

**From:** [Howard C. Cohen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, March 11, 2023 6:58:26 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thank you for your response ***BUT IT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION:***  
How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*? Howard C. Cohen

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 10, 2023 4:01 PM  
**To:** Howard C. Cohen <[hccohen@san-rr.com](mailto:hccohen@san-rr.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

Good afternoon. I appreciate your taking the time and energy to give us feedback on the draft De Anza Natural amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan. We welcome your participation as the project moves through the process.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**  
Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Howard C. Cohen <[hccohen@san-rr.com](mailto:hccohen@san-rr.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 07, 2023 6:28 AM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel: I was reading the DeAN plan and, in particular, the portion

re Recreation, which with added italics reads:

## ACTIVE RECREATION

### Recommendations

(No changes to Recommendations #29 and #32.)

30. League Play: Given its unique water setting, Mission Bay Park should not be targeted

as a location for organized soccer or *other league play beyond the existing facilities* in Robb

Field and *Pacific Beach Playing Fields in the De Anza Natural northern area.*

It seems to convey that the existing facilities at Robb Field and to the east of Mission Bay High School, e.g., McElvoy Field, will remain. But in reality, the way the paragraph is phrased is that no new organized soccer or other league (e.g., Little League baseball, softball) will be added, rather than a covenant that the existing fields will be preserved. While I am all in favor of increasing environmental qualities over commercial excesses, still, the McElvoy site for soccer, Little League, softball, and t-ball, is necessary for PB, MB, south Clairemont, and south LJ youth. The PB Rec Center has one softball diamond and one soccer field; Barnard Elementary has two soccer fields. McElvoy *many* more ball and soccer fields with better *family* viewing perspectives.

How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*?

Best, Howard C. Cohen

**From:** [Kaylarch](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society's version as long as a camping area is part of the solution.  
**Date:** Thursday, April 27, 2023 5:37:04 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Thanks for the note! I'm glad that the City has you on board.

I am a life-long Audubon Society member and my heart is really with their version, but I think De Anza Natural incorporates most of the concerns that Audubon Society so eloquently supports.

If the golf course shrinks, that's OK with me. The other sports areas are carried forward in De Anza Natural, so everyone is satisfied I hope.

Sincerely,  
Kay

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott [mailto:SSandel@sanidiego.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 27, 2023 1:51 PM  
**To:** Kaylarch  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society's version as long as a camping area is part of the solution.

Hi Kay,

This is Scott Sandel, PM for De Anza. [I've been at the City for 5 years now as a Park Planner.]

I hope you are doing well. So glad to hear from you.  
Thank you for your thoughtful comments.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204  
ssandel@sanidiego.gov

---

**From:** Kaylarch <info@kaylarch.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 6:43 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sanidiego.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society's version as long as a

camping area is part of the solution.

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Staffer:

The small amount of legal camping along the San Diego County coastline makes me convinced that a relocated Campland with a new or the same company as manager an essential part of the remaking of the De Anza conversion.

Thank you for inviting comments from the public.

Sincerely,  
Kay Stewart, CA Landscape Architect #2967  
619-234-2668  
[www.kaylarch.com](http://www.kaylarch.com)  
"Merging Nature With Structure"

**From:** [Jack Cardoza](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Dear Kelly  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:08:47 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks for your thoughtful response Scott.

Unfortunately I will have to miss the meeting . I hope my thoughts will resonate in your meeting room.

Kindly,

Jack Cardoza

On Apr 20, 2023, at 10:42 AM, Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

Good morning Mr. Cardoza,

Thank you for your email. I am mindful of your group and the facilities there at Rose Creek. I was particularly impressed by the comments we heard from Boat and Ski Club folks at the Mission Bay Park Committee meeting. I appreciate your input on the proposed De Anza Natural plan and plan amendment.

I hope to see you this afternoon at the Parks and Recreation board April meeting where we'll next participate in an informational presentation to the board.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san Diego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Jack Cardoza <[jackcardoza@gmail.com](mailto:jackcardoza@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:37 PM

**To:** Stanco, Kelley <[KStanco@sandiego.gov](mailto:KStanco@sandiego.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Dear Kelly

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Hi Kelly,

Out water ski club: Convair water Ski Club has used the Mission Bay boat club location to store our boats for several decades. This facility is essential to our ski club's future.

This is a traditional group of water skiing enthusiasts who ski regularly on Mission Bay and store out 2 boats there. It makes our activities possible.

I would request that you allow boat storage access to this storage facility to be incorporated into your future plans.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jack Cardoza

760-481-8710

**From:** [Hudson Sarah](#)  
**To:** [PLN PlanningCEQA](#); [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Garcia-Craivanu Paul](#); [Rega Regina](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comment on the De Anza Cove Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:06:28 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello,

Please accept this comment on behalf of San Diego Unified School District:

“Use of the De Anza Cove should seek educational opportunities to partner with public schools in the vicinity.”

SDUSD looks forward to future collaboration. Thank you.

**Sarah Hudson**

Demographer, San Diego Unified School District  
Instructional Facilities Planning Department  
4100 Normal Street, Room 3150  
San Diego, CA 92103-2682  
Telephone (619) 725-7369  
Fax (619) 293-8320

[shudson@sandi.net](mailto:shudson@sandi.net)

[https://www.sandiegounified.org/departments/instructional\\_facilities\\_planning](https://www.sandiegounified.org/departments/instructional_facilities_planning)

**From:** [Kurt Carlson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Ann Parode Dynes](#); [Brenda LJB](#); [Burchill, Roy](#); [Reyes, Matty](#); [Field, Andy](#); [Craig Kessler](#); [Shah, Carrie](#); [Kevin Fitzgerald](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comments Regarding the Mission Bay Draft PEIR  
**Date:** Friday, March 10, 2023 1:33:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [SD Municipal Golf regarding PEIR V2 Final 110922.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

I just wanted to make sure you received the attached letter from the San Diego Municipal Golf Committee and understand the position of San Diego's community golfers (see attached). This letter still represents that same position and we would like to be sure it is reviewed as part of the review process.

In 2016 -2018, the golf course was supposed to be untouchable (on both of the final alternatives submitted) as identified by the San Diego Park and Recreation Department, and a large majority did not support removal of the baseball and tennis courts. These Draft EIR concept plans are completely against what 3 years of community meetings and input were about, but these plans show that we all wasted our time.

Some of the issues observed so far:

In most of the colored alternative/bubble diagrams, the southwest corner of the golf course and parking lot next to the brand-new clubhouse/Pro-shop, appears completely eliminated. Where will the baseball fields and tennis courts go (into the golf course?) and where will the 'safe and convenient' parking for the thousands of users end up? On recreational parkland? The multi-use trails for non-golfers are shown winding around and through the existing golf course. How wide are these 'paths' supposed to be? How will the public be protected from errant golf shots? The trail placement within the golf course seems very hazardous to the community and could expose the city to expensive injury lawsuits. We don't see any trails within the current City's Municipal golf courses for this reason.

In addition, the golf course just had a very expensively designed clubhouse and satellite-controlled irrigation system installed throughout the course. It seems foolhardy to rip parts of the golf course up and redesign after millions of dollars have been spent to improve what's been planned for years by the city. The trails need to be away from the noisy roadways (along Mission Bay Drive) and the existing golf course, and be located along the Mission bay and marshland areas, where more room can be allowed.

As a concerned committee member for the City of San Diego's Municipal Golf Committee (MGC), our group wants to make sure everyone understands how Municipal golf is an important component to the recreational needs throughout the City of San Diego. It serves a very important diverse community and family of golfers, and provides environmental benefits that generally are forgotten but very real.

When considering projects like the De Anza Revitalization Plan, please remember that Mission Bay Golf Course is a wonderful golf property that is worth preserving for future

generations to come.

Please review the attached letter and our comments seriously!

Sincerely,

Kurt Carlson  
Chair  
San Diego Municipal Golf Committee

**From:** [Kathy Thorpe](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Cove  
**Date:** Friday, December 23, 2022 9:28:18 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Just quick questions. On the 4 alternative plans for De Anza Cove which designation is for RV camping, the dark green "active rec" or the pink "low cost visitor"? When might the plan be decided?

Thanks,  
Kathy

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**From:** [Joanna Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Joanna-BOD](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Cove Natural  
**Date:** Monday, February 6, 2023 1:56:13 PM  
**Attachments:** [De Anza Natural220913.xlsx](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Greetings, Scott,

Now that we are in February of 2023 I am wondering what the status is for the De Anza Natural Plan. You and I have discussed in the past that the city had hired a number of consultants and that ultimately a proposal will come forward to stakeholders for comment, and later to the City Council for a vote. Have any changes occurred since the three alternatives to the De Anza Natural Plan, as summarized by the spreadsheet attached here illustrating the acreage allocated within the alternatives?

Many thanks, Joanna

***Joanna Hirst, Board Member***  
***Pacific Beach Tennis Club***  
***[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)***  
***858-205-2708***  
***San Diego, CA***

**From:** [Jack Porath](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, March 20, 2023 3:16:18 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Please, do not destroy the ball fields. Where will kids go to recreate if gone? Causing problems. I know. I've lived here in the PB area since 1955.

**From:** [John Martin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 5, 2023 5:58:35 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott:

I'm writing to thank you for taking on the task of making the Mission Bay Park Master Plan a reality after so many years.

My fellow club members and I support continuing the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. It has provided our community with vital athletic strengths and social interaction for 60 years.

As a resident beginning in the 1940s, I watched Pacific Beach grow at the top of Mission Bay. PBTC's arrival helped create an identity that keeps its tennis teaching pros and staff employed. It allows our children-to-seniors to remain racket sport activists across their lifetimes.

My recent induction by the San Diego Tennis Hall of Fame (as a professional journalist covering the sport for many years across the globe) has driven home to me once again the wonderfully healthy effect of tennis played regularly. It's safe to say your work has the potential to be life-affirming.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,  
John J. Martin

**From:** [Jacqueline Kim](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [PLN PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:32:25 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi,

I am writing this email today to urge the city to adopt maximum wildlife restoration for Campland in Mission Bay to achieve the goals put forth by ReWild Mission Bay.

As you must be aware, climate change and its implications are upon us. It is not something that will happen only in our grandchildren's lifetime. The process has started and it is upon all of us - citizens, policy makers, politicians, and civic leaders - to adopt measures to adapt to the imminent sea level rise.

What is good for the planet is good for us. There is an old Chinese saying that goes "when the lip dies, so will the teeth." Marshlands and wetlands are our lips, protecting us. I wish city planners will do something that will be remembered as brave and visionary and impactful. This is the opportunity and I hope you would fight for what is right for the majority of us (humans, land, wildlife) and not just the small and short term benefit of a few. Let us have ReWild Mission Bay be a shining beacon of what the city can accomplish.

Yours sincerely,  
Jackie Kim

**From:** [Lauren Neiman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 6, 2023 11:38:19 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

*Dear Scott,*

*Keeping P.B. Tennis where it is now will save the city millions of dollars. It keeps our tennis pros and staff employed, and allows our community of racket sport enthusiasts of all ages (including children and seniors) to keep playing at the Club we know and love in a location accessible to many!*

*Thank you,  
Lauren Lazar*

**From:** [Leslie Sauer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan: Keep PB Tennis Courts Where They Are  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 4, 2023 1:01:44 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

My family has had a membership at PB Tennis Club for more than 10 years. I play league matches and attend Hannah Holladay's clinic several times a week, and my kids have taken biweekly lessons and clinics with Tom Svajada for 8 years. PB Tennis Club is a huge part of my life, and I believe the tennis courts need to stay where they are. Tennis court access in San Diego is really challenging, and these courts are important.

Feel free to contact me if you need further input as to the importance of these courts.

Best Regards,  
Leslie Sauer  
858-454-4799

**From:** [Lynn Edmunds](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 11:36:34 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Please help Pacific Beach keep the PB Tennis Club and youth fields in the same footprint and the same location! Why spend more money to take away these wonderful amenities from our community?

I am a 30+ year resident and home owner in Pacific Beach. I've raised kids who attended all PB schools and played on the youth fields. I am a current PBTC member and a part of the doubles tennis league at the club. I also enjoy meeting friends to play golf each week at the Mission Bay Golf Club!

Please consider our valuable recreation area before eliminating or reducing the size of this cherished fitness area.

I appreciate your consideration.

Thank you,  
Lynn

--

Lynn Edmunds  
principal



Design One Interiors  
lynn@designone-int.com  
858-735-6637

**From:** [Mariah Baughn](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 2:44:49 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel

To combat sea-level rise, we need Wildest-level restored acreage in Northeast Mission Bay. The Wildest plan as proposed by ReWild Mission Bay demonstrates that 315 acres of habitat restoration is feasible, and the northeast corner of Mission Bay is the best place in the bay to maximize the benefits of a restored wetland. The city needs to demonstrate how 315 acres of mudflats to upland habitat will be restored. This is our chance to protect San Diego against sea level rise and protect vulnerable species, and it can be done. Please follow the true science and not the politics.

Sincerely,

Mariah Baughn, MD  
Bay Park, San Diego

**From:** [Marisa Zens](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, March 25, 2023 7:51:19 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I want wetlands because they clean the water for all the recreational users of the Bay—we need that! I support prioritizing wetland restoration here; it's the best place in the City to meet our Climate Action Goals! Please prioritize wetlands!!!

--

Marisa Zens, Psy.D.  
she/her  
Clinical Psychologist  
5252 Balboa Ave.  
Suite 502  
San Diego, CA 92117  
619-343-5939 (cell)  
[Website](#)

SAN DIEGO COUNTY ACCESS AND CRISIS LINE: 1-888-724-7240

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information, including patient information protected by federal and state privacy laws. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

**From:** [Mary Ella Holtam](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:01:17 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello,

I wanted to email in support of maximum restored wetlands and Rewild. I believe that the city's draft EIR must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective to "improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure". The 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan demands it. The draft EIR is also missing details on foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can we, the city, or anyone be expected to determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? The city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with one of the best ways to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities will benefit with access to a vibrant marsh.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary

**From:** [Marya Ahmad](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 11:20:29 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Mr. Sandel,

Mission Bay needs maximum wetland restoration. Wetland's are vital for cleaning water, providing habitat to marine species (including commercial fishing), migrating shorebirds, sequestering carbon, filtering pollutants, and buffering against flooding. As sea levels rise, we need to make sure we have enough wetland and upland buffer zones in order to sustain the essential services wetlands provide. The DeAnza cove section of mission bay needs help! There is so much urban runoff and that corner of the bay gets the least tidal flushing. More wetlands are needed to handle what comes off our landscape. There is so much recreation in the other parts of the bay that restoring this area to maximum wetland restoration is the least we can do for future generations.

I am not completely against recreation. I know Campland provides an experience that not a lot of other cities have. It feels unique. I would like to see Campland relocated at Fiesta Island.

Please consider my comments as you plan for the future.

Thank you,  
Marya

**From:** [Jim Royer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:32:43 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

I'm commenting on the city's planning regarding rewilding Mission Bay. We need the wildest plan which restores 315 acres of habitat. The northeast corner of Mission Bay is the best place in the bay to maximize the benefits of a restored wetland. The city needs to demonstrate how this acreage will be restored.

Thank you,  
Jim Royer (Bay Ho resident)

**From:** [jnicolaidis](mailto:jnicolaidis)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [PLN PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan PEIR  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 1:47:21 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello, Mr. Sandel.

I sent this letter to the Planning group about a week ago, but now I have the appropriate title in the subject line so am resending it.

Thank you,

JN

Hello.

While it is clearly the case that the development of Mission BAY gave a strong economic boost to our city, it has rarely been acknowledged that we lost a treasure in the process. Much of the Bay was considered a “swamp” instead of an area of natural wealth in need of protection. Now we have extensive scientific studies showing that having more nature close at hand is highly beneficial to human physical and mental health and that these benefits will be economic ones for our area as well. Look at the global reputation and success of the deeply science based San Diego Zoo and Safari Park!

So I want to express my strong support of the strongest re-wild proposal for the restoration of the northeast corner of Mission Bay. The city needs to present a plan that utilizes hard science, including studies of future sea level rise and benefits of carbon sequestration to our environment. We need to restore the maximum wetland acreage at the “wildest” level and we need to do it now! Please don’t accept a weakened proposal to accommodate short term interests. The entire San Diego community deserves a plan with clear and open objectives determined by the strongest scientific information available.

I would appreciate a detailed response.

Thank you.

Judith Nicolaidis

[jnicolaidis@cox.net](mailto:jnicolaidis@cox.net)

**From:** [John Mann](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 1:36:40 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is a community tennis club that has been serving its community for over 60 years. While I support the objectives of preserving the environment of the area around the Pacific Beach Tennis Club, there is no reason to tear down tennis courts at the Pacific Beach Tennis Club that are currently serving the community. If the proposal is to build a new tennis club with 10 courts, that new club must be built before any tennis courts are removed from the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. Please understand that the tennis courts at the Pacific Beach are currently serving the tennis community in the area which can't wait for a new tennis club to be built if any of the tennis courts are removed. The preservation of the environment is a long term objective which can be met to improve the community without creating a disaster by removing tennis courts from the Pacific Beach Tennis Club which are currently serving the community.

Thank you for your consideration of the Pacific Beach Tennis Club and the community it serves now and has been serving for over 60 years.

Sincerely,  
John Eric Mann

**From:** [Jonathan Personal](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan"  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:39:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Mr. Sandel,

My apologies, I am resubmitting my previous comment with the appropriate email subject line. Have a nice day!

Mr. Sandel,

Good afternoon! I Just wanted to get a comment in expressing my support for the 'wildest' option at Mission Bay. I am a professional restoration ecologist and former member of the ReWild Mission Bay Wetland Working Group.

Providing the maximum wetland area will be the most resilient under future sea level rise scenarios.

Affordable coastal recreation is great, but if the campsites are going to be difficult to protect and subject to future flooding, they are a liability to the city. Wetlands are a valuable asset. For a number of well-documented reasons.

I hope the department will make the wise choice and move forward with the redevelopment option that provides the greatest acreage of future wetlands.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Appelbaum  
San Diego / Normal Heights resident & professional ecologist

**From:** [Joseph Smith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Justin Weber](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 10:34:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [PYSL Policy Comment Letter - De Anza Amendment \(4.12.23\).pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated,  
Joe

**Joseph D. Smith, AICP** | Principal  
California Coastal Works  
(619) 943-1337 | [jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)  
[www.californiacoastalworks.com](http://www.californiacoastalworks.com)

**From:** [Judie Lincer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, March 27, 2023 6:30:54 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

Please take into account the critically important need to create more wetlands to help with sea level rise and simultaneously provide park space and a healthy environment for people who use the bay for recreation.

We have this great opportunity to do the right thing and set a great example.

Please help make this happen.

Sincerely,

*Judie* 

Judie Lincer, M.S. Ed  
Naturalist Educator  
Cell 619 277-1490



San Diego Children and Nature (SDCaN) Board of Directors  
[judielincer@sdchildrenandnature.org](mailto:judielincer@sdchildrenandnature.org)  
San Diego River Park Foundation-Board of Directors

“Ecosystems are not only more complex than we think, they are more complex than we *can* think.” Frank Egler



“One generation plants the seeds, the next generation gets the shade.” Chinese proverb

[www.sdchildrenandnature.org](http://www.sdchildrenandnature.org)

**From:** [Kasey Schultz](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 9:30:55 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

This proposed restoration of San Diego's natural wetlands habitat is near and dear to my heart, and I have a few comments I hope you will consider.

In the past few years the issue of terrible Mission Bay water quality and subsequent risk to park visitors has been highlighted by on-site testing led by San Diego Surfrider and others. We have hard data showing the contamination and bacteria in Mission Bay waters. The city's draft EIR must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective to "improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure." The 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan demands it, and that was set out clearly almost 30 years ago.

Second, the city's proposal doesn't look at the cultural and recreational opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored natural ecosystem. This would better balance and expand the park's recreation. All San Diegans, including our indigenous Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit with access to a restored vibrant tidal marsh.

Unexpectedly, this draft Environmental Impact Report is missing details on predictable impacts from sea level rise. How can anyone determine the best plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park over time?

And finally, the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with the best way to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. We need this evaluation.

We are so close to locking down a plan that will demonstrate to the rest of the country what San Diego truly holds dear, our precious natural resources. Let's move forward with the wildest plan that includes the maximum wetland restoration, and be a beacon of change showing that cities and nature can lift each other up.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Kasey Schultz

**From:** [Laura Schumacher](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, March 13, 2023 2:29:43 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I am writing to urge the City to optimize Mission Bay for future generations by supporting the Wildest Plan from the ReWild Coalition.

The communities which are going to thrive in the future are the ones that use science to prepare for climate change. Science tells us that the Wildest plan will be the best for coastal resilience, clean water and carbon sequestration.

We only have so much wetlands left in San Diego. Let's optimize wetlands as much as we can. We need to listen to the science.

Laura Schumacher  
Bay Park

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**From:** [Ilse Cappel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 4, 2023 2:34:24 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello,

Keeping Pacific Beach Tennis Club in place as is very important to me and others that I have come to know. I joined PBTC this year. After years of trying to find a court to play on, and waiting, or trying to reserve at the few various places that are available in the city, I was willing to make the 25 minute drive to PBTC to be able to get courts and also to introduce my husband to my love of tennis. I have often seen all courts occupied, and a few of the courts are now serving pickleball players. I can't imagine this resource shrinking, when there are so few other courts in the City. Please preserve the PBTC location and it's 8 courts!

Thank you,  
Ilse Cappel, resident

**From:** [Joanna Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Joanna-BOD](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment too the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 11:33:38 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

## De Anza Natural Amendment Comments

**Name** Joanna Hirst

**Email** [pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)

**Zip Code** 92108

**Comment** PACIFIC BEACH TENNIS CLUB (PB Tennis Club)COMMENTS  
RE "DE ANZA NATURAL" PLAN ANDPEIR  
April, 2023 Joanna Hirst. Board Member, PB TennisClub

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club consists of 8 lightedtennis courts which are also striped to include 8pickleball courts. We were founded 62 years ago, whena regional group of racket sport enthusiasts raisedprivate funds to create our facility's courts, lights,clubhouse, and storage area. We sit on public land andoperate under a Special Use Permit granted by SanDiego's Parks and Recreation Department.

Our tennis courts serve five hundred memberscurrently, along with a growing number of pickle ballplayers. We host four tournaments for juniors andadults annually, bringing in registrations exceeding fourhundred players from throughout California, theSouthwest US, and Mexico.

We host both men's and women's leagues, for a total exceeding one hundred fifty players; In league play, our teams compete in diverse locations throughout the San Diego region, from South Bay to the south, Coronado to the west, Mountain View to the north, and Lake Murray to the east. In addition, this summer we will be offeringa Juniors instructional program.

Two of our most westerly courts fall within the area that could be impacted by a proposed buffer zone along Rose Creek – Courts 7 and 8, which are also the location where we include pickle ball along with tennis.The PEIR wisely calls for a Generalized DevelopmentPlan which, if existing recreational uses need to be

disrupted, new venues can be co-located, specifically to identify the location of active recreation such that lighted tennis and pickle ball courts, ballfields, golf, restrooms, clubhouse, parking areas, and concession buildings are able to share facilities.

What is missing from the De Anza Natural Plan and the PEIR is any mention of how funding would be secured to design and construct any replacements for existing recreational facilities. In addition, these plans should identify exactly where the synergistic grouping of facilities would be located

Mission Bay Park serves an immediately growing population. In 2019, the City Council approved the addition of 3500 new dwellings near the Balboa trolley station plus 5600 units along Tecolote Road. The families and individuals filling these 9100 new homes will be seeking recreational outlets. The Parks and Recreation Committee and City Council should pay close attention to how this expanding need can possibly be met with the De Anza and PEIR plans in front of you.

In addition, the owners of the land underlying the San Diego Tennis and Racquet Club on Tecolote Road have applied for city approval to convert their property to residential use. Their land, 9.6 acres, contains 22 tennis courts. So once again, there would be an increase in population and a significant loss in recreational facilities to the area very close to Mission Bay Park.

In conclusion, the need for active recreation in close proximity to De Anza Cove and Mission Bay Park is growing, and our elected and appointed officials and urban planners need to address how the demand for athletic opportunities can be met sufficiently as you implement a Generalized Development Plan for Mission Bay Park.

**Joanna Hirst**

**[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)**

**858-205-2708**

**San Diego, CA**

**From:** [Lyn Booth](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan comments  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 3:11:22 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott,

Since you are entrusted with designing San Diego's De Anza Natural plan, we trust you will provide a "balance of local and regional recreational needs", as stated in the goals of San Diego Parks and Recreation.

But the northern green area of "active recreation" in the amendment map is ambiguous. Will specific rec activities such as the tennis club, ball fields, golf course and boating facilities remain? It would be a crushing blow to local sports enthusiasts if the plan removes them!

Reasons to keep facilities in place are:

- This is a very small piece of the overall area in the plan and changing it would have a rather insignificant effect regarding climate change goals.
- Environmental pollution would result if the facilities are demolished by heavy equipment, and residents would repeatedly travel elsewhere by car to find other facilities. Families would find it difficult and time-consuming to drive their kids! This is unacceptable for the constituents of the area.
- It would be economically unwise to relocate the tennis courts, given the City's budget. In any project, the best plan says hardscape should remain and softscape moved accordingly. Constructing new courts is expensive: soil studies, excavation, underlayment, surfacing, fencing, lighting, etc. A North-South alignment for courts is best rather than East-West and relocating may make it difficult to retain the proper alignment.
- These hardscape facilities have stood the test of time and are enjoyed by young and old alike in PB, Mission Beach and Clairemont. Our children can have healthy pursuits such as tennis and Little League baseball. Everyone recognizes the need to give children recreation to spend time away from electronics!
- The facilities are heavily used already by current residents; how would new residents from new apartment developments find recreation? How will the burgeoning numbers of pickleball players find courts? We don't have enough as it is!
- There are virtually no identifiable areas left to replace these facilities.
- The PB Tennis Club has a vibrant community of players, and it hosts tournaments and league play with other areas of San Diego. It would leave a big hole to take away our courts!

Thanks for your consideration in balancing our local needs with marshland preservation.

Lyn and Don Booth

San Diego

**From:** [James Whalen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan comments  
**Date:** Sunday, April 16, 2023 10:38:06 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, I don't recall if we met before when I was on the Planning Commission, but if we have and I have forgotten, please excuse my aging memory. I wanted to let you know that we have been recently brought on board to assist the Mission Bay Boat and Ski Club, and we'll be working closely with the City to come up with a workable solution that keeps the Club operating. We'll be providing comments on the EIR to get the ball rolling. Thanks, JimW

***James E. Whalen***

President

J.Whalen Associates, Inc.

2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92108

619-683-5544

[www.jwhalen.net](http://www.jwhalen.net)

**From:** [Howard C. Cohen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:27:48 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel: I was reading the DeAN plan and, in particular, the portion re Recreation, which with added italics reads:

## ACTIVE RECREATION

### Recommendations

(No changes to Recommendations #29 and #32.)

30. League Play: Given its unique water setting, Mission Bay Park should not be targeted as a location for organized soccer or *other league play beyond the existing facilities* in Robb Field and *Pacific Beach Playing Fields in the De Anza Natural northern area.*

It seems to convey that the existing facilities at Robb Field and to the east of Mission Bay High School, e.g., McElvoy Field, will remain. But in reality, the way the paragraph is phrased is that no new organized soccer or other league (e.g., Little League baseball, softball) will be added, rather than a covenant that the existing fields will be preserved. While I am all in favor of increasing environmental qualities over commercial excesses, still, the McElvoy site for soccer, Little League, softball, and t-ball, is necessary for PB, MB, south Clairemont, and south LJ youth. The PB Rec Center has one softball diamond and one soccer field; Barnard Elementary has two soccer fields. McElvoy *many* more ball and soccer fields with better *family* viewing perspectives.

How may I, my extended family, neighbors, friends be assured that there will be a covenant that McElvoy *remains*?

Best, Howard C. Cohen

**From:** [Kevin Hellman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing  
**Date:** Monday, July 10, 2023 5:40:46 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

We spoke about 6 weeks ago in regards to the Deanza update.

I attended last weeks meeting, and from the diagrams showed on screen and online, the ballfields at McEvoy still appear to include a large buffer off of Rose Creek, that will make fields 2-3 unplayable if that is enacted.

Is that still part of the plan? And if so, what is the actual size of that buffer? Any more than maybe 10 feet taken from field 3 eliminates adult play on that field.

Please update me, I'd greatly appreciate it.

Thank you.

Kevin Hellman  
League Director  
San Diego Softball  
(619) 549-2644

On 5/24/23 2:25 PM, Sandel, Scott wrote:

Hello Kevin,

I could call today before 3:30 or tomorrow sometime 1:30-3:30.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204

[ssandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san Diego.gov)

---

**From:** Kevin Hellman <[kevin@sandiegosoftball.com](mailto:kevin@sandiegosoftball.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 24, 2023 1:23 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[ssandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san Diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Do you have a quick minute for a call? I was on the zoom and at the meeting yesterday, and wanted to check with you on something.

Please let me know when you might have a second for a quick call...thanks.

Kevin Hellman  
League Director  
San Diego Softball  
(619) 549-2644

On 5/23/23 3:37 PM, Sandel, Scott wrote:

Thank you to everyone who participated. I apologize for the abrupt ending to the meeting.

We look forward to your attendance at one or more of the subcommittee meetings coming up, including tonight's.

The links shared in the chat:

General Development Plans, Council Policy 600-33:

[https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd\\_600-33.pdf](https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd_600-33.pdf)

De Anza updated website:

<https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/work/park-planning/de-anza>

Thank you again!

**Scott Sandel, RLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner

City of San Diego

Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Sandel, Scott

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2023 2:53 PM

**To:** Sandel, Scott; [james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com); Joanna-BOD; Tom Norton; [billybonelli@gmail.com](mailto:billybonelli@gmail.com); Kevin Hellman; Justin Weber; Kurt Carlson; [umass1972@gmail.com](mailto:umass1972@gmail.com); Stanco, Kelley

**Cc:** Rao, Sameera; Wilde, Randy; Vonblum, Heidi; Chadwick, Christina; Dynes, Ann; Kevin Hellman; glo

**Subject:** De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**When:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:00 PM-3:45 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** <https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09>

Good afternoon. Thank you all for giving me your meeting date preferences. This date/time got the most votes. We may not need all the time allotted to go over some plan changes we have mad in response to comments received so far. More detailed discussions will occur over the next few weeks with Parks and Rec Board and the Mission Bay Park Committee joint subcommittee.

Thank you.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@sanidiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sanidiego.gov)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09>

Meeting ID: 953 0801 7551

Passcode: a2DEy5

**From:** [heatherchrispin@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:heatherchrispin@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Heather Myers](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:49:18 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Heather Myers  
4011 Datcho Dr San Diego, CA 92117-4619

**From:** [james\\_hodgdon@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:james_hodgdon@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [James Hodgdon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:17 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
James Hodgdon  
9185 Oviedo St San Diego, CA 92129-2134

**From:** [liliana.griego@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:liliana.griego@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Liliana Griego](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:44 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Liliana Griego  
815 Rollin St South Pasadena, CA 91030-3730

**From:** [lindad5@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lindad5@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Douglas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:42:23 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda Douglas  
922 Knoll Vista Dr San Marcos, CA 92078-4803

**From:** [lheidt@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lheidt@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Heidt Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:26:18 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda Heidt  
1802 Garnet Ave San Diego, CA 92109-3352

**From:** [lbkour@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lbkour@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda K Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:39:53 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda K  
4110 Jewell St San Diego, CA 92109-5486

**From:** [lindam@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lindam@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Morrissey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:25:02 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda Morrissey  
22 Paddock Ln Dracut, MA 01826-4276

**From:** [lpardy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lpardy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Pardy Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:53:25 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda Pardy  
2707 K Ave National City, CA 91950-7563

**From:** [ltigert@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ltigert@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Tigert](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2023 11:29:42 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Linda Tigert  
5325 Bahia Blanca W Unit N Laguna Woods, CA 92637-1895

**From:** [lhaddock@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lhaddock@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lisa Chaddock](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:42:02 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities. The statement that "no project" would protect cultural sites in a place that we'd terraformed in the 1950s is completely unrealistic. We can do better now by creating a private space for Kumeyaay people to have access for cultural activities. Please consider the ReWild plan, which has been created with community input and feedback, and accounts for sea level rise, cultural access and community activities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Chaddock  
4440 Alamo Way San Diego, CA 92115-5908

**From:** [lrosare@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lrosare@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lisa Rosa Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:41:27 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Rosa  
610 Mc Carran Dr Imperial, CA 92251-9011

**From:** [lisekastigar@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lisekastigar@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lise Kastigar](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:39:50 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lise Kastigar  
22972 Mirabel Dr Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-2720

**From:** [j.stark25@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:j.stark25@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jan Stark](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:51:31 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jan Stark  
10192 Wembley Cir Westminster, CA 92683-5831

**From:** [miranda\\_maria18@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:miranda_maria18@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Liz Miranda Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:40:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Liz Miranda  
PO Box 2255 Santa Ana, CA 92707-0255

**From:** [mscaruana@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mscaruana@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Loretta Caruana Caruana](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:22:25 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Loretta Caruana Caruana  
1223 Santa Barbara Dr Newport Beach, CA 92660-6371

**From:** [baxtertalk@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:baxtertalk@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [LORI BAXTER](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:03:25 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
LORI BAXTER  
8133 Pasadena Ave La Mesa, CA 91941-6424

**From:** [ltitlow@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ltitlow@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Louise Titlow Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:30:37 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Louise Titlow  
4717 Niagara Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2206

**From:** [luannecoker@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:luannecoker@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Luanne Coker](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:57:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Luanne Coker  
1286 Seacoast Dr Imperial Beach, CA 91932-3168

**From:** [ramos.lucero1991@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ramos.lucero1991@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lucero Ramos](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:38:06 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lucero Ramos  
20320 Klyne St Corona, CA 92881-4529

**From:** [luisgfuentes10@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:luisgfuentes10@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Luis Fuentes](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:02:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Luis Fuentes  
3415 6th Ave Unit 900 San Diego, CA 92103-5056

**From:** [lyndambauer@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lyndambauer@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lynda Bauer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:44:16 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lynda Bauer  
1 Vintage Dr Newport Beach, CA 92660-4293

**From:** [lynnerusso100@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lynnerusso100@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lynne Russo](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:27:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lynne Russo  
1078 Hayes Ave San Diego, CA 92103-2309

**From:** [katarra528@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:katarra528@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Madeleine Fields](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, May 7, 2023 1:00:08 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Madeleine Fields  
18 Laurent Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-7068

**From:** [jmccclain01@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jmccclain01@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [janet mcclain](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 24, 2023 3:06:04 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
janet mcclain  
1303 Windsor Rd Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-1346

**From:** [missunser@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:missunser@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Manda Unser](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:41:21 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Manda Unser  
44489 Town Center Way Ste D220 Palm Desert, CA 92260-2723

**From:** [marciaaholcomb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:marciaaholcomb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marcia Holcomb](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:12:04 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marcia Holcomb  
5500 Via Verano Yorba Linda, CA 92887-4930

**From:** [mason\\_marcie@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mason_marcie@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marcie Mason](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:50:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marcie Mason  
3086 E Fox Run Way San Diego, CA 92111-7718

**From:** [margemartin2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:margemartin2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [margaret martinovic](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:14:20 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
margaret martinovic  
3826 Avenida Johanna La Mesa, CA 91941-7312

**From:** [margotlowe1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:margotlowe1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Margot Lowe](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Friday, April 21, 2023 5:27:05 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Margot Lowe  
4834 Northerly St Oceanside, CA 92056-2101

**From:** [m.elenacrabb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:m.elenacrabb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Maria Elena Crabb](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:58:22 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Maria Elena Crabb  
10669 Haven Brook Pl San Diego, CA 92130-4844

**From:** [mvglistens@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mvglistens@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Maria Veghte](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:34:38 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Maria Veghte  
PO Box 60220 San Diego, CA 92166-8220

**From:** [mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marian Tsongas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:32:39 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marian Tsongas  
455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362

**From:** [mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marian Tsongas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:13:08 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marian Tsongas  
455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362

**From:** [mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mtsongas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marian Tsongas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:56:50 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marian Tsongas  
455 Shore Rd Chatham, MA 02633-2362

**From:** [desgrojs@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:desgrojs@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jay Desgrosellier](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:35:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jay Desgrosellier  
7665 Palmilla Dr Apt 5212 San Diego, CA 92122-5032

**From:** [drmartyanderson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:drmartyanderson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marit Anderson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:04:20 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Marit Anderson  
444 N El Camino Real Spc 84 Encinitas, CA 92024-1312

**From:** [markbroido@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:markbroido@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mark Broido](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:03:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mark Broido  
8520 Cliffridge Ave La Jolla, CA 92037-2110

**From:** [martin\\_p\\_edwards@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:martin_p_edwards@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Martin edwards](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:54:47 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Martin edwards  
5007 Manor Ridge Ln San Diego, CA 92130-2895

**From:** [safe.sill\\_08@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:safe.sill_08@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Botones](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, May 21, 2023 6:58:23 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary Botones  
PO Box 5062 Fullerton, CA 92838-0062

**From:** [mactalk2me@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mactalk2me@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Clumeck](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:56:36 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary Clumeck  
1532 Wyndham Court Rd Santa Ana, CA 92705-3114

**From:** [mycollet23@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mycollet23@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Collett](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:51:12 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary Collett  
85 O'Hear Ct Waterbury, VT 05676

**From:** [mickey3ms42net@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mickey3ms42net@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary M. Mason](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:27:10 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary M. Mason  
5732 Grimsby Dr Huntington Beach, CA 92649-4842

**From:** [makapa@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:makapa@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Parrish Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Monday, June 19, 2023 12:14:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary Parrish  
1614 Thomas Ave San Diego, CA 92109-4423

**From:** [coolcollector@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:coolcollector@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Stanley](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:24:18 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

I enjoy the outdoors and all the wildlife and want this for our future generations to enjoy. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mary Stanley  
5490 Ash St Jurupa Valley, CA 92509-4833

**From:** [mredish@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mredish@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Maryellen Redish Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:23:25 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Maryellen Redish  
480 W Yorba Rd Apt 2 Palm Springs, CA 92262-1971

**From:** [jlinder@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jlinder@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jean Linder Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:56:29 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Please be mindful that rising sea levels need to be considered in any future plans. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jean Linder  
2707 Havasupai Ave San Diego, CA 92117-1633

**From:** [magbaron@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:magbaron@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mason Griffith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mason Griffith  
1111 Alturas Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028-3150

**From:** [mullenm23@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mullenm23@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mathew Mullen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:20 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mathew Mullen  
1451 Michigan Ave Beaumont, CA 92223-1755

**From:** [adoptfromashelter@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:adoptfromashelter@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Meadow Two Feathers](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:40:26 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Meadow Two Feathers  
1132 Ravencrest Rd Santa Ana, CA 92705-2965

**From:** [mlgibney@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mlgibney@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Megan Gibney](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:26:18 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Megan Gibney  
3544 Caminito Carmel Lndg San Diego, CA 92130-2503

**From:** [ukrose0607@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ukrose0607@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [melanie ross](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:56:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
melanie ross  
3885 58th St San Diego, CA 92115-6508

**From:** [beharmelissa@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:beharmelissa@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Melissa Behar](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:11:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Behar  
4747 Mount Saint Helens Way San Diego, CA 92117-3029

**From:** [MelissaHParham@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:MelissaHParham@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Melissa Parham](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:18:06 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Parham  
3400 Avenue Of The Arts Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1927

**From:** [mewilliams1974@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mewilliams1974@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Melissa Williams](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:12:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Williams  
45060 Debbie Dr La Quinta, CA 92253-7206

**From:** [benetmercedes@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:benetmercedes@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mercedes Benet Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:46:04 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mercedes Benet  
2440 La Costa Ave Carlsbad, CA 92009-7301

**From:** [merpriestley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:merpriestley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Meredith Priestley](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:01:27 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Meredith Priestley  
673 Ida Ave Solana Beach, CA 92075-2416

**From:** [jeanyaremchuk@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jeanyaremchuk@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jean Yaremchuk](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:26 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jean Yaremchuk  
4021 Falcon St Apt 412 San Diego, CA 92103-1892

**From:** [michaeldmcghee007@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:michaeldmcghee007@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael D Michel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:48:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michael D Michel  
28224 Mariners Way Menifee, CA 92584-8008

**From:** [glib-04eaglet@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:glib-04eaglet@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael Groeger](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:31:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michael Groeger  
1267 Browning Ct Vista, CA 92083-4759

**From:** [mlmavro@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mlmavro@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael Mavrovouniotis](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:18:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

My wife and I are frequent visitors to many of San Diego's attractions - including the museums in Balboa Park, the Zoo, and the USS Midway and the other harbor attractions. But what we love the most in San Diego is its natural spaces, because we are avid birdwatchers. Please restore crucial wetlands and protect the Ridgway's Rail!

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mavrovouniotis  
14 Sunriver Irvine, CA 92614-5402

**From:** [micsea06@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:micsea06@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michele Gelboin Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:10:45 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michele Gelboin  
8525 Villa La Jolla Dr Apt D La Jolla, CA 92037-2319

**From:** [mmallonee08@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mmallonee08@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michele Mallonee](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 5:39:23 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michele Mallonee  
14551 Cherrywood Ln Tustin, CA 92780-6744

**From:** [mjlgrimes@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mjlgrimes@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michelle Grimes](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:17:14 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Grimes  
5425 Adams Ave San Diego, CA 92115-2207

**From:** [msm12778@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:msm12778@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mike Merlesena](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:38:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mike Merlesena  
3779 Florida St Apt 3 San Diego, CA 92104-3216

**From:** [misty.johnson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:misty.johnson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Missy Garvin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:12:44 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Missy Garvin  
2539 Ocean Cove Dr Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-2218

**From:** [mpejman2468@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mpejman2468@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mitra Pejman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:30:02 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mitra Pejman  
6154 Nancy Ridge Dr San Diego, CA 92121-3223

**From:** [mmortada1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mmortada1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mohsin Mortada](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:58:06 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Mohsin Mortada  
300 W Beech St San Diego, CA 92101-8444

**From:** [jeannezemail@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jeannezemail@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jeanne Thompson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 3:00:22 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Hi: REMEMBER the birds; once they go; we are next! Thank you for all your efforts,  
Jeanne Thompson

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Thompson  
5424 Camp St Cypress, CA 90630-3038

**From:** [moktarsalama@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:moktarsalama@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Moktar Salama](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:25:06 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Moktar Salama  
10185 Peregrine Cir Fountain Valley, CA 92708-6850

**From:** [mmorrissey013@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mmorrissey013@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Molly Morrissey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:37:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Molly Morrissey  
4940 Del Monte Ave San Diego, CA 92107-3226

**From:** [murielspooner@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:murielspooner@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Muriel Spooner](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:01:27 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals. This oversight needs to be corrected and hard science used to determine the sea level rise effect on the restored wetlands.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved. Maximum wetlands restoration will preserve more habitat for these species as sea level rises.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Access to natural environments has been shown to provide needed mental health benefits. A large wetland area with public access will provide affordable mental and physical health benefits to all residents and visitors to this area. Many people who don't or can't participate in organized sports will be able to utilize this type of recreation.

San Diego has an unique opportunity to restore much needed wetlands that will contribute to the Climate Action Plan in multiple ways and benefit all species - humans, birds, mammals, fish, insects, and plant life. Please don't squander this opportunity.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Muriel Spooner  
5048 San Joaquin Dr San Diego, CA 92109-1512

**From:** [murraykaufman430@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:murraykaufman430@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Murray Kaufman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:35:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Murray Kaufman  
22 Acacia Tree Ln Irvine, CA 92612-2201

**From:** [nadia550@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nadia550@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nadine Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:27:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nadine Scott  
550 Hoover St Oceanside, CA 92054-4557

**From:** [nrenner@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nrenner@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nan Renner](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:43:19 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

I love San Diego and the beautiful habitats that define our home.

Mission Bay was once home for countless animals. Now, with >95% of native habitat destroyed, we have lost the beauty, the animals, and the ecosystem services that wetlands provide.

Please adopt the plan that maximizes wetland restoration. This will bring the greatest benefit to the people and wildlife of San Diego, and will greatly improve our community's resilience to climate change.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

Nan Renner

4767 Coronado Ave San Diego, CA 92107-3542

**From:** [nwatson342@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nwatson342@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nancy Watson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:23:33 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Watson  
38208 Calle Cipres Murrieta, CA 92562-9348

**From:** [naomisobo@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:naomisobo@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Naomi Sobo](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:37 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Naomi Sobo  
3880 Nobel Dr San Diego, CA 92122-5700

**From:** [nmorrissy6@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nmorrissy6@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Neil Morrissey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:48:14 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Neil Morrissey  
22 Paddock Ln Dracut, MA 01826-4276

**From:** [nstanton58@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nstanton58@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Neil Stanton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:27 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Neil Stanton  
212 5th Ave Chula Vista, CA 91910-2425

**From:** [allenprather1117@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:allenprather1117@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer AllenPrather Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:25:09 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer AllenPrather  
1226 Darby St Spring Valley, CA 91977-3713

**From:** [ngonzalez82@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ngonzalez82@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nerin Gonzalez](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:49:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nerin Gonzalez  
2575 Market St San Diego, CA 92102-3041

**From:** [nicole.cervi@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nicole.cervi@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nicole Cervi-McKeever](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:40:54 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nicole Cervi-McKeever  
21193 Gladiolos Way Lake Forest, CA 92630-7618

**From:** [nicole.mckenzie@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nicole.mckenzie@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nicole McKenzie](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, June 11, 2023 12:23:21 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nicole McKenzie  
1760 Grain Mill Rd San Marcos, CA 92078-1061

**From:** [nmkhillgarth@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nmkhillgarth@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nigella Hillgarth](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:16:50 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nigella Hillgarth  
6110 Camino De La Costa La Jolla, CA 92037-6520

**From:** [nuribpierce@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nuribpierce@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nuri Pierce](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:05:21 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nuri Pierce  
10746 Melva Rd La Mesa, CA 91941-5752

**From:** [g\\_cardona@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:g_cardona@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nydia Cardona](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:09:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Nydia Cardona  
34216 Sundew Ct Lake Elsinore, CA 92532-2973

**From:** [Jenna777777@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Jenna777777@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer Ankele](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:19:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Ankele  
4190 Highland Pl Riverside, CA 92506-1194

**From:** [jenndokey@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jenndokey@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer Dokey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 8:37:58 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Dokey  
33181 Westchester Dr Thousand Palms, CA 92276-3934

**From:** [heidilynn@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:heidilynn@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Heidi Lynn Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:05:51 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Heidi Lynn  
4105 Cortez Way Spring Valley, CA 91977-1319

**From:** [jenhagglof@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jenhagglof@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer Hagglof Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:21:39 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Hagglof  
6901 Freedom Blvd Aptos, CA 95003-9620

**From:** [email.jennp@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:email.jennp@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer Sevadjian](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:43:07 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

I personally look forward to spending more time in Mission Bay as it is brought back to a more natural state. A large wetland in Mission will truly be a gem for the City of San Diego. Please do all that you can to maximize the extent of the restoration, natural places are few and far between in our crowded city, I envision the restoration of Mission Bay habitat will not only benefit wildlife but will also provide the breathe of fresh air that so many of us are searching for.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Sevadjian  
3789 Narragansett Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2614

**From:** [jennifer\\_york@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jennifer_york@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer York](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:25 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer York  
836 Hygeia Ave Encinitas, CA 92024-2116

**From:** [jensenvbf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jensenvbf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jensen Fiskin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 7:38:02 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

We are in a human-induced sixth mass extinction. We must vigorously and urgently take action to stop this, for ourselves and for the millions of species we are putting at risk of extinction. Therefore, when we have a chance to protect a highly functioning ecosystem, we must do it. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jensen Fiskin  
44555 San Pascual Ave Palm Desert, CA 92260-3649

**From:** [jessica.a.barlow@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jessica.a.barlow@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jessica Barlow](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 2:35:26 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Barlow  
4395 Felton St San Diego, CA 92104-1420

**From:** [jillegus@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jillegus@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jill Gustafson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:38:44 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jill Gustafson  
5680 Dorothy Way San Diego, CA 92115-2307

**From:** [joannetenney@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:joannetenney@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joanne Tenney Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:00:48 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Tenney  
453 W El Norte Pkwy Apt 301 Escondido, CA 92026-1930

**From:** [zelnick.joanne@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:zelnick.joanne@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joanne Zelnick](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:51:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Zelnick  
14915 Meadows Way Eastvale, CA 92880-3936

**From:** [tof@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tof@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Johannah Frank Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:00:39 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Johannah Frank  
2685 Sunset Hls Escondido, CA 92025-7849

**From:** [jtbochenek@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jtbochenek@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Bochenek](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:39:08 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Bochenek  
4021 Falcon St San Diego, CA 92103-1883

**From:** [helen611@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:helen611@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Helen Lynn](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:23:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Helen Lynn  
577 S Thornhill Rd Apt 4 Palm Springs, CA 92264-7884

**From:** [johnbogut@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:johnbogut@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John D. Bogut](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:03:25 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John D. Bogut  
13121 Monroe St Garden Grove, CA 92844-1124

**From:** [jtimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jtimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Knox](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 6:18:39 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Knox  
434 Avenida Gabriel Chula Vista, CA 91914-4463

**From:** [jtimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jtimothyknox61@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Knox](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:24:22 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Knox  
434 Avenida Gabriel Chula Vista, CA 91914-4463

**From:** [johnkramer45@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:johnkramer45@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Kramer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:07:27 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Kramer  
9667 Candy Ln La Mesa, CA 91941-4223

**From:** [john@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:john@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Lomac Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:33:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Lomac  
832 W Montecito Way San Diego, CA 92103-1814

**From:** [jmitchell1821@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jmitchell1821@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Mitchell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 1:09:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Mitchell  
1821 Willowhaven Rd Encinitas, CA 92024-5644

**From:** [jmorrissey47@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jmorrissey47@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Morrissey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:44:57 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Morrissey  
174 Quebec St Lowell, MA 01852-4540

**From:** [johnpasqua57@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:johnpasqua57@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Pasqua Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:52:07 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
John Pasqua  
843 S Escondido Blvd Escondido, CA 92025-5005

**From:** [jay.day1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jay.day1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jonathan Day Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:30:57 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Day  
3221 Alta Laguna Blvd Laguna Beach, CA 92651-2059

**From:** [joseph1999@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:joseph1999@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joseph Schlageck](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:22:32 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Schlageck  
2960 Champion Way Apt 1112 Tustin, CA 92782-1230

**From:** [hahall51@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hahall51@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Holly Hall Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:20:47 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Holly Hall  
31621 Corte Rosario Temecula, CA 92592-6484

**From:** [charities@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:charities@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joshua Jones](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:52:35 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Jones  
5871 Stresemann St San Diego, CA 92122-3140

**From:** [wizardsjoy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:wizardsjoy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joyce Edwards](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:30:01 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Edwards  
736 Wilbur Ave San Diego, CA 92109-2019

**From:** [aliandjoy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:aliandjoy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [joyce glennon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:29:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
joyce glennon  
2283 Worden St San Diego, CA 92107-1611

**From:** [fj\\_gama01@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:fj_gama01@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Juanita Gama Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:59:41 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Juanita Gama  
39240 Hidden Water Pl Palm Desert, CA 92260-1433

**From:** [jbayer820@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jbayer820@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Judith Bayer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 11:10:24 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Judith Bayer  
11550 Alkaid Dr San Diego, CA 92126-1370

**From:** [judymsg@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:judymsg@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Judith Wright Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 8:44:58 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Judith Wright  
1013 Henrietta Cir Placentia, CA 92870-4221

**From:** [jashively01@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jashively01@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Judy Shively Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:35:57 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Judy Shively  
1475 Imperial Ave San Diego, CA 92101-7520

**From:** [juliarbroad@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:juliarbroad@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julia Broad Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:39:10 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julia Broad  
9671 Rosebay St Anaheim, CA 92804-3435

**From:** [julia.g.curry@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:julia.g.curry@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julia Curry](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:17:36 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julia Curry  
1351 Chalcedony St San Diego, CA 92109-2125

**From:** [juliebrickell@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:juliebrickell@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julie Brickell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:31:42 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julie Brickell  
210 W Union Ave Apt 13 Fullerton, CA 92832-1204

**From:** [hughd@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hughd@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Hugh Armstrong](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:11:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Hugh Armstrong  
26322 Pacato Dr Mission Viejo, CA 92691-4122

**From:** [jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julie Frank Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:27:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julie Frank  
648 Clarence Ln Escondido, CA 92029-5822

**From:** [jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jafrank63@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julie Frank Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:26:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julie Frank  
648 Clarence Ln Escondido, CA 92029-5822

**From:** [juliepearce1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:juliepearce1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Julie pearce](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:48:26 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Julie pearce  
21711 Eveningside Ln Lake Forest, CA 92630-2404

**From:** [june.yamada1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:june.yamada1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [June Yamada](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:31:19 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
June Yamada  
13861 Manor Dr Apt B Westminster, CA 92683-4018

**From:** [justinnicholillustration@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:justinnicholillustration@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Justin Nichol Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:36:54 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Justin Nichol  
5075 Pearblossom Dr Riverside, CA 92507-6052

**From:** [ksfpb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ksfpb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [k\\_campbell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:09:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
k\_campbell  
704 Van Nuys St San Diego, CA 92109-1052

**From:** [kgiamona@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kgiamona@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [k g](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:40:53 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

k g

1300 9th St San Diego, CA 92118-2624

**From:** [karjanehafer@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:karjanehafer@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Hafer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:38 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hafer  
220 N Zapata Hwy Laredo, TX 78043-4427

**From:** [karen.haze@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:karen.haze@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Haze](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:37:04 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karen Haze  
3426 Del Rey St San Diego, CA 92109-5707

**From:** [karen@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:karen@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Martien](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:43:05 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. This is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan that can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karen Martien  
4328 Rous St San Diego, CA 92122-2631

**From:** [hanningi@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hanningi@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ilse Hanning](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:04:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ilse Hanning  
8458 Mount Vernon St Lemon Grove, CA 91945-3325

**From:** [karinaornelas28@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:karinaornelas28@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karina Ornelas Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 10:33:42 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karina Ornelas  
1120 W San Ysidro Blvd Apt 12 San Ysidro, CA 92173-1165

**From:** [blkdolphin@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:blkdolphin@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karla Henderson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:33:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karla Henderson  
482 W San Ysidro Blvd # 1206 San Ysidro, CA 92173-2444

**From:** [karla.padilla16@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:karla.padilla16@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karla Padilla Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:35:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Restoring Wetlands is important not just for the environment. It important for the city itself. Wetlands provide a buffer against climate change related impacts; it gives birds animals habitat and greenspace is important for mental health of people. We have already lost important wetlands. We need to restore as much as possible to create a better world for all.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Karla Padilla  
4491 Vereda Mar De Ponderosa San Diego, CA 92130-2667

**From:** [kayteecurry@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kayteecurry@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Katherine Curry](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:21:42 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Katherine Curry  
1351 Chalcedony St San Diego, CA 92109-2125

**From:** [kfernandez2576@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kfernandez2576@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [kathleen fernandez](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:21:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
kathleen fernandez  
5615 Via De Campo Yorba Linda, CA 92887-4917

**From:** [kathleen.herring@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kathleen.herring@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathleen Herring Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 5:46:26 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Herring  
51930 Avenida Martinez La Quinta, CA 92253-3164

**From:** [kldunn101@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kldunn101@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathleen Leslie Dunn](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:51:44 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Leslie Dunn  
5907 Charing St San Diego, CA 92117-4122

**From:** [kathleenmarvel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kathleenmarvel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathleen Marvel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:44:30 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Marvel  
1980 Silverleaf Cir Unit 321 Carlsbad, CA 92009-8427

**From:** [grabensteink@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:grabensteink@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathlyn Grabenstein](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:45 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathlyn Grabenstein  
3121 Killarney Ln Costa Mesa, CA 92626-2610

**From:** [kib54@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kib54@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Beitscher](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:49:01 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Beitscher  
4910 Crestland Dr La Mesa, CA 91941-5732

**From:** [iturov@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:iturov@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ilya Turov](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:41 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ilya Turov  
26416 Field St Moreno Valley, CA 92555-2550

**From:** [blackmarr@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:blackmarr@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Blackmarr](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:23:47 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Blackmarr  
5291 Victoria Pl Westminster, CA 92683-4847

**From:** [kathywhob@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kathywhob@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Brigger](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:41:39 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Brigger  
22060 Valley View Dr Nuevo, CA 92567-8920

**From:** [kriyalight@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kriyalight@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Lee Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:09:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Lee  
973 Grove Pl Costa Mesa, CA 92627-4001

**From:** [Kathystrijek@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Kathystrijek@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Strijek](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 9:43:22 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Strijek  
4044 Mission Peak Palm Springs, CA 92262-1237

**From:** [kcollins@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kcollins@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kay Collins](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:50:53 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kay Collins  
6073 Nauru St Cypress, CA 90630-5644

**From:** [kedz2garden@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kedz2garden@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ked Garden](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:10:48 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ked Garden  
2328 Main St Lemon Grove, CA 91945-3221

**From:** [972f0920@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:972f0920@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Keiko Barrett](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:45:07 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Keiko Barrett  
1150 J St San Diego, CA 92101-7240

**From:** [k1camp@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:k1camp@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Keith Campbell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:26:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Keith Campbell  
10302 Lake Ridge Ct Spring Valley, CA 91977-5423

**From:** [kchristy208@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kchristy208@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Keith Christy](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:32:58 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Keith Christy  
4340 Lindsay St Jurupa Valley, CA 92509-2569

**From:** [kelccole@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kelccole@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kelcy Coleman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:14:58 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kelcy Coleman  
4372 W Point Loma Blvd San Diego, CA 92107-1188

**From:** [coba@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:coba@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jacoba Dolloff](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Jacoba Dolloff  
4545 Taft Ave La Mesa, CA 91941-7158

**From:** [kamiller@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kamiller@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kellie Miller Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:21:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kellie Miller  
2952 B S Fairview St Santa Ana, CA 92704-6503

**From:** [kellykramer@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kellykramer@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kelly Kramer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:45:07 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Kramer  
11431 Kathy Ln Garden Grove, CA 92840-1716

**From:** [peeweefan78@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:peeweefan78@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kelly Weaver](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Monday, May 1, 2023 11:49:03 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Weaver  
4315 Star Path Way Unit 3 Oceanside, CA 92056-5748

**From:** [kenssailtime@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kenssailtime@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ken Sanford](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 7, 2023 6:34:21 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ken Sanford  
2180 Amanda Ln Escondido, CA 92029-4307

**From:** [kcalthiser@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kcalthiser@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kenneth Althiser Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Althiser  
38920 Newberry St Cherry Valley, CA 92223-3658

**From:** [kaltana@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kaltana@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kim Altana](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:49:31 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kim Altana  
19100 Harvard Ave Apt 24 Irvine, CA 92612-2660

**From:** [kimberger529@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kimberger529@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kim Berger](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:30:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kim Berger  
5022 Avenida De La Plata Oceanside, CA 92057-8018

**From:** [kmcpsces@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kmcpsces@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kim Cruz](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:12:02 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kim Cruz  
510 Orange Grove Ave Vista, CA 92084-6220

**From:** [withenglishcharm@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:withenglishcharm@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kim Waterson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:15 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kim Waterson  
351 S Devon Rd Orange, CA 92868-3706

**From:** [kirstiep78@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kirstiep78@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kirstie Pfeifer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Friday, April 21, 2023 11:21:39 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kirstie Pfeifer  
9973 Fieldthorn St San Diego, CA 92127-4415

**From:** [drijbc@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:drijbc@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [James Cregg](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 4:57:06 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
James Cregg  
9823 Ivy St Spring Valley, CA 91977-7030

**From:** [tigercat3@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tigercat3@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kurt Gross](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:39:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kurt Gross  
PO Box 16898 San Diego, CA 92176-6898

**From:** [kyledreher@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kyledreher@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [kyle dreher](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:18:38 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
kyle dreher  
9846 Rimpark Way San Diego, CA 92124-1627

**From:** [kyokokremp2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kyokokremp2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kyoko Kremp](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:33:06 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Kyoko Kremp  
24912 Sunset Pl E Laguna Hills, CA 92653-4902

**From:** [laceylevitt@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:laceylevitt@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lacey Levitt](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:58:07 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Lacey Levitt  
6034 Lancaster Dr San Diego, CA 92120-4537

**From:** [laszlo.kurucz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:laszlo.kurucz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Laszlo Kurucz](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:04:08 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Laszlo Kurucz  
26571 Normandale Dr Lake Forest, CA 92630-6731

**From:** [ledufel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ledufel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Laura Dufel Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:07:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Laura Dufel  
1929 Cassia Rd Apt 300 Carlsbad, CA 92011-4166

**From:** [lj.jeremiah@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lj.jeremiah@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Laura Jeremiah](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, April 23, 2023 7:55:20 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Hello, I am a resident in San Diego county. This is important!

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

Laura Jeremiah

1323 Evergreen Dr Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007-1034

**From:** [laurakramer01@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:laurakramer01@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Laura Kramer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:43:29 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Laura Kramer  
73413 Buckboard Trl Palm Desert, CA 92260-6617

**From:** [laurel\\_scott@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:laurel_scott@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Laurel Scott Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:57:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Laurel Scott  
6359 Rancho Mission Rd Unit 3 San Diego, CA 92108-2011

**From:** [ltate@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ltate@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Leslie Tate](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:52:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Leslie Tate  
3517 Fairlomas Rd National City, CA 91950-8215

**From:** [hbrashear@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hbrashear@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Heather Brashear](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:17:28 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Heather Brashear  
1 Seasand Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-5929

**From:** [James Whalen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Roselei Redrick](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural--Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 10:26:42 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, I don't recall if we met before when I was on the Planning Commission, but if we have and I have forgotten, please excuse my aging memory. I wanted to let you know that we have been recently brought on board to assist the Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club in their effort to stay in business. We'll be commenting on the EIR and look forward to a productive outcome for both the City and the Club. Thanks, Jim Whalen

***James E. Whalen***

President  
J.Whalen Associates, Inc.  
2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92108  
619-683-5544  
[www.jwhalen.net](http://www.jwhalen.net)

***James E. Whalen***

President  
J.Whalen Associates, Inc.  
2851 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92108  
619-683-5544  
[www.jwhalen.net](http://www.jwhalen.net)

**From:** [Jonathan Personal](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza / ReWild  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:35:34 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Mr. Sandel,

Just wanted to get a comment in expressing my support for the 'wildest' option at Mission Bay. I am a professional restoration ecologist and former member of the ReWild Mission Bay Wetland Working Group.

Providing the maximum wetland area will be the most resilient under future sea level rise scenarios.

Affordable coastal recreation is great, but if the campsites are going to be difficult to protect and subject to future flooding, they are a liability to the city. Wetlands are a valuable asset. For a number of well-documented reasons.

I hope the department will make the wise choice and move forward with the redevelopment option that provides the greatest acreage of future wetlands.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Appelbaum  
San Diego / Normal Heights resident & professional ecologist

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Joseph Smith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza timing  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:19:37 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, is there a roadmap ahead for De Anza public hearings?

Thx!  
Joseph

**Joseph D. Smith, AICP** | Principal  
California Coastal Works  
(619) 943-1337 | [jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)  
[www.californiacoastalworks.com](http://www.californiacoastalworks.com)

From: [Holly Kick](#)  
To: [Sandel, Scott](#)  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] DeAnza  
Date: Friday, March 24, 2023 12:06:33 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

It was great seeing you at Love Your Wetlands Day!

Is there a chance you can add a legend map to augment this existing conditions graphic for DeAnza so we can compare existing and proposed uses and acreages and weigh all the factors in terms of acres for each alternative.


Anyhow, keep up the good work and Happy Spring!,

Holly SK

## **ALTERNATIVES**



Please augment above map with on with a legend comparable to that below:



**From:** [Joanna Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Joanna-BOD](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields  
**Date:** Friday, March 31, 2023 8:39:36 PM  
**Attachments:** [prorgchart \(10\).pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, many thanks for helping me wade through the PEIR to answer many of my questions. I mentioned to you that our tennis club is looking into ways of hooking up to the rest room renovation which is supposed to commence in Fall, 2023 at the McEvoy Fields. The individuals with whom we have been in contact in this regard are:

Steve Palle, Deputy Director, Community Parks I. 619-235-1130.  
Salome' Martinez, Program Manager. Recreation Program Equity. 619-613-7448.  
Michelle Abella-Shon. Program Manager. Asset Management. 619-964-7670.  
Rosalia Caastruitas. Parks and Rec Area Manager.

We have also discussed this matter with the adult volunteers who lead the softball, little league, and soccer leagues at McEvoy Fields.

In addition, we have been in contact with Mission Bay Golf Course to explore locating upgraded portable toilets along our mutual boundary. We have been experiencing numerous unpleasant occasions where male golfers will relieve themselves at the fence line with court one and also with our practice court. Our contact for this discussion is Scott Bentley, Deputy Director for Golf Operations. 858-552-1634.

Best Wishes, Joanna

**Joanna Hirst**  
**[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)**  
**858-205-2708**  
**San Diego, CA**

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** James Irwin <[james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com)>  
**Subject: RE: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields**  
**Date:** March 31, 2023 at 4:16:34 PM PDT  
**To:** Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>, Hannah Holladay <[hannah.pbtc@gmail.com](mailto:hannah.pbtc@gmail.com)>, Bert Benevento <[pbtc.bert@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com)>

- Steve Palle
- Michelle Abella-Shon

- Anna Sonnenberg
- Rosalia Castruitas
  - Salome Martinez
  - Scott Bentley

---

**From:** Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 31, 2023 2:14 PM

**To:** James Irwin <[james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com)>; Hannah Holladay <[hannah.pbtc@gmail.com](mailto:hannah.pbtc@gmail.com)>; Bert Benevento <[pbtc.bert@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Joanna-BOD <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

I just spoke with the Park Planner Scott Sandel and mentioned to him that we are hoping to connect to the renovated rest rooms at McEvoy Fields. He asked me to send him an email stating that we are pursuing this and to mention any names of others in the city with whom we have discussed this issue. He thinks it is a good idea, I believe. Can one of you send me the pertinent name(s).

**Joanna Hirst**  
**[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)**  
**858-205-2708**  
**San Diego, CA**

**From:** [Joanna Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Joanna-BOD](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields  
**Date:** Saturday, April 1, 2023 10:27:33 AM  
**Attachments:** [prorgchart \(10\).pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, many thanks for helping me wade through the PEIR to answer many of my questions. I mentioned to you that our tennis club is looking into ways of hooking up to the rest room renovation which is supposed to commence in Fall, 2023 at the McEvoy Fields. The individuals with whom we have been in contact in this regard are:

Steve Palle, Deputy Director, Community Parks I. 619-235-1130.  
Salome' Martinez, Program Manager. Recreation Program Equity. 619-613-7448.  
Michelle Abella-Shon. Program Manager. Asset Management. 619-964-7670.  
Rosalia Castruitas. Parks and Rec Area Manager.

We have also discussed this matter with the adult volunteers who lead the softball, little league, and soccer leagues at McEvoy Fields.

In addition, we have been in contact with Mission Bay Golf Course to explore locating upgraded portable toilets along our mutual boundary. We have been experiencing numerous unpleasant occasions where *male golfers will relieve themselves at the fence line* with court one and also with our practice court. Our contact for this discussion is Scott Bentley, Deputy Director for Golf Operations. 858-552-1634.

Best Wishes, Joanna

**Joanna Hirst**  
**[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)**  
**858-205-2708**  
**San Diego, CA**

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** James Irwin <[james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com)>  
**Subject: RE: Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields**  
**Date:** March 31, 2023 at 4:16:34 PM PDT  
**To:** Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>, Hannah Holladay <[hannah.pbtc@gmail.com](mailto:hannah.pbtc@gmail.com)>, Bert Benevento <[pbtc.bert@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com)>

- Steve Palle
- Michelle Abella-Shon

- Anna Sonnenberg
- Rosalia Castruitas
  - Salome Martinez
  - Scott Bentley

---

**From:** Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 31, 2023 2:14 PM

**To:** James Irwin <[james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com)>; Hannah Holladay <[hannah.pbtc@gmail.com](mailto:hannah.pbtc@gmail.com)>; Bert Benevento <[pbtc.bert@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Joanna-BOD <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** Rest Room Connection with McEvoy Fields

I just spoke with the Park Planner Scott Sandel and mentioned to him that we are hoping to connect to the renovated rest rooms at McEvoy Fields. He asked me to send him an email stating that we are pursuing this and to mention any names of others in the city with whom we have discussed this issue. He thinks it is a good idea, I believe. Can one of you send me the pertinent name(s).

**Joanna Hirst**  
**[Pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)**  
**858-205-2708**  
**San Diego, CA**

**From:** [Kaylarch](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] I support the De Anza Natural or the Audubon Society"s version as long as a camping area is part of the solution.  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 6:43:31 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Staffer:

The small amount of legal camping along the San Diego County coastline makes me convinced that a relocated Campland with a new or the same company as manager an essential part of the remaking of the De Anza conversion.

Thank you for inviting comments from the public.

Sincerely,  
Kay Stewart, CA Landscape Architect #2967  
619-234-2668  
[www.kaylarch.com](http://www.kaylarch.com)  
"Merging Nature With Structure"

**From:** [Linda Ballinger Brandland](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Keep PB tennis club  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 2:30:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Please keep the tennis courts where they are now. They are heavily used (at times it is difficult to even reserve a court) and enjoyed by people of all ages. I used to belong to another club and the monthly fees were beyond my reach. I then joined PBTC and have been so happy there. It allows those of us that cannot afford to play at a higher end club a beautiful opportunity to play as much as we can. It is helping to keep seniors active (I play with 80 year olds and one lady who will soon be 93). Exercise is good for the mind and body and that should be the goal of San Diego Parks and Rec. I am a nature lover however disagree with returning so much of the bay back to marshland. Mission Bay is so highly valued as a gathering place for all types of activities, from church groups to boaters. Many events are held there that bring people and profit to our city. Do not turn it back into marshland and create a smaller water surface area leading to many more accidents on the bay due to overcrowding. Thank you for your support of PBTC and the wonders that make San Diego unique.

Linda Brandland

**From:** [Jeff Greenwald](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#); [Jeff Greenwald](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Letter of Support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:15:17 PM  
**Attachments:** [Comments RE Pacific Beach Tennis Club - De Anza Natural Plan.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Gentlemen,

I have uploaded the attached letter to the direct link to the Planning Commission for tomorrow's meeting regarding the De Anza Natural Plan and its effect on the Pacific Beach Tennis Club. I understand that letters sent directly to you would not be forwarded to the Planning Commission, but I wanted to tie you both into my submission.

Thank you,

Jeff A. Greenwald, MAI, SRA, AI-GRS, ASA, IFAS, FRICS  
National Practice Leader and Chairman, Integra Hotels - Specialty Practice Group  
Executive Director  
Integra Realty Resources-San Diego  
2775 Via de la Valle, Ste 206  
Del Mar, CA 92014  
Direct: (858) 259-4900  
Mobile: (619) 972-7554  
Fax: (858) 259-4910  
E-mail: [greenwald.jeff@gmail.com](mailto:greenwald.jeff@gmail.com)

**From:** [ML Baldocchi](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Mission Bay  
**Date:** Monday, April 10, 2023 10:15:42 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Scott

I have lives in Mission Bay area for over 20 years We all know DeAnza is a mess and long over due to clean up It's difficult to understand why the city continues to spend tax payer money on non ending plan evaluation when the community agrees The final plan must balance, Educational, Recreational, and Environmental needs and cannot sacrifice one for the others. That said , the Mission Bay Gateway balances these needs As an informed citizen, **Balanced Plan** provides the most all around option so let's get this going already

Thank you  
Lisa Baldocchi

**From:** [James Whalen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Roselei Redrick](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Proposed Revisions to De Anza Natural Plan--San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club  
**Date:** Monday, June 12, 2023 12:04:02 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott—following up on the City's revisions from May 22<sup>nd</sup> when I was on vacation. This language is a good start, but our request is for the following added revisions:

Use the blue-highlighted text below as modified with the added language: "[such as the Bob McAvoy Youth Fields, Tennis Club, San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club , and Mission Bay Golf Course and Practice Center. De Anza Natural envisions ... minimizes disruption to active recreation access by not closing these facilities before relocation is completed. Buffer zones and other land uses...more immediate mitigation.](#)"

Is the foregoing language acceptable? Please confirm. If you have an issue, we will need to discuss. Thanks, Jim Whalen

- The SSA De Anza Natural should facilitate the implementation of hydrologic improvements aimed at safeguarding the viability of marsh areas in its vicinity.
- The SSA De Anza Natural shall be developed to enhance the public use of this area of the Park. Any redevelopment proposal shall incorporate a 100-foot average buffer/public use zone along the entire Rose Creek frontage of the site, as measured from the top of the rip-rap, and adjacent to the proposed wetland at the mouth of Rose Creek ~~located outside of the SSA~~. Public access/recreation improvements, such as walkways, overlooks, picnic tables, benches, etc. may only be sited in the upland 50 feet of said buffer/public use zone. In conformance with the Design Guidelines, a 150-foot minimum public use zone shall be maintained along the beach areas of the shore as measured from the mean high water line. Along other bulkhead or rip-rap areas of the shore, if any, a 100 ~~50~~-foot minimum public use zone shall be maintained as measured from the top of the bulkhead or rip-rap. As an integral part of De Anza Natural ~~the SSA~~, a waterfront trail Class I bike route and pedestrian trail/boardwalk and viewing areas shall be provided within the public use zone along the entire shoreline of the site, in addition to other passive recreational features.
- Active Recreation: Facilities identified as suitable for this area include ball fields, lighted tennis courts, a clubhouse facility (shared among recreation users), restrooms/concessions buildings, a ranger station facility, golf facilities, and other sports/recreation facilities that may be proposed as part of a General Development Plan (GDP). De Anza Natural is currently home to community-serving public recreation facilities such as the Bob McEvoy Youth Fields, Tennis Club, and Mission Bay Golf Course and Practice Center. De Anza Natural envisions maintaining or enhancing these facilities as part of a future GDP process that implements community-identified recreation needs. The City will work with the community-serving public recreation facility operators to plan for the future of the facilities and will design and phase development in a manner that minimizes disruption to active recreation access. Buffer zones and other land uses proposed for the site of existing recreation facilities should be implemented after these facilities have been modified, moved, or replaced for continued use, unless imminent climate hazards necessitate more immediate mitigation. As part of the GDP process, a portion of West Mission Bay Drive may be realigned and a portion may be reduced to maintenance/emergency vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians only, as depicted on Figures 14a and 14b. Included within the active recreation land use area are water quality improvements and associated multi-modal circulation and parking for the above-referenced uses. As part of an improved pedestrian/bike path along Grand

**From:** [MayAug Systems](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Friday, March 24, 2023 3:15:56 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

To: Scott Sandel, City of San Diego's Planning Department

Hi Scott,

We are campers who keep coming back to Mission Bay RV Resort and Campland because this area of San Diego is a special place.

During our 30 years of RVing across the U.S. and Canada, Campland & Mission Bay RV Resort have always stood out as most unusual and welcoming RV resorts, on the edge of Mission Bay, with miles of beaches and biking trails, in an urban area, with great nearby restaurants and shopping. Can't think of other campgrounds that rival them.

San Diego weather is certainly attractive, but many RVers specifically love this corner of Mission Bay. They come back year after year, from long distances, at high costs, and contribute greatly to the San Diego economy. Our one-way drive from Texas is 1,500 miles, at 7 mpg, takes 5 days. Our Canadian friends come 3,500 miles. A neighbor has been coming here regularly from Syracuse NY. Other neighbors are from Maryland. We could go on and on. And that's not to mention the many from California and San Diego.

The point is - - many campers travel long distances, year after year, bypassing fine RV resorts along the way. Opting instead for extra time and expense to come to Campland.

Mission Bay Resort and Campland have always been a revenue producer for the City of San Diego. RVing has grown exponentially in the last few years, and a good business plan would be to maintain or

increase the number of campsites on Mission Bay. San Diego needs more places for RVers and their families to camp for days and weeks. Infrastructure is in place and this area is proven to be a winner for the City and highly desirable for RVers.

As for Campland's future, converting it to ZERO REVENUE marshland makes no sense and will cost A LOT MORE than you think. It is already a wildlife sanctuary with over 1,000 mature trees (not counting all the shrubs and flowers) for birds and animals. Have you personally seen it and talked to the families that keep coming back? Otherwise, you can't hope to understand why it's so valuable to San Diego.

Think again about the real costs of adding a little marshland to an already existing large area of marsh, thereby closing a 40-year-old thriving Campland and losing its millions in annual revenue. It's a San Diego gem. City Council need do nothing but KEEP IT!!

And let Mission Bay RV Resort continue the project to transform a blighted area on DeAnza Cove into an attraction other cities would love to have.

It would be a poor business decision to destroy a proven, on-going, business like Campland, that has filled City coffers for 50 years. Too bad we little people can't get into your heads and turn this around. Closing Campland is SO WRONG!!

Thank you,  
Cindy Homer

**From:** [Joseph Smith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 10:03:33 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Good morning, can you confirm receipt of the email below with attached letter?

Thanks,  
Joe

---

**From:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 at 10:33 AM  
**To:** "SSandel@sandiego.gov" <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Cc:** Justin Weber <jweber29@hotmail.com>  
**Subject:** De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated,  
Joe

**Joseph D. Smith, AICP** | Principal  
California Coastal Works  
(619) 943-1337 | [jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)  
[www.californiacoastalworks.com](http://www.californiacoastalworks.com)

**From:** [Joseph Smith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Avila, Jonathan](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 4:33:16 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thank you for the confirmation Scott and info on Parks & Rec. Much appreciated.

Joe

---

**From:** "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 at 4:20 PM  
**To:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>  
**Cc:** "Avila, Jonathan" <JOAvila@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Hello,

Thank you for your email. We will review your letter in detail.

Note: As you may know, we will give an informational presentation of De Anza Natural at the April 20th, 2023 meeting of the Parks and Recreation Board. Their website is located here:  
<https://www.sandiego.gov/parkandrecboard>

Look for their agenda to be posted at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204  
ssandel@sandiego.gov

---

**From:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 13, 2023 10:03 AM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Good morning, can you confirm receipt of the email below with attached letter?

Thanks,  
Joe

---

**From:** Joseph Smith <[jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)>  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 at 10:33 AM  
**To:** "[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)" <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)>  
**Cc:** Justin Weber <[jweber29@hotmail.com](mailto:jweber29@hotmail.com)>  
**Subject:** De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan

Scott,

Attached is a comment letter on the proposed De Anza amendment from Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL). For over 50 years, PYSL has provided organized youth soccer to the community and serves many families regardless of income level. In 2023, we expect to enroll nearly 1,600 kids in recreational and competitive youth soccer programs. As noted in our comments, we rely upon the Bob McEvoy fields to ensure our program's success and keep registration fees low.

On behalf of the entire PYSL Board of Directors, to which I also serve in a volunteer capacity, we appreciate your consideration of our comments and requests.

Please confirm receipt of this letter and add my contact to any interested parties list you may have.

Much appreciated,  
Joe

**Joseph D. Smith, AICP** | Principal  
California Coastal Works  
(619) 943-1337 | [jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)  
[www.californiacoastalworks.com](http://www.californiacoastalworks.com)

**From:** [Mark Sullivan](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [Joanna Hirst](#)  
**Cc:** [Avila, Jonathan](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural  
**Date:** Monday, February 27, 2023 3:00:30 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks Scott,

Are there any new developments relative to impacts on the Ballfields and Tennis Courts that have changed from the August 22 draft exhibits?

Or, is it still pretty much the same with the Active Athletic area going to GDP at a later date?

**Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer**

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc.  
1271 Missouri Street  
San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com  
(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

---

**From:** "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@san Diego.gov>  
**Date:** Monday, February 27, 2023 at 2:40 PM  
**To:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>, Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** "Avila, Jonathan" <JOAvila@san Diego.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural

Yes indeed; hi there Mark. We are planning to release the draft De Anza Natural plan amendment to the Mission Bay Park master plan; tentative release date is March 8<sup>th</sup>.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204  
ssandel@san Diego.gov

---

**From:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2023 10:51 AM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@san Diego.gov>; Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

Joanna and I were on the phone together this morning talking about De Anza, the tennis courts and the ball fields.

She mentioned that the City was going to release a new plan shortly.

Would you be able to give us an update on the timing?

**Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer**

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc.

1271 Missouri Street

San Diego, CA 92109

[mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com](mailto:mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com)

(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

**From:** [Mark Sullivan](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Avila, Jonathan](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 28, 2023 7:34:02 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

Thank you for the update.

Since the current plan and GDP process impact the future viability of the ball fields we will be in opposition to it.

Please let me know if we mis-read the situation.

**Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer**

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc.  
1271 Missouri Street  
San Diego, CA 92109

mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com  
(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

---

**From:** "Sandel, Scott" <SSandel@san Diego.gov>  
**Date:** Monday, February 27, 2023 at 3:27 PM  
**To:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>, Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** "Avila, Jonathan" <JOAvila@san Diego.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural

Good question. The De Anza Natural plan hasn't drastically changed since the August draft.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204  
ssandel@san Diego.gov

---

**From:** Mark Sullivan <mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2023 3:00 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@san Diego.gov>; Joanna Hirst <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>

**Cc:** Avila, Jonathan <JOAvila@sandiego.gov>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza Natural

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks Scott,

Are there any new developments relative to impacts on the Ballfields and Tennis Courts that have changed from the August 22 draft exhibits?

Or, is it still pretty much the same with the Active Athletic area going to GDP at a later date?

**Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer**

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc.

1271 Missouri Street

San Diego, CA 92109

[mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com](mailto:mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com)

(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

---

**From:** "Sandel, Scott" <[SSandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)>

**Date:** Monday, February 27, 2023 at 2:40 PM

**To:** Mark Sullivan <[mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com](mailto:mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com)>, Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** "Avila, Jonathan" <[JOAvila@sandiego.gov](mailto:JOAvila@sandiego.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural

Yes indeed; hi there Mark. We are planning to release the draft De Anza Natural plan amendment to the Mission Bay Park master plan; tentative release date is March 8<sup>th</sup>.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204

[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

---

**From:** Mark Sullivan <[mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com](mailto:mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2023 10:51 AM

**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)>; Joanna Hirst <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or**

opening attachments.\*\*

---

Scott,

Joanna and I were on the phone together this morning talking about De Anza, the tennis courts and the ball fields.

She mentioned that the City was going to release a new plan shortly.

Would you be able to give us an update on the timing?

**Mark Sullivan, Principal Engineer**

MJS Construction Mgmt. & Eng. Inc.

1271 Missouri Street

San Diego, CA 92109

[mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com](mailto:mjsullivan@mjscm.onmicrosoft.com)

(858) 201-0027 (mobile)

**From:** [Joseph Smith](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: De Anza timing  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:59:07 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Got it, thank you. I had submitted a policy comment letter (in addition to CEQA comment letter) on behalf of Pacific Youth Soccer League (PYSL) and I'm wondering if I should be resubmitting a policy comment letter for the upcoming hearing or would our earlier letter be included in the planning commission packet?

Thanks again,  
Joseph

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:43:59 AM  
**To:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>  
**Subject:** RE: De Anza timing

I just forwarded you info.

Scott Sandel, CA RLA  
**Parks Planner**  
City of San Diego  
City Planning Department  
Parks + Public Spaces Division

T (619) 235-5204  
[sandiego.gov/planning](http://sandiego.gov/planning)

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION**

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Joseph Smith <jsmith@californiacw.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:19 AM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza timing

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, is there a roadmap ahead for De Anza public hearings?

Thx!

Joseph

**Joseph D. Smith, AICP** | Principal  
California Coastal Works  
(619) 943-1337 | [jsmith@californiacw.com](mailto:jsmith@californiacw.com)  
[www.californiacoastalworks.com](http://www.californiacoastalworks.com)

**From:** [Kurt Carlson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Save Mission Bay Golf Course  
**Date:** Sunday, November 27, 2022 4:35:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [SD Municipal Golf regarding PEIR V2 Final 110922.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

*Dear Mr Sandel ,*

*As a concerned committee member for the City of San Diego's Municipal Golf Committee (MGC), our group wants to make sure everyone understands how Municipal golf is an important component to the recreational needs throughout the City of San Diego. It serves a diverse community and family of golfers and provides environmental benefits that generally are forgotten but very real.*

*When considering projects like the De Anza Revitalization Plan, please remember that Mission Bay Golf Course is a wonderful golf property that is worth preserving for future generations to come.*

*See attached position letter of the City of San Diego Municipal Golf Committee. Please let me know if you have any questions or if we can discuss this further.*

*Thank you for your consideration,*

*Sincerely*

*Kurt Carlson  
Chair*

**From:** [Lily Tang](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Save PB tennis courts  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 7:38:31 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr Sandro

Please do everything in your power to keep and if possible, expand the courts at PB tennis club where my family including our 2 kids enjoy learning this sport as many other local kids and families. This is an important and affordable tennis facility here in San Diego and it's already difficult to reserve a court on weekends, we need to expand the courts at this site for the benefit and health of the community, not cutting down or shutting it. This much used and loved outdoor facility for the past 60 years is environmentally friendly and important for the health of our community!!

*Importantly, keeping P.B. Tennis where it is now will also save the city millions of dollars. It keeps our tennis pros and staff employed, and allows our community of racket sport enthusiasts of all ages (including children and seniors) to keep playing at the Club we know and love!*

*Thank you so much for your continued support and understanding of the importance of having an affordable public tennis courts and also pickle ball courts to serve our local families and rapidly growing interest in racket sports.*

*Sincerely,  
Lily Tang abd family*

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Kelly Jernigan](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Save Pacific Beach Tennis Club  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:12:35 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Mr. Sandel & Mr. LaCava,

My name is Kelly Jernigan and I serve as President of University City Racquet Club (UCRC) which is a local, public tennis facility like Pacific Beach Tennis Club.

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is considered a significant and valued tennis facility within the greater San Diego County tennis community. It was founded in 1961 and thrives to this day as a local tennis facility that caters to the public. Over the past several decades, our tennis community has lost over 100 courts in the San Diego area mostly due to the increasing cost of real estate. Tennis has been growing the past several years at close to 30% and the waiting lists and demand for tennis courts is at an all time high.

This weekend the Pacific Beach Open Tennis Tournament plays host to 246 participants in the first USTA sanctioned adult tournament of spring in San Diego County. One thing we know for certain from past history is; once the tennis courts are taken away they will never be replaced. The entire community of Pacific Beach and surrounding areas would no longer have access to public tennis courts. Not to mention the large number of USTA league teams and guests who take advantage of the courts from around the county and country while traveling to San Diego. PB Tennis Club has been a vital part of the tennis ecosystem for over 60 years and eliminating the club would have a profound negative impact on the San Diego tennis community as a whole.

Please consider the impact the destruction of this tennis club or any part thereof would mean to the tennis community and Pacific Beach.

The SDDTA would greatly appreciate your efforts and support in this matter.

Best,  
Kelly Jernigan

**From:** [John Broderick](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Save Pacific Beach Tennis Club  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:53 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Mr. Sandel & Mr. LaCava,

My name is John Broderick and I serve as President of the San Diego District Tennis Association (SDDTA) which operates as the local affiliate for the United States Tennis Association (USTA).

The Pacific Beach Tennis Club is considered a significant and valued tennis facility within the greater San Diego County tennis community. Over the past several decades, our tennis community has lost over 100 courts in the San Diego area mostly due to the increasing cost of real estate. Tennis has been growing the past several years at close to 30% and the waiting lists and demand for tennis courts is at an all time high.

This weekend the Pacific Beach Open Tennis Tournament plays host to 246 participants in the first USTA sanctioned adult tournament of spring in San Diego County. One thing we know for certain from past history is; once the tennis courts are taken away they will never be replaced. The entire community of Pacific Beach and surrounding areas would no longer have access to public tennis courts. Not to mention the large number of USTA league teams and guests who take advantage of the courts from around the county and country while traveling to San Diego. PB Tennis Club has been a vital part of the tennis ecosystem for over 60 years and eliminating the club would have a profound negative impact on the San Diego tennis community as a whole.

Please consider the impact the destruction of this tennis club or any part thereof would mean to the tennis community and Pacific Beach.

The SDDTA would greatly appreciate your efforts and support in this matter.

Warmest regards,

***John Broderick***

**President**



**SDDTA 50th Anniversary**

[www.sandiegotennis.com](http://www.sandiegotennis.com)

858-663-3747

**From:** [Marcus Dulin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] See What Landscape Designers Are Doing with Vectorworks  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 7:37:08 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi, Scott!

We recently sat down with landscape design-build firm Curbs Studio after learning about their remarkable workflow. [In a recent case study](#), the firm's lead designer discusses how powerful Vectorworks Landmark is for communicating with her clients — everything from planting schedules to VR walkthrough experiences.

Want to chat more about some of the things Curbs Studio did and see what would be beneficial for you to help save time and win more work? I'd love to hear more about how you approach projects like this. When is a good time to talk this week?

Thank you,

**MARCUS DULIN**  
SENIOR ACCOUNT ASSOCIATE - LANDSCAPE  
TEL: 443-542-0326  
VECTORWORKS, INC.



Vectorworks, Inc. | 8621 Robert Fulton Drive, Suite 200 | Columbia, MD 21046 USA

[Update your email preferences](#) to choose the types of emails you receive from Vectorworks.

**From:** [Kathy Lacey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:15:49 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I am writing to express support for Pacific Beach Tennis Club. While I am not a member of the PBTC, (I am a member of 3 other public San Diego clubs—Balboa, Peninsula and La Jolla Tennis Clubs), I have used their facilities often during league play between clubs and for tournaments. It is not a large club, and thus the courts are always busy and in use. Tennis is a very active and growing sport in San Diego, and we need to preserve all current facilities. Many San Diegans lives would be impacted if the courts were encroached upon, from the young juniors learning tennis and developing into high school and college players, to the generations of active adult players. Tennis is one of the healthiest sports you can participate in, and for your entire life. And San Diego may be one of the best cities in the country for the sport. I strongly urge you to keep Pacific Beach Tennis Club intact.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathy Lacey  
Point Loma, CA

**From:** [L. D.](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Wildest Plan vs. Gateway Plan, 2100  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 1:26:01 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi City of San Diego,

I am trying very hard to understand the different plans in the De Anza area before tomorrow's meeting at Paradise Point-April 4th.

Could you please comment tomorrow on how many acres of wetlands would be left (in 2100) under the Gateway plan vs. the Wildest Plan?

My understanding is that the state of California has said to plan for 5.5 SLR by 2100. The Wetlands group said there will be 75 acres of wetlands left by 2100 under their plan, under the that SLR scenario. The PB residents can't draw any conclusions about these plans unless we know how many acres of wetlands will be left under the Gateway Plan.:-)

Thank you,

Leslie Dufour

**From:** [Kathy P](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] campland  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 4:58:09 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

What ever you do at De Deanza, please save the beautiful trees!!