



La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: <http://www.LaJollaCPA.org>

Voicemail: 858.456.7900

Email: info@LaJollaCPA.org

President: Bob Steck

Vice President: Helen Boyden

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary: Cindy Greatrex

Treasurer: David Gordon

DRAFT AGENDA

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 3 May 2018, 6:00 pm

6:00pm

- 1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Bob Steck, President**
 - Please turn off or silence mobile devices
 - Meeting is being recorded

- 2.0 Adopt the Agenda**

- 3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: 5 April 2018**

- 4.0 Officers' Reports**
 - 4.1 Treasurer**
 - 4.2 Secretary**

- 5.0 Elected Officials- Information Only**
 - 5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry**
Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611 mauriciom@sandiego.gov
 - 5.2 78th Assembly District: Assemblymember Todd Gloria**
Rep: **Javier Gomez** 619-645-3090 javier.gomez2@asm.ca.gov
 - 5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins**
Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133 chevelle.tate@sen.ca.gov

- 6.0 President's Report-** Information only unless otherwise noted.
 - 6.1** Ratification of LJCPA appointees to various committees. **ACTION ITEM** List to be provided.
 - 6.2** Ratification of other appointees to various committees. **ACTION ITEM** List to be provided.

- 7.0 Non-Agenda Public comment-** Opportunity for public to comment on matters not on the agenda, two minutes or less.
 - 7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan** mpangilinan@sandiego.gov
 - 7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri** adelouri@ucsd.edu <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/>
 - 7.3 General Public**

- 8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comments**
Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

- 9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees** - Information only unless noted.

If a Sign Language Interpreter, aids for the visually impaired, or Assisted Listening Devices (ALDs) are required, please contact the City's Disability Services Coordinator at 619-321-3208 at least (5) five work days prior to the meeting date to insure availability.

9.1 Community Planners Committee <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>

John Shannon, Rep

9.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html>

9.3 UC San Diego Long Range Development Plan CAG: One of LJCPA delegates will report on discussion.
<http://lrpd.ucsd.edu>

10.0 Consent Agenda- Action Item

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

→ See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.

→ Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

→ Items “pulled” from Consent Agenda are automatically trailed to the next LJCPA meeting.

10.1 PATHRIA RESIDENCE - Project #: 566727--7975 Calle De La Plata--: (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for a demolition of an existing single family residence and construction of a new 3,995 sf two story residence at 7975 Calle De La Plata. The 0.15 acre site is located within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable area), in the LJSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District Area within Council District 1.

PRC Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for SDP and CDP for Project #566727; VOTE Passed 4-2-0

10.2 SIDE LLC Project - Project #: 571249--7687 Hillside Drive--: (Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for a 3,868 sq ft addition and interior remodel to an existing 3,126 sq ft single dwelling residence and construction of a new 6,880 sq ft residence with new 815 sq ft 3 car garage located at 7687 Hillside Drive. Each of the two homes will be constructed on separate but adjacent lots. The 0.368 and 0.265 acre attached sites are within the Coastal Overlay (Non-Appealable) overlay zone within the LJSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District within the La Jolla Community Plan Area within Council District 1.

PRC Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for Site Development Permit SDP and CDP for Project #571249; VOTE Passed 4-2-0.

10.3 La Jolla Presbyterian Church Fall Festival: Request for Temporary Street Closure on Draper Ave between Kline and Prospect Streets for the 5th annual event on Sunday November 4, 2018. Erika Hill

T&T Motion: To **Approve** Temporary Street Closure on Draper Ave between Kline and Prospect Street Sunday November 4, 2018 for the 5th annual La Jolla Presbyterian Church Fall Festival: Brady, Second Gantzel 7-0-0

10.4 End of Summer Run- Request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking areas related to the 19th annual zt on Sunday August 26, 2018 on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Boulevard. Kathy Loper.

T&T Motion: To **Approve** Temporary Street Closures and No Parking areas on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Boulevard on Sunday August 26, 2018 for the 19th annual End of Summer Run: Earley, Second: Ryan 7-0-0

10.5 Dockless Bike Issues - Participation in a Resolution to the City asking for adoption of regulations and

announcing the formation of a working group to provide recommendations: Dave Abrams

T&T Motion: To Approve La Jolla Community Joint Resolution on Dockless Bikes and Motorized Scooters: Brady, Second Gantzel 7-0 Resolution to be provided.

- 10.6 Eads Ave** 7154 & 7156 Eads Avenue, # 577900 (Process 3) Tentative Map, Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for development of a small lot subdivision, to subdivide 1 lot with two residences (currently under construction) into 2 lots. Located at 7154 & 7156 Eads Avenue. The .166 acre site is in the RM-1-1 zone within the Coastal Overlay zone (non-appealable) of the La Jolla Community Plan area.
DPR Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for SDP/CDP for a small lot subdivision. Motion Passes 4-0-3 (Abstentions from Chair and two absences at previous meeting.).

- 10.7 Demolish Residence** 9036 La Jolla Shores # 588291 (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to demolish one existing residential building totaling 1,706 square feet as well as the 220 square foot detached garage. The 0.81-acre site is located within the appealable coastal overlay zone at 9036 La Jolla Shores Lane in the RS-1-1 and RS-1-4 zones of the La Jolla community plan area. The site is in the Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zones (B and CB), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable), First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Coastal, Beach, and Campus), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the La Jolla Community Plan area. The lot appears to contain Environmentally Sensitive Lands (ESL) in the form of Steep Hillside and Sensitive Vegetation. It also appears to contain MSCP and Coastal bluffs. This should be coordinated with Project No. 478873 for the same address.
DPR Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for a CDP for residence demolition (Collins/Zynda). Motion passes 4-1-2.

- 10.8 Waverly Residence**, 5543 Waverly Avenue # 577309 DPM: (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of 2,686 SF Two story single family residence with roof top deck and a detached carport on a vacant lot at 5543 Waverly Avenue between Midway and Forward St. The 0.1 Acre site located within the RS-1-7 zone and Coastal overlay zone (Non-Appealable) of the La Jolla community plan Area.
DPR Motion: Findings **CANNOT** be made for CDP because use of the carport exemption enables the project to exceed allowable FAR. According to the community plan redevelopment shall maintain community character. Redevelopment shall create harmony between new vs old structures, designed to avoid extreme and intrusive changes, and maintain harmony in visual relationships. The use of the carport is new extreme and intrusive method to exceed FAR and does not maintain character or visual harmony. (Gaenzle/Costello) **Motion Passes 5-2-1**

The following agenda items, when marked "Action Item," can be a *de novo* consideration of the Item.
Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

- 11.0 Time certain 6:30 Citywide Tree Planting Program (Information Only)** Discussion of the City's goal to implement the Climate Action Plan (CAP) and the tree planting component of it to reduce carbon in the atmosphere. Residents are being asked to participate. Lesley Henegar.

- 12.0 La Jolla Boulevard Traffic Calming-** Consideration of City Staff provided scheme for Pedestrian Refuge Island at Mira Monte Intersection. (Zoe Kleinbub) Info to be provided.

T&T Motion: Approve City Staff Suggested Pedestrian Refuge Island in La Jolla Blvd. near Mira Monte Intersection: Brady,
Second: Gantzel 8-0-1 (Bailey) LDER

13.0 Lowering of Fence at rear of La Jolla Shores Lane (Black's Beach Overlook) Request for LJCPA to send joint letter to SDFD and SD Lifeguard Chiefs, to lower a current 6-ft view fence to the 4-ft height requested by the California Coastal Commission in a previously granted Development Permit at the site. A 4-ft view fence at this location will also enable conformance with the 4-ft view fence height parameter of our Community Plan. (drafted letter sent to trustees and included in Public Document folder on back table)

14.0 Whether to schedule a revote on the Black Halibut project for the June 5, meeting of the LJCPA based on new information as provided for in the Administrative Guidelines, September 2015, page 29.

15.0 Whether to send a letter drafted by David Little and Dede Donovan with respect to the 50% rule to the City Attorney. (drafted letter sent to trustees and included in Public Document folder on back table)

16.0 Adjourn to next LJCPA Meeting, Thursday 7 June, 6:00 pm



La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: <http://www.LaJollaCPA.org>

Voicemail: 858.456.7900

Email: info@LaJollaCPA.org

President: Bob Steck

Vice President: Helen Boyden

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary: Cindy Greatrex

Treasurer: David Gordon

DRAFT MINUTES

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 5 April 2018, 6:00 PM

Trustees Present: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Collins, Gordon, Greatrex, Little, Mangano, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman, Will.

Trustees Absent: Costello, Courtney, Kane.

6:00pm

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Bob Steck, President

→ Please turn off or silence mobile devices

→ Meeting is being recorded

Meeting Commences at 6:04 PM with Quorum present.

2.0 Adopt the Agenda

Motion: Adopt Agenda (Greatrex/Boyden) 14-0-1. Chair Abstains.

3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: 1 March 2018

Motion: Approve 1 March 2018 Minutes (Greatrex, Merten) 14-0-1. Chair Abstains.

4.0 Officers' Reports

4.1 Treasurer

March Treasurer's Report of LJCPA

Beginning Balance as of 3/1/18 **\$ 569.17**

Income

- Collections \$ 183.00
- CD Sales \$ 20.00

Total Income **\$ 203.00**

Expenses

- LJCPA website maintenance \$ 00.00
- Agenda printing \$ 58.96
- AT&T telephone \$ 80.93

Total Expenses **\$ 139.89**

Net Income/(Loss) **\$ 63.11**

Ending Balance of 3/31/18 **\$ 632.28**

4.2 Secretary

Pro-Tem Secretary Greatrex states: If you want your attendance recorded today, you should sign in at the back of

the room. LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age. Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at the sign-in table or on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/. We encourage you to join so that you can vote in the Trustee elections and at the Annual Meeting in March. You can become a Member after attending one meeting and must maintain your membership by attending one meeting per year. If you do not attend one meeting per year, your membership will expire. To qualify as a candidate in an election to become a Trustee, a Member must have documented attendance at **three** LJCPA meetings in the preceding 12-month period. You are entitled to attend without signing in, but only by providing proof of attendance can you maintain membership or become eligible for election as a Trustee.

5.0 Elected Officials- Information Only

5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry

Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611 mauriciom@sandiego.gov

Present, gives report:

- No response on Ardath Road wall in re: LJCPA letter on same (Resident Mark Pretorius repeated request)
- No response on Dockless Bikes (Resident Janie Emerson query)
- No response on STVR (Chair Steck query)
- Forwarded response from Medina on Prop D request (Resident Geoff Page) commented on by Trustee Little as being "outdated" and 'bogus".
- Community cleanup at the Shores, April 21.

5.2 78th Assembly District: Assemblymember Todd Gloria

Rep: **Javier Gomez** 619-645-3090 javier.gomez2@asm.ca.gov

Present, gave report. In the middle of Legislative Session; 20 bills coming out of office in Assembly. Going through budget process; first draft submitted in January. Assemblyman Gloria requested \$1B to be allocated to homeless and housing solutions. \$1.5B is also be provided as a match, which means almost \$3B is available for homeless and housing solutions. New bill AB2103 bolsters requirements for concealed permits. Gloria asking State auditor to perform Hep C audit.

5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins

Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133 chevelle.tate@sen.ca.gov

Not present.

6.0 President's Report- Information only unless otherwise noted.

6.1 Oath of Office: Trustees Elected in March Election: Ahern, Brady, Kane, Little, Mangano, Shannon, Weissman. Newly elected Trustees step forward to be sworn in. Kane not present.

6.2 2018-2019 LJCPA Officers Election. ACTION ITEM To elect: President, Vice President, Second Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer to serve through March 2019.

- Vice President Helen Boyden nominates Bob Steck as 2018-2019 President.
- President Bob Steck nominates Helen Boyden as 2018-2019 Vice President.
- Vice President Boyden nominates Brian Will as 2018-2019 2nd Vice-President
- Vice President Boyden nominates Cindy Greatrex as 2018-2019 Secretary.
- Trustee David Gordon self-nominates as 2018-2019 Treasurer.

Motions to Approve President, Vice Presidents and Secretary carry at 13-0-2. Chair Abstains and Nominee Abstains.

Motion to approve Treasurer carries at 11-0-4. Chair and Nominee Abstain, Trustees Little and Merten Abstain.

6.3 The Audit Report showing adherence to 600-24 and Administrative guidelines was filed and acknowledged.

6.4 Melinda Merryweather has requested deferral of the Princess Street cul de sac paving item until the

Coastal Commission has weighed in.

6.5 At the May meeting the LJCPA President will present for ratification the LJCPA appointees to the Subcommittees and Boards, Membership Committee and UCSD Long Range Planning Committee at the May meeting. Please write info@Lajollacpa.org to indicate your interest in continuing or to be appointed for the first time.

Boyden: An eblast will be sent to all in regard to this matter. Notes that interested parties should contact LJCPA.

Collins: Expresses interest in serving on DPR.

6.6 La Jolla Shores Association appoints the following to LJCPA Committees: Traffic & Transportation (2) Brian Earley (1 to be determined); La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee (5) Matt Edwards, Janie Emerson, Dave Gordon Angie Preisendorfer (1 to be determined) **ACTION ITEM for ratification**

Motion to Ratify: (Boyden/Collins) 14-0-1. Chair Abstains.

(No Agenda #) Non-Agenda-ed Discussion: Led by President Steck on a no-debate basis, regarding an investigation conducted by LJCPA. Residents of 5322 Calumet Avenue reported to LJCPA that Trustee Michael Costello stated comments at the 1/4/2018 LJCPA meeting and at a DPR meeting that were factually incorrect, in relation to landscaping of their property. At DPR the statements were made in his role as board member. They asked for the matter to be investigated and the record to reflect that landscaping on their property is in fact conformant. LJCPA conducted this investigation and concurs with the homeowners.

7.0 Non-Agenda Public Comment- Opportunity for public to comment on matters not on the agenda, two minutes or less.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan mpangilinan@sandiego.gov Not present.

Note: Did appear later in meeting but did not present report.

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri adelouri@ucsd.edu <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/> Not present.

7.3 General Public:

Catherine Lewis notes that there are pediments in a pedestrian pathway on TPR. She is familiar with the before and after as she had previously walked it with the Robert Thiele group.

Clint Conyee, Board Member of "I Love a Clean San Diego". Holding a Creek to Bay cleanup on 4/21

Janie Emerson notes that in her tenure as Election Committee Chair of 2018, there were LJCPA Members unclear on their status as Members. Suggests LJCPA send out an e-blast reminding people to check in on their current status.

Melinda Merryweather requests inclusion on the May 2018 LJCPA Agenda to request LJCPA to send letter to the Fire Department regarding code compliance of the fence at Blacks Beach, which is permitted for 4' but stands at 6'. President Steck acquiesces to the request on the May Agenda.

Nancy Manno inquires if any response has been received to Issues Letter Phil Merten had suggested.

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comments

Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Letter presented by **Trustee David Gordon**, raising concerns on transparency in committee Agenda distributions and also concerns that a Trustee had been using his status on the LJCPA for preferential treatment and has been communicating with members of the CPA and its committees in a way that would foster collective collusion or serial meetings.

Rebuttal by Trustee **Phil Merten** with an explanation on the Brown Act and the definition of serial meetings.

Trustee Boyden states that LJCPA is following procedure suggested by SD Planning and that followed by Planning Commission and City Council.

Audience member **CA Marengo** notes that Supplementary Materials would be best served when given to the Applicant and the Community as well as Trustees. In this matter it is clear that there is no collective concurrence attempt. Trustee **David Little** thanks Helen for disseminating information.

Trustee Gordon suggests eblasting Supplementary Material. **President Steck** notes that he is entering his sixth year as Trustee and has never seen anyone use a Project for their personal gain.

9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

9.1 Community Planners Committee <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>

John Shannon, Rep. present

Home availability remains an issue, so CPC discussed incentives for developers. CPC voted against this. Also, transit-rich Bonus Act where one can build large projects near transit areas SB 328 discussed, which provides additional low-income housing.

9.3 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html> Group did not meet in March.

9.4 UC San Diego Long Range Development Plan CAG: One of LJCPA delegates will report on discussion. <http://lrpd.ucsd.edu>. Group did not meet in March.

10.0 Consent Agenda- Action Item

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

→ **See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.**

→ **Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.**

→ **Items “pulled” from Consent Agenda are automatically trailed to the next LJCPA meeting.**

10.5 pulled for full hearing in May 2018.

Motion to Approve Consent minus 10.5: (Rasmussen/Collins) 14-0-1

10.1 Fay Avenue & West Muirlands Drive PROW Vacation Project No.:545519 I.O. # 13001949 - PROCESS 5 - Summary Street Vacation for the 8 parcels located on the southwest intersection of Fay Ave and West Muirlands Drive as shown in Drawing No. 20918-B. Council District 1. **DPR Motion: DENY** application to allow property to continue to be held as PROW or held in abeyance for future public use. (Costello/Kane 7-1-1)

10.2 Country Club & Mimulus Retaining Walls 7116 Country Club Drive & 1601 Mimulus Way. Project #564514 (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit to install temporary erosion control and remove an existing retaining wall and garden walls, install tied-back shotcrete wall and counterforts, this site contains Environmentally Sensitive Lands in the form of Steep Hillside located at 7116 Country Club Dr. and 1601 Mimulus Way. The 0.88-acre site is within the Coastal Overlay Zone (Non-Appealable) in the RS-1-4 zone(s) of the La Jolla Community Plan area.

DPR Motion: Findings CAN be made for a (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for the proposed project (Costello/Collins 6-0-1)

10.3 McCasland Addition Project 2555 Ardath Road Project #: 553305 (Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for the addition of an 855 sq ft single story companion unit to an existing 2,693 sq ft single story single family dwelling with an FAR of 0.18. After the addition is completed, the FAR will be 0.25. The site is located at 2555 Ardath Rd within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable), in the LSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District Area within Council District 1.

PRC Motion: Findings can be made for Project Description: (Process 3) Site Development Permit and

Coastal Development Permit #: 553305.Vote: 7-0.

10.4 Junior League of San Diego Food and Wine Festival- Request for Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park related to the 18th annual event on May 4 – 5, 2018 (Briana Barbier)

T&T Motion: To Approve Request for Temporary No Parking on Coast Boulevard adjacent to Scripps Park related to the 18th annual Junior League of San Diego Food and Wine Festival Event on May 4-5, 2018: Earley, Second: Warwick 9-0-0

10.5 : La Jolla Boulevard Traffic Calming- Consideration of City Staff Suggested Pedestrian Refuge Island at Mira Monte Intersection. (Zoe Kleinbub)

T&T Motion: Approve City Staff Suggested Pedestrian Refuge Island in La Jolla Blvd. near Mira Monte Intersection: Brady, Second: Gantzel 8-0-1 (Bailey)

The following agenda items, when marked "Action Item," can be a *de novo* consideration of the Item. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 Black Halibut Project #516011 Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for demolition of an existing 2,578 sq ft single story residence and 639 sq ft building. Proposed construction of a new two story (over basement) 6,927 sq ft single family residence (proposed FAR 0.60). The 0.27 acre site is located at 8470 El Paseo Grande within the Coastal Overlay Zone (appealable area) and the Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, in the LJSPD-SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District Area within Council District 1. Pulled from March consent calendar. Pulled from the March 2018 consent calendar. **PRC Motion:** Findings **CAN** be made for the Site Development Permit #:516011, and Coastal Development Permit. **(4-2-0)**

Applicant Presentation

Claude Anthony Marengo of Morton Marengo Architects present on behalf of Applicant.

Marengo requests Resident Peggy Davis to speak to the Project, as she pulled it.

Peggy Davis: Takes the podium and states she is representing Dick Johnson of 8486 El Paseo Grande, which is to the North of the project. Davis states bulk and scale of Black Halibut is inconsistent with neighborhood. Davis indicates 11,320 total SF including basements, with decking at 2100 additional SF. Building juts out to ocean, and Davis believes this will block sunlight and air for Johnson's family on his patio. Davis states they have met with Mr. Marengo but still have concerns. Davis notes sand is permissible to be used as landscaping, but she believes the swath in question is too large. She is also concerned about subsidence because there is a designated earthquake fault running through 8586 El Paseo Grande.

Richard Johnson: Owner of 8486 El Paseo Grande. States concerns about tremblors in past where floors and ceilings have shaken. He is concerned about grading and recontouring of the lot due to the fragility of the house. Pool planned at Black Halibut will be three feet from property line. Grading, digging may cause further stress. He is hoping for a more modest project.

Jamie Emerson: Reiterates objections. If you look at houses, coming from Kellogg Park north, first two houses have walls on beach. The Johnson house is most northern from that vantage point. All houses have a grassy slope. Cardenas has a cantilevered deck as well. Emerson states Black Halibut is not conforming from this vantage point and this is a basic incompatibility. Emerson states that like Davis, she also pulled the project.

Claude Anthony Marengo: Marengo notes that re: The Cardenas Project, Cabrillo Map and environmentally sensitive land. He was able to use the delineated line, put footing behind line, and cantilever in front of line, and was able to legally employ a ministerial permit. He clarifies the proper numbers of the height and breadth of property, inclusive of decks. Presents setbacks and indicates that bulk and scale concerns are not valid. Building articulates quite a bit. Notes that PRC vote was 4-2-0 on this Project. He states he reviewed lineups with both Cardenas and Johnson. Marengo states that his geotechnical consultant is putting in shoring and help to stabilize the property. He notes Johnson property has gaping cracks already present due to lack of maintenance.

Public Comment

Nancy Manno: States that she has lost her privacy in a recent neighboring Project. Notes she was a Trustee for six years and that Trustees cannot gauge quality of life issues

Marengo: Providing landscaping with bougainvillea. Notes housing is in transition in the area.

Myrna Naegle: How is this house affecting the character of the Shores? The project is large.

Janie Emerson: Points out mass of the area, and requests additional landscaping. Marengo said he could put in planters.

Melinda Merryweather: Character of the Shores needs to remain intact, not look like a NYC parking lot.

Mula Vulavichbhar: Requests geotechnical questions be addressed.

Wheeler Engineering: Speaks to concerns about basement, in re: settling of Johnson house. He uses modern design, survey monitoring, He notes his line of work is litigious and so every precaution is taken in engineering geology of the excavation, documented on the back end for the City.

Gordon: *Point of order:* We do not address geotechnical review at CPA. Soil conditions have been addressed multiple times, including at PRC.

Marengo: Sea level rise is another requirement and he brought in an expert to assess spray and splash. Windows were removed by choice. Water goes into a vault, 3% is retained and balance is pumped out on street side.

Trustee Comment

Gordon: Did not vote in January because Johnson was out of town. Privacy and geotechnical concerns were discussed at PRC in February as well as articulation. Gordon states it conforms to look and feel of neighborhood. Compliments Marengo for generous driveway.

Merten: Was contacted in 2011 by former owner Whittemore re: cantilevered deck requirement for Cardenas property. Mit Neg Dec was denied on this as a ministerial permit was granted over the counter. A settlement. An agreement was later reached between City and Save La Jolla regarding the lack of an SDP. Merten states he was unpaid by Whittemore. He was later paid as an expert witness in a Federal case on lights shining into the Whittemore property that were against the muni code. States that PM Glenn Gargas reviewing assessment of ESL. No response on conclusion by Gargas. Merten has requested cycle letters on this topic but has only received the latest version which does not have a conclusion referenced. References a "string-line" as being a common tool of measurement.

Marengo: All front-row property is ESL. Discussion on slopes, lawn, etc. Noted that soldier piles are permanent.

Weissman: Asks why this Project was not heard at La Jolla Shores Planned District Advisory Board. Noted by other Trustees that LJSRPC did review it.

Will: Finds nothing in this discussion that would deny the project. Has no knowledge of "string-line" in the muni code. Makes Motion to Approve.

To the Motion

Merten: Stresses importance of ESL and believes that PRC has not seen revisions to plans.

Gordon: PRC has seen revisions.

Will: Quite common that revisions are made, we want architects to make revisions, that is the point of Review.

Motion: Findings CAN be made to approve CDP and SDP. (Will/Ahern) 7-6-2. Chair Abstains. Boyden Abstains, uncertain of which way to vote.

12.0 Parking Spaces on Coast Blvd. adjacent to Scripps Park Request by La Jolla Cove Hotel to convert head-in parking spaces back to diagonal (Susan Stevens) Pulled from February consent agenda.

T&T Motion: to request the City revisit and reevaluate the conversion of diagonal parking to head-in parking for the seven newly created parking spaces on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park due to complaints of traffic congestion and safety issues that has arisen: Brady, Second: Goulding 7-0-0

Under Discussion Second month that Applicant has not attended. Trustee Rasmussen Moves to Deny. Trustee Brady elucidates on background of request as presented at T&T. Discussion on various types of parking solutions. **Motion:** Findings can NOT be made (Rasmussen/Little) 8-5-2 Chair Abstains. Mangano Abstains due to unfamiliarity with request.

13.0 Price/Cohen Residence Project # 565738 2045 Lowry Place

Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for demolition of an existing 2432 gross sf area single story residence (current FAR 0.42) and construction of a new 3,749 gross sf two story residence containing 1,562 sf on the main level, 1,367sf on the upper level, 604sf of garage and storage space and a 190sf deck. The new FAR will be 0.61. The new residence will consist of 3 bedrooms (plus a 4th den/bedroom flexspace), 4 bathrooms, and a 2 car garage. Maximum proposed height not to exceed 24' 9 1/2'. The site is located at 2045 Lowry Place within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable area), in the LJSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District Area within Council District 1.

PRC Motion : Findings **CAN** be made for Site Development Permit SDP & CDP for Project #565738 VOTE 5-2-0

Gordon: Notes that Merten has been fighting this via PRC and that now Merten and his client are satisfied with final outcome.

Trustee **Brian Will** Calls the Question due to lack of visible opponents/concerns.

Motion: Findings CAN be made for SDP and CDP. (Will/Gordon) 10-0-1. Chair Abstains.

14.0: Hillside Drive Construction Issues (Cont'd Item) Request by Residents to consider 1. Truck Weight Limit; 2. Damaged Condition of the Road; 3. Violation of Parking Restrictions (Diane Kane)

T&T Motion: To recommend the La Jolla Community Planning Association set up an Ad Hoc committee to develop a traffic management plan for Hillside Drive that is currently experiencing a great deal of construction activity causing numerous negative impacts: Brady, Second: Goulding 9-0-0

Under Discussion Length of ad-hoc group before sunseting (6 or 9 months proposed). Discussion on parking studies and design standards for road frontage. Makeup of committee members up for future decision but it is suggested to have one member from T&T, one from DPR, one from PRC and one general member (neighbor).

Presentation Resident Nancy Manno urges LJCPA to form this ad-hoc committee to address issues regarding traffic, parking and ongoing construction on Hillside Drive. The street is failing and there are drainage problems.

Public Comment: None

Trustee Comment

VP Boyden states ad-hoc committee must adhere to the Brown Act. Boyden states that Diane Kane had advised that a working group does not need to be a subject of Brown. That is not permissible if it is a LJCPA controlled group. Boyden notes that the last ad-hoc committee, on "Mansionization", was very complicated in its approach and did not get a good reception from Trustees. Boyden asks how this ad-hoc can do better?

Manno: We will adhere to Brown and cap the length of the ad-hoc committee's existence.

Secretary Greatrex requests formal time-cap on this ad-hoc committee, as previous ad-hoc committee ran too long on an ad-hoc topic.

Brady: The amount of problems is well-known and need sto be addressed. Makes Motion to Approve.

To the Motion

Overall discussion on who populates committee (demographics from committees) and whether that number should be in the Motion.

Weiss: What power will this group have? Sounds like a lot of time involved. No concrete proposal, and no power to accomplish anything.

Manno: Will ask for studies on what can be done.

Greatrex: Who are the appropriate City divisions?

Manno: Storm Water and Traffic are the City divisions.

Greatrex: Recommends 5 members of this committee for a Quorum of 3.

Motion: To approve formation of requested ad-hoc committee, to be sunsetted in nine months. (Brady/Will) 11-0-1 **Note:* Overall Vote-count change due to early exit of Trustees Rasmussen, Shannon, Collins. Chair Abstains.

15.0 Serial Permitting and 50% Rule Document: Letter to the City Attorney with copy to Director of Services, Mayor and Council District One requesting a formal review of the document and formal adoption as a city Information Bulletin or removal from circulation & use. (Costello/Kane 7-0-1)

DPR Motion to forward this letter as written to LJCPA to send to City Attorney and cc's. Will/Kane 8-0-1.

Trustee Little: Letter as presented is too long and needs revision. Resident Dede Donovan has agreed to revise. Postponed until May at request of Trustee Little, to allow for further wordsmithing and revision of letter.

Motion: To Postpone until May Regular Meeting (Little/Ahern) 11-0-1. Chair Abstains.

16.0 Information only re: upcoming City Scoping meeting for EIR for 3 million gallon reservoir in [La Jolla Heights Natural Park](#): <https://www.sandiego.gov/cip/projectinfo/featuredprojects/ljreservoir> (Ahern)
Trustee Patrick Ahern advises of two meetings on the La Jolla Reservoir Replacement Project: a community preparation meeting on Monday, April 9th at 5:00 pm at the La Jolla Recreation Center and a public scoping meeting on Thursday April 19th, at the La Jolla Recreation Center at 5:30. To look at flora, fauna, CEQA issues and neighborhood life. Regarding the 19th: *City representatives will be available to provide information and answer questions. The meeting will be conducted in an open house format with information stations. Participants may arrive at any time during the meeting.* The City of San Diego (City) is preparing an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the proposed La Jolla View Reservoir Replacement Project. The proposed project would replace two existing reservoirs and a pump station facility with a new reservoir, access road and pipeline within the La Jolla Natural Park. As part of the EIR process, the City has published a Notice of Preparation for the EIR and is conducting a 30-day public comment period. The public is invited to attend the upcoming scoping meeting on **April 19, 2018** to learn more about the project and provide comments to help scope the environmental issues to be addressed in the draft EIR.

17.0 Adjourn to next LJCPA Meeting, Thursday 3 May, 6:00 PM.
Meeting adjourns at 9:02 PM.

LA JOLLA DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REVIEW COMMITTEE
LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION

Meeting Minutes – Tuesday April 17, 2018 – 4:00 pm
La Jolla Recreation Center – 615 Prospect Street, Room 1
La Jolla, California

Attendees: Collins, Costello, Gaenzle, Leira, Ragsdale, Welsh, Will, Zynda

1. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT

Costello – recommend, or encourage, committee members to make a vote, even if they missed the first meeting.

2. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Meeting April 10, 2018

3. FINAL REVIEW 4/17/18

1.

2. Project Name: Waverly Residence Permits: CDP, SDP
5543 Waverly Avenue

Project No.: 577309

DPM: Glenn Gargas

Zone: RS-1-7

Applicant: Brian Yamagat, Golba

(Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of **2,686** SF Two story single family residence with roof top deck and a detached carport on a vacant lot at 5543 Waverly Avenue between Midway and Forward St. The 0.1 Acre site located within the RS-1-7 zone and Coastal overlay zone (Non-Appealable) of the La Jolla community plan Area.

*** Please note that the floor area of 2,686 square feet has been verified: some previous notices were in error. ***

3/13/2018 - APPLICANT PRESENTATION AND QUESTIONS Tim Golba

- Odd property, vacant lot, never had anything (meter, service)
- Lot width approx. 34'. Articulated front to utilize angled PL. detached carport.
- 3' minimum setback but chose to go slightly larger (5') on North side where neighbor is close.
- Carved out mass to respect smaller neighbor to the South. 8-9' separation
- Neighbors don't encroach, but very close to PLs, likely previously conforming, but do not meet current requirements
- Small roof deck. 2,686 sf total FAR
- Traditional style, roof deck concealed as much as possible. Two cars parking in rear (carport). Open on interior side and back side open, garage door on alley side, PL side required to enclose for fire rating. Other sides are open 100%
- Carport ensures parking in garages instead of storage
- Grass and dog run area between house and carport
- .59 FAR where .60 allowed.
- .44 impervious

- 9-6 and 9-0 floor to ceiling heights.
- Building is 28' wide, 23', 16' at front

3/13/2018 - PUBLIC COMMENTS

- Little – property was wider lot, split by owner on North side. Bird watcher organization fought lot split. Will there be import/export soil? No. Height is measured from modified grade which is the lower of existing or proposed. House is 27'-3" tall. Mostly at 23'/24'. Wooded part of birdrock. Hope to see street trees remain. Existing are offsite (ROW) proposing to build sidewalk to avoid existing tree.
- Miller – neighbors thoughts? Southern neighbor has reached out for private discussion. No contact from neighbors to North. Sometimes carports get garage doors on all sides as party pavilion. Why placing carport at PL? Creates privacy.

3/13/2018 - COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Leira – garage door on a carport is deal breaker.
- Kane – Building carports is demeaning
- Gaenzle – existing street trees will remain? Yes. The tree in rear will go.
 - Carport means home becomes larger than neighbors and not in character.
 - Concerned neighbor to north opens to South, loses sunlight.
 - House would be 400' less if included garage
- Leira – grade between neighbors is level. Slope alley to street
 - South neighbor looks wider, 1.5 lots wide. Nice rambling house.
- Collins – how encourage parking in back
 - Applicant – provide secure parking, 2.5' dedication to alley (increase turn) discourage storage by using carport.
- Costello – trees in neighborhood are an asset
 - 7' setback is nice to allow workers to access, repair.
 - Any possibility to enclose garage and narrow house by 2' on each side to achieve lower FAR.
 - “Carport is a garage in transition”
 - Applicant: city has signed off and it meets definition of FAR exemption.
- Kane – suggested enclosing garage, adding second floor “granny” and reduce house.
- Welsh – long straight wall on North side. 2nd Kane’s suggestion of two story garage
- Kane – size of roof deck. No sidewalks should be retained to protect street scape and trees.
- Gaenzle – scale is over neighbors due to exempt carport.

3/13/2018 - DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Overhead view of 3D with neighbors home
- Street montage of elevations,
- Add neighbors’ footprint to all floor plans.
- Identify homes in neighborhood with carports
- Consider a design with an enclosed garage and remove 400 sf elsewhere.
- Consider lowering the height
- Where do windows align with neighbors
- Consider removing garage door, replace with gate along PL?

4/17/2018 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION Tim Golba

- Vacant, substandard lot (36' width)
- Improved articulation of North façade.
- Client will keep carport, but open to nearly 100% on 2 walls. Just a post in one corner.

- Upstairs created some relief aligned with porch on north neighbor
- Dropped height almost 2' (25'-8") reduced bulk and scale
- Only 16' wide at front-most façade.
- New 3D renderings to show relationship with neighbors
- Exhibits compared allowable envelope vs proposed. Very little actually used.
- Roof deck to capture South West view
- Curb to house (proposed) is 32'
- Building less than allowable side setbacks
- Bike storage likely hanging on carport ceiling
- Horizontal wood siding, asphalt ceiling, white trim
- Windowed, roll-up door on carport.
- 41% lot coverage, .59 FAR, on 4501 sf lot
- No other carports within the area of the aerial photo.
- City agreed that no sidewalk required where no others exist
- All cycle issues cleared.
- Trees remain due to no sidewalk
- Ceiling height in carport = 9'-0"
- Carport is 440 sf
- Escrow pending if CDP approved

4/17/2018 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- David Little – Substandard lots were created, squeezing second house, Is roof deck counted in FAR (no).
- Steven Gloor – What happens if new owner includes carport, city cites and gets 30 days to remove, eventually \$1,000/day if no corrective action
- Sharon Wampler – Unfortunate that carports puts neighbors in position to report on new neighbors
- Michael Morton – 36 lot width, after CDP, written description, and now city maintains digital copy of CDP. Garage door on carport provides security for owners
- Chris Dommers (neighbor to north) – Disappointed he was not shown plans in advance, his garage is included in FAR, Concerned about crime and carport invites crime. Rooftop deck almost never used. Not satisfied. Feels like too much for this lot.
- Erin Wyer – any special requirements to protect trees? 2 trees will remain after applicant fought city on unnecessary sidewalk. Building 27+' from house, no danger to trees
- Mary Beth Martin – Front fence is pulled back to PL (less than 3'), what if construction injures trees. Suggest arborist look at them. (all access from rear)
- Ivan Lavinsky (Southerly neighbor) – Any tests performed on trees, in recent years, two cars have been crushed by fallen Eucalyptus. City takes no responsibility for their own trees. Will construction impact the stability of these trees. (applicant is not opposed)

4/17/2018 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Could door be more open, metal and glass – carport reads like a garage
- House to north is .49, House to South is .21
- Largest house on block is .57
- One tree to be removed near proposed interior stairs
- No landscape plan prepared yet, committee would prefer planting a similar tree in front yard to replace.
- How many bedrooms (3)

- PB meeting, that code enforcement is understaffed. Relies on neighbors to enforce. Can the applicant do something different
- Carports don't address 2018 needs for storage, bicycles. Trying to fit too many bedrooms on small lots. Carport is inappropriate.
- 3 bedroom house, many under 2,000sf, carports are legal, but not right. Quotes from community plan.

4/17/2018 – SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Findings **CANNOT** be made for CDP because use of the carport exemption enables the project to exceed allowable FAR. According to the community plan redevelopment shall maintain community character. Redevelopment shall create harmony between new vs old structures, designed to avoid extreme and intrusive changes, and maintain harmony in visual relationships. The use of the carport is new extreme and intrusive method to exceed FAR and does not maintain character or visual harmony. (Gaenzle/Costello)
 - In Favor: Welsh, Gaenzle, Costello, Ragsdale, Leira
 - Opposed: Zynda, Collins
 - Abstain: Will (as chair)
- **Motion Passes 5-2-1**

4. FINAL REVIEW 4/17/18

Project Name:	Colima Street 623 Colima Street	Permits:	CDP
Project No.:	575043	DPM:	Morris Dye
Zone:	RS-1-7	Applicant:	Elizabeth Carmichael

(Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing single dwelling unit and construct two, new, two-story residential units totaling 5,675 square feet (sf) (2,777 sf west unit, and 2,898 sf east unit) located at 623 Colima Street. The 0.11 acre site is in the coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone in the RS-1-7 base zone of the La Jolla Community Plan area.

3/13/2018 - APPLICANT PRESENTATION AND QUESTIONS Elizabeth Carmichael

- Currently two lots with one house and pool. Proposing two, two story residences (one on each lot).
- Current drive off Colima. Proposed two driveways off Colima.
- No roof decks (second floor decks, but not roof)
- Traditional architecture, mix lapped siding, shingle, stone
- Drought tolerant landscape
- West 25'-1.5" high, East 27'-11.5" high
- Neighbor West concerned about backyard privacy. No second floor windows on that side.
- Not an improved alley. City is not asking for alley access. Otherwise driveways would not be allowed.
- 8' between buildings, desire to differentiate
- Lots are 4,859 each. They were never joined.

3/13/2018 - PUBLIC COMMENTS

- Miller – what does this look like next to neighbors?

3/13/2018 - COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Kane – Looks busy, suggest to tone down, see in context of street
- Welsh – side facades are long and flat.
- Kane – Does single structure defacto consolidate the lots? Leira – Yes.
- Gaenzle – Thank you for garages. Concerned that additional curb-cut reduces parking.
- Collins – What is side setbacks. 4’ each, yielding 8’ separation at the narrowest.
- Gaenzle – Trees? Two king palms to be replaced with Jacaranda. Tree in property interior to be removed. New trees proposed but current will not remain.
- Kane – Can existing trees be temporarily stored and re-used on site?
- Collins – how long is unpaved alley? Entire block.

3/13/2018 - DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Context with neighbors. Bulk and Scale, parking.
 - Street elevation or montage with both neighbors and both structures
 - Aerial photo with super-imposed project
 - Site plan with to include adjacent curb cuts and parking impact
- 3D or elevation to see how buildings stagger and corner materials.
- Materials board
- Evidence why alley can’t be used. City’s reasoning.

4/17/2018 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION Elizabeth Carmichael

- Demo existing house that straddles 2 separate lots, replace with 2.
- Each unit has 2 car garage,
- All standard setbacks
- Craftsman style, comp roof, stone accents, shingles, batten board, horizontal siding
- Two story homes on either side
- Lapped (horiz) on one unit, vertical board and batten on other.
- Existing lots never consolidated (legally)
- City review produced certificate of compliance that it is two lots
- .60 FAR allowed for each (.59 and .57 proposed)
- Adding 4 extra feet to driveway width (net combined).

4/17/2018 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- Adding a curb cut for second driveway, street loses on parking space.
- Mark Clevinger (neighbor to West) – Would like to see elevations to scale, Colima is a view corridor, Canary date palms to be removed? (yes), Will plans address storm-water drainage to street (Applicant Yes). (Landscaping adjacent to his property). Will city trees in greenway stay? (yes). Rendering is not precise or to scale. Where is proposed house relative to neighbors. Window at South West 2nd floor is intrusive. VERY concerned about that window as relates to pool and personal spaces on his property. Concerned about 1st floor windows viewing over fence into pool. FF of proposed is 6” higher than existing. Requested designer to visit property.
- Sally Miller – Air-conditioned? Where? (condenser required 4’ from PL, but don’t know where.)

4/17/2018 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Straddled lot “means” they are combined (if not legally consolidated).
- Sensitive to issue of window looking into backyard.
- Glad you have garages
- How is neighborhood laid out.
- Immediate neighbor issues are still community issues.

4/17/2018 – DELIVER FOR NEXT TIME

- Section through, with fence and outline of house
- Overall dimensions to compare.
- Montage to see homes 2-3 on either side.
- Hard elevations including adjacent neighbors
- Please consider removing window
- Any necessary exhibits to demonstrate privacy
- Aerial photo of the entire block, one with proposed home.
- City approval of two distinct lots.

5. PRELIMINARY REVIEW 4/17/18

3. *Preliminary Reviews can be voted a Final Review by a unanimous DPR Committee approval.*

Project Name:	Webber Residence	Permits:	CDP
	622 Palomar Avenue		
Project No.:	587593	DPM:	Paul Godwin
Zone:	RM-1-1	Applicant:	Michael Morton

(PROCESS 2) Coastal Development Permit for the remodel of a 1,005-square-foot two story detached single family residence and converting a 488-square-foot existing detached second floor office to a companion unit at 622 Palomar Avenue. The 0.12-acre site is located within the RM-1-1 zone, Coastal (Non-appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area.

4/17/2018 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION Michael Morton

- RM-1-1 Multi-Family zone. Area was spec homes in 60s.
- 8700sf lot, Existing 2 units plus detached 2-car garage with office above.
- Propose to create Companion unit from existing “office” – Adding offstreet parking for inadequate parking to existing 2 units.
- Companion unit within transit area do not require parking.
- This is a code compliance item – code compliance works.
- Parking deficiency permitted in 90s, as part of this permit for companion unit, they will provide the deficit spaces.
- Tandem allowed in RM-1-1 zone
- Turfblock to allow infiltration
- 488 sf companion unit
- Companion units require on-site resident owner in at least one of them.

4/17/2018 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- Any drainage concerns for neighbors (no, increasing permeable area)

4/17/2018 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Meeting Landscape Requirements (yes), turf block and street tree.
- Can we tell the city no, prefer landscape to more parking.
- Disappointed that parking is required in sub-optimal configuration rather than keep existing.

4/17/2018 – SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- To make preliminary as final (Ragsdale/Collins)
 - In Favor: Collins, Gaenzle, Leira, Ragsdale, Welsh, Will, Zynda
 - Opposed: Costello
 - Abstain:
- **Motion Fails (7-1-0)**

- No FAR exempt carports
- Setbacks: 15/20 on front setbacks, 8'-3 side, previously non-conforming (less than 4'), approx. 1' at existing garage, 12' wall, filled in existing windows for fire code
- Some existing windows on garage, below fenceline
- Patio on Eads, 12'x18' covered patio open on 3 sides, Impervious coverage (pervious driveway), need to do calculation (LS 1100sf, DW 1350 pervious)
- Both 2 story, no second story setback encroachment
- 6-7' slope (Eads down to Mabel Ln)
- Mostly 2-story on the block
- Where is extent of RM-1-1?
- How many lots have been split, vs Condo, vs Apt, vs Single (At least 3 condo or subdivided)
- One new small lot subdivision in neighborhood
- 6' pedestrian easement along the side PL (rear lot to access Eads)
- All separate utilities, addresses, already
- Can you present elevations (even though this is just a mapping exercise)

3/20/2018 - PUBLIC COMMENTS

- none

3/20/2018 - COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- 5 parking spaces on-site, 2 on-street.
- May potentially add car-lift but not included for requirement, 18' wide inside but only counting one.
- Intrigued by this project, previous condo projects leave things unclear, cleaner way to allocate land.
- Updated map will be recorded on AP maps/SANGIS
- Fits the development pattern
- Worried that existing buildings go right to setbacks. Can applicant consider conforming to current setbacks? Buildings are close to final construction, all new construction conforms
- Both homes are sprinklered
- No habitable living space in nonconforming setbacks
- Nice spacing between buildings

3/20/2018 - SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Motion to consider this presentation as FINAL (Will/Kane 6-1-0)
 - In Favor: Collins, Costello, Kane, Ragsdale, Will, Zynda
 - Opposed: Welsh
 - Abstain: N/A
- **Motion Fails**

3/20/2018 - DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Where are neighbors relative to your structure
- Aerial Photo
- Cross section both neighbors through each house
- Photo montage (photo) of Eads and Mabel.
- Code section for small lot subdivision – 143-03
- Color coded site plan for LS and pervious/impervious (with %)
- Street trees required?
- Identify lots that encroach into setbacks on your block
- Table on lots how split, condo, etc.

- Update parking matrix, include building height

Applicant requested to come back 12nd Tuesday in April (4/10/2018)

4/10/2018 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION Bill Metz

- Where are neighbors? Site plan shows footprint, no windows adjacent to neighbors at close proximity
- Does small lot subdivision require new setbacks. No, they remain previously conforming.
- Code section = 144.02
- Walked through color coded site plan.
- Interlocking “pan-handle” properties
- AP map provided, lots highlighted in grey, have multi-unit, blue marks represent have reduced setbacks (approximately 50%)
- Parking Matrix
 - Required on-site = 2+2 = 4 (5 provided)
 - Required off-site = 2 (2 provided)
- Use of existing (previously conforming setbacks) used for up to 50% of building envelope.

4/10/2018 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- None

4/10/2018 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- No street trees, none existing, none required
 - Palms on adjacent property
- City requested a street light
- Where is new PL?
 - Applicant Reviewed Exhibit
- 10’ driveway width, 6’ pedestrian access width
- Project FAR is (.75 allowed)
 - Lot 1 – 3185/4152 = .749
 - Lot 2 – 2225/2971 = .749

4/10/2018 – SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Findings **CAN** be made for SDP/CDP for a small lot subdivision (Will/Welsh)
 - In Favor: Collins, Ragsdale, Welsh, Zynda
 - Opposed:
 - Abstain: Will (as chair), Gaenzle (absent at previous), Leira (absent at previous)
- **Motion Passes 4-0-3**

8. FINAL REVIEW 4/10/18

Project Name:	Demolish Residence 9036 La Jolla Shores Lane	Permits:	CDP, SDP
Project No.:	588291	DPM:	Francisco Mendoza
Zone:	RS-1-1 & RS-1-4	Applicant:	Brian Longmore

(Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to demolish one existing residential building totaling 1,706 square feet as well as the 220 square foot detached garage. The 0.81-acre site is located within the appealable coastal overlay zone at 9036 La Jolla Shores Lane in the RS-1-1 and RS-1-4 zones of the La Jolla community plan area. The site is in the Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zones (B and CB), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable), First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Coastal, Beach, and Campus), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the La Jolla Community Plan area. The lot appears to contain Environmentally Sensitive Lands (ESL) in the form of Steep Hillsides and Sensitive Vegetation. It also appears to contain MSCP and Coastal bluffs. This should be coordinated with Project No. 478873 for the same address.

3/20/2018 - APPLICANT PRESENTATION Matt Peterson

- New curved house under construction. Own property next door, ugly dilapidated. Keep as yard only
- Single Disciplinary Review deemed not historic (not eligible for designation)
- Opens up his views to the North.
- Nothing proposed to be built, temporary construction staging, but no new garage or any other structure proposed
- Temporary erosion control measures in place, no permanent irrigation
- Torrey Pine will stay.
- No sidewalks on block.
- Erosion control plan was submitted, minor vegetation, mesh temporary, non-irrigated
- Any future permit would require amendment
- Any demo permit in coastal zone requires a CDP.
- Just a back yard. No future plans.
- Submitted a rudimentary landscape plan for erosion control
- Hydroseeding, safety fence at bluff edge
- Existing Retaining wall at PL, for demo house

3/20/2018 - SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Motion to consider this presentation as FINAL (Collins/Ragsdale 6-1-0)
 - In Favor: Collins, Kane, Ragsdale, Welsh, Will, Zynda
 - Opposed: Costello
 - Abstain: none
- **Motion Fails**

3/20/2018 - SUBCOMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Will any digging on-site require monitoring? Yes
- Would any future development require a CDP? Yes

3/20/2018 - SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Motion to consider this presentation as FINAL (Collins/Ragsdale 6-1-0)
 - In Favor: Collins, Kane, Ragsdale, Welsh, Will, Zynda
 - Opposed: Costello
 - Abstain: none
- **Motion Fails**

3/20/2018 - DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Proposed plan for future development (Applicant will not bring as there is no plan for future development)

4/10/2018 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION Matt Peterson

- Leave at grade slabs in place, which would come back to us as a new CDP
- Current house blocks views of property owner's primary residence on adjacent lot.
- Applicant prefers to avoid archeological monitoring so the slabs will remain
- Some footings may go 3'-4' down, 4-6" slab.
- Will happen immediately
- Staff cleared the house as non-historic

4/10/2018 – SUBCOMMITTEE MOTION

- Findings **CAN** be made for a CDP for residence demolition (Collins/Zynda) 4-1-2
 - In Favor: Collins, Ragsdale, Welsh, Zynda
 - Opposed: Gaenzle
 - Abstain: Will (as chair), Leira (absent at previous)
- **Motion Passes 4-1-2**

**LA JOLLA SHORES PERMIT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES (draft)
LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION**

Revision 1

Monday, April 16th, 2018 @ 4:00 p.m.

La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St., La Jolla, CA

Meeting Room 1

4:00pm Welcome and Call to Order: David Gordon, Chair

Committee members present - Matt Edwards, Janie Emerson, David Gordon, Bob Steck, Myrna Naegle, Michael Czajkowski

Committee members not present – Angie Preisendorfer, Tony Crisafi

Adopt the Agenda – Motion made by Bob Steck, 2nd Myrna Naegle **VOTE: 6-0-0**

Approve March Minutes – Motion made by Myrna Naegle, 2nd Matt Edwards **VOTE: 6-0-0**

Non-Agenda Public Comment: None.

Non-Agenda Committee Member Comments - Myrna read a quote from the introduction of the **LJPDO**

4:05pm Chair Comments

Chair commented that drawings sent to the committee by the City are available for viewing at the PRC meeting. Drawings for the project being presented will be at the meeting. Other drawings can be available at the meeting if requested ahead of time.

Project Review:

4:15-4:45pm PATHRIA RESIDENCE - 3rd Presentation (previously reviewed Feb & Mar 2018)*

- Project #: 566727
- Type of Structure: Single Family Residence
- Location: 7975 Calle De La Plata
- Applicant's Rep: Hector Aramburo (619) 522-9040

ha@christianrice.com

- Christian Rice (619)522-9040

- Project Manager: Glenn Gargas (619) 446-5142

ggargas@sandiego.gov

Project Description: (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for a demolition of an existing single family residence and construction of a new 3,995 sf two story residence at 7975 Calle De La Plata. The 0.15 acre site is located within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable area), in the LJSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District Area within Council District 1.

Presentation – Christian Rice presented redesign that now includes 20 foot driveway to conform with the required length and accommodates 2 large vehicles on the driveway. In addition, the fence is now 75% open for the height over 3 feet. Rice decreased the building's articulation based upon Tony's concern. He also reworked the roof and the windows so that the planes and windows are more consistent. The triangular lot creates some design challenges.

- Public Comment** – None.
- Trustee Comment** –
 - Myrna expressed concern that there were “too many planes on the building”
 - Janie was concerned over the fact that the architect did not provide pictures of neighboring houses that were requested.
- Motion – Bob Steck made motion, Matt Edwards 2nd**
- Findings can be made for SDP and CDP for Project #566727**
- VOTE Passed 4-2-0**

4:45-5:15pm SIDE LLC Project - 3rd Presentation (previously reviewed Feb & Mar 2018)

- Project #:** 571249
- Type of Structure:** Single Family Residence
- Location:** 7687 Hillside Drive
- Applicant's Rep:** Alejandro Doring (858) 349-3355
adoring@mac.com
- Project Manager:** Pancho Mendoza 619-446-5433
FMendoza@saniego.gov

Project Description: (Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for a 3,868 sq ft addition and interior remodel to an existing 3,126 sq ft single dwelling residence and construction of a new 6,880 sq ft residence with new 815 sq ft 3 car garage located at 7687 Hillside Drive. Each of the two homes will be constructed on separate but adjacent lots. The 0.368 and 0.265 acre attached sites are within the Coastal Overlay (Non-Appealable) overlay zone within the LJSPD-SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District within the La Jolla Community Plan Area within Council District 1.

Presentation – Alejandro Doring made the presentation. Doring made several design changes. He removed the eaves that hung over the property line with crown molding and decreased the size of the larger of the two houses. The size of the house was decreased by approximately 500 square feet (on the second story). He described the irrigation/drainage situation and the bio retention areas on the property that the City has required. The property will also have curbs installed, to direct water from the street to run down the street as opposed to letting it drain onto the property.

Larry and Julie Hecker stated that they met Doring and after much discussion, reached an accommodation (see below). Another neighbor, Bruce Barshop (7673 Hillside), claimed that he did not receive notice from the city for this project. He would have appreciated receiving notice and meeting with Doring.

- Public Comment** –
 - Nancy Manno wanted to make sure that the 25 feet next to Hillside Drive is actually owned by the city as opposed to being an easement. Joe Manno mentioned that there was an area on, or adjacent, to this property that had some bricks collapse several years ago.
 - The lower house is on a much larger lot and features a flat roof. This is the structure that will affect Mr. Barshop. He is concerned about the view from his first floor, but he feels as though he will continue to have a good view from his second floor. Mr. Doring stated that he would work to lower the roof height (to attempt to address his concerns).
 - Robert Davidson and his clients (Lawrence and Julie Hecker), discussed that they had met with the applicant and worked out compromises on their differences. A letter from the Heckers (signed by Alejandro Doring) summarized their discussion. A copy of the letter was provided to the committee.

- Trustee Comment** –

- Myrna was concerned about the lack of a setback next to Hillside Drive.
- Janie was concerned about the setback plus the lack of articulation. as required by the Shores PDO & Design Manual for 2nd stories, and the overall bulk & scale of the project.
- David Gordon asked applicant to verify that the area between the street and the property line was in fact city owned property. Applicant stated that the 25 feet from street edge to the property line was city property. David Gordon also pointed out that, while the small setback (as low as one foot from property line) was not as expected in other parts of La Jolla Shores, it is common in this neighborhood and some properties in this area have zero setback. He read from the LJSPDO that “building and structure setbacks shall be in general conformity to with those in the vicinity.” (SDMunicipal Code Chap 15, Art 10, Div 3, para 1510.0304)
- Motion – Janie Emerson made motion, Myrna Naegle 2nd**
- Findings cannot be made for Project 571249 based on lack of articulation and bulk and scale.**
- VOTE Did not pass 2-4-0**
- Motion – Bob Steck made motion, Matt Edwards 2nd**
- Findings can be made for Site Development Permit SDP and CDP for Project #571249**
- VOTE Passed 4-2-0**
-

c. 5:15-5:45pm SIDE LLC Project (additional presentation)

- Project #: 571249
- Type of Structure: Single Family Residence
- Location: 7687 Hillside Drive
- Presenter: Robert Davidson (858) 456-8555
robert@isarchitecture.com
- Presentation Description:** Presentation will include additional information regarding project site and neighborhood concerns.
- COMBINED WITH PREVIOUS PRESENTATION**

Adjourn to next PRC meeting Monday, May 21, 2018 @ 4:00 p.m.

LA JOLLA TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION BOARD
Regular Meeting: Wednesday April 18, 2018

Members Present: Dave Abrams (Chairperson) LICPA, Tom Brady LICPA, Donna Aprea LJTC, Nancy Warwick LJTC, Erik Gantzel BRCC, Patrick Ryan BRCC, Brian Earley LISA

Members Absent: Darryl Tschirn LISA, Aaron Goulding LJVMA

Approve Minutes of: Wednesday March 21, 2018 **Motion to Approve: Brady, Second: Warwick 6-0-1 (Ryan)**

Public Comments on Non-Agenda LJT&T Matters:

Nancy Manno announced that the ad hoc committee that this Board approved at the March 21, 2018 Meeting for the Hillside Drive Construction Issues has been ratified by the La Jolla Community Planning Assn at their April Meeting but not yet formed as Diane Kane is in Europe and not expected to return until early May. Nancy is referring to Agenda Item 2:

Motion to Recommend the La Jolla Community Planning Association set up an Ad Hoc committee to develop a traffic management plan for Hillside Drive that is currently experiencing a great deal of construction activity causing numerous negative impacts: Brady, Second: Goulding 9-0-0

Nancy told the Board that once Diane returns the ad hoc committee will be formed specifically to address the issues on Hillside Drive and she is requesting that one or two members of LJT&T serve on the ad hoc committee to lend value to their group since much of their discussions will be about traffic and transportation issues.

Nancy commented on a download App called Waze (a community based traffic and navigation app) that redirects traffic through surrounding neighborhood streets to avoid traffic congestion on main streets. She believes the traffic conditions on Hillside became exponentially worse due to the possibility that this App may be redirecting traffic to Hillside to avoid the Torrey Pines Renovation Project. This could be a reason why Hillside is suffering from extreme traffic conditions.

Brian downloaded the App on his phone as Nancy spoke but reported that it was not presently redirecting traffic to Hillside. Patrick explained how Waze works. Waze is a mapping tool that uses crowd sourcing so everyone who is driving is actually giving data back about their speed, road conditions, traffic conditions, construction areas and police activity that is shared with other drivers. Waze has ninety million average monthly users so it's getting a lot of data and will change routing depending on what it is getting reported back from other Apps. If traffic conditions on Torrey Pines start slowing down and speeds are reduced it will redirect traffic to other streets. Patrick believes the construction issues on Hillside will actually help them since Waze will not redirect traffic if conditions are slow on Hillside.

Dave asked if there were any volunteers for the Hillside ad hoc committee. Tom (Brady) represents La Jolla Community Planning Association on this Board and he has volunteered to represent LJT&T on the Hillside Dr. ad hoc committee.

Agenda Item 1: La Jolla Presbyterian Church Fall Festival: Request for Temporary Street Closure on Draper Ave between Kline and Prospect Streets for the 5th annual event on Sunday November 4, 2018.
Erika Hill **Action Item**

This is a Community outreach event for La Jolla Presbyterian Church. They are requesting to close Draper Ave between Silverado and Kline Street to allow space for four food trucks to park in front of the Church and the La Jolla Women's Center, extra space for tables and chairs for people to sit and eat on the street, but mostly to safely walk to and from the Rec Ctr without worry of cars driving/parking/etc.

Erika booked the Rec Ctr for the event and also has documented email agreements with the Bed and Breakfast Inn at 7753 Draper Ave and La Jolla Women's Club at 7791 Draper Ave to close the street down in front of their establishments for the day.

Setup: 6 am
Event Starts: 11am
Event Ends: 2:00 pm
Clean up: 2-5pm

Dave asked if there was any resistance from neighbors about the street closures. Erika responded in the past there were some issues but not currently. La Jolla Presbyterian has offered use of their parking garage for the guests of the Bed and Breakfast and the Women's Center which is appreciated by these two establishments, as their guests can park safely off street for the night before the street closes.

Nancy asked about how many people are expected to attend. Erika believes around 800 people may attend. Last year they tried to keep track of how many wrist bands were sold. They sold 350 wrist bands and they assumed each child was accompanied by one or two adults. Many seniors from their Church and the surrounding churches showed up around lunch time. People wandering in and out of their event makes it hard to keep track of numbers but their events have been successful.

Erika said the one problem she has is trying to get more ponies for the pony ride event this year. Last year the pony line was very slow and drew complaints. She is working with the Kiwanis to find who they use to get more of them for this year.

Patrick asked if any vehicles were towed during the 72 hours that the street was closed and Erika said there were no tows.

Brian asked if Erika was still closing down the street instead of the City and she said yes she is still closing it down. It takes her about an hour to put out the signs. La Jolla Presbyterian purchased their Street Closures Signs from Acme Safety Supply so they are readily available to them. Erika has the date of the Advance Warning of the Closure reprinted by Acme every year.

Motion to Approve Temporary Street Closure on Draper Ave between Kline and Prospect Street Sunday November 4, 2018 for the 5th annual La Jolla Presbyterian Church Fall Festival: Brady, Second Gantzel 7-0-0

Agenda Item 2: End of Summer Run- Request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking areas related to the 19th annual event on Sunday August 26, 2018 on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Boulevard. Kathy Loper **Action Item**

End of Summer Run/Walk 4Mile, Sunday August 26, 2018 this is the 19th annual run/walk. The event benefits San Diego Area High Schools, La Jolla High School, Mission Bay High, Clairemont High, University City High, Patrick Henry High along with the Clairemont Hills Kiwanis Foundation.

The Race begins in La Jolla at Prospect and Girard at 8:00am. Runners travel westbound to La Jolla Boulevard, turn south and move into the southbound lanes of La Jolla Boulevard until Mission Boulevard. Runners cross over the street and run south in the northbound lanes. The course ends at Felspar.

Traffic will be closed in the southbound lanes of La Jolla Boulevard from Prospect to Mission Boulevard. Prospect Street from Girard to Fay will be closed from 5:30 to 8:30 am

This is a “rolling” course, once the runners pass the area the Police will allow traffic to flow except for southbound on La Jolla Boulevard from Prospect Street to Mission Boulevard, this will be impacted until 9:30am. There will be 30 San Diego Traffic Controllers and 55 Volunteers throughout the Course to ensure vehicles do not enter the streets the runners would be on.

Race participants are encouraged to come to La Jolla and park their vehicles. After the race they will be bussed back to La Jolla where many stop to have breakfast.

Dave asked Kathy if there have been any issues in past events and Kathy responded that the only issue they seem to have is that the no parking signs that the City puts out go missing, some have been spotted in back seats of cars. Event planners are constantly checking the course route to ensure that the no parking signs are still in place. Police also check to make sure no one is parked on the streets.

Tom asked how much money is raised for the Schools. Kathy responded they donate about \$1,000 to the Schools. It depends on how many volunteers the Schools send them to help out in the event. They will make the donation to the Schools track team according to the number of volunteers from that particular school so the donations vary among the Schools.

Motion to Approve Temporary Street Closures and No Parking areas on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Boulevard on Sunday August 26,2018 for the 19th annual End of Summer Run: Earley, Second: Ryan 7-0-0

Agenda Item 3: Report from Transit Subcommittee (Cont'd. Item) Consideration of use of funds for Shuttle Bus to Mid-Coast Trolley and possible changes to La Jolla Bus Routes Dan Allen **Discussion Item**

At the March T&T Meeting Dan suggested LJT&T set up a Transit Subcommittee or ask Community Planning Association to set one up to hold discussions with MTS and Sandag about what best to do with \$288,000.00 that remains in an account to support a shuttle into the Village. Dan is advising us to act now in setting up a committee before the Mid-Coast Trolley is up and running. This sub-committee should address whether La Jolla needs a separate shuttle service or whether the #30 Bus can be rerouted to use as a shuttle service. Currently there is no easy way to get to the Trolley from La Jolla.

The Committee met on the evening of Monday April 16. Attending the first meeting were Dave Abrams, Tom Brady, Erik Gantzel, Glen Rasmussen and Dan. It was recommended that the T&T Board establish the committee as an ad hoc working group on transit issues, particularly the anticipated changes in the transit system affecting the La Jolla community expected with the completion of the Mid-Coast Trolley (Blue Line extension).

The committee will develop questions and recommendations and meet with MTS planners who have scheduled a public input cycle on new bus routings beginning in the Fall 2018. Dan will contact Sheila Fortune of LJVMA to involve the Village Merchants' Association and the La Jolla Coastal Access and Parking Board.

The motive for the Committee is that the La Jolla Community Planning Assn calls for a shuttle to the trolley. Also, there is a sum of approximately \$300,000 held by the City (administered by the La Jolla Coastal Access and Parking Board) made up of developer fees years ago for a shuttle between the "village" and remote parking.

At the meeting there was discussion of possible shuttle origin and destination points, alternatives relative to the present Route 30 bus, parking options for park-and-ride, and the concept of an internal "village" shuttle. The committee also looked at SANDAG's long-range (2035, 2050) transit plans for La Jolla and the neighboring communities.

Brian asked Dan how he is able to confirm that there is \$300,000 held by the Coastal Access and Parking Board. Dan responded that the number is reduced now because the funds have been used to acquire monthly parking passes for La Jolla's private parking lots and underground garages. Tom affirms that the funds can be found in the City's balance sheet although you have to know the code to where to find it in the Financial Reports.

Dan says it is administered in the City by the Business Development Department by the same individual who interfaces with the La Jolla Village Merchants. The La Jolla Merchants administer the BID. Dave asked Dan to contact Sheila and let her know about the group meeting.

Agenda Item 4: Dockless Bike Issues - Participation in a Resolution to the City asking for adoption of regulations and announcing the formation of a working group to provide recommendations: Dave Abrams **Action Item**

The La Jolla Traffic and Transportation Board was asked to participate in a Resolution to the City requesting the City implement reasonable and balanced regulatory and infrastructure solutions to the dockless bike and scooter problems that are being left all over the streets of La Jolla. The dockless bikes are being parked on the public right of way, sidewalks, in hedges, on beaches, in parks and in front of

other tax- and rent-paying businesses with riders violating the laws by not wearing helmets and riding the electric scooters on sidewalks. The violations are creating a lawsuit waiting to happen and a joint task force was created by La Jolla Town Council to address the issues.

Tom attended the Town Council presentation which he described as very informative and learned that the push for bicycles is not going to stop and the City and Communities need to get ahead of it now. Tom believes the technology for the dockless bikes may someday progress to the point that when a rider rents a Bike they must leave it in a pre-approved spot, which would be confirmed by GPS, or the meter would keep running giving the Rider the incentive to leave the bike in the safe pre-approved place. Patrick pointed out that there is a financial incentive for the Bike Companies to have the meter continue to run on the bikes.

Currently there is nothing in place to motivate the rider to leave the bike in a safe pre-approved place so the communities are asking the City to regulate them.

Nancy asked how many of the bikes are in La Jolla. According to the meeting Dave attended at the La Jolla Villages Merchants Association there are no bikes stationed in La Jolla rather bike users are leaving them here. Bikes are stationed in other parts of the City but so far they have not been dropped off in La Jolla. The bikes being left all over La Jolla come from other parts of the City.

Sally Miller commented that the Bike Companies are Vendors and they are cluttering our streets. They get all the money from them and we get the all their clutter. She reminded the Board that last year everyone in the Community fought to prevent Deco Bikes from entering La Jolla and now it appears that we have to do it all over again with these bike vendors. Sally attended the meetings with the bike vendors last week and has trouble believing that they are not deploying the bikes to La Jolla, that all the bikes we are seeing around here have been ridden in by the users. She asked why is it that La Jolla has to supply parking places for these bikes. Dave responded that maybe we can get it so they have to pay to park their bikes here.

Dave read the Joint Resolution on Dockless Bikes that the Board will be making the Motion to adopt:

La Jolla Community Joint Resolution on Dockless Bikes and Motorized Scooters

Whereas the undersigned community organizations have received numerous expressions of concern about the proliferation of dockless bicycles and motorized scooters, the abandonment of which by short-term users has begun to negatively impact public access to La Jolla parklands, rights of way, and other locations and to impair the aesthetics and safety of our neighborhoods and vistas;

Whereas, we recognize that the increased use of bicycles and personal transportation is a positive alternative to automobiles and other means by which residents and visitors to La Jolla access our community, our businesses and our other amenities, and that such forms of transportation are a positive contribution to the San Diego Climate Action and Walkable Cities Plans;

Therefore, we are coming together as community organizations to ask the City of San Diego to implement reasonable and balanced regulatory and infrastructure solutions to address the concerns of public safety and aesthetics generated by these forms of personal transportation, including enforcement processes for the thoughtless abandonment of such vehicles, a more extensive system of recognized bicycle racks, as well as consideration of dedicated placement zones for dockless bicycles and other personal transportation vehicles which technically require no racking to be safely abandoned;

Therefore, we have resolved to create a working group of volunteers from our community groups to provide a coordinated set of recommendations to the City. We propose to designate the La Jolla Town Council to communicate with the City on our behalf as our shared recommendations evolve. We hope to identify locations and make recommendations for siting dockless vehicle parking sites, which are functional but not obstructive to the public areas and visual amenities of La Jolla.

Subject to formal adoption of this resolution by each of the organizations as required by their bylaws, the following organizations have empowered the La Jolla Town Council to transmit this resolution to our elected representatives.

Ann Dynes, La Jolla Parks and Beaches, Inc.

Janet Stratford Collins, La Jolla Parks and Beaches, Inc.

Dave Abrams, Traffic and Transportation

Ann Kerr Bache, La Jolla Town Council

Cody Petterson, La Jolla Town Council

Angie Preisdorfer La Jolla Shores Association

Bird Rock Community Council

Sheila Fortune, La Jolla Village Merchants Association.

Bob Steck, Community Planning Association

**Motion to Approve La Jolla Community Joint Resolution on Dockless Bikes and Motorized Scooters:
Brady, Second Gantzel 7-0-0**

Erik has volunteered to represent Traffic and Transportation on the ad hoc committee.

Adjournment: 4:45 pm

Next Meeting: May 16, 2018

Respectfully Submitted: Donna Aprea, Secretary