

## HRB Meeting of November 16, 2023

First Name	Last Name	Meeting Date	Agenda Item Number	Position	I would like to speak on the item during public testimony.	Comments	Attachments
------------	-----------	--------------	--------------------	----------	--	----------	-------------

### NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT

Charles	Kaminski	11/16/2023	Non-Agenda Comment			Please see attached letter from the san Diego LGBTQ Historic Sites Project regarding the possible demolition of th HRB Site #1451 Vulcan Steam Room & Sauna.	<a href="https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2023-11/Non-Agenda%20PC-Charles%20Kaminski-SD%20LGBTQ.pdf">https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2023-11/Non-Agenda%20PC-Charles%20Kaminski-SD%20LGBTQ.pdf</a>
---------	----------	------------	--------------------	--	--	--	---

### ITEM 1

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	1	In Opposition to Item	No	<p>According the HRRR, "The present study has determined that the 555 San Gorgonio Street residence is not historically and/or architecturally significant under local, state, and national significance criteria. The building, therefore, is not a historical resource."</p> <p>San Diego Municipal Code 12.0202(a) states, "The decision on whether or not to designate a historical resource shall be based on the information in a research report, as specified in the Historical Resources Guidelines of the Land Development Manual." This research report is the Historical Resource Research Report as defined in the Land Development Manual Historical Resources Guidelines Appendix E. The plain reading of this requirement is that any finding that the Historical Resources Board makes must be based on the information in the Historical Resource Research Report. As such, it is not possible to designate this property in accordance with the municipal code when the findings of the HRRR dispute the staff recommendation.</p>	
-------	---------	------------	---	-----------------------	----	---	--

**ITEM 2**

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	2	In Support of Item	No	This property should not be designated historic.	
Jennifer	Stropes	11/16/2023	2	In Opposition to Item	Yes	I have prepared a Powerpoint presentation on behalf of the owner that was previously submitted to Caroline Garcia	

**ITEM 3**

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	3	In Opposition to Item	No	The San Diego Municipal Code and the Guidelines for the Application of Historical Resources Board Designation Criteria make no reference to partial designations. Any partial designation is arbitrary and without any policy merits. As a results, this property should not be designated historic unless it can be designated in totality.	
-------	---------	------------	---	-----------------------	----	--	--

**ITEM 4**

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	4	In Opposition to Item	No	Item 2 on today's agenda was not recommended for designation due, in part, to the "enclosure of the second-floor sleeping porch". This property similarly enclosed a front porch, but it was determined that this was not a integrity violation for the property. This is a completely arbitrary decision without merit. As a result, this property should not be designated historic.	
-------	---------	------------	---	-----------------------	----	--	--

**ITEM 5**

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	5	In Opposition to Item	No	This property should not be designated historic.	
-------	---------	------------	---	-----------------------------	----	--	--

**ITEM 6**

Clint	Daniels	11/16/2023	6	In Opposition to Item	No	<p>According to the staff report, "The detached hobby room altered the relationship between the garage and street; however, this relationship is not critical to the resource's significance under HRB Criterion C. Lastly, the brick at the porch floor and steps and the replacement of the driveway are not consistent with the Standards"</p> <p>But, even changes not consistent with Interior Secretary standards are not enough to disqualify a site.</p> <p>This property should not be designated historic.</p>	
-------	---------	------------	---	-----------------------------	----	--	--

**ITEM 8**

Charles	Kaminski	11/16/2023	Item 8 IBA response	In Support of Item	No	I recommend that this item be referred to the Policy Committee first and then brought back for a full HRB discussion. Utilizing the very thorough letter prepared by the Neighborhood Historic Preservation Coalition (NHPC) and sent to the HRB for the October 2023 HRB meeting would be a sound foundation for the Policy Committee discussion. The detailed analysis by the NHPC reinforces San Diego's historic efforts and creates opportunities for improvement.	
---------	----------	------------	------------------------	-----------------------	----	---	--