

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us:

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: www.lajollacpa.org

Voicemail: 858.456.7900

email: info@lajollacpa.org

President: Bob Steck

Vice President: Helen Boyden

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary:

Treasurer: David Gordon

MINUTES

Annual Meeting | Thursday, 7 March 2019, 6:00 pm

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Bob Steck, President (officers only at trustee table)

Please turn off or silence mobile devices

Meeting is being recorded

Call to order: 6:05

Steck, Boyden, Will, Gordon at trustee table.

2.0 Verify Quorum (Need 20% of total Membership: 134 members, so quorum is 27)

Greater than 27 in audience, quorum verified.

3.0 Adopt the Agenda

Motion to adopt agenda: (Will/ Shannon)

In Favor: floor unanimous

Opposed: none

Abstain: Steck (chair)

Motion Carries

4.0 Non-Agenda Public Comment: Issues not on the agenda and within CPA jurisdiction, two (2) minutes or less.

None

6.0 Time Certain 6:15 pm. Pipeline Rehabilitation AL-1 will rehabilitate 7.1 miles of 8-inch sewer main, beginning construction in Spring of 2019 in La Jolla Community.

Presentation by Maryam Liaghat: Passed out a Fact sheet with details of the project and a map showing the streets affected. Project requires the contractor to develop, obtain City approval for and implement traffic control during construction. They expect minimum traffic delay. Work will be from 8:30am to 3:30 pm, no night work. Rehab work will be done only from main line to property line. Beyond that is owner's responsibility. Project will last one year beginning spring 2019. Contact engineering@sandiego.gov for questions.

Gordon: Contractor on current project is inconsiderate of neighborhood. Ms. Liaghat replied that this will be the same contractor, they usually pretty considerate, also the equipment on this project is different- not so intrusive, but City engineers will relay comment and monitor the project. 2. Do they coordinate this project with SDGE work? No

5.0 Officer's Reports

5.1 President: The election for trustees is taking place in the Gill Room. Balloting will close at 7:00 pm. The results will be announced during the Regular Meeting. Any challenge to the election must be made in writing within 7 days of the election.

5.2 Treasurer: Beginning Balance as of 2/1/19 \$ 284.36

Income

- City of San Diego expense reimbursement \$ 500.00
- Collections \$ 129.00
- CD Sales \$ 0

Total Income \$ 629.00

Expenses

- Agenda printing \$ 63.96
- AT&T telephone \$ 83.47

Total Expenses \$ 147.43

Net Income/(Loss) \$ 481.57

Ending Balance of 2/28/19 \$ 765.93

5.3 Secretary No report

7.0 Consideration of a proposal to amend Article VI, Section 2. D. (1) of the La Jolla Community Planning Association Bylaws, changing the recusal policy.

Existing paragraph:

D. Abstentions and Recusals

(1) RECUSALS – Any Trustee of the LJCPA with a direct economic interest in any project that comes before the LJCPA or any committee must disclose the economic interest, and must recuse from voting and not participate in any manner as a Trustee for that item on the agenda. In the event of a recusal, the individual must remove him or herself from the room prior to discussion if that individual is not part of the presentation. Article VI, Section 2© of the Administrative Guidelines is the LJCPA’s reference for determining direct economic interest.

D. Abstentions and Recusals

(1) RECUSALS – Any Trustee of the LJCPA with a direct economic interest in any project that comes before the LJCPA or any committee must disclose the **direct** economic interest, and must recuse from voting and not participate in any manner as a Trustee **or Committee Member** for that item on the agenda. In the event of a recusal, **the individual must disclose the direct economic interest, recuse before the item is discussed and physically leave the community planning group or committee seating area. It must be made clear to the audience that the member is not acting in any capacity as a trustee or committee member. The presence of the recusing member in the room in which the meeting occurs does not count toward a quorum for that item for which the member recused.** Article VI, Section 2© of the Administrative Guidelines is the LJCPA’s reference for determining direct economic interest.

Discussion: Little: Person needs to leave, otherwise they will keep lobbying for their project.

La Cava: Proud tradition unique to La Jolla. Has worked well in past; builds confidence in audience that everything is above board. We should keep.

Steck: Example of Brian Will, a sole practitioner Architect, needs to be in room to answer questions.

Will elaborated that a professional, especially one who does not have someone who can step in to answer questions is at a disadvantage representing a client if he can’t be in room.

Other comments: There is an implied conflict if person is in room. Person in room can have undue influence during voting.

Weiss: person shouldn't be put at disadvantage because they are doing public service by being trustee.

Shannon: Person could be in room during discussion but not during voting.

Motion: Approve by-laws change as written with amendment (Gordon/Margaret Fell-Gordon)

Suggested amendment: Person recusing leaves room during voting only with no eye contact. (Gordon/Shannon)

In Favor: 34

Opposed: 10

Abstain: 2

Motion carries: 2/3 majority reached.

8.0 Adjourn at 6:40 to Regular Meeting

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 7 March 2019 immediately following Annual Meeting

Trustees Present: Steck, Boyden, Will, Gordon, Courtney, Ahern, Weissman, Little, Kane, Brady, Costello, Shannon, Merten, Mangano, Rasmussen, Weiss

Absent: none

Regular Meeting begins: 6:45pm

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Bob Steck, President

Please turn off or silence mobile devices Meeting is being recorded

2.0 Adopt the Agenda:

Motion: To add to agenda: All community groups within the City of SD be notified in advance of proposed Land Development Code changes so that they may have input before the Code Monitoring Team votes because the votes they are making go directly to City Council without any input from us. (Rasmussen/Gordon)

Weiss: Is it legal to add to agenda that has already been published?

Boyden: Can add to agenda with 2/3 vote if it is something that came up after agenda was published.

Marlon Pangilinan: Confirmed above per Council policy if there is urgency -can't wait until next meeting.

Group is meeting next week.

All in favor: 13: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman,

Opposed: 2: Mangano, Will

Abstain: 1:(Steck)

Motion Carries: 13-2-1

2.1 Motion: Send letter to Mayor that we recommend all community groups within the City of SD be notified in advance of proposed Land Development Code revisions by the Code Monitoring Team so that they may have input before the Code Monitoring Team votes. (Rasmussen/Gordon)

Discussion about the Code Monitoring Team: who are its members, what they do, how they fit into the city's process of making changes to the Codes, how they relate to community groups, possibility for conflicts of interest. Consensus that it is a good idea for community groups to be made aware the process and Code Monitoring Team votes.

In Favor: 15: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Mangano, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed: None

Abstain: 1: Steck

Motion Carries: 15-0-1

3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: 7 February 2019

Motion: approve minutes of February 7, 2019 meeting (Will/Gordon)

In Favor: 15: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: none

Abstain: 1: Steck

Motion Carries: 15-0-1

4.0 Officer Reports:

4.1 Treasurer – given at member meeting above

4.2 Secretary- no report

5.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry –

Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611, mauriciom@sandiego.gov

Priority this month in Bry Bulletin: passing reasonable regs for dockless vehicles, everyone should be wearing helmet.

Weiss: Is there a way to get abandoned things picked up? Reply: Has gotten environmental services to respond quickly for illegal dumping. Encourages Get It Done report.

Merryweather: What about Black's Beach fence?

Medina:

- Overlook off of La Jolla Shores Lane, original easement in 1972 for approximate 4' fence with signage.
- Now there is a high chain link fence there.
- City responsible for maintaining fence.
- City maintains that the higher fence is necessary for safety; they have latitude to determine height.
- This body wrote letter to Coastal Commission.
- CC answered that hopefully compromise can be made between community & city.
- City will entertain design options but will not lower below 6' because of safety.

Discussion re possibly paying for part of 5' vertical bar fence, not for 6'. Apparently there is no documentation for safety concerns.

Handed out commendations to congratulate, commend and thank trustees who are being termed out.

Bob Steck, Helen Boyden, Patrick Ahern, Phil Merten, Ray Weiss.

5.2 78th Assembly District: Assemblymember Todd Gloria - not here

Rep: **Javier Gomez** 619-645-3090 javier.gomez2@asm.ca.gov

5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tempore

Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133, Chevelle.Tate@sen.ca.gov

Not here

6.0 President's Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

6.1 The LJ PDO committee has been asked to determine if the McLaren/Coach mural in Bird Rock is artwork or a sign, and if it is a sign, does it adhere to PDO regulations for signs?

Debora Marengo of La Jolla PDO committee could not attend, will attend next month.

7.0 Public Comment

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Merryweather: Ask to write letter to B. Bry to put Coast Walk turnaround on her list as priority.

Approved 3 years ago, but nothing done – real safety issue. Asking for Bry to make priority only, not for funding

Steck: Will put on agenda next month for vote.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov
out of room

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/>

Not Present.

7.3 General Public – none presented at annual meeting.

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Costello: 3/18 Bonair project appeal of environment appeal at City Council; need someone to attend

Ryan CDP hearing officer approval should be appealed, we have 10 days from date of approval 5251 Chelsea St. approved March 6, needs appeal

Courtney: Sewer line project told CPA no night work, then worked at night for about 2 weeks in residential areas. We need to monitor city when not following procedures or doing noise studies to reduce impacts before engaging contractors to do night work.

9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

9.1 Community Planners Committee

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>- **John Shannon, Rep.**

Edith Gutierrez will email Code Monitoring Team agendas to every planning group chair.

9.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html> did not meet

9.3 UC San Diego Long Range Development Plan CAG, one of LJCPA delegates, will report on discussion <http://lrdp.ucsd.edu> did not meet.

9.4 Hillside Drive Ad Hoc Committee – Diane Kane, Chair

Passed out draft of memo to send to Hillside Drive Residents and Property owners re: illegal parking. Won't go out until further work is done by interns to identify legal parking spaces, map them, check signage and check for legal spaces. She is asking for additional comments and for who should send this letter out, CPA? Also who should get responses to letter?

Boyden: reminded that this ad hoc committee needs to end. Put on agenda to extend.

9.5 Airport Noise Advisory Committee – Matthew Price - no report

9.6 Playa Del Norte Stanchion Committee - no report

10.0 Consent Agenda- Action Item

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

**See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.
Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.**

10.1 Resident Petition for Speed Humps- on Westbourne Street between La Jolla Blvd and Draper Ave (Stephanie Jernigan) Supported by City letter. See Supplement

T&T Motion: To Endorse Resident Petition for 3 Speed Humps on Westbourne Street between La Jolla Blvd and Draper Ave: Gantzel, Second: Brady 8-0-0

10.2 San Diego Food and Wine Festival- SD Junior League request for Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park related to the 19th annual event on May 10-12, 2019 (Gretchen Hopper)

T & T Motion: To Approve San Diego Junior League Request for Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park related to the 19th annual San Diego Food and Wine Festival event on May 10-12, 2019: Ryan, Second: Gantzel 8-0-0

10.3 La Jolla Christmas Parade and Holiday Festival- Temporary Street Closures and No Parking areas related to the 62nd annual event on Sunday December 8, 2019 (Ann Kerr Bache)

T & T Motion: To Approve the La Jolla Christmas Parade and Holiday Festival request for Temporary Street Closures and No Parking areas related to the 62nd annual event on Sunday December 8, 2019: Aguirre, Second: Earley 8-0-0

10.4 Request to Remove and Relocate Crosswalk at End of Playa Del Norte-by Neptune Place (Melinda Merryweather)

T & T Motion: To establish two crosswalks with required ADA approved handicapped ramps, one on Playa del Norte and the other on Neptune Street and installing 'no pedestrian crossing' barriers on both sides of the stop line at the end of Playa del Norte: Goulding, Second: Ryan 8-0-0

10.5 Gillispie School CUP/CDP/SDP No.: 610620. (PROCESS 3) SDP/CDP/CUP for La Jolla Planned District 1 for change in use & amendment to CDP & CUP 40-0474, to merge existing commercial lots with existing school lots, demo and remodel existing school building to add new school programs, new signage and parking lot re-striping, located at 7380 Girard Ave. The 0.39-acre site is located in the RM-3-9 zone & LJPD-Zone 1, in the Coastal Non-Appealable overlay zone within La Jolla Community Plan Area. Council District 1.

DPR Motion: That findings CAN be made for CUP, SDP, CDP as presented (Costello/Ragsdale)
Passes 4-0-1

Little: pull 10.4 and 10.5

Motion: Approve Consent Agenda Items 1, 2, 3 (Boyden/Gordon)

In Favor:15: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Mangano, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1: Steck

Motion Carries: 15-0-1

The following agenda items are ACTION ITEMS unless otherwise noted, and may be *de novo* considerations. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 HERSCHFIELD RESIDENCE – CDP/ SDP #: 603740, 8230 Prestwick Drive (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit (CDP) and Site Development Permit (SDP) to demolish an existing single-family residence constructed in 1985 and construct a one-story single-family residence with basement, decks, garage, mechanical room, and back-yard swimming pool totaling 12,092 square-feet. The 0.45 acre project site is located 8230 Prestwick Drive. The one-story residence over basement will include features such as tile cladding, wood paneling, with a smooth plaster finish and a flat sloping roofline. The landscaping plan consists of City approved street trees, native and drought-tolerant landscaping to minimize irrigation requirements. The site is located in the La Jolla Shores Planned District-SF Zone and the Non-appealable area of the Coastal Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.

PRC Motion: The findings **cannot** be made for a CDP/SDP on this project because of the design massing resulting in large bulk and scale with lack of articulation and it visually conflicts with the existing community character. This project does not meet the policy guidelines of the local Community Plan, the La Jolla Shores PDO and the La Jolla Shores Design Manual. (Crisafi/Emerson) Passes: 5-0-1 (Chair abstain)

Project presented by Chandra Slavin & Amy Finchem of Blue Heron. Client is in audience.

Chandra: Elevation relatively flat, elevation of 320, driveway structure exists below base of existing slope. House will appear as single story from street level. Existing height is 17' 6", proposed 21' 7". Walkout basement level will not be visible from alley because existing canopy will remain. They have attended all review committees, hosted neighborhood meeting at client's home, closed all cycle comments, signed off by city, no comments received on MND.

Amy – described features that conform to LJ Design Manual & LJSPDO

- all setbacks in conformity with Design manual,
- design about simplicity, simple design
- maintain simple 2 plane design of existing home,
- maintain existing character of neighborhood with higher plane in back, lower in the front.
- "unity with variety" playing off of more contemporary styles such as house to north
- consistent with natural materials, browns and grays mentioned in Design Manual.
- single story home also consistent.
- under 60% lot coverage and under 30' height limit.
- described floor plans and roof plan with parapets to shield the sloped roof to meet requirement of neighborhood CC & R's.

Public comment:

Sally Miller: What is square footage of existing house. Reply: 4067 sq.ft

Reply: Most of sq. footage is in rear and not visible so only increase of 1800 sq. ft. visible from street.

S.M.: So you are going from 4,000 to 12,000?. Second question: where are the motors for swimming pool, air conditioners – away from neighbors?

Reply: in lower level basement – enclosed.

Another question: What is size of comparable houses in neighborhood?

Reply: about 4 to 5000 sq. ft.

Comment: This house is about 3 times the size of existing houses.

Barbara Groce: lives across street, Is this the same plan as was denied at the last PRC meeting? Great concern about bulk of home not fitting in with the neighborhood. She has 3 letters from other homeowners stating same concern.

Trustees: Rasmussen: Is objection to this is about massing from the street? Also concern about large blank wall on one elevation?

Reply. Made side elevations straight to increase sq. footage.

Merten: While you stated how this project conforms to the general design principles outlined in the Design Manual and the LJSPDO, "Unity with variety shall be a guiding principle, etc." (LJSPDO sec.1501.0301) But you did not mention the key sentence: "Conversely, no structure will be approved that is so different in quality, form, materials, color and relationship as to disrupt the architectural unity of the area." Flat wall of front elevation is so different from any other project in this neighborhood, the form and relationship *does* disrupt the architectural unity of the neighborhood; therefore I support the LJS Permit Review committee vote to deny project.

Reply: House similar to contemporary house to north in design, color and materials. Pointed out layers of different texture, materials and different levels adding more detail to points above.

Merten: House to north *is* different. Two large planes unlike anything on street: nice design for different location, but not in this residential location.

Gordon: summarized points from PRC minutes

- o One comment from committee: "looks like a fortress" with little articulation.
- o not good transition from existing houses
- o 6' setbacks are along entire length of house, while existing house angles inward, and are less than side setbacks on most properties on street.
- o 12,000 sq. ft not as big an issue because of one story visible from street, but just the way it looks.

Courtney: Grade elevations at west end of property and the pad? How much of total sq. footage of lot is slope?

Reply: 65' elevation. 45% slope.

Courtney: Therefore buildable area of lot is about 11,000 sq. ft so FAR comes to 1.02 and, while FAR is not a specific requirement in the LJSPDO, 1.02 indicates too great a leap in bulk and scale to conform to neighborhood character.

Ahern: Comment about color, texture, style could conform to house next door with a bit more articulation. First floor foot print similar to others on street, also rear string line in line with other houses.

Little: question about height after excavation. Reply 28'

Kane: On cut or fill? Reply: both; strong shoring design. How much export? Reply: 6,000 cubic yards. Will all excavation be on site? Reply: yes. Where is courtyard and how large? Reply: in front behind wall. Kane: that is a walkway/entryway, not a courtyard.

How many windows in front? Reply: 2 to let light in without view from street. Kane: Looks like fortress because of lack of visual communication with street.

Motion: Support PRC motion that findings cannot be made (Costello/Weiss)

Question, Kane: Were any design changes made as a result of several meetings with review committees and neighbors? Reply: No.

In Favor: 14: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Mangano, Merten, Rasmussen, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: 1: Will

Abstain: 1 (Steck)

Motion Carries: 14-1-1

Rasmussen: comment, important to have comments made in this meeting as part of the motion to support findings.

12.0 Whether to write a letter to the California Coastal Commission opposing the renewal of The City of San Diego's Permits: Children's Pool Beach Closure, CDP # 6-14-0691 and Rope Barrier, CDP # 6-15-0223 on the grounds that these Coastal Development Permits should not be renewed without requiring the intended implementation of improved access, sand and water quality in the permit conditions. Presentation by Ken Hunrichs

The Power Point Presentation describes the following conditions for the city to implement that were made when the beach closure permit was issued in 2014 for five years:

- Examine feasibility of ADA access,
- Examine water quality and determine any method to improve it,
- Analyze the quality of the sand and determine a method for improving it.

The presentation then describes in detail that the city has done some studies, offered some unfeasible conclusions with questionable test results; and has concluded that since water quality is 'good', in spite of warning signs of bad water quality, no ADA access and other problems, nothing further needs to be done. It also includes details of the Rope barrier and why it should be removed. *Ken asks CPA to request of CC when permit is being considered -- either a new or an extended permit on current conditions with a shorter term renewal, one year or two at most -- require defined improvement benchmarks for city to achieve for water and sand quality, ADA access and eliminate summer rope barrier. Rope is hindrance to coastal access. See letter by Bob Steck in supplementary materials.*

Public comment: Sally Miller: Since City has done nothing to comply with conditions what right do they have for any extension? Reply: they believe that they have complied by studying the issues. Phyllis Minick: Children's Pool is one of the greatest assets this City has. Millions of people come here from all of over the world to see it. It should be the beautiful and tranquil place it was built to be. Urges sending the letter to plead, beg or demand that the conditions are met.

Motion: Send the letter as written. (Boyden/Kane)

In Favor:13: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Little, Mangano, Merten, Rasmussen, Weissman, Will

Opposed: 1: Weiss

Abstain: 1: Steck

Motion Carries: 13-1-1

Weiss: reason he opposed is that he supports that CC should require the city to do what it asked them to do, but this should not be conflated with opposition to rope, protection of seals and mammal protection act which is federal law. Key is that City has not done what CC has required it to do. That doesn't mean that the permit shouldn't be renewed because the main reason for the permit is to comply with Marine Mammal Protection Act which is above the CC. We would have more force if we did not conflate the issues.

Costello: Marine Mammal Protection Act has nothing to do with this rope. City alone has option to keep the seals there or not.

Election: 68 people voted. Can't announce election results because there are ties and we do not have in bylaws a way to resolve it. Marlon and Janie have been helpful, but this has to go to City Attorney's office. We will try to expedite.

Boyden: All current trustees remain in office until next meeting regardless of election to make preparations for next meeting or sign anything. New trustees will need to elect officers. When slate is announced, 2nd vice president will conduct that meeting until new president is elected. Be aware that we will need a president, vice president and secretary.

13.0 Consideration of superseding the current LJSPRC charter, dated in 2009, with a revised Charter and additional Bylaws. Drafts dated 2-11-2019 were passed by the La Jolla Shores Association on February 13, 2019. Revisions to the drafts dated 2-25-2019 to resolve internal conflicts are being suggested by Janie Emerson, LJSA Chair, Bob Steck, LJCPA President and Helen Boyden, LJCPA Vice President. The LJSA will need to reapprove at its March 13, meeting.

13.1 Whether to approve LJSPRC Charter draft dated 2-25-2019 and return to LJSA for concurrence.

Motion: Approve LJSPRC Charter drafted 2-25-2019 and return to LJSA for concurrence.
(Boyden/Courtney)

Discussion: Gordon: Dismayed by length and amount of conflict over this process. No need for separate Charter and Bylaws. Prefers to have Bylaws only but will support this Charter as submitted to get project done. Only change to make to Bylaws is to put Chair's signature back on there.

In Favor: 12: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Gordon, Kane, Merten, Mangano, Shannon, Weissman, Will

Opposed: 1: Rasmussen

Abstain: 2: Steck, Little

Motion Carries: 12-1-2

13.2 Whether to approve LJSPRC Bylaws draft dated 2-25-2019 and return to LJSA for concurrence.

Motion: Approve LJSPRC Bylaws draft dated 2-25-2019 and return to LJSA for concurrence.

Discussion: Gordon: add to motion to add signature line for PRC submitted. Addition denied.
Emerson: this document is submitted by LJSA. Little: reason for abstention: this appears to be internal conflict that cannot be settled by this group. Next time settle before bringing to this group.

In Favor: 9: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Kane, Merten, Shannon, Weissman

Opposed: 2: Gordon, Rasmussen

Abstain: 4: Steck, Little, Mangano, Will

Motion Carries: 9-2-4

14.0 Adjourn to next LJCPA Meeting: Thursday, April 4, 2019 at 6:00 pm