## Agreed-Upon

 Procedures Related to the Central Stores Physical InventoryOffice of the City Auditor

City of San Diego

DATE: $\quad$ September 8,2017
TO: Kristina Peralta, Director, Purchasing and Contracting
FROM: Eduardo Luna, City Auditor, Office of the City Auditor
SUBJECT: Agree-Upon Procedures Related to the Central Stores Physical Inventory - FY17

Enclosed is the Agreed-Upon Procedures Related to the Central Stores Physical Inventory for Fiscal Year Ending 2017 conducted by Macias Gini \& O'Connell (MGO). This inventory count is required by San Diego Municipal Code $\S 22.0501$. The report contains the procedures and results of work completed by the MGO, and is provided for informational purposes only. Your response to the audit is presented after page 8 of this report.

We would like to thank MGO for their work, and the Purchasing \& Contracting Central Stores staff for their assistance and cooperation during this audit.

Respectfully submitted,


Eduardo Luna
City Auditor
cc: Honorable Mayor Kevin Faulconer Honorable City Councilmembers Honorable Audit Committee Members Scott Chadwick, Chief Operating Officer Stacey LoMedico, Assistant Chief Operating Officer Mary Lewis, Chief Financial Officer Ron Villa, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, Internal Operations Rolando Charvel, City Comptroller Andrea Tevlin, Independent Budget Analyst Matthew Helm, Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Thomas Sawade, Stores Operations Supervisor
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# Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures 

To the Office of the City Auditor
of the City of San Diego
San Diego, California
We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Office of the City Auditor (City Auditor) of the City of San Diego (City), solely to assist the City Auditor's compliance with the requirements of Article 2, Division 5, Section 22.0501 of the San Diego Municipal Code regarding the City's Central Stores inventory records as of June 30, 2017. The Purchasing and Contracting Department (the Department) is responsible for the City's Central Stores inventory records. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1) Obtain an electronic version of the Central Stores (Store 1 and Store 2 ) inventory stock records as of June 26, 2017 maintained by the Purchasing and Contracting Department and select a sample, by storeroom, based on a $99 \%$ confidence level and $8 \%$ confidence interval.

Result: We obtained an electronic version of inventory stock records maintained by the Purchasing and Contracting Department for Store 1 and Store 2, which contained a total of 1,175 and 1,671 stock items, respectively. Per concurrence with the City Auditor at the entrance conference held on June 19, 2017, paper-form stock items were excluded from the sampling population, resulting in a total of 2,592 stock items - Store 1 had 921 stock items and Store 2 had 1,671 stock items. Using a 99\% confidence level and $8 \%$ confidence interval resulted in a total sample size of 238 . The sample size was allocated between Store 1 and Store 2 based on the dollar value of the sampling population (excluding paper-form stock items). We randomly selected 85 stock items from Store 1 and 153 stock items from Store 2.
2) Conduct physical inventory counts at the Department's Store 1 and Store 2 location for the randomly selected sample items and compare the results of the physical inventory counts to the Department's inventory stock records. The physical count includes opening a judgmental portion of the inventory boxes for the sample items selected to verify the contents.

Result: Refer to Attachment 1 (which reports the results for both Procedures 2 and 3) for a summary of the results of the physical inventory count and Attachment 2 for a detail listing of the inventory stock item discrepancies.

- 85 stock items were randomly counted at Store 1 with a recorded inventory value of \$54,838. Of the 85 stock items counted, MGO noted discrepancies for 22 stock items. 13 of the stock items resulted in an overstatement of 120 inventory units, or $\$ 539$, which were included per the inventory record system but not on hand. 9 of the stock items resulted in an understatement of 141 inventory units, or $\$ 1,445$, which were on hand, but not included in the inventory record system. The net impact of items counted at Store 1 is an understatement in the inventory record system of \$906.
- 153 stock items were randomly counted at Store 2 with a recorded inventory value of $\$ 218,743$. Of the 153 stock items counted, MGO noted discrepancies for 30 stock items. 24 of the stock items resulted in an overstatement of 587 inventory units, or $\$ 4,483$, which were included per the inventory record system but not on hand. 6 of the stock items resulted in an understatement of 52 inventory units, or $\$ 349$, which were on hand, but not included in the inventory record system. The net impact of items counted at Store 2 is an overstatement in the inventory record system of $\$ 4,134$.

3) Judgmentally select 10 items on hand at Store 1 and Store 2 and compare the items to the inventory system stock records to verify if they are accurately recorded in the inventory system.

Result: Refer to Attachment 1 (which reports the results for both Procedures 2 and 3) for a summary of the results of the physical inventory count and Attachment 2 for a detail listing of inventory stock item discrepancies.

- Judgmentally selected and counted 10 stock items on hand at Store 1 with a recorded inventory value of $\$ 47,704$. Of the 10 stock items counted, MGO noted discrepancies for 4 stock items. 1 of the stock items resulted in an overstatement of 21 inventory units, or $\$ 42$, which were included per the inventory record system but not on hand. 3 of the stock items resulted in an understatement of 34 inventory units, or $\$ 1,171$, which were on hand but not included in the inventory record system. The net impact of items counted at Store 1 is an understatement of the inventory record system of $\$ 1,129$.
- Judgmentally selected and counted 10 stock items on hand at Store 2 with a recorded inventory value of $\$ 169,198$. Of the 10 stock items counted, MGO noted discrepancies for 5 stock items. 2 of the stock items resulted in an overstatement of 32 inventory units, or $\$ 5,034$, which were included per the inventory record system but not on hand. 3 of the stock items resulted in an understatement of 64 inventory units, or $\$ 2,590$, which were on hand but not included in the inventory record system. The net impact of items counted at Store 2 is an understatement of the inventory record system of $\$ 2,444$.

4) Inquire with the Store Manager regarding the Central Stores procedures and activities to ensure obsolete inventory (inventory with no sales to the City departments during the prior fiscal year) is annually reviewed. Verify if the Department's justification for retaining obsolete items is maintained on file, or if obsolete inventory is being properly liquidated.

Result: Per inquiry with the Central Stores Operations Supervisor, obsolete inventory is reviewed annually by the Purchasing and Contracting Department. Stock items in the inventory records without goods movement within the last 12 months are identified in SAP by Central Stores staff. The Purchasing and Contracting Department attaches a listing of items identified from SAP to a memo that is sent to each respective department, requiring their review of the items identified and a response within 30 days. In their response, departments provide justification for retaining obsolete items or disposing of them. Obsolete stock items identified by the departments are removed from the inventory records by Central Stores staff and set aside for disposal.
5) Determine if the recommendations from the FY 2016 Inventory agreed-upon procedures have been adequately implemented to resolve the identified issues.

Result: The City was recommended to strengthen procedures to properly liquidate obsolete inventory in a timely manner from the results of the FY 2016 Inventory agreed-upon procedures. Per discussion with the Deputy Director of Purchasing and Contracting during the entrance conference held on June 19, 2017, the annual review for identifying obsolete items during FY 2017 was initiated earlier compared to prior years. Based on our review of the supporting documentation provided, we noted most of the responses received from the City departments were obtained before the entrance conference date. We verified the obsolete items confirmed by the City's departments were removed from the inventory records by Central Stores staff. As such, we believe the City implemented the necessary corrective action as a result of the prior year recommendation.

During the inventory count conducted at Store 1 on June 27, 2017, we observed that all obsolete items noted in the prior year were appropriately segregated in a storeroom location, set apart from the moving stock items.
6) Provide the results of the inventory counts by storeroom, and the results of the obsolete inventory review in a draft written report with recommendations, as appropriate, to address any identified issues.

Result: The results of the physical inventory counts for Store 1 and Store 2 were provided to the Department on July 28, 2017.
7) Hold an exit conference with Purchasing and Contracting Department staff and City Auditor staff to review and finalize the draft report.

Result: An exit conference was held with Purchasing and Contracting Department staff and City Auditor staff on August 7, 2017 to review and finalize the draft report.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Central Stores inventory records maintained by the Department. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City Council and Audit Committee of the City of San Diego, the City Auditor, and the Purchasing and Contracting Department of the City of San Diego and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

San Diego, California
August 9, 2017

| Location | Total Number of Stock Items in Inventory Records ${ }^{1}$ | Total Inventory Value ${ }^{2}$ |  | Total Number of Sampled Stock Items ${ }^{3}$ | Total Value of Sampled Stock Items |  | Number of Inventory Units Over/(Under) in the Inventory Records | Total Value of Inventory Units Over/(Under) in the Inventory Records |  | Percentage Difference Between the Value of Sampled Items Counted and Total Value of Sampled Inventory as Recorded in the Inventory Records | Estimated Difference <br> in the Total Inventory <br> Value per the <br> Department's Stock <br> Records Based on the <br> Sampling Error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store 1 | 1,175 | \$ | 685,751 | 95 | \$ | 102,542 | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ (175) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 581 \\ (2,616) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.57 \% \\ -2.55 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,885 \\ (17,495) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Store 2 | 1,671 |  | 1,097,252 | 163 |  | 387,941 | $\begin{gathered} 619 \\ (116) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9,517 \\ (2,939) \end{gathered}$ | $2.45 \%$ $-0.76 \%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 26,918 \\ (8,313) \end{gathered}$ |
| Totals | 2,846 | \$ | 1,783,003 | 258 | \$ | 490,483 | 469 | \$ | 4,543 | 0.93\% | \$ | 4,996 |

${ }^{1}$ The "Total Number of Stock Items" is as of June 26, 2017, based upon the Department's inventory stock records including the various forms maintained in inventory, which were excluded from our sampling population.
${ }^{2}$ The "Total Inventory Value" is as of June 26, 2017, based upon the Department's inventory stock records.
${ }^{3}$ The "Total Number of Sampled Stock Items" refers to the number of separate stock items physically counted pursuant to Procedures \#2 and \#3.

Attachment 2

PROCEDURE 2 (INDIVIDUAL STOCK ITEM EXCEPTIONS):

| Material Number (Stock Item) | Material Description | Inventory Value (Rounded to Nearest Dollar) | Unit Value (Rounded to <br> Nearest Dollar) | Number of Units Recorded in SAP | Inventory Unit of Measure | Number of Units Per Physical Observation Count | Number of Units <br> Overstated/ (Understated) <br> in SAP | Inventory Value Overstated/ (Understated) in SAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22042610 | HANDCUFF CASE BLK LEATHER CHROME SNAPS | 1,330 | 25 | 54 | EA | 94 | (40) | $(1,000)$ |
| 22044257 | BOWL PLASTIC 32OZ MICROWAVABLE | 260 | 4 | ${ }^{68}$ | EA | 128 | (60) | (240) |
| 2201453 | CAN SAFETY 5GAL TYPE II JUSTRITE \#10821 | 613 | ${ }^{61}$ | 10 | EA | 11 | (1) | (61) |
| 22040231 | BRUSH HD TRUCK WASH WAXIE 2060110 | 337 | 12 | 27 | EA | 30 | (3) | (36) |
| 22035690 | HOSE Garden black plc non-rubberized 300 | 1,760 | 35 | 51 | EA | 52 | (1) | (35) |
| 22041103 | SAFETY Lip balm spf30 150 Z tropix | 1,851 | 2 | 1101 | EA | 1113 | (12) | (24) |
| 22044308 | PLates, 10 "plastic,microwavable | 321 | 3 | 102 | EA | 108 | (6) | (18) |
| 22035232 | Paint roller Cover 9x3/8 NAP FRZ\#20129C | 225 | 1 | 208 | EA | 225 | (17) | (17) |
| 22015029 | SEALANT RTV SILICONE CLR $100 Z 12$ Per bx | 673 | 14 | 48 | EA | 49 | (1) | (14) |
| 22037786 | SERVICE AWARD, Brochure | 55 | - | 251 | EA | 249 | 2 | s - |
| 22040232 | BRUSH DECK 10" W/O HANDLE 2060301 | 118 | 3 | 34 | EA | 33 | 1 | \$ 3 |
| 22037172 | ENVELOPE ACCIDENT REPORT RM-361 25 PK | 277 | ${ }^{6}$ | 43 | BDL | 42 | 1 | \$ 6 |
| 22044262 | Cup measuring Plastic ipt | 42 | 8 | 5 | EA | 4 | 1 | \$ 8 |
| 22041114 | Towlette antiviral "Paws" | 1,022 | 8 | 122 | BX | 121 | 1 | \$ 8 |
| 22041055 | SAFETY MASK CPR LAERDAL PCKT MASK 820011 | 1,875 | 18 | 105 | EA | 104 | 1 | 18 |
| 22014930 | BRASS RAVEN NOZZLE-SHERMAN \& THOMPSON | 946 | 9 | 102 | EA | 100 | 2 | 18 |
| 22037798 | SERVICE AWARD, Charm 15 Year | 449 | 26 | 17 | EA | 16 | 1 | 26 |
| 22040289 | DeSCALER GEm WAXIE 870130 MINT | 1,071 | ${ }^{3}$ | 403 | EA | 382 | 21 | 63 |
| 22040284 | SWISH disinfectant lemon waxie 170081 | 85 | ${ }^{3}$ | 28 | EA | 5 | 23 | 69 |
| 22035133 | Paint spray kryln invert-A-CAP wht 12/CS | 168 | ${ }^{2}$ | 69 | EA | 28 | 41 | \$ 82 |
| 22030324 | E-Z REACHERS MODEL \#32-S (No SUB) | 2,869 | 9 | 317 | EA | 305 | 12 | 108 |
| 22040470 | Towel hand CLnR SCRUB-IN-A-BUCKET 380307 | 2,415 | 10 | 238 | EA | 225 | 13 | \$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Overstatement of Units | 120 (141) | $\begin{array}{lr}5 & 539 \\ S & (1,445)\end{array}$ |

Attachment 2

## Store 1 (B Street)

PROCEDURE 3 (INDIVIDUAL STOCK ITEM EXCEPTIONS):

| Material Number (Stock Item) | Material Description | Inventory Value (Rounded to Nearest Dollar) | Unit Value (Rounded to Nearest Dollar) | Number of Units Recorded in SAP | $\underset{\substack{\text { Inventory Unit } \\ \text { Measure }}}{\text {. }}$ | Number of Units Per Physical Observation Count | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number of Units } \\ \text { Overstated/ (Understated) } \\ \text { in SAP }}}{\substack{\text { SAP } \\ \hline}}$ | Inventory Value Overstated (Understated) in SAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22040406 | DOGGIE WASTE BAG WAXIE \# 701600 | 4,559 | 44 | 103 | cs | 122 | (19) | (836) |
| 22013136 | PADLOCK MSTR IKA 1 CO26 W/O KEY 15 PER BX | 3,345 | 12 | 269 | EA | 283 | (14) | (168) |
| 22037089 | BOok Cover Self-ADHESIVE 13" $\mathrm{X} 11{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 16,904 | 167 | 101 | EA | 102 | (1) | (167) |
| 22077740 | GLove MAXIFLEX 34-874 MED 12 Per Pack | 311 | 2 | 153 | PR | 132 | 21 | \$ 42 |

(1,171)

Attachment 2
Store 2 (Chollas)

PROCEDURE 2 (INDIVIDUAL STOCK ITEM EXCEPTIONS)

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Material Number (Stock } \\ \text { Item) } \end{array}$ | Material Description | Inventory Value (Rounded to Nearest Dollar) | Unit Value (Rounded to Nearest Dollar) | $\underset{\text { Number of Units Recorded }}{\text { in SAP }}$ | Inventory Unit of Measure | Number of Units Per Physical Observation Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of Units } \\ \text { Overstated/ (Understated) } \\ \text { in SAP } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Inventory Value } \\ \text { Overstated/ (Understated) } \\ \text { in SAP } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22035235 | MASKING TAPE BLUE - 2 INCH | 64 | S 6 | 10 | EA | 38 | (28) | (168) |
| 22030362 | SAW POLE-PRUNER RAZOR-BACK \#62-932 | 569 | 41 | 14 | EA | 16 | (2) | (82) |
| 22040995 | SAFETY BANDAID 1X3 FLEX-FABRIC 100 BX | 123 | 3 | 43 | BX | 56 | (13) | s (39) |
| 22030226 | BLADE HACKSAW 12" STANLEY 15-412 | 239 | 5 | 51 | EA | 56 | (5) | (25) |
| 22034920 | BRUSH STENCIL \#8 1-1/2" ${ }^{\text {x }}$ 1-1/4" | 33 | 7 | 5 | EA | 8 | (3) | s (21) |
| 22014563 | flashlight 3-Cell black | 1,013 | 14 | 72 | EA | 73 | (1) | (14) |
| 22016207 | Fitting Curb Stop 3/4" W/FLARE NUTJ1513C | 1,915 | 8 | 245 | EA | 244 | 1 | s 8 |
| 22016099 | ELbow Street brass 90DEGREE 14" | 49 | 3 | 18 | EA | 15 | 3 | s 9 |
| 22030387 | SCREWDRIVER - KLEIN \#605-4 FLAT CAB TIP | 42 | 8 | 5 | EA | 4 | 1 | s 8 |
| 22030396 | SCREWDRIVER FLAT TIP 10 " STANLEY 66-160 | 220 | 10 | 23 | EA | 22 | 1 | 10 |
| 22036256 | LUBRICANT WD-40 120 Z SPRAY No SUB 12/CS | 269 | ${ }^{6}$ | 46 | EA | 44 | 2 | 12 |
| 22014510 | Boot Safety size 9 steel toe | 97 | 14 | 7 | PR | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 | 14 |
| 22014516 | Boot Safety size 14 Steel toe | 108 | 15 | 7 | EA | 6 | 1 | 15 |
| 22040351 | LEMON BLAST Malorder eliminator SLB-335 | 17 | 17 | 1 | EA | - | 1 | 17 |
| 22014722 | GLove gauntlet-short lrg 12 PER PK | 52 | 1 | 36 | PR | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| 22018749 | PIPE PVC SCH40 1-1/2" $20{ }^{\prime}$ | 133 | 1 | 159 | FT | 120 | 39 | 39 |
| 22016416 | FITTING AIR KING GAM12 1 " MIP | 47 | 2 | 19 | EA |  | 19 | \$ 38 |
| 22013136 | PADLOCK MSTR IKA 1 CO26 W/O KEY 15 Per bX | 1,169 | 12 | 94 | EA | 90 | 4 | 48 |
| 22030324 | E-Z Reachers model \#32-S (no sub) | 154 | 9 | 17 | EA | ${ }^{9}$ | 8 | 72 |
| 22039840 | LUMBER DOUGLAS FIR 4X4X16 STD\&BTR DF S4S | 766 | 19 | 40 | EA | 36 | 4 | \$ 76 |
| 22040524 | TOILET PAPER 2-PLY WAXIE 851218 | 11,584 | ${ }^{36}$ | 326 | EA | 323 | 3 | 108 |
| 22032901 | BALLAST 1-400W QUAD HPS ADV 71A8473001D | 458 | 115 | 4 | EA | 3 | 1 | 115 |
| 22039830 | LUMBER DOUGLAS FIR 2X4X16 STD\&BTR DF S45 | 1,644 | 9 | 192 | EA | 174 | 18 | 162 |
| 22014519 | GATORADE PWDR MIX 8.50Z 40BX | 4,974 | 2 | 3,000 | PK | 2,880 | 120 | 240 |
| 22014522 | bucket canvas tapered-wall klein \#5172PS | 210 | 70 | 3 | EA | - | 3 | 210 |
| 22014643 | CONE SLeeve 6 " Reflexite r6-28PM No SUB | 906 | 4 | 251 | EA | 188 | 63 | 252 |
| 22039833 | LUMBER DOUGLAS FIR 2x10x16 \#2 OR BETTER | 903 | 25 | 36 | EA | 26 | 10 | 250 |
| 22022201 | CASING GATE 8"OD 10GA-STEEL X $20{ }^{\prime}$ | 1,502 | 19 | ${ }^{80}$ | EA | ${ }^{60}$ | 20 | 380 |
| 22030514 | SOCKET SET 3/8"DR 22PCE PROTO \#52136 | 1,553 | 194 | 8 | EA | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | 388 |
| 22035172 | Paint, traffic line black 250 Gl tote, | 5,883 | 8 | 750 | GAL | 500 | 250 | 2,000 |
| Overstatement of Units 587 <br> \$,483  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Understatement of Units | (52) | \$ (349) |

## Attachment 2

## Store 2 (Chollas)

PROCEDURE 3 (INDIVIDUAL STOCK ITEM EXCEPTIONS):


# The City of San Diego 

## MEMORANDUM

DATE: $\quad$ September 9, 2017
TO: Eduardo Luna, City Auditor
FROM: Kristina Peralta, Director, Purchasing \& Contracting via Ronald H. Villa, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, Internal Operations Branch

SUBJECT: Management Response to the Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Related to the Central Stores Physical Inventory

The purpose of this memorandum is provide Management's response to the findings in the June 20, 2017 report titled Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Related to the Central Stores Physical Inventory. Additionally, we provide information on our ongoing efforts to strengthen inventory controls and minimize physical inventory discrepancies in Central Stores stock.

As noted in the report, Central Stores successfully addressed all recommendations from the FY 2016 inventory audit. Specifically, the FY 2017 obsolete inventory process was completed timely and in good order. In addition, last year's audit noted areas in both stores that were disorganized and unusable stock was commingled with active stock. The auditors noted anecdotally that both stores were better organized and cleaner this year.

Consistent with the agreed-upon procedures, the auditors conducted physical inventory counts at both Central Stores locations. The counts were based on both random and judgmental samples, as described in the auditor's report. It is important to note that the extrapolated error rate and extrapolated dollar value of errors both declined from FY 2016 to FY 2017. The comparison between this year and last year is summarized below.

| Fiscal Year | Percentage Difference between <br> the Value of Sampled Items <br> Counted and Sampled Items in <br> Inventory <br> [extrapolated error rate] | Estimated Difference in the <br> Total Inventory Value Based on <br> Sampling Error <br> [extrapolated dollar value of <br> errors] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | $1.1 \%$ | $\$ 24,055$ |
| 2017 | $0.93 \%$ | $\$ 4,996$ |

While declines in the error rate and dollar value of those errors are positive developments, there is clearly opportunity to improve the accuracy of Central Stores inventory.

For example, inventoried items in the pipe yard continued to have higher error rates, relative to other inventoried items. Following last year's audit, the Central Stores supervisory team developed, documented, and promulgated procedures to enhance inventory controls for the pipe yard. Based on the statistical results and the auditor's observations, it is clear that the procedures need to be more systematically followed and staff should receive refresher training on the procedures. To that end, the Central Stores supervisory team is working to refine the pipe yard procedure, train staff on the procedure, and have staff acknowledge understanding of the procedure.

In addition, Central Stores supervisory team is in the process of drafting other procedural guidance based on the results of the audit and subsequent debrief with the auditor's team and Purchasing \& Contracting management.

We'd like to thank the independent accountant's audit team and the Office of the City Auditor for allowing us to comment on a draft of the report. The audit team was very professional, and provided a number of valuable observations that were greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,


Kristina Peralta
Director of Purchasing \& Contracting
$\mathrm{KP} / \mathrm{mh}$

cc: Stacey LoMedico, Assistant Chief Operating Officer<br>Mary Lewis, Chief Financial Officer<br>Rolando Charvel, City Comptroller

