Allows through traffic to continue unobstructed

#### Two way Turn Lane



 Provides shared space for opposing directions

#### **Narrow Median**



 Separates traffic in opposing directions

#### Double Double Yellow Strip



 Areas where you cannot pass or take left turns

## **Regional Bicycle Accommodation**



North Park | Mid-City Bikeways Final Recommended Alignments



## **Proposed Alternatives – Alternative 1 – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas in the median reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>		• Bulb-outs prevent biking along curb when no vehicles are parked.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	FAIR		<ul> <li>Does not provide a separate bicycle facility in both directions.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	Bicycle facility doesn't impact other corridor needs.	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median eliminates conflicts with left turning traffic for all modes except at signalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>			
Constructability	GOOD	•Generally low cost, only requires striping changes. • Existing utilities not impacted.	•Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>			
# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 2 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs near angled parking areas only reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	• Bulb-outs only on south side.		
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Bulb-outs on both sides of street reduce exposure time and improve visibility.     Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic on one side of street.		<ul> <li>Lose parked vehicle buffer for pedestrians on north side of ECB.</li> </ul>	
Bike Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>Does not provide separate bicycle facility in both directions.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking space requires use of cycle track/bike lane space, and reduces space for planted median.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves mobility by eliminating conflicting movements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Transit operations potentially impacted by angled parking maneuvers.</li> </ul>		-
Vehicle Mobility	GOOD	Median improves mobility by eliminating conflicting movements.	<ul> <li>The number of angled parking stalls will be reduced due to the transition area required for left-turn lanes at the signalized intersections.</li> </ul>		1
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bicycle/parking conflicts reduced on one side.</li> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating all left tum conflict points except at signalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>	Angled parking conflicts with sharrow.		
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	Angled parking area has greater potential for planters in no-parking zones.	<ul> <li>North side of street has little to no opportunity for bulb outs and planters within the curbed area.</li> </ul>	Planter areas are reduced on North side.	
Constructability	FAIR	• Existing utilities not impacted.	• Offset roadway. • Requires signal modifications. • Median Construction. • Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	Narrower median reduces stormwater management opportunities.	N/A
Parking	GOOD		<ul> <li>Parking only on one side of street within the Little Saigon District.</li> <li>Deviate to other alternative outside of Little Saigon District.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking on one side requires narrower median and parking removed on one side.</li> </ul>	

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 3 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.	• No pedestrian refuge areas.	• Cycle track limits bulb-outs on one side of street.	+
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pedestrians must cross cycle track from parked vehicles.</li> <li>Painted median does not prevent left-turn conflicts even though they are restricted.</li> </ul>		
Bike Mobility	FAIR	• 8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.	Not protected at alleys and driveways.	<ul> <li>Cycle track does not operate safely without raised median.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	GOOD	• Bikes no longer mixed with transit vehicles in roadway.			
Vehicle Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>No center median.</li> <li>Left turns only at signalized intersections.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.</li> </ul>	
Safety	POOR	<ul> <li>Separate facilities for bicycles and pedestrians.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.</li> <li>Painted medians do not prevent left turn conflicts.</li> <li>All modes at higher conflict risk.</li> </ul>	• No median reduces safety for all road users.	
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Space available on parking side for street furniture and vegetation.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Reduced opportunities for planters.</li><li>No median planters.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Minimal relocation of utilities.</li> <li>Cycle track within existing curbs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> </ul>	N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>		Reduces parking on one side.	-

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 4 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.	• No pedestrian refuge areas.	Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	-
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	POOR	• 8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.	<ul> <li>Not protected at alleys and driveways.</li> <li>Exposure to left turns at all driveways and alleys</li> </ul>	With no median, cycle track is exposed to turning traffic.	-
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bikes no longer mixed with buses.</li> <li>Fewer conflicts between parking vehicles and buses on one side of the roadway.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>No center median.</li> <li>Limits but does not prevent left turn conflicts in and out of driveways along the corridor.</li> </ul>	Cycle track versus left-turn lanes.	-
Safety	POOR		<ul> <li>Does not prevent left turn conflicts at driveways along the corridor.</li> <li>No separation/buffer between opposing travel directions.</li> <li>All modes at higher conflict risk.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No median reduces safety for all road users.</li> </ul>	+
Urban Design Conditions	POOR	<ul> <li>Space available on parking side for street furniture and vegetation.</li> </ul>		• Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	+
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Minimal relocation of utilities.</li> <li>Generally low cost striping improvements.</li> <li>Cycle track within existing curb.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	Reduced stormwater management opportunities.	N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	• Parking not accommodated on both sides of the street.	Reduces parking on one side and at intersections to accommodate left-turn lanes.	+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 5 – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.	• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	• Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.     Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.	Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections     along ECB	<ul> <li>Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.</li> </ul>	
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Transit Mobility	FAIR	•Median improves transit operations. •Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	GOOD	Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicyclists.     Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.     Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.			
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.</li> </ul>	Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.     Reduced stormwater management opportunities.     Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Slight reduction in low use parking stalls		+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 5 – Viable**



# **Proposed Alternatives- Alternative 5A – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.	Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.     Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.	Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections along ECB	Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.	
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to incline of street and long proposed median to the east	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	-Median improves transit operations. -Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	GOOD	Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicydists.     Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.     Cyde Track improves the safety of bicydists by removing them from vehicular traffic.			1
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
Constructability	FAIR	Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.	Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.     Reduced stormwater management opportunities.     Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     -Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.     -Offset centerline		N/A
Parking	FAIR	Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.     Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.	<ul> <li>Slight reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total loss of 15 spaces if alternative is just applied east of Euclid</li> </ul>	+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 6 – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.			•
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.     Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.     Median eliminates left turn conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.	<ul> <li>Reduced pedestrian space in some areas, dependent upon redevelopment.</li> </ul>		1
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides built into existing sidewalk.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at intersections.</li> </ul>	Cycle track requires space outside of curb.	• Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same, so cycle track implementation may be delayed.	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median provides mobility improvement.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for all modes and reducing exposure time for pedestrians.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>			1
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timing of urban design treatments may lead to improvement inefficiencies.</li> </ul>	Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
Constructability	POOR		<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Requires redevelopment for additional right-of-way.</li> <li>Construction of median</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same.</li> <li>Requires phased implementation based on market.</li> </ul>	N/A
Parking	GOOD	Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.     Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.			

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 7 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.	• Bike lane limits bulb-outs.	• Bike lane limits bulb-outs.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bike lane add buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Median eliminates left tum conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>No conflict between parked vehicles and bike lane.</li> <li>Median eliminates left tum conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>No conflict between parking and transit vehicles.</li> <li>Median improves transit operations.</li> <li>Conflict with bicyclists is eliminated due to separated bicyclist facility.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	GOOD	Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for all modes.     Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.			
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	• Center planted median.	Limits parklet opportunities.	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Minimal relocation of utilities</li> <li>Generally low cost restriping of roadway</li> </ul>	Reduced stormwater management opportunities.     Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     -Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	POOR	Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.	No on-street parking		+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 8 – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.</li> </ul>	1
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.     Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.     Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.     Median eliminates left tum conflicts at driveways alleys,     and unsignalized intersections.			1
Bike Mobility	FAIR	Signed Sharrow WB     6' bike lane EB     Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys,     and unsignalized intersections.		Best application is east of 47th Street due to incline of street.     • Does not provide separated bicycle facility in both directions.	1
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Parking obstructions removed from one side.     Median provides vehicle operations improvement.			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bicycles operate in shared space in one direction.</li> </ul>		
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.     Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Bike lane side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	Low cost restriping of roadway.     Existing utilities not impacted.	Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Reduction in low use parking stalls.	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> </ul>	-

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 8A – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.</li> </ul>	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>6' bike lanes</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to indine of street and long proposed median to the east.	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Parking obstructions removed from one side.     Median provides vehicle operations improvement.		<ul> <li>Turning lane causes reduction in parking stalls near signalized intersection.</li> </ul>	
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>			•
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.     Center planted median.	Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.     Narrower median may limit plant options	Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>	Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	•Requires deviation from City design standard.	N/A
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> <li>Total loss of 6 spaces if alternative is applied east of Euclid</li> </ul>	+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 8B – Viable**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.     Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.	<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.</li> </ul>	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>- S' bike lanes</li> <li>- 2' buffer on one side</li> <li>- Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.     Active local transit route.     Parking conflicts removed from one side.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>			
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.     Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Narrower median may limit plant options</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>	Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Requires signal modifications.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	<ul> <li>Requires deviation from City design standard.</li> </ul>	N/A
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Reduction in low use parking stalls.	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> </ul>	-

### **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 8B – Viable**



# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 9 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.	No bulb-outs for ECB crossings.		
Pedestrian along ECB	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb</li> <li>Parking buffers cycle track during off peak periods</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Transit Mobility	GOOD		<ul> <li>Potential for parked vehicles in peak period transit lane.</li> <li>Transit vehicles subject to parked vehicle conflicts during off-peak hours.</li> </ul>		+
Vehicle Mobility	GOOD		<ul> <li>Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.</li> <li>Operational issue with the City for enforcing and towing vehicles before the peak begins.</li> <li>Higher traffic volume exists today than one traffic lane can accommodate during non-peak hours.</li> </ul>		+
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety for all modes.</li> <li>Cycle Tracks improve cyclist safety.</li> </ul>	Higher congestion levels may impact corridor safety.		
Urban Design Conditions	POOR	Center planted median	No parklets or planters extended from curb.	<ul> <li>Travel lane / parking lane versus urban design, bulb out and stormwater management opportuntities.</li> </ul>	+
Constructability	FAIR	Minimal relocation of utilities	Reduced stormwater management opportunities.     Construct median.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Accommodated on both sides of the street during non- peak hours.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Not accommodated during peak hours.		+

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 10 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.     Bulb-outs reduce exposure time.			1
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bike lane add buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Bike Mobility	FAIR	Dedicated bus/bike lane     Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways,     and unsignalized intersections.	Potential for "leap-frogging" with buses.     Right-turning vehicle conflicts would require weaving     across bus/bike lane.		1
Transit Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>Lower bus travel lane traffic volume.</li> <li>Median improves transit mobility.</li> </ul>	Potential for "leap-frogging" with cyclists.     Parking versus bus conflicts.     Right-turn vehicles versus bus conflicts.	Bus operations versus parking activity.	➡
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves traffic operations.	<ul> <li>Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic.</li> <li>High potential for diverting traffic to adjacent residential streets.</li> </ul>	Bus operations versus vehide volumes.	➡
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>	Conflicts between bus, bike, and parking vehicles.		+
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>			
Constructability	GOOD	Low cost minimal restriping of roadway.	• Construct Median. •Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking accommodated on both sides of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Parking cars must cross bus/bike only lane.		

# **Proposed Alternatives - Alternative 11 – Not Supported**



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	• Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
Pedestrian along ECB	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>Dedicated cycle track.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Bicycle accommodation versus vehicle volumes and preserving pedestrian space.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	POOR		• Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic which would impact transit operations.	Bus operations versus vehicle volumes.	-
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves taffic operations but does not make up for reduced travel lane.	<ul> <li>Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic.</li> <li>High potential for diverting traffic to adjacent residential streets.</li> </ul>		➡
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating conflict points but does not make up for reduced travel lane.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Planters in between parking areas separated cycle track.</li> <li>Cycle track separates space from pedestrians that could be used for parklets.</li> </ul>		
Constructability	FAIR	Improvements within curbs.	• Construct Median •Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Parking accommodated on both sides of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>			

# **Alternative Application Potential**

	Bicycle Accommodation	Pedestrian Accommodation	Transit Enhancements	Vehicle Enhancements	Safety Enhancements	Urban Design Opportunities	Parking Availability	Agency Support
Alternative 1	Sharrow	<ul> <li>Full bulb-outs.</li> <li>Parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Parklets.</li> <li>Bulb-outs.</li> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Stormwater management.</li> </ul>	• Both sides (parallel).	Yes
Alternative 2	Sharrow	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 6' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking on one side.</li> </ul>	No
Alternative 3	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	<ul> <li>Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• 4 travel lanes.	• 4' Painted median.	<ul> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	One side (parallel).     Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.	No
Alternative 4	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	<ul> <li>Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• 4 travel lanes.	• No median	<ul> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• One side (parallel).	No
Alternative 5	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	• One side (parallel).	Yes
Alternative 5A	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	4-11-foot travel lanes.	• 4' Raised median.	Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	One side (parallel).     Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.	Yes
Alternative 6	Cycle track	Full bulb-outs.     Parklet opportunities.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Bulb-outs.     Median plantings.     Stormwater management.	<ul> <li>Both sides (parallel).</li> </ul>	Yes, but requies redevelopment
Alternative 7	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.	<ul> <li>No on-street parking.</li> </ul>	No
Alternative 8	Bike Lane on EB side; Sharrow or WB side.	<ul> <li>Full bulb-out on parking side.</li> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both     sides of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	• One side (parallel).	Yes
Alternative 8A	Bike Lane on both sides.	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both     sides of street.	4-11-foot travel lanes.	• 7' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	One side (parallel).     Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.	Yes
Alternative 8B	Bike Lane both sides.	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.	4-10-foot travel lanes.	• 9' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	• One side (parallel).	Yes
Alternative 9	Cycle track	Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.	2 travel lanes.     4 travel lanes during morning/evening peak hours.     Capacity issues for current traffic.	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Other planters require existing sidewalk space.	• Both sides during non-peak hours (parallel).	No
Alternative 10	Shared Bus/Bike Lane	Full bulb-outs.     Parklet opportunities.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced left-turn conflicts.     Increased weave/parking/right- turn/bicycle conflicts.	2 travel lanes.     2 dedicated bus/bike lanes.     Capacity issues for current traffic.	• 10' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Parklets.</li> <li>Bulb-outs.</li> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Stormwater management.</li> </ul>	Both sides (parallel).	No
Alternative 11	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced conflicts.</li> <li>Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 travel lanes.</li> <li>Capacity issues for current traffic.</li> </ul>	• 10' Raised median.	• Bulb-outs. • Median plantings. • Stormwater management.	<ul> <li>Both sides (parallel).</li> </ul>	No

# Your Comments are Appreciated!

- Viable Alternative Layouts
- Comment Card
- Please ask questions!



### Schedule

- Finalize Concept August 2016.
- Environmental Review September 2016.
- Concept/Preliminary Design December 2016.
- Final Submittal January 2017.

El Cajon Boulevard- Complete Boulevard Study

# Thank You! Please fill out comment cards!



CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas in the median reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>		• Bulb-outs prevent biking along curb when no vehicles are parked.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	FAIR		<ul> <li>Does not provide a separate bicycle facility in both directions.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	Bicycle facility doesn't impact other corridor needs.	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	POOR	<ul> <li>Median eliminates conflicts with left turning traffic for all modes except at signalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>			
Constructability	GOOD	•Generally low cost, only requires striping changes. • Existing utilities not impacted.	•Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>			







CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections along ECB	• Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.	
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves transit operations.</li> <li>Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicyclists.</li> <li>Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
Constructability	GOOD	• Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.	<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	Slight reduction in low use parking stalls		-





CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	• Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	1
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections along ECB	• Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.	1
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to incline of street and long proposed median to the east</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	•Median improves transit operations. •Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.			1
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicyclists.</li> <li>Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>			1
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.	<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	FAIR	• Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.	<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> <li>Offset centerline</li> </ul>		
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Slight reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street</li> </ul>	• Total loss of 15 spaces if alternative is just applied east of Euclid	+





FE	1000
	5
AG	R

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>			
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>	• Reduced pedestrian space in some areas, dependent upon redevelopment.		
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides built into existing sidewalk.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at intersections.</li> </ul>	Cycle track requires space outside of curb.	<ul> <li>Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same, so cycle track implementation may be delayed.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median provides mobility improvement.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for all modes and reducing exposure time for pedestrians.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timing of urban design treatments may lead to improvement inefficiencies.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
Constructability	POOR		<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Requires redevelopment for additional right-of-way.</li> <li>Construction of median</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same.</li> <li>Requires phased implementation based on market.</li> </ul>	N/A
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>			







- Mar	
• A	14

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.	• Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Signed Sharrow WB</li> <li>6' bike lane EB</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Best application is east of 47th Street due to incline of street.</li> <li>Does not provide separated bicycle facility in both directions.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>			
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>	Bicycles operate in shared space in one direction.		
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>	• Bike lane side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.	• Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	• Reduction in low use parking stalls.	• Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.	+





CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>6' bike lanes</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to incline of street and long proposed median to the east.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Turning lane causes reduction in parking stalls near signalized intersection.</li> </ul>	
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>			1
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Narrower median may limit plant options</li> </ul>	• Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	•Requires deviation from City design standard.	
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> <li>Total loss of 6 spaces if alternative is applied east of Euclid</li> </ul>	➡





	1×			
		11 200		
1	1			
T	The			
	ł	Ī		
I			ŝ	
	1	1		
A	5	~	Ř.	

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>6' bike lanes</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to incline of street and long proposed median to the east.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Turning lane causes reduction in parking stalls near signalized intersection.</li> </ul>	
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>			
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	5	<ul> <li>Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Narrower median may limit plant options</li> </ul>	• Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	•Requires deviation from City design standard.	
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> <li>Total loss of 6 spaces if alternative is applied east of Euclid</li> </ul>	+



# **El Cajon Boulevard** | Alternative Sections







proposed alternatives for El Cajon Boulevard from Highland Avenue to 50th Street and need your input on the preferred vision for
## ELEMENTS TO KNOW

### Bicycle Facilities

#### Share the Road

Inexpensive and generally requires no capital improvements to the road width. Typically reserved for streets with low traffic volumes and slower speeds as the travel lanes are shared by both vehicles and bicycles. El Cajon Boulevard is currently a "sharrow".



#### **Bus/Bike Shared Lane**

Dedicated lane solely for buses and bikes. Accommodate both modes at low speeds, moderate bus headways where buses are discouraged from passing, and bicyclists pass buses only at stops.



#### **Bicycle Lane**

Relatively inexpensive bicycle treatment that helps increase safe and convenient cycling. Given roadway conditions, particularly geometry, roadway width, traffic volume, and number of travel lanes, bicycle lanes can be installed economically. Bicycle lanes require 4' of unobstructed space not including the gutter pan.

#### Cycle Track

Utilizes similar applications as bicycle lanes but include a physical buffer and can facilitate two-way movement റ്റ within the traveled area. Cycle tracks are often utilized for highly trafficked roads and are good for riders of all comfort levels.



#### **Bicycle Boulevard**

Similar to share the road but includes traffic calming devices that help lower the speed of vehicles and increase safety for bicyclists. Bicycle boulevards are being examined for Orange Avenue, Monroe Avenue, and Meade Avenue.















### 🔶 Urban Design Treatments

#### Full Bulb-Out

Decreases the overall crossing width of a roadway and increases the overall visibility of pedestrians by aligning them with the parking lane. This increases the safety of pedestrians entering the intersections as well as encourages slower turning corridor speeds.

### Half Bulb-Out

Aligns pedestrians with the parking lane on the side street. This increases the safety of pedestrians entering the intersection on the side street as well as encourages slower turning speeds.

#### Parklet



Monument An artistic element that can represent the cultural heritage of an area. They can be developed in succession to create a trail. Monuments also help give a sense of place to pedestrians and can serve as wayfiding tools.

#### Banner



Useful tool in place-making and defining cultural districts. Cost efficient method to inform individuals of their location and helps to visually convey the cultural and historical presence of an area.

#### Furniture Zone

Section of the sidewalk between the curb and the pedestrian zone in which the street furniture and amenities, such as lighting, benches, newspaper kiosks, utility poles, tree pits, and bicycle parking are provided. The furniture zone may also consist of green infrastructure elements, such as rain gardens.

Located at bulb-outs to take advantage of rainfall and formwater runoff in its design and plant selection.



### Median Treatments

#### **Center Planted Median**

Provides a raised 10' buffer that separates traffic in opposing directions. Plantings, monuments, branding elements are suitable for center planted medians.



#### Narrow Paved Median

Provides a 4' minimum raised buffer that separates traffic in opposing directions. Typically plantings are not effective in narrow medians.



#### Two Way Left Turn Lane

Provides shared space for opposing directions of traffic to take left turns. This allows through traffic to continue unobstructed. This application works best in areas with few conflicting driveways.



#### **Double-Double Yellow Stripe** Indicates areas where it is illegal to cross or take left turns, much like a median.



### **Dedicated Turn Lane**

Allows through traffic to continue unobstructed while left turners take advantage of median space.





### Parking Accommodations

#### **Angle Parking**

Uses slightly more width of the road but allows for more parking per mile. Cars park diagonally to the curb. Typically, angle parking is found on slower-speed and lower-volume streets.



#### **Reverse Angle Parking**

Reverse angle parking can provide additional parking efficiency. Reverse angle parking has been found safer when cyclists are present.



#### **Peak-Hour Travel/Park Lane**

A peak-hour only drive lane can operate as a dedicated bus/vehicle lane during high-volume periods and provide general curbside uses at other times. The peak-hour time period for El Cajon Boulevard is 7-9am and 4-6pm.

# **RELEVANT PROJECT GOALS FROM PREVIOUS PLANS**





Vision Zero San Diego Goals

- Reduce all traffic fatalities to zero by 2025;
- Reduce dangerous speeding by building traffic calming projects; and
- Simplify the process to implement neighborhood initiated projects.



### **City of San Diego Climate** Action Plan (CAP) Goals

- Increase the use of mass transit;
- Increase commuter walking opportunities;
- Increase commuter bicycling opportunities; and
- Increase urban tree canopy coverage.

# The City of SAN DIEGO

### **City of San Diego General Plan Goals**

#### Land Use and Community Planning Element

- Ensure environmental justice in the planning process through meaningful public involvement.
- Balance individual needs and wants with the public good.
- Implement development policies to protect the public health, safety, and welfare equitably among all segments of the population. Address the needs of those who are disenfranchised in the process.
- Expand public outreach on transportation policy, projects, and operations in order to get input from ethnic minorities, low-income residents, persons with disabilities, the elderly and other under-represented communities. Ensure that people who are directly affected by a proposed action are given opportunities to provide input.

#### **Mobility Element**

- Design and operate sidewalks, streets, and intersections to emphasize pedestrian safety and comfort through a variety of street design and traffic management solutions.
- Make sidewalks and street crossings accessible to pedestrians of all abilities.
- Improve walkability through the pedestrian-

oriented design of public and private projects in areas where higher levels of pedestrian activity are present or desired.

- Work closely with regional agencies and others to increase transit ridership and mode share through increased transit service accessibility, frequency, connectivity, and availability.
- Make transit planning an integral component of long range planning documents and the development review process.
- Provide adequate capacity and reduce congestion for all modes of transportation on the street and freeway system.
- Design an interconnected street network within and between communities, which includes pedestrian and bicycle access, while minimizing landform and community character impacts.
- Improve operations and maintenance on City streets and sidewalks.
- Implement best practices for multi-modal guality/ level of service analysis guidelines to evaluate potential transportation improvements from a multimodal perspective in order to determine optimal improvements that balance the needs of all users of the right of way.
- Emphasize the movement of people rather than vehicles.
- Promote the most efficient use of the City's existing

- transportation network. • Identify and implement a network of bikeways that are feasible, fundable, and serve bicyclists' needs, especially for travel to employment centers, village
- stations, and institutions.
- Provide safe, convenient, and adequate short and long-term bicycle parking facilities and other bicycle amenities for employment, retail, multifamily housing, schools and colleges, and transit facility uses.
- Provide and manage parking so that it is reasonably available when and where it is needed. Implement innovative and up-to-date parking
- regulations that address the vehicular and bicycle parking needs generated by development.
- Support innovative programs and strategies that help to reduce the space required for, and the demand for parking.

### **Urban Design Element**

 Landscape materials and design should enhance structures, create and define public and private spaces, and provide shade, aesthetic appeal, and environmental benefits.



- centers, schools, commercial districts, transit
- Maintain and improve the quality, operation, and integrity of the bikeway network and roadways regularly used by bicyclists.
- Design or retrofit streets to improve walkability, bicycling, and transit integration; to strengthen connectivity; and to enhance community identity.
- Minimize the visual and functional impact of utility systems and equipment on streets, sidewalks, and the public realm.
- Design or retrofit streets to improve walkability, strengthen connectivity, and enhance community identity.
- Enhance the public streetscape for greater walkability and neighborhood aesthetics.
- Include public plazas, squares or other gathering spaces in each neighborhood and village center
- Integrate public art and cultural amenities that respond to the nature and context of their surroundings. Consider the unique qualities of the community and the special character of the area in the development of public art and programming for cultural amenities
- Use public art and cultural amenities to celebrate San Diego's diversity, history, and unique character.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS





CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Benefits	
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR			<ul> <li>Wide crossing</li> <li>No pedestrian</li> <li>Spacing between</li> </ul>
Pedestrian Mobility along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Protected by signals or stop signs at side streets.</li> <li>Parked vehicles act as buffer between pedestrians and traffic.</li> </ul>	• Parked vehicles act as buffer between pedestrians and traffic.	<ul> <li>Sidewalk conc narrow, cracked</li> <li>Wide side-stree</li> <li>Unrestricted le conflicts for aut</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	POOR			<ul> <li>Bikes were ob</li> <li>High "Level of</li> <li>Limited space</li> <li>parked vehicles</li> <li>Signed Sharro</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	FAIR	• Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) RAPID route. • High use local transit service.	• Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) RAPID route. • High use local transit service.	<ul> <li>Poor transit st</li> <li>Stop amenitie</li> <li>some locations.</li> </ul>
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	• Four lanes of traffic. • Center turning lane accommodates traffic.	• (enter furning lane accommodates traffic	<ul> <li>High traffic vo capacity and sa and autos).</li> </ul>
Safety	POOR	• Traffic signals are generally equally spaced.	Traffic signals are generally equally spaced.	<ul> <li>Bicycles opera</li> <li>Pedestrian fata</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	• Some space is available for street furniture and plantings.	• Some space is available for street furniture and plantings.	<ul> <li>Some constrai</li> <li>Space is under</li> <li>Limited veget</li> </ul>
Constructability	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Parking	FAIR	Both sides of street accommodate on-street parallel parking.	• Both sides of street accommodate on-street parallel parking.	• Little Saigon D parking.

#### Drawbacks

ing distances.

ian refuge areas.

tween controlled crossings (in some areas).

onditions are poor in parts of the corridor (too ked, uneven.)

treet crossing distances.

d left turn movements create additional nutos, bikes, and pedestrians.

observed on the sidewalk.

l of Stress" rating.

ces creates conflict with traffic, transit, and les.

row.

t stop connectivity.

ities only include signed bus stop and bench in ons.

volume with unrestricted access reduces safety for all road users (bicycles, pedestrians

erate in mixed traffic.

fatality crashes high on roadway.

rained locations.

lerutilized.

etation / trees in the corridor.

N/A

n District has identified desire for more



Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, sharrows for bicycles, maintains on-street parking.

A center raised median is provided to improve vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while improving the aesthetics in the corridor. Curb extensions are provided to improve visibility of pedestrians, reduce crossing distances, and further calm traffic. On-street parking and the bicycle sharrows are maintained. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on both sides of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas in the median reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	FAIR	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median eliminates conflicts with left turning traffic for all modes except at signalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>
Constructability	GOOD	•Generally low cost, only requires striping changes. • Existing utilities not impacted.
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	
	• Bulb-outs prevent bik parked.
<ul> <li>Does not provide a separate bicycle facility in both directions.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	• Bicycle facility doesn'
•Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	





Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, back in angled parking on south side of street and no parking on north side of street in Little Saigon District, sharrows for bicycles. This alternative removes parking on the north side of the street and provides back-in angled parking on the south side of the street in areas where parking has been stated to be in short supply within the Little Saigon District. The median eliminates left turn conflicts between intersections but the width does not provide for a full pedestrian refuge area and reduces potential for landscaping and other urban design features in the median. Additional opportunities for landscaping and urban design features are limited to the south side of the street within the Little Saigon District. In this alternative, sharrows are provided for bicycles.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs near angled parking areas only reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on both sides of street reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	POOR	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves mobility by eliminating conflicting movements.
Vehicle Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves mobility by eliminating conflicting movements.</li> </ul>
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bicycle/parking conflicts reduced on one side.</li> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating all left turn conflict points except at signalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	Angled parking area has greater potential for planters in no-parking zones.
Constructability	FAIR	• Existing utilities not impacted.
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Slight net gain in parking (9 spaces)</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
• Bulb-outs only on south side.		1
	•Lose parked vehicle buffer for pedestrians on north side of ECB.	
<ul> <li>Does not provide separate bicycle facility in both directions.</li> <li>Signed Sharrow.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking space requires use of cycle track/bike lane space, and reduces space for planted median.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Transit operations potentially impacted by angled parking maneuvers.</li> </ul>		+
<ul> <li>The number of angled parking stalls will be reduced due to the transition area required for left-turn lanes at the signalized intersections.</li> </ul>		
• Angled parking conflicts with sharrow.		
<ul> <li>North side of street has little to no opportunity for bulb outs and planters within the curbed area.</li> </ul>	Planter areas are reduced on North side.	
<ul> <li>Offset roadway.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Median Construction.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	Narrower median reduces stormwater management opportunities.	N/A
<ul> <li>Parking only on one side of street within the Little Saigon District.</li> <li>Deviate to other alternative outside of Little Saigon District.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking on one side requires narrower median and parking removed on one side.</li> </ul>	



Alternative 3 removes parking from one side of the street, and restricts all left-turns to signalized intersections. This alternative provides for one-way cycle tracks within the existing curb-to-curb area. Left turn pockets at signalized intersections would require additional parking removal. This alternative is not supported by the City due to safety concerns because cyclists and pedestrians would not be protected by the painted median from left turning vehicles or when crossing El Cajon Boulevard. This alternative provides opportunities for additional landscaping and urban design features only on one side of the street.

Four travel lanes, four-foot painted median, left turns at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street, one-way cycle track on each side of street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	• Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.	• No pedestrian refuge areas.	<ul> <li>Cycle track limits bulb-outs on one side of street.</li> </ul>	+
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pedestrians must cross cycle track from parked vehicles.</li> <li>Painted median does not prevent left-turn conflicts even though they are restricted.</li> </ul>		
Bike Mobility	FAIR	• 8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.	Not protected at alleys and driveways.	• Cycle track does not operate safely without raised median.	
Transit Mobility	GOOD	Bikes no longer mixed with transit vehicles in roadway.			
Vehicle Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>No center median.</li> <li>Left turns only at signalized intersections.</li> </ul>	• Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.	Ŧ
Safety	POOR	Separate facilities for bicycles and pedestrians.	<ul> <li>Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.</li> <li>Painted medians do not prevent left turn conflicts.</li> <li>All modes at higher conflict risk.</li> </ul>	• No median reduces safety for all road users.	-
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Space available on parking side for street furniture and vegetation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced opportunities for planters.</li> <li>No median planters.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	+
Constructability	FAIR	Minimal relocation of utilities.     Cycle track within existing curbs.	Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	Reduced stormwater management opportunities.	N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>		Reduces parking on one side.	➡



Alternative 4 removes parking from one side of the street, and provides one-way cycle tracks within the existing curb-to-curb area. This alternative is not supported by the City due to safety concerns with left-turning traffic and lack of refuge area for pedestrians and cyclists crossing El Cajon Boulevard. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features only on one side of the street.

Four travel lanes, double yellow line, left turns at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street, one-way cycle track on each side of street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	• Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.	No pedestrian refuge areas.	Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	-
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	POOR	• 8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.	<ul> <li>Not protected at alleys and driveways.</li> <li>Exposure to left turns at all driveways and alleys</li> </ul>	• With no median, cycle track is exposed to turning traffic.	+
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bikes no longer mixed with buses.</li> <li>Fewer conflicts between parking vehicles and buses on one side of the roadway.</li> </ul>			
Vehicle Mobility	POOR		<ul> <li>No center median.</li> <li>Limits but does not prevent left turn conflicts in and out of driveways along the corridor.</li> </ul>	Cycle track versus left-turn lanes.	+
Safety	POOR		<ul> <li>Does not prevent left turn conflicts at driveways along the corridor.</li> <li>No separation/buffer between opposing travel directions.</li> <li>All modes at higher conflict risk.</li> </ul>	• No median reduces safety for all road users.	+
Urban Design Conditions	POOR	<ul> <li>Space available on parking side for street furniture and vegetation.</li> </ul>		• Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	-
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Minimal relocation of utilities.</li> <li>Generally low cost striping improvements.</li> <li>Cycle track within existing curb.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	Reduced stormwater management opportunities.	N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Parking not accommodated on both sides of the street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduces parking on one side and at intersections to accommodate left-turn lanes.</li> </ul>	+



Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street and reduced sidewalk width on other side of street to provide one-way cycle tracks.

This alternative removes parking on one side of the street, and cycle tracks are provided. A center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while improving the aesthetics in the corridor. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and requires a reduction in the pedestrian space and relocation of utilities and other conflicts in the sidewalk on one side of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.     Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves transit operations.     Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicyclists.</li> <li>Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.
Constructability	GOOD	• Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
• Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections along ECB	• Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.	
<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	• Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>		N/A
<ul> <li>Slight reduction in low use parking stalls</li> </ul>		



Four travel lanes, raised median,left turns at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street.

This alternative removes parking on one side of the street, and cycle tracks are provided. A center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections. Left turns are provided at signalized intersections. By shifting the lanes and removing parking, a 10' turning lane is accommodated.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn in and out conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts from vehicles entering and exting driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median improves transit operations.     Separated bicycle facility improves transit operations.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, transit, and bicyclists.</li> <li>Median reduces pedestrian exposure time.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Center planted median.
Constructability	FAIR	• Cycle track extends off existing curb on north side from Highland to Menlo, and on south side from Menlo to 50th.
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	• Cycle track limits bulb-out areas.	
• Cycle track reduces sidewalk width on specific sections along ECB	• Cycle track reduces pedestrian space on one side of the street.	1
	• Best application is east of Euclid Avenue due to incline of street and long proposed median to the east	
		1
		1
<ul> <li>Planter areas separated from pedestrians.</li> <li>Limits parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> <li>Offset centerline</li> </ul>		N/A
<ul> <li>Slight reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> <li>Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street</li> </ul>	• Total loss of 15 spaces if alternative is just applied east of Euclid	➡





Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, maintains on-street parking, additional right-of-way needed to provide cycle tracks and sidewalks outside the existing curb-to-curb area. This alternative relies upon redevelopment in the corridor to accommodate the desired pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities, and the needed vehicle lanes to accommodate traffic that utilizes the corridor today. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design in the median and on both sides of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduces exposure time.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parked vehicles add buffer for pedestrians from traffic.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts with pedestrians at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides built into existing sidewalk.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at intersections.</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Median provides mobility improvement.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Median improves traffic operations.
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for all modes and reducing exposure time for pedestrians.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>
Constructability	POOR	
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Both sides of the street accommodate on-street parallel parking.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
• Reduced pedestrian space in some areas, dependent upon redevelopment.		
Cycle track requires space outside of curb.	<ul> <li>Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same, so cycle track implementation may be delayed.</li> </ul>	
Timing of urban design treatments may lead to improvement inefficiencies.	Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.	
<ul> <li>Relocation of some utilities will be necessary.</li> <li>Requires redevelopment for additional right-of-way.</li> <li>Construction of median</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timing of redevelopment is typically not the same.</li> <li>Requires phased implementation based on market.</li> </ul>	N/A





### Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, no on-street parking on El Cajon Boulevard, one-way cycle tracks within the existing curb-to-curb area.

This alternative removes all parking on the corridor and re-purposes that space with one way cycle tracks. Additionally, a center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while also providing the opportunity for landscaping and urban design features.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	• Bike lane limits bulb-outs.
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bike lane add buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>	
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb.</li> <li>No conflict between parked vehicles and bike lane.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>	
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>No conflict between parking and transit vehicles.</li> <li>Median improves transit operations.</li> <li>Conflict with bicyclists is eliminated due to separated bicyclist facility.</li> </ul>	
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves traffic operations.	
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points for all modes.</li> <li>Cycle Track improves the safety of bicyclists by removing them from vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>	
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	Center planted median.	Limits parklet opportunities.
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Minimal relocation of utilities</li> <li>Generally low cost restriping of roadway</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>
Parking	POOR	Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.	No on-street parking

Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
• Bike lane limits bulb-outs.	
<ul> <li>Cycle track limits planted curb extensions, bulb-outs and furniture zone amenities.</li> </ul>	
	N/A
	+



Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street, eastbound bicycle lane and westbound sharrows within the existing curb-to-curb area.

This alternative removes parking from one side of the street and re-purposes that space for an onstreet bicycle lane. The opposite side of the street is maintained as a sharrow facility with bicycles in mixed traffic. This alternative has the greatest potential to be effective in the area where the elevation changes along El Cajon Boulevard east of Euclid Avenue. Additionally, a center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while improving the aesthetics in the corridor. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on one side of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits		Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	h	• Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.	• Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.	
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>				
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Signed Sharrow WB</li> <li>6' bike lane EB</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Best application is east of 47th Street due to incline of street.</li> <li>Does not provide separated bicycle facility in both directions.</li> </ul>	1
Transit Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.</li> <li>Active local transit route.</li> <li>Parking conflicts removed from one side.</li> </ul>				
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>				
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>		Bicycles operate in shared space in one direction.		
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Bike lane side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	• Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
Constructability	GOOD	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.</li> <li>Requires signal modifications.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> </ul>	+



Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street, bicycle lanes within the existing curb-to-curb area.

This alternative removes parking from one side of the street and re-purposes that space for an on-street bicycle lane. The opposite side of the street becomes a bicycle lane with parking. Additionally, a center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while improving the aesthetics in the corridor. Shifting the median to accommodate a 10' turning lane will require 86' of space in addition to the 80' turning bay storage space. Approximate total loss of parking stalls east of Euclid is 6 spaces. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on one side of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>	Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.	Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side o
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		• Best application is east of Euclid Avenu
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>6' bike lanes</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>		street and long proposed median to the
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.     Active local transit route.     Parking conflicts removed from one side.		Turning lane causes reduction in parking signalized intersection.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking obstructions removed from one side.</li> <li>Median provides vehicle operations improvement.</li> </ul>		
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs crossings/plantings are limited on one sid
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.     Center planted median.	Narrower median may limit plant options     Construct median.     Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons.	•Requires deviation from City design stan
		Low cost restriping of roadway.     Existing utilities not impacted.	Requires signal modifications.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	
Constructability	GOOD		<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> <li>Parking at left-turn bays and transitions would be removed.</li> </ul>	Potential for more pedestrians to need parking only on one side.
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	• Greatest parking loss west of 47th Street.	• Total loss of 6 spaces if alternative is ap

nde-Offs	Change From Existing
on one side of street.	
Euclid Avenue due to incline of edian to the east.	
ion in parking stalls near	
nd bulb-outs for ECB ted on one side of street.	
y design standard.	N/A
ians to need to cross ECB due to ernative is applied east of Euclid	+



Four travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, no parking on one side of street, bicycle lanes within the existing curb-to-curb area, narrower travel lanes.

This alternative removes parking from one side of the street and re-purposes that space for an on-street bicycle lane. The opposite side of the street becomes a bicycle lane with parking. Additionally, a center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections while improving the aesthetics in the corridor. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on one side of the street.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reducing exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs on one side of ECB reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Parking and bike lane provide buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Preserves existing sidewalk / furniture area.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>5' bike lanes</li> <li>2' buffer on one side</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at driveways, alleys, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	FAIR	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Route.     Active local transit route.     Parking conflicts removed from one side.
Vehicle Mobility	FAIR	Parking obstructions removed from one side.     Median provides vehicle operations improvement.
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by reducing conflict points.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> <li>Bike lane improves bicyclist safety in uphill direction.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	Curb to ROW area preserved for urban design treatments.     Center planted median.
Constructability	FAIR	<ul> <li>Low cost restriping of roadway.</li> <li>Existing utilities not impacted.</li> </ul>
Parking	POOR	<ul> <li>Parking is accommodated on one side of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
<ul> <li>Removes a buffer (parked cars) between pedestrians and traffic on one side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bike lane limits bulb-outs on one side of street.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Non-parking side-of-street reduces bulb-outs and planter/parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Narrower median may limit plant options</li> </ul>	• Curb-extension planters and bulb-outs for ECB crossings/plantings are limited on one side of street.	
• Construct median. • Requires reworking ADA ramps and driveway aprons. • Requires signal modifications. • Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	•Requires deviation from City design standard.	N/A
<ul> <li>Reduction in low use parking stalls.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for more pedestrians to need to cross ECB due to parking only on one side.</li> </ul>	+



Four travel lanes during peak periods, two travel lanes and parking off-peaks, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, one-way cycle tracks within the existing curb-to-curb area. This alternative adds cycle tracks and uses the outside lanes for parking during off-peak periods and as travel lanes during peak periods. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median. This alternative has fatal flaws as the directional traffic volumes exceed the capacity of one lane beyond the morning and evening hours when parking is needed by the adjacent businesses and it would significantly impact the on-time performance of the Rapid buses.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No bulb-outs for ECB crossings.</li> </ul>		1
Pedestrian along ECB	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			1
Bike Mobility	POOR	<ul> <li>8' cycle track on both sides extending off curb</li> <li>Parking buffers cycle track during off peak periods</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Transit Mobility	GOOD		<ul> <li>Potential for parked vehicles in peak period transit lane.</li> <li>Transit vehicles subject to parked vehicle conflicts during off-peak hours.</li> </ul>		-
Vehicle Mobility	GOOD	• Raised median provides mobility benefit by removing left turn conflicts.	<ul> <li>Potential to divert traffic towards residential streets that are designated bike boulevards.</li> <li>Operational issue with the City for enforcing and towing vehicles before the peak begins.</li> <li>Higher traffic volume exists today than one traffic lane can accommodate during non-peak hours.</li> </ul>		+
Safety	FAIR	Median improves corridor safety for all modes.     Cycle Tracks improve cyclist safety.	Higher congestion levels may impact corridor safety.		
Urban Design Conditions	POOR	Center planted median	<ul> <li>No parklets or planters extended from curb.</li> </ul>	• Travel lane / parking lane versus urban design, bulb out and stormwater management opportuntities.	+
Constructability	FAIR	Minimal relocation of utilities	<ul> <li>Reduced stormwater management opportunities.</li> <li>Construct median.</li> <li>Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.</li> </ul>		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Accommodated on both sides of the street during non-peak hours.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	• Not accommodated during peak hours.		+



Two shared bus/bike lanes, two travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, maintains on-street parking within the existing curb-tocurb area. This alternative re-purposes the outside travel lanes to dedicated bus/bicycle lanes. Parking is maintained on both sides of the street and a center raised median is provided to improve safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on both sides of the street. This alternative has a fatal flaw due to safety and operational issues associated with shared bus/bike lanes adjacent to on-street parking.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits	Drawbacks	Trade-Offs	Change From Existing
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time.</li> </ul>			1
Pedestrian along ECB	GOOD	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Bike lane add buffer for pedestrians from traffic reducing exposure time.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>			
Bike Mobility	FAIR	<ul> <li>Dedicated bus/bike lane</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for "leap-frogging" with buses.</li> <li>Right-turning vehicle conflicts would require weaving across bus/bike lane.</li> </ul>		
Transit Mobility	POOR	Lower bus travel lane traffic volume.     Median improves transit mobility.	<ul> <li>Potential for "leap-frogging" with cyclists.</li> <li>Parking versus bus conflicts.</li> <li>Right-turn vehicles versus bus conflicts.</li> </ul>	Bus operations versus parking activity.	+
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves traffic operations.	<ul> <li>Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic.</li> <li>High potential for diverting traffic to adjacent residential streets.</li> </ul>	Bus operations versus vehicle volumes.	➡
Safety	FAIR	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>	Conflicts between bus, bike, and parking vehicles.		+
Urban Design Conditions	GOOD	Potential for plantings in parking areas.     Center planted median.			
Constructability	GOOD	Low cost minimal restriping of roadway.	Construct Median.     Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.		N/A
Parking	FAIR	<ul> <li>Parking accommodated on both sides of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>	• Parking cars must cross bus/bike only lane.		



Reduction from four to two travel lanes, raised median, left turn pockets at signalized intersections, maintains on-street parking, one-way cycle tracks within the existing curb-to-curb area. This alternative repurposes the curb-to-curb width of El Cajon Boulevard to provide one-way cycle tracks, maintain on-street parking, reduce four travel lanes to two, and provide a raised median. The center raised median improves safety by eliminating all left turn conflicts between signalized intersections. This alternative provides opportunities for landscaping and urban design features in the median and on both sides of the street. This alternative has a fatal flaw as the directional traffic volumes exceed the capacity of one lane for a significant portion of the day and would dramatically impact the on-time performance of the Rapid buses.

CONDITIONS	Performance	Benefits
Pedestrian crossing El Cajon Boulevard (ECB)	FAIR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Pedestrian refuge areas at side streets reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> </ul>
Pedestrian along ECB	POOR	<ul> <li>Enhanced "continental" crosswalks for better visiblity.</li> <li>Bulb-outs reduce exposure time and improve visibility.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Bike Mobility	GOOD	<ul> <li>Dedicated cycle track.</li> <li>Median eliminates left turn conflicts at alleys, driveways, and unsignalized intersections.</li> </ul>
Transit Mobility	POOR	
Vehicle Mobility	POOR	Median improves taffic operations but does not make up for reduced travel lane.
Safety	GOOD	<ul> <li>Median improves corridor safety by eliminating conflict points but does not make up for reduced travel lane.</li> <li>Bulb-out improves pedestrian safety.</li> </ul>
Urban Design Conditions	FAIR	<ul> <li>Potential for plantings in parking areas.</li> <li>Center planted median.</li> </ul>
Constructability	FAIR	Improvements within curbs.
Parking	GOOD	<ul> <li>Parking accommodated on both sides of the street.</li> <li>Additional angled parking to the north along Highland.</li> </ul>

Drawbacks	Trad
• Cycle track limits bulb-outs.	Cycle track limits bulb-out a
	Bicycle accommodation vers preserving pedestrian space.
• Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic which would impact transit operations.	Bus operations versus vehicl
<ul> <li>Existing traffic can not be accommodated in two lanes of traffic.</li> <li>High potential for diverting traffic to adjacent residential streets.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Planters in between parking areas separated cycle track.</li> <li>Cycle track separates space from pedestrians that could be used for parklets.</li> </ul>	
• Construct Median •Signal Modifications for bicycle detection and timing.	



## SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES & PEQI/LTS

	Bicycle Accommodation	Pedestrian Accommodation	Transit Enhancements	Vehicle Enhancements	Safety Enhancements	Urban Design Opportunities	Parking Availability	Agency Support	
Alternative 1 Sharrow • Full bulb-outs. • Parklet opportunitie: • Enhanced crossings.		<ul> <li>Parklet opportunities.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Parklets.</li> <li>Bulb-outs.</li> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Stormwater management.</li> </ul>	• Both sides (parallel).	Yes	
Alternative 2	Sharrow	<ul> <li>Full bulb-out on parking side.</li> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced conflicts.</li> <li>Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• 4 travel lanes.	• 6' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Angled parking on one side.</li> </ul>	No	Level of
Alternative 3	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 4' Painted median.	Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	One side (parallel).     Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.	No	
Alternative 4	Cycle track	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.     Enhanced crossings.	• Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• No median	• Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	• One side (parallel).	No	
Alternative 5	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Full bulb-out on parking side.</li> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• One side (parallel).	Yes	Bicycle
Alternative 5A	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Full bulb-out on parking side.</li> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on parking side of street.	• 4-11-foot travel lanes.	• 4' Raised median.	• Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	One side (parallel).     Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.	Yes	Existing Alt 1
Alternative 6	Cycle track	Full bulb-outs.     Parklet opportunities.     Enhanced crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Bulb-outs.     Median plantings.     Stormwater management.	Both sides (parallel).	Yes, but requies redevelopment	Alt 5
Alternative 7	Cycle track	Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.	Reduced conflicts.	• 4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.	No on-street parking.	No	Alt 5A
Alternative 8	Bike Lane on EB side; Sharrow on WB side.	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.	4 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	One side (parallel).	Yes	Alt 8 Alt 8A
Alternative 8A	Bike Lane on both sides.	Full bulb-out on parking side.     Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.	4-11-foot travel lanes.	• 7' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.	<ul> <li>One side (parallel).</li> <li>Parking removed where left- turn lanes are provided.</li> </ul>	Yes	Alt 8B
Alternative 8B	Bike Lane both sides.	<ul> <li>Full bulb-out on parking side.</li> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced conflicts.     Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.	• 4-10-foot travel lanes.	• 9' Raised median.	<ul> <li>Median plantings.</li> <li>Opportunities primarily on parking side of street.</li> </ul>	• One side (parallel).	Yes	 
Alternative 9	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	• Reduced conflicts.	<ul> <li>2 travel lanes.</li> <li>4 travel lanes during morning/evening peak hours.</li> <li>Capacity issues for current traffic.</li> </ul>	• 10' Raised median.	Median plantings.     Other planters require existing sidewalk space.	Both sides during non-peak hours (parallel).	No	PEQI Inte
Alternative 10	Shared Bus/Bike Lane	<ul> <li>Full bulb-outs.</li> <li>Parklet opportunities.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	Reduced left-turn conflicts.     Increased weave/parking/right turn/bicycle conflicts.	2 travel lanes.	• 10' Raised median.	Parklets.     Bulb-outs.     Median plantings.     Stormwater management.	• Both sides (parallel).	No	
Alternative 11	Cycle track	<ul> <li>Partial bulb-outs for side- street crossings.</li> <li>Enhanced crossings.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced conflicts.</li> <li>Stop curb extensions on both sides of street.</li> </ul>	2 travel lanes.     Capacity issues for current traffic.	• 10' Raised median.	Bulb-outs.     Median plantings.     Stormwater management.	• Both sides (parallel).	No	



PEQ	Inter <u>se</u> c	tion and Street Sc
$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	81-100 (Ideal pe
$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	61-80 (Reasonab
	$\boxtimes$	41-60 (Basic ped
	$\boxtimes$	21-40 (Poor ped
		0-20 (Environme

PEQE Segment Results by Alternative			Existing		Alt 1		Alt 5		Alt 5A		Alt 8		Alt 8A			
Street Name	Cross Street 1	Cross Street 2	Side	SCORE	RATING	SCORE	RATING	SCORE	RATING	SCORE	RATING	SCORE	RATING	SCORE	RATING	SCORE
El Cajon	Fairmount	44th	S	0.47	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52
El Cajon	44th	Highland	S	0.43	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52
El Cajon	Highland	45th	S	0.49	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55
El Cajon	45th	Chamoune	S	0.49	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55
El Cajon	Chamoune	46th	S	0.47	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53
El Cajon	46th	Menlo	S	0.49	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55
El Cajon	Menlo	47th	S	0.50	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57
El Cajon	47th	Euclid	S	0.48	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55
El Cajon	Euclid	48th	S	0.50	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.56
El Cajon	48th	Estrella	S	0.52	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.58	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.58	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.58
El Cajon	Estrella	49th	S	0.52	BASIC	0.62	REASONABLE	0.59	BASIC	0.62	REASONABLE	0.59	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.59
El Cajon	49th	Winona	S	0.52	BASIC	0.62	REASONABLE	0.59	BASIC	0.62	REASONABLE	0.59	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.59
El Cajon	Winona	50th	S	0.52	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.58	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.58	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.58
El Cajon	Fairmount	44th	N	0.48	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.52	BASIC	0.52
El Cajon	44th	Highland	N	0.51	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53
El Cajon	Highland	Chamoune	N	0.44	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.56
El Cajon	Chamoune	46th	N	0.40	POOR	0.48	BASIC	0.48	BASIC	0.48	BASIC	0.48	BASIC	0.50	BASIC	0.50
El Cajon	46th	Menlo	N	0.43	BASIC	0.51	BASIC	0.49	BASIC	0.49	BASIC	0.49	BASIC	0.51	BASIC	0.51
El Cajon	Menlo	47th	N	0.50	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.58
El Cajon	47th	Euclid	N	0.48	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.53	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.56
El Cajon	Euclid	48th	N	0.48	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.54	BASIC	0.54	BASIC	0.54	BASIC	0.56	BASIC	0.56
El Cajon	48th	Estrella	N	0.51	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.59
El Cajon	Estrella	49th	Ν	0.49	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.55	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57
El Cajon	49th	Winona	Ν	0.51	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.57	BASIC	0.59	BASIC	0.59
El Cajon	Winona	50th	Ν	0.52	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.58	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.58	BASIC	0.61	REASONABLE	0.61

1 (Interested but concerned - All Ages) 2 (Interested but concerned - Adult)

es

#### cores

- edestrian conditions exist) ble pedestrian conditions exist) destrian condtions exist) destrian condtion exist)
- ent not suitable for pedestrians)





### **APPLICATION OF ALTERNATIVES POTENTIAL**

### **Alternative Application Potential**





# CHARACTER OF DISTRICT





LITTLE





Bamboo forest sidewalk



### **Transportation Planning Definitions**



### **Transportation Planning Definitions**

Parklet

**Bulb-Out** 

**Furniture** 

Zone



A parklet is an expansion of the sidewalk into one or more on-street parking spaces to create people-oriented places. Parklets introduce new streetscape features such as seating, planting, bicycle parking, or elements of play. Parklets encourage pedestrian activity which is especially beneficial in areas that lack sufficient sidewalk width or access to public space. Parklets are typically created by building a platform on the pavement to extend the sidewalk space, and retrofitting it with benches, planters, tables and chairs, umbrellas, and bike racks.





A bulb-out decreases the overall crossing width of a roadway and increases the overall visibility of pedestrians by aligning them with the parking lane. This increases the safety of pedestrians entering the intersection as well as encourages slower turning speeds.

The street furniture zone is defined as the section of the sidewalk between the curb

may also consist of green infrastructure elements, such as rain gardens.













Monuments are an artistic expression that can represent the cultural heritage of an area. They can be developed in succession to create a trail which convey the tangible and intangible artifacts of the past. Monuments also help give a sense of place to pedestrians and can double as way finding tools.

**Banner** 



Banners are a useful tool in place-making and defining cultural districts. Not only are they a cost efficient method to inform individuals of their location, but they help to visually convey cultural and historical presence of an area.



### **Transportation Planning Definitions**



# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### **COMMENT CARD**

### Do you have a preferred alternative?

If so, which one and why:\_\_\_\_\_

### What is most important to you? (Select 3 options)

- 0 Bulb-out
- 0 Parklet
- 0 Seating
- 0 Lighting
- 0 Cultural Amenities
- 0 Monuments
- 0 Bike Lanes
- 0 Parking
- 0 Cycle Track

### **THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT!**

# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### **COMMENT CARD**

### Do you have a preferred alternative? -

If so, which one and why:\_\_\_\_\_

### What is most important to you? (Select 3 options)

- 0 Bulb-out
- 0 Parklet
- 0 Seating
- 0 Lighting
- 0 Cultural Amenities
- 0 Monuments
- 0 Bike Lanes
- 0 Parking
- 0 Cycle Track

### **THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT!**

# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### **TARJETA DE COMENTARIOS**

#### ¿Tiene una alternativa preferida?

Si es así , ¿cuál y por qué?: \_\_\_\_\_

#### ¿Qué es lo más importante para usted? (Seleccionar 3 opciones)=

Acera extendida
Parklet
Asientos
Iluminación
Servicios Culturales
Monumentos
Líneas de bicicleta
Estacionamiento
Pista para bicicletas

Por favor, devuelva la tarjeta de comentarios a Lara Gates al Igates@sandiego.gov

### **¡MUCHAS GRACIAS POR SU APORTACIÓN!**

### EL CAJON BOULEVARD PHIÉU GÓP Ý

### Dự án nào quý vị thích nhất?

Nếu có, thì tại sao? \_\_\_\_\_

#### Điều gì quan trọng nhất đối với quý vị? (Chọn ra 3 điều)=

	Chỗ nhô ra ở góc đường từ đường đi bộ
	Công Viên Nhỏ
F	Ghế Ngồi
	Đèn Đường
	Các tiện nghi văn hóa
	Các Tượng Đài
	Làn xe đạp vẽ bên cạnh đường xe ô
	Chỗ đậu xe hơi
	Đường dành riêng cho xe đạp nhô lên cạnh đường xe ô tô
	Vui lòng gửi lại p

### Vui lòng gửi lại phiếu nhận xét để Lara Gates tại Igates@sandiego.gov CẢM ƠN SỰ GÓP Ý CỦA QUÝ VI

# EL CAJON BOULEVARD

### COMMENT CARD

Do you have a preferred alternative? =

If so, which one and why?: \_\_\_\_\_Alt 1 - see more discussion below

#### What is most important to you? (Select 3 options) =



Please return comment cards to Lara Gates at lgates@sandiego.gov

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT!

Comments on Alternate 1 -

- 1. This alternate seems to provide for the best flow of traffic, however, with reservations about the "Sharrows".
- 2. The parking is preserved a good thing for businesses.
- 3. The landscape median will provide for some landscaping (good for beautification) as well as mitigating the problem with jay walkers trying to cross at non-intersections. The landscape median will allow left hand turns at selected locations but may also may have the negative result of directing more traffic onto the side streets where the limited turn lanes with be placed. Also fire and police may not have the ability to cross onto certain side streets, again due to limited turn lanes.

Additional General Comments -

- None of these plans, nor the planning study seems to discuss and review the impacts of the adjoining neighborhoods. As such, this project study is woefully incomplete. I also understand that the Talmadge and Kensington neighborhood planning groups have not been given ample opportunity to fully weigh-in on this study, which has been going on for well over a year. Again, because the opportunity has been very limited to the neighborhood planning groups, this study to date is woefully inadequate.
- 2. All the proposed plans seem to be going in a negative direction. This corridor is a major artery and all of the proposed plans seem to limit traffic flow and efficiency; with most of the plans reducing parking (again, impacting adjoining neighborhoods!). We already have two bikeways planned immediately to the north as well as the south of ECB. We need to move traffic volume, not inhibit it. As a result, more traffic will be diverted to the Aldine/Monroe corridor (via Euclid Ave.) which is already three times overloaded. Traffic problems should be addressed in the development of proposals, not more created.
- 3. Bulb-outs may help reduce pedestrian crossings but should be designed not to impede sight-lines (thus reducing safety for all) and not to impede traffic flow.
- 4. Parklets and seating should be only considered if the business owners approved of all locations. Attention should be given to mitigating "hang-outs" for homeless, prostitutes and dealers. Again, the presentation, or any of the documentation that I have read, discusses the problem issues. All the problems need to be reviewed and studied before developing and proposing the so-called improvements. These plans seem to ignore the problems.
- 5. Hoover High School none of the proposed alternatives seem to address the school traffic (cars, busses and pedestrians). WHY??? A cross over bridge would be helpful to everyone (and for safety too).

My family owns a big parcel on the North West corner of 46th and El Cajon Blvd, after I read all the proposal for the street improvement, the main concern from all business people in The Little Saigon is the street parking.

I would like to make a recommend to add a parking structure or underground parking. I am in the process of building a mix use project on my land, I can include an public underground parking (with parking attendant to collect parking fee to pay for the parking construction cost) in my project, we can make anything happen if we have a budget, I have a budget to work on my project but not enough to do the underground parking.

#### El Cajon Boulevard Complete Boulevard Planning Study

#### Evaluation Summary (updated October 4, 2016)

The El Cajon Boulevard Complete Boulevard Planning Study has examined how to best accommodate multimodal mobility (auto, bike, pedestrian and transit) on the El Cajon Boulevard corridor between Highland Avenue and 50<sup>th</sup> Street as well as urban design concepts that would highlight the Little Saigon cultural district between Highland and Euclid Avenues and the historic Boulevard outside of the district. The study examined and documented <u>existing conditions</u> and evaluated possible configurations of El Cajon Boulevard with consideration of the following topic areas:

- Number of travel lanes;
- Safety enhancements;
- School traffic and safety
- Pedestrian accessibility and enhancement;
- Bicycle accommodations;
- Transit accessibility;
- Parking accommodation/expansion;
- Loading and unloading for businesses;
- Neighborhood circulation;
- Deterring criminal activity; and
- Urban design enhancements and corridor branding.

Alternatives Explored (see evaluation summary brochure for additional details):

- Alternative 1 (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, includes urban design/pedestrian emphasis with landscaped median and bulb-out treatments, safety enhancements, improves crosswalks with shorter crossing distances and pedestrian refuge islands and includes shared bike facilities with sharrow pavement markings).
- Alternative 2 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, converts remaining parking to angled back-in parking, improves crosswalks, includes a concrete raised median and a shared bike facility.
  - Not supported by MTS due to Rapid service performance.
  - Does not enhance median aesthetics.
  - Impacts angled parking areas in vicinity of signalized intersection to widen for left-turn lane.
- Alternative 3 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, provides 4-foot painted median area, improved crosswalks, and includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles.
  - Not supported due to median area safety concerns.
  - Not supported due to inside lane compliance no barrier median to channel left-turns only at intersections.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Intersection areas impacted due to required widening for left-turn lane accommodation.

- Alternative 4 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, no median area (only double yellow striping), improves crosswalks, and includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles.
  - Not supported due to median area safety concerns.
  - Not supported due to inside lane compliance no barrier median to channel left-turns only at intersections.
  - Intersection areas impacted due to required widening for left-turn lane accommodation.
- Alternative 5 (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks, and includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles and reduces the pedestrian space on one side of the street.
  - Improves corridor safety and multimodal accommodation.
  - o Not fully supported by stakeholders due to impact to pedestrian area/environment.
- Alternative 5A (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, 4foot raised concrete median area, improves crosswalks, and includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles and preserves the pedestrian space.
  - Improves corridor safety and multimodal accommodation.
  - Additional parking loss at Intersection areas due to required median widening for leftturn lane and pedestrian refuge accommodation.
  - Does not enhance median aesthetics.
- Alternative 6 (VIABLE LONG-TERM SOLUTION) Maintains 4 travel lanes, preserves parking on both sides of the street, raised and planted median area, includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles, includes parklets on both sides of the street, improves crosswalks, and requires redevelopment in areas with insufficient space to accommodate the improvements.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Accommodates all desired multimodal and aesthetic improvements.
  - o Requires market-based phased implementation due to redevelopment.
  - Not a short-term solution.
- Alternative 7 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes
  parking on both sides of the street, raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks and
  includes a cycle track on both sides of the road to accommodate bicycles.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Not supported due to removal of all on-street parking.
- Alternative 8 (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks and includes a bike lane on one side of the street and a shared bicycle facility with sharrow pavement markings on the other side of the street.
  - Eastbound direction would be better served with bicycle lane due to uphill climb.

**Commented [GM1]:** What does this mean? We'd either need to lose additional parking or widen at the intersections? How much more parking is lost?

- Alternative 8A (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, narrower raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks and includes bike lanes on both sides of the street.
  - Narrowed travel lanes (11-feet).
  - Additional parking loss at intersection areas due to required median widening for leftturn lane and pedestrian refuge accommodation.
- Alternative 8B (VIABLE) Maintains 4 travel lanes, removes parking on one side of the street, raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks and includes a bike lanes on both sides of the street.
  - Narrowed travel lanes (10-feet).
  - Requires different curb/gutter system than what is in place today.
- Alternative 9 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 4 travel lanes during peak travel periods only, non-peak time period parking allowed, raised and planted median area, improves crosswalks and includes a cycle tracks on both sides of the street.
  - o Parking needed most during peak travel periods.
  - Requires strong monitoring and expedient tow service.
  - High potential to impact Rapid service.
  - No bulb-out potential.
  - No parklet/planter extensions.
- Alternative 10 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 2 travel lanes and 2 shared bus/bike only travel lanes, parking is preserved on both sides of the street, improves crosswalks, and includes a raised and planted median area.
  - High potential to impact Rapid service not supported by MTS.
  - Other metropolitan areas with shared bus/bike lanes have identified significant safety and operational issues when on-street parking exists adjacent to shared bus/bike lane.
  - High potential to redirect traffic into the adjacent neighborhoods.
- Alternative 11 (REMOVED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION) Maintains 2 travel lanes, parking is preserved on both sides of the street, includes bike lanes, improves crosswalks, and includes a raised and planted median area.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  High potential to impact Rapid service not supported by MTS.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  High potential to redirect traffic into the adjacent neighborhoods.

Detailed evaluations are included in the evaluation summary brochure.

Key results of the study team's technical evaluations are summarized below:

#### • Travel Lane Configurations

- Alternatives with one lane in each direction
  - Not enough capacity for existing or future travel demand.
  - Approximately 10,000 vehicles per day would need to be redirected and use a different route, including adjacent neighborhood streets.
  - Limited alternative east/west route options in the area between I-8 and SR-94.
  - Does not support existing rapid transit implementation on El Cajon Boulevard.
- $\circ$   $\;$  Alternatives with two lanes in each direction  $\;$ 
  - Can accommodate existing and future travel demand.
  - Will support existing/future rapid transit on El Cajon Boulevard.
- Safety Enhancements
  - o Recommend installing bulb-outs to reduce the crossing distance for pedestrians.
  - Recommend installing high-visibility continental crosswalk markings (where warranted).
  - Recommend installing raised median on El Cajon Boulevard to reduce conflicting turning movements, reduce pedestrian crossing distance, and to enhance motorist, bicyclist and pedestrian safety.
  - Examine potential for additional controlled or enhanced pedestrian crossing(s) on El Cajon Boulevard between Euclid and 50<sup>th</sup>.
  - New concept for market parking lot circulation developed at El Cajon Boulevard and Menlo to improve intersection and pedestrian safety.
- Parking Accommodation / Expansion
  - Angled parking on El Cajon Boulevard not supported due to right-of-way constraints (see Travel Lane Configurations evaluation).
  - Parking demand is highest at non-metered locations including private off-street parking lots, especially in close proximity the intersection at Menlo Avenue and the Winona Avenue rapid bus station.
  - Utilized parking could be consolidated to one side of the street.
  - $_{\odot}~$  Highest parking use is south side of ECB between Highland and Menlo, and on the north side of the street between Menlo and 50th.
- Pedestrian Accessibility and Enhancement
  - Marked crosswalk evaluations support east/west marked crosswalk installations at 48<sup>th</sup> Street, Estrella Avenue, 49<sup>th</sup> Street, and 50<sup>th</sup> Street.
  - Marked crosswalk striping evaluation supports additional enhanced pedestrian crossing of El Cajon Boulevard at 45th Street.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  New pedestrian crossing planned at Altadena based on a separate study.
  - Recommend installing bulb-outs to shorten street crossing distances at all intersections. The recommended alternative would determine bulb-out geometry (side street only or full intersection).
  - Recommend installing Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant ramps at all deficient locations.

- o Recommend installing ADA compliant traffic signals at all deficient locations.
- o Identify locations where benches, trash receptacles and lighting should be installed.
- Bicycle Accommodation
  - In order to install dedicated / striped bicycle lanes and preserve 4 travel lanes, parking would need to be removed on one side of the street.
  - Recommend using surrounding street network for bicycle travel. Exact configurations, applications and routes are under development by SANDAG under separate regional bicycle project processes.
  - Existing "sharrow" routes are most comfortable for the very experienced/confident riders.
  - Limited available right-of-way does not support widening the curb-to-curb width to install bicycle lanes.
  - El Cajon Boulevard corridor east of Euclid Avenue requires eastbound cyclists to climb a hill, therefore reducing their speeds.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Identify locations where bicycle parking stalls should be installed.
- Transit Integration
  - Enhance pedestrian and bicycle accessibility to the transit stops and comfort at the transit stops.
  - $\circ \quad \text{Support rapid transit lane implementation.}$
  - Continue to support highest performing ridership route in the region.
- Neighborhood Circulation and Parking
  - Converting side streets to one-way was considered but rejected because it would encourage additional traffic to use Orange/Meade/Monroe.
  - Median placement across side-street access was sensitive to locations with larger commercial parking lots to minimize commercial traffic in the adjacent neighborhoods.
  - Angled parking on the neighborhood side streets is not feasible due to curb-to-curb distance limitations. Highland Avenue north of El Cajon Boulevard was the only corridor with the space available to allow angled parking on one side of the street.
- Urban Design Enhancements and Branding Opportunities
  - Identified opportunities for trees, plantings, benches, trash receptacles, lighting and cultural enhancements.
  - $\circ \quad \text{Identified branding opportunities.}$
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Identified monument opportunities and concepts.

For additional information on the Complete Boulevard Planning Study, visit our <u>website</u>, or contact Lara Gates at 619-236-6006 or <u>lgates@sandiego.gov</u>.