



Redistricting Commission

Record of Action | Virtual Meeting (Zoom Webinar)
Tuesday, November 4, 2021 | 5:30 p.m.

This meeting aired live on the City's Zoom Webinar platform.

Commissioners Present

Val Hoy
Fred Kosmo
Roy MacPhail
Kenneth Malbrough
Alan Nevin
Justine Nielsen
Monica Hernandez
Thomas Hebrank
Kristen Roberts

City Staff Present

Lora Fleming, Redistricting Commission Chief of Staff
Kathy Steinman, Deputy City Attorney

Commissioner Roll Call

- Redistricting Commission Chair Hebrank called the meeting to order at 5:31 p.m. and the roll was called to confirm Commission member attendance.
 - **Present:** Val Hoy, Fred Kosmo, Roy MacPhail, Kenneth Malbrough, Alan Nevin (arrived during the first part of non-agenda public comment), Monica Hernandez, Thomas Hebrank, Kristen Roberts;
 - **Not Present:** None.

Non-Agenda Public Comment

- Approximately 102 public comments were submitted prior to the meeting and were posted on the website and distributed to the Commissioners.
- 13 speakers spoke during Non-Agenda Public Comment:

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Jesse Mills			Non Agenda	Presentation to the Commission. Race should be an important factor in redistricting and to not marginalize minority groups voices throughout the city. Race and racial equity matters.
Debbie Espe			Non Agenda	Does not agree with Commission Chair's map and commissioner comments made last meeting. Believes the redistricting system needs to be reformed.
Louis Rodilico		District 1	Non Agenda	Unfinished roads and projects have led to increased traffic and harm to citizens. We need more sufficient road infrastructure. Most of it needed is in La Jolla's surrounding areas. Wants UC in D6.
Trinh Le and Jen LaBarbera			Non Agenda	Presentation to the Commission. Discussed Voting Rights Act, majority-minority districts, influence districts, minority coalitions, CVAP vs. VAP, drawing maps outside of public hearings, etc.
Adriana Enloe		District 6	Non Agenda	Clairemont resident. Wants it to be equitable, and have Clairemont be united.
Frieda Callahan			Non Agenda	Does not want Clairemont split. Ceding time to Stephen Groce.
Alex Sachs			Non Agenda	Interested in District 3 as a LGBT empowerment district. Looks like UCSD campus has a very large AAPI population.
Ron Cho			Non Agenda	Is concerned with the Commission Chair comments last meeting on preliminary maps and believes the SD Communities Collaborations map which has 23 community groups supporting it is the best for San Diego.
John Stump			Non Agenda	Concerned with gerrymandering in the redistricting process and forward all considered maps to legal counsel to ensure they are legal to use under the Voting Rights Act.
Lorna Zukas		District 9	Non Agenda	Jose Reynoso did recommend that Qualcomm be a part of D9, like in Commissioner Chairs map and many D9 residents do support this.
Gustav Swanson		District 9	Non Agenda	Made a comment in the past meeting supporting Qualcomm to D9. He made that comment after another person had suggested it. Should analysis the difference in planning groups boundaries and neighborhood boundaries.
Nam Nyguen			Non Agenda	Would like more of the legal requirements to be posted for the public.

Item 1 - Discuss the map approved by the Redistricting Commission on 10/29/2021 and Approve a Final Preliminary Map and the 2021 Preliminary Redistricting Plan to be Submitted to the City Clerk

- 108 speakers spoke during public comment:

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Lisa H		District 1	Agenda item 1	Wants UC to stay connected to UCSD and D1.
Bruce Cameron		District 1		Suggest keeping eastern area of Del Mar Mesa in D1.
Lil Nover	Sorrento Valley Town Council			Recommends putting any areas of Sorrento Valley that are currently in D1 into D6, called old Sorrento Valley.
Quentin Yates				Recommends making Clairemont whole again.
Jose Reynoso		District 9		Suggests adding Qualcomm and Rolando Park to D9 to add population and diversity. Puts communities together with similar interests.

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Zaccary Bradt		District 1		Does not like the Commission Chair's map, believes it only is listening to La Jolla and not students or anyone else.
Francesca D				Commission Chair's map is concerning and may not be legal, recommends the SD Communities Collaborations map.
Meagan Beale		District 1		Suggests keeping all of UC together with D1. Supports Chair's map.
Lorna Zukas				Supports the Chair's map as it helps meet the need of many groups in northern SD.
Laila Aziz				Does not agree with the Commission Chair's map and believes it is a racist map that is not in favor of helping minorities.
Nam Nyguen				Dissatisfied by Chair's map and believes the SD Communities Collaboration map is the best option.
John Stump				Objects to the Chair's map and finds it troublesome concerning its compactness. Especially D3 and D9.
Kathryn Burton		District 1		Wants Torrey Highlands and Del Mar Mesa in D1.
Danna Givot		District 9		Supports Chair's map and changes he made to D9 like adding Qualcomm and Rolando Park.
Kristin Harms	Community Coalition of University Heights			Supports being grouped in D3 with historic neighborhoods, supports map 6246.
Ted Young		District 6		Wants all of UC to be in D1 and Clairemont in D6.
Jen LaBarbera and Trinh Le	Unity Map Coalition			Supports the SD Communities Collaboration map and wants the map to be reconsidered by the Commission. Need to prioritize minority groups.
Ian Grooms		District 2		Supports the Chair's map because it does minimal splitting of Clairemont.
Louis Rodilico		District 1		Does not like that a sewer line is being built through Clairemont, when it could have been built more effectively going along the coast and feels unheard by D1.
Sonia Lang		District 4		Supports the Chair's map that reunites Rolando Park and Redwood Village with the College Area.
Steve Hsieh	Asian Pacific American Coalition	District 6		Supports Chair's map and wants more areas added to D6.
Kristina Piggy Mananquil				Supports the SD Communities Collaboration map and believes this is the fairest map.
Gustav Swanson				Is part of the LGBTQ community and supports the Chair's map and sees a double standard that it's okay to ask for UCSD and UC to be put together but wrong to put all of SDSU together.
Jeff Heden		District 1		Supports 2 coastal districts, and wants to keep Carmel Valley and close neighborhoods in D1.
Mae Case	Asian Solidarity Collective			Disappointed with Chair's map and supports the SD Communities Collaboration map.
Michelle Alexander		District 9		Supports the Chair's map that reunites Rolando Park and Redwood Village with the College Area.
Andy Wiese		District 1		Supports the Chair's map with modifications by D1 United.
Ramesses Surban				Supports SD Communities Collaboration map.
Ravi Gopinathan				In favor of the SD Communities Collaboration map.
Laura Ribbo	El Cerrito Community Council	District 9		Wants Rolando Park, Redwood Village, and Qualcomm in D9.
Alex Sachs		District 3		Supports SD Communities Collaboration map because it is in favor of equity. Maybe consider 2 maps.
Kiah Amante				Wants Clairemont whole and not split.
Chris Cate		District 6		Would like split communities to be made whole, like Clairemont and PQ. Supports an AAPI empowerment district.

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Denise Friedman		District 2		Wants a whole Clairemont and Mission Hills and Old Town in D2.
Julie Corrales		District 8		Chair's map lacks courage and does not reflect the diversity of the city. Questions the integrity of the Chair's map. Wants 2 maps to be considered. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Leana Cortez		District 6		Supports SD Communities Collaboration map. Students voices are not being heard.
Mike Gray				Wants some of the boundaries changed as they split across neighborhoods. New commercial district that is tied to the Rancho Penasquitos area.
Julia Wong		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration map. Student voices deserve to be heard.
Brice McKane		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Ulises Rodriguez		District 1		UCSD has many affordable housing problems and supports the SD Communities Collaboration map.
Miranda May		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Alexandra Fung		District 1		Student voices being overlooked and students have actively been a part of the redistricting process and deserve representation.
Max Morgan		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map. Many housing insecurity problems.
Andrew Sitko		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Claire McNerney		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Alex Zukas	El Cerrito Community Council	District 9		Wants Qualcomm in D9 and supports the Chair's Map.
David Moty		District 9		Supports the Chair's Map and that it listened to communities for boundaries.
Sharon Roth		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Van Pham	Linda Vista Town Council	District 7		Not in favor of the Chair's Map and supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map. Wants a whole Clairemont.
Rhianen Callaghan		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map. Thinks this is the map that is needed.
Bob Jaynes		District 9		Supports Chair's Map. Changes to D9 and the diversity is good. Qualcomm should be in D9.
Jean Huy				Disappointed by Chair's Map, not enough diversity and believes the SD Communities Collaboration map is best option. Don't add Qualcomm to D9.
Blake Hopstead	Linda Vista Town Council			Linda Vista Town Council voted in support of SD Communities Collaboration map, wants to be neighbors with Clairemont.
Natalie Glezen		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map. Worried about housing in coming years if UCSD stays in D1.
Anika K. Dandekar		District 1		Students not aligned with D1 and supports the SD Communities Collaboration map.
Finn Biggs		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration map. Many housing insecurity problems.
McKayla Dasha Sarmiento		District 1		Feels as students are not heard and supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Stacey Uy	Asian Solidarity Collective			Chair's Map is not sufficient and wants a re-vote on preliminary map.
Issac Ing		District 1		Supports putting UCSD and UC into D6. Better relationship with D6 and is where students stay.
Bill Ellig	Uptown Planners	District 3		Mission Valley is not like neighborhoods in D3, should not be added.
Ethan Maristela		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map to better represent students needs.

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Cynthia Gilmore		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Kate Kern		District 9		Supports Chair's Map and changes made to D9 like adding Qualcomm and Rolando Park.
Gerrie Flaven		District 9		Needs a map that is equitable for all and has Rolando Park in D9.
Sparky Mintra		District 1		Feels as students are not being heard, not in favor of Chair's Map and supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Lynn Edwards		District 9		Supports the Chair's Map that reunites Rolando Park and Redwood Village with the College Area.
Deborah Knight		District 1		Strongly supports the commissions map even though its not everything she wants. The preliminary map meets many community goals.
Dr. DJ Kuttin Kandi	Asian Solidarity Collective			Does not agree with the Commission Chair's map and beives it is a racist map that is not in favor of helping minorities. Wants another preliminary map option.
Luis Montero Adams	SD LGBTQ Community Center			Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Linda Mauch		District 5		Wants Rancho Penasquitos to stay in D5 as they do not align with D6.
Matthew Ware		District 1		UCSD students voices not being heard and wants the SD Communities Collaboration map as preliminary map.
George Nassar		District 1		UCSD has many affordable housing problems and student voices not being heard. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Thea Koulouras		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration map.
Tommy Jung		District 1		Housing insecurity in UCSD is a major problem that the Commission is not taking seriously.
Cheryl Brierton		District 3		Golden Hills and Balboa Park linked in last map she saw and supports this.
Lynn Haims	Clairemont Town Council	District 6		Wants Clairemont and Linda Vista united and whole, not split.
Anna Pelz		District 1		Students have no connection to La Jolla and supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Jeanette Waltz		District 5		Resident of Park Village. Concerned that the Commission is carving out portions from D5 to D6.
Jeannie Kim		District 1		North UC should not be a part of D1 and should join D6. Many students having housing insecurity. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Don Krupp		District 6		Wants Clairemont whole and not split. At least 3 different maps had Clairemont united.
Geoff Hueter	Neighbors of Better SD			Supports the Chair's Map as it helps meet the need of many groups in northern SD.
Michael Dwyer	Clairemont United	District 6		Supports uniting Clairemont into one district.
Ashley Jones		District 1		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map. Many housing insecurity problems around UCSD.
Ben Elisha		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map. North UC must be added to D6.
Brian Reschke	Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board	District 5		Could support both the Chair's Map and the SD Communities Collaboration Map. Combine Torrey Highlands and Park Village back to D5. Add University City to D6. Combine Clairemont to be whole. Business districts should have increased focus.
John		District 1		Commission is prioritizing La Jolla residents over students and it's not fair. Everyone should be equal.

Name:	Organization:	District/ Community:	Non agenda/agenda item:	Comment Summary:
Tim Nyguen		District 1		There is a clear divide between north and south UC. Makes sense to make Clairemont whole again. Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Stephen Groce				The students are the future and they need a community to thrive and businesses need to be able to grow. Connecting Qualcomm to College Area makes sense. Presented a map (74956) with compromises on both sides.
Briana Peacock		District 1		Many UCSD students facing housing insecurity. Move north UC to D6.
Adriana B		District 1		Commission is not listening to students and their problems which is upsetting and needs to be fixed.
Bernadette Muniz				The students have different needs than La Jolla residents, need to be taken more seriously.
Akash Canjels		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports replacing the Chair's Map with the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Ziyie Wang		District 1		Housing prices have increased around UCSD and this is causing many problems for students.
Isabelle Kay		District 1		Supports D1 United modified map. Keep UTC in D1.
Aria Gross Villa		District 1		UCSD students need more affordable housing and to be moved to D6 to be better represented.
Ryan Trabuco	Clairemont Town Council	District 6		Wants Clairemont whole and not split.
Jon Becker		District 5		Support maps 7394 and submission 6322. Park Village should be reunited in D5.
Neelofar Husin		District 1		Many housing problems around UCSD and wants better representation. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Ruhua Pan		District 1		Concerned with affordable housing around UCSD and many needs of students not being met.
Kathleen Glenn	RP Town Council	District 5		Has been advocating for a reunification in District 5, and disappointed with the Chair's Map. District 6 would be taking business districts from many other districts, including District 5's only business district. It's also not contiguous.
Andrea				Supports John Stump's letter to the Commission that compactness is not good enough, inconsistency that other universities (besides UCSD) were not studied, wants analysis on Black and Latino population in Districts 4, 8 and 9.
Aidan Lin		District 1		Students are mad that their voices are not being heard. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Aidan cHuang		District 1		Severe housing insecurity for UCSD students and change needs to be made.
Manshi Yang		District 1		Housing prices have increased around UCSD and this is causing many problems for students.
Paul Guo		District 1		UCSD has many affordable housing problems and student voices not being heard. Supports the SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Fangyi Lu		District 1		UCSD has many affordable housing problems.
Eric Chen		District 1		Many UCSD students facing housing insecurity, having to move far away from campus.
Steve Leffler		District 5		Supports SD Communities Collaboration Map.
Tim Davey		District 9		Redwood Village resident. Wants community to be in District 9 and to have more of an input.

- Meeting adjourned at 12:03 a.m. To reconvene on Tuesday, November 9 at 5:30 p.m.
- Redistricting Commission Chair Hebrank reconvened the meeting on Tuesday, November 9 at 5:31 p.m. and the roll was called to confirm Commission member attendance.

- **Present:** Val Hoy, Fred Kosmo, Roy MacPhail, Kenneth Malbrough, Alan Nevin, Justine Nielsen, Monica Hernandez, Thomas Hebrank, Kristen Roberts;
- **Not Present:** None.

- **ACTION:** Vice Chair Malbrough made a motion to direct staff to provide a demographic and legal analysis of the map approved by the Commission on 10/29 (Map #72525), Communities Collaboration Map (Map #72602/#70727) and Clairemont United Update Map (Map #74956) as alternatives for consideration at the Saturday, November 13, 2021 special meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner MacPhail and approved 9-0 by the Commission members by a roll call vote.
 - **Yea:** Val Hoy, Fred Hoy, Roy MacPhail, Ken Malbrough, Alan Nevin, Justine Nielsen, Monica Hernandez, Thomas Hebrank, Kristen Roberts;
 - **Nay:** (None);
 - **Recused:** (None);
 - **Not Present:** (None).

Item 4- Adjournment

- Chair Hebrank adjourned the meeting at 6:05 p.m. until the next special meeting at 10 a.m. on Saturday, November 13, 2021.