



La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us:

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: <http://www.LaJollaCPA.org>

Voicemail: 858.456.7900

Email: info@LaJollaCPA.org

President: Bob Steck

Vice President: Helen Boyden

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary: Cindy Greatrex

Treasurer: David Gordon

FINAL MINUTES

Regular Meeting | Thursday 2 August, 2018

6:00pm

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Bob Steck, President

- Please turn off or silence mobile devices
- Meeting is being recorded

Meeting Called to Order at 6:05 PM with quorum present.

Trustees Present: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman, Will.

Trustees Absent: Collins, Gordon, Little, Mangano.

Ahern and Courtney arrive late, reflected in vote counts.

2.0 Adopt the Agenda

Boyden: An Amendment to Item 12.0, *Lookout Lots 4 and 5*, now corrected to say “one 2-story single family residence and one 1-story single family residence on Lots 4 and 5”. Lot 2 on 12.0 is one 2-story residence.

Rasmussen: Item 6.4 is to be heard in September, so this precludes Public Comment in August? Boyden (in response): Correct.

Motion: To Adopt the Agenda with 12.0 Agenda Item Amended (Will/Greatrex) **Vote: 11-0-1 Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Boyden, Brady, Costello, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed:--

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: 8 July 2018

Motion: To Approve July Minutes (Will/Kane) **Vote: 10-0-2 Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Boyden, Brady, Costello, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Will

Opposed:--

Abstain: Steck (Chair), Weissman (not present in July).

4.0 Officer Reports:

4.1 Treasurer

Helen Boyden reports:

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Treasurer’s Report for August 2, 2018 Regular Meeting

Beginning Balance as of 6/1/18	\$ 348.44
---------------------------------------	-----------

Income

- | | |
|---------------|-----------|
| • Collections | \$ 143.00 |
|---------------|-----------|

If a Sign Language Interpreter, aids for the visually impaired, or Assisted Listening Devices (ALDs) are required, please contact the City’s Disability Services Coordinator at 619-321-3208 at least (5) five work days prior to the meeting date to insure availability.

• CD Sales	\$ <u>5.00</u>
Total Income	\$ 148.00
Expenses	
• Agenda printing	\$ 69.74
• AT&T telephone	\$ <u>80.95</u>
Total Expenses	\$ <u>150.69</u>
Net Income/(Loss)	\$ (2.69)
Ending Balance of 7/30/18	\$ 345.75

4.2 Secretary

Cindy Greatrex reports: If you want your attendance recorded today, you should sign in at the back of the room. LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age. Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at the sign-in table or on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/. We encourage you to join so that you can vote in the Trustee elections and at the Annual Meeting in March. You can become a Member after attending one meeting and must maintain your membership by attending one meeting per year. If you do not attend one meeting per year, your membership will expire. To qualify as a candidate in an election to become a Trustee, a Member must have documented attendance at **three** LJCPA meetings in the preceding 12-month period. You are entitled to attend without signing in, but only by providing proof of attendance can you maintain membership or become eligible for election as a Trustee.

5.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry

Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611, mauriciom@sandiego.gov

Compromise on STVR reached. Ward and Bry introduced ordinance to limit the use of Styrofoam. Working Group on dockless bikes mentioned in July has not been formed yet.

Jim Fitzgerald: Is there an enforcement timeline on dockless bikes? Medina (in response) does not know.

John Shannon points out encompassing loophole in STVR. Medina: Does not understand loophole, advises Shannon to submit a letter to staff members.

5.2 78th Assembly District: Assemblymember Todd Gloria

Rep: **Javier Gomez**, 619-645-3090 javier.gomez2@asm.ca.gov Currently in recess in Sacramento. Bills will be passed by September 1st. Fires in Norther California entail 13,000 firefighters and 125M of fire budget. Accepting ideas for Legislation improvement, forms can be filled out by community and business members.

5.3. 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tempore

Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133, Chevelle.Tate@sen.ca.gov Not Present

6.0 President's Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

6.1 New procedure for pre-meeting information distribution items

Items received by Tuesday noon will be e-blasted to the LJCPA 500+ list. Additionally the LJCPA will see if the City will post addenda to our agenda. Items received later will need to be reproduced by the sender and distributed at the meeting.

6.2 Ratify as LISA appointee to LJSPRC Michael Czajkowski; and LJVMA appointees Alisha Hawrylyszyn Frank and Jamie Dickerson to the PDO and Alisha Hawrylyszyn Frank to the T&T Board. **ACTION ITEM**

Motion to Approve: (Boyden/Greatrex) 13-0-1 **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed:--

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

- 6.3 Ratify LJCPA appeal** of the Hearing Officer's Wednesday July 18 decision to approve the Robbins project which was denied by the LJCPA in April 2018. **ACTION ITEM**

Motion to Approve: (Costello/Brady) 13-0-1 **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed: --

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

- 6.4 Letter from CPPT Barbara Bry asking the LJCPA to revisit the stanchion issue at the September meeting.** Nearby residents will prepare a presentation. This will be an action item.

Public Comment: Melinda Merryweather asks that this item be heard at the beginning of September meeting to accommodate attendees.

Trustee Comment: Costello asks that we move to Vote on this since it will be a revisited Hearing.

Motion to Revisit Previous Vote: (Costello/Courtney) 13-0-1 **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed: --

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

7.0 Non-Agenda Public Comment

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov. Not present.

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/> Not present.

7.3 General Public

Phil Collier: Concerns on road work on Torrey Pines Road in the Village that was meant to be handled in the evening. LJCPA had recommended the work be performed during the day. The daytime work has caused traffic on TPR, Pearl, etc. Collier requests that LJCPA reconsider its City recommendation that the work be done only during the day.

Janie Emerson: Highlights La Jolla Shores Association meetings and social hours prior to the meetings. Meetings occur second Wednesdays of the month.

Peggy Davis: Asking support for committees to look at permit on a property on the corner of Torrey Pines Road and Coast Walk. Meeting at 12:00 on August 3rd on the 4th floor of City Hall.

Melinda Merryweather: Still no response from the City on the legitimacy of Black's Beach 6' fence. Letter from Barbara Bry does not provide answer to question. Boyden: Barbara Bry is not pushing this. Merryweather: Simple question, is fence legal or not?

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Mike Costello: Echoes John Shannon's earlier comments on loopholes of STVR. Tried to bring this to Barbara Bry's attention, in terms of long list of enforcement and loophole issues. Costello states current status holds the city up to lawsuits.

Patrick Ahern: Country Club Reservoir construction on Encelia starting soon. If one notes good efforts or negative impact, please alert Patrick or LJCPA so these can be noted for near-future Impact Reports.

Helen Boyden: Clarifies that Administrative Guidelines say that if a voter is Abstaining the voter is essentially voting in Opposition in the Quorum. This is referenced around Page 34 or 35 of the Guidelines. A Recusal is not part of the Quorum.

9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

9.1 Community Planners Committee <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>- John

Shannon, Rep. Notes Action Item regarding Planning Commission Chair, Stephen Haase discussed panel on how CPG Chairs should present to Planning Commission. Only Action item was Appointment to Outside Boards.

9.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html> Ray Weiss states an Emergency Meeting was held, called by Deborah Marengo. Reason for Meeting is that LJVMA no longer has an Executive Director with no plan for a replacement. The LJVMA manages the funds that subsidize parking permits. About 80 permits exist. Someone from LJVMA needs to manage this. Purpose of meeting is to have Marengo urge the LJVMA to resolve. Weiss notes there are 15 seats on the board of LJVMA, and currently 11 of those seats are vacant.

9.3 UC San Diego Long Range Development Plan CAG, one of LJCPA delegates, will report on discussion
<http://lrpd.ucsd.edu> (Steck, Greatrex) Did not meet in July.

9.4 Hillside Drive Ad Hoc Committee – Diane Kane, Chair Quick meeting last week. No one from public showed up. Committee went over road plan. Believes volume and velocity study would be a good start.

9.5 Airport Noise Advisory Committee – Cindy Greatrex, Delegate. Did not meet in July.

10.0 Consent Agenda- Action Items

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.

Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

Items “pulled” from Consent Agenda are trailed to the next LJCPA meeting.

No Items are Pulled.

LJSPRC and PDO did not meet in July, T&T took no actions.

10.1 Sumal Residence CDP 622 Nautilus St No: 604488_ (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to demolish existing detached garage and construct new 2 story 3,020 SF single dwelling unit, on a site with 2 existing detached single dwelling units (for total 3 dwelling units) located at 622 Nautilus street. The 0.23 acre site is in the RM-1-1 zone, Coastal (Non-appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District (1)

DPR Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for the CDP as presented and as modified on sheet L1 during the presentation. To add one 24” box tree (Magnolia) and remove adequate paving (4’ diameter hole.) at front corner right corner of the lot. **Motion passes 5-0-2**

10.2 The Reserve SCR (Encelia Res.) 6850 Country Club Dr.; No.: 582128 (Process 2) Substantial Conformance Review to PTS 292065 for a 5000 SF two-story residence. The site is located at 6850 Country Club Dr. in the La Jolla community and is within Zone: RS-1-4 / Coastal Overlay (Non- Appealable) /Coastal Height/ Parking Impact/Brush Management/ Very High Fire Hazard/ Earthquake Fault Buffer zones. Council District 1.

DPR Motion • Findings **CAN** be made that the project conforms to CDP PTS: 292065 (Collins/Kane) Approved (6-1-1)

10.3 Nautilus – CDP/MW for Condos; 313 Nautilus; No.: 595298 (Process 3) *FLAT FEE* Coastal Development Permit and Map Wavier for condominium conversions for 4 existing units in 2 one-story buildings totaling 2320 square feet at 313 Nautilus Street. The 0.15 acre site is in the RM-1-1 zone and the Coastal Non-appealable overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area in Council District 1.

DPR Motion: Findings CAN be made for the CDP/MW as presented (Will/Kane) Approved (7-0-1)

Motion: To Approve Consent Agenda: (Ahern/Weiss) 13-0-1. **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Mangano, Merten, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed:--

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

The following agenda items, are ACTION ITEMS unless otherwise noted, and may be *de novo* considerations. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 Time Certain 6:30 PM Mid-Coast Trolley presentation: John Haggerty, Director of Rail for SANDAG.

John Haggerty SANDAG presented PowerPoint on updates to capital infrastructure program entailing the extension of the Blue Line trolley from Old Town to UTC area. Detailed routes and trolley schedules. Provided contact information for the public to contact SANDAG with any questions or concerns.

Public Comment

Bob Whitney: Will trolley go to the Airport? Haggerty: Not at this time

Audience Member: How will homeless be addressed? Haggerty: Security measures in place.

Trustee Comment

Phil Merten: Factoring in lifespan costs divided by riders, how much is paid by riders and how much by taxpayers?

Haggerty: Half is paid by Government funding. Stated this project is cost-effective.

Notes UCSD students are subsidized, as well as elderly.

Rasmussen: Transportation committee in La Jolla has formed to discuss how to better get in and out of La Jolla. Ideas welcomed.

12.0 LOOKOUT LOTS 4 & 5 (Project #: 482904 7729 Lookout Drive (and adjacent lot) (Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for the development of one 2-story single family residence and one 1-story single family residence on Lots 4 and 5 totaling 7,132 square feet on two existing vacant parcels at and adjacent to 7729 Lookout Drive. The entire 0.295 acre site is in the single family (SF) zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District, Coastal (Non-appealable) Overlay zone, within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1. Note – Lot 2 removed from this project.

AND

LOOKOUT LOT 2 Project #: 589178- 7729 Lookout Drive: (Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit for the development of a two-story single family residence totaling 4,070 square feet, located on a vacant lot at 7729 Lookout Drive. The 0.12-acre site is in the single family (SF) zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District, Coastal (Non-appealable) Overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1. This development is within the Coastal Overlay zone and the application was filed on March 1, 2018.

PRC Motion: Findings **CAN** be made for a site development Permits and Coastal Development Permits for Projects 482904 and 589178. VOTE 3-2-1

Preamble: Chair Bob Steck recites from Oath of Office of LJCPA in re: performing fairly and impartially with respect to all. Urges focus on issues and compliance with regulations.

Presenter Tony Crisafi, Island Architects.

Crisafi explained that there are two applications under development, in re: Section 1510.0304. There are Coastal and Site Development Permits on two separate lots. The owners had a meeting in June of 2016 with neighbors within 300-foot

radius, in David Mandelbaum living room. Project Manager followed up with neighbors by email. Concerns about a second story and a pool were addressed. Crisafi presented PPT on Lot 2 in relation to existing lots on Soledad and on Lookout Loop. Lots 30 and 19 on the Loop 25, 13 and 14 are highlighted as well. These are two-story with higher roof pitch. Modern, traditional and Cape styles present.

Lot 2:

Presented specifics on conformance sheet, noting setbacks that vary and expand as the home articulates. Landscaping coverage explainers.

Shows City-required FAR Map bulk and scale analysis. City agrees with the content of this document. Crisafi has performed aerial surveys and onsite surveys and also pored over records in the 300' radius. There are five houses on the Lookout Loop that have between .7 and .9 FAR.

Garages, driveways and parking spaces are shown. Balconies are shown. Elevations are shown that show how upper level steps back from main level. Rendering from street shown. Houses step-in from the sides. Setbacks of garages and upper level from property line is described.

Lot 4:

.43 FAR and .74 FAR on lots 4 and 5

Lots 53, 55 and 56 on Soledad are used as reference material on the high side of the street where the grade rises. Lot 39 referenced as two-story house with garage underneath. Various lots from 37-44 are referenced to indicate various elements.

Cottrell House was designated Historic on a State level. Sewer not functioning, so barely habitable. Owners spent a year following guidelines on rehabilitation and salvage, and had ceilings and floors refurbished. Double-barrel roof had to be redone twice.

Conformance Chart shown. Four onsite parking spaces. Grades noted. There is enough slope in lot so that one side is open to daylight.

Lot 5:

Parking for three cars. Simple floor plan. Lot 5 elevation displayed. Large balcony that wraps around north elevation. Building steps back on east elevation. Study on massing at PROW shown for Lots 2, 4 and 5.

Elevation heights in general will be lowered when Permit is granted. Trying to have as little impact on massing as possible.

Second Presenter:

David Mandelbaum, an owner of LLC of project. Asks for fair-minded and reasonable approach to decision based on verification of facts. Stats he previously sat on a land use board with Sara Moser and Sherri Lightner, where he was advised to "not guess" on project details. Notes statements made by attorneys Evelyn Heidelberg and Deborah Rosenthal Trustees Diane Kane and Phil Merten and neighbor Desiree Kellogg are inaccurate. Notes Kellogg has sent 97 emails to the City on this project. Mandelbaum incurs the costs on the responses to these.

Stated that statements made by this group are incorrect in re Lot Size, Lot Merger and Setbacks. Read statements by Gregory Hopkins (DSD Director in 2013) and PM Glenn Gargas. The Hopkins letter states that these are legal lots. There have been no court documents showing DSD that the lots are illegal. Third issue is Setbacks. Mandelbaum notes the houses in area have four-foot setbacks, which is all he is asking for. States that the concept of "average setbacks" is a fabrication created by community members. He asks for a fair and impartial vote of LJCPA.

Presenting for the Opposition:

Attorney Evelyn Heidelberg representing Susan McKean, Lookout Drive neighbor. Disputes compliance on bulk and scale and conformity of setbacks in the vicinity. States that unit density is too high for average unit density in neighborhood. At 6.9 units per acre this is almost twice that of developed parcels within 300'. Disputes intent of Hopkins letter. Notes that

planned density is low residential density and low number of units are recommended. Further states setbacks are not conformant.

David Mandelbaum: States that number of dwelling units per acre is conformant based on entirety of code in San Diego, when slope ratios are factored in, and that setbacks are compliant.

Tony Crisafi: States his charts and report are not required and are accurate and the City does concur with the data points therein. There are 5.4 lots per acre

Brian Will. When asked to compare proposed FAR, County record does not count garages. It is common to add in 500 sq. ft to other houses, so as to accommodate for that

Presenting for the Opposition: Attorney Deborah Rosenthal, representing neighbors said that project is inconsistent with PDO and with Design Requirements in terms of visual harmony and bulk and scale. Says FAR could not conform to PDO, as PDO does not require FAR. She believes that the setbacks are bulk and scale not conformant--0.79 does not fit into the neighborhood. States it is poor design with multiple inconsistencies, squeezed onto lots that are too small.

Public Comment

Patrick Branford, "Neighborhood Watch Captain". Said that neighbors say that project looks like a condo development. Said that neighbors did not know about a meeting and did not sign anything. Said that theft in the neighborhood skyrockets with any renovating and the neighbors do not want any building going on.

Bob Whitney: Have you complied with cycle issues? Crisafi: (in response) Yes. Has Phil Merten been paid to oppose this project? Merten (In response): No.

Terri Lombard: Stated neighbors are opposed to project, and that there has been no communication from developer or owners of house since 2016. Asks if Lot 4 will be sold in future. Inquired on easements between Lot 1 and Lot 4. Said houses look identical on these lots.

Desiree Kellogg: Houses look identical. FAR of Lot 2, 0.79, is the highest in the neighborhood.

Trustee Comment

Helen Boyden: received communication from PRC chair Dave Gordon including letter from Glenn Gargas, with Gargas stating that the three lots in question have been in existence in this size and shape with a lot line assessment parcel map, prior to 1997. The lots are all 5,000 SF or greater and are legal lots with development rights.

Boyden also reviewed a letter from Glenn Gargas stating that there is not a lot size in the PDO in reference to Section 1510.0304A, lots are existing, and density is set.

Will: Does not follow opposition that the lots should have less than one unit if density is less than the average. To that interpretation, one can't occupy a lot.

Greatrex: Supportive, finds project consistent in conformance to LJSPDO and Community Plan.

Courtney: Opposed to project due to precedent of .79 and .74 FAR

Ahern: 18 of 56 houses are in compliance so 68% are not. Houses are similar to each other here. FAR is conforming.

Kane: Discussed character of neighborhood. It is an old neighborhood with low FAR. Suggests developer remove one house or develop property as a condominium. Said that the project is not consistent with Plans.

Merten: Corrected errors on a distributed document, re: lot size. Discusses history of sub-divisions in La Jolla. States lot line adjustment is illegal since a coastal development change in density was not handled under coastal development review or a CDP. City surveyor at the time was unaware that CDP was required. Notes that densities of lots are significantly greater than surrounding lots. Findings cannot be made.

Shannon: Difficult case. Character of project has been a concern to neighbors. Sees precedent in FAR and size of project.

Costello: Cautioned that City Project Managers are not entirely neutral. Mentions a case where the Project Manager overlooked facts.

Brady: Project cannot be supported due to dwelling unit density being not in the community interest. Jamming houses into that location is not right for the community.

Rasmussen: Anything that could be done to dwelling units to make a compromise? Merten: Dwelling unit is in relation to a certain number of square feet on the lot. Lot line adjustment was done illegally. Owner needs to go back and reconfigure lots.

Weissman: Said one lot is very small and squeezed in. Also has issue with terrace.

Weiss: Sensitive to the 300 canonical feet. Look at houses in the loop, in Lots 26-40. Tending not to support project.

Presenter Rebuttal:

Crisafi: Owner will remove terrace if Lot 4 is sold.

Shannon: Would you consider changing the appearance? Crisafi (in response): It is a La Jolla Rancho style, in a modern interpretation. Hacienda style. They don't have to all be the same colors, though. Tiles can be different.

Merten: Code is clear (lot should not be occupied at greater density than those within 300'). Crisafi (in response): City does not agree with you on Code findings. Lots are not being merged. No lot lines are being changed.

Will: Disturbed by the notion that someone purchases a lot, and we say "The neighbors don't want you to build and we are going to say no" is wrong. These lots exists today, these are the lots.

Rebecca Levy, neighbor (out of turn): Repeats concerns of neighbors.

Motion: Findings can **NOT** be made for Agenda Item 12, Lookout Lots 2, 4 and 5. Density, bulk and scale are out of character with the surrounding neighborhood and the proposed dwelling unit densities exceed the average unit density of properties within 300' of the site, in contrary to Municipal Code Section 1510.0304. (Courtney/Merten) 9-4-1 **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Merten, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: Ahern, Greatrex, Shannon, Will

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

13.0 La Jolla Rancho-CDP/SDP 840 La Jolla Rancho Rd; No.: 593799- (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit (ESL) for the construction of a new single dwelling unit with attached guest quarters and underground basement for a total of 6,386 square feet on a vacant lot located on Lot 146 between 832 and 850 La Jolla Rancho Road. The 0.50 acre site is within the Coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone in the RS-1-4 and RS-1-1 Base Zone of the La Jolla Community Plan Area on environmentally sensitive lands (ESL).

DPR Motion: Findings CAN be made for the CDP/SDP as presented (Ragsdale/Kane) Approved (6-0-1)

Public Comment: Seeing none

Trustee Comment: Seeing none

Motion: Findings can be made for CDP/SDP. (Costello/Kane) 11-0-2 **Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: --

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

Recusal: Will (Representing Project)

14.0 A request that LJCPA send a letter regarding the new hawk lights on TPR asking that warnings be placed on Princess Street as well as on TPR as at present. (Janie Emerson).

Public Comment:

Phil White: Plethora of signs already. City should raise existing signs and not add any more at Princess.

Trustee Comment:

Ahern: This may not be favorable to neighbors. This topic may be best handled at T&T.

Rasmussen: When you are at the stop sign, it's very difficult to see the existing signs.

Boyden: References sign on Regents Road that is intermittent in terms of appearance.

Motion: That LJCPA send matter of proposed signage on Princess around the new hawk lights on TPR, to T&T for further review. (Ahern/Courtney) **12-0-1 Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Greatrex, Kane, Merten, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed: --

Abstain: Steck (Chair)

15.0 Meeting Adjourns at 9:16 until September 6, 2018 at 6:00 PM.