

**From:** [Friends of Rose Creek](#)  
**To:** [PLN\\_PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Cc:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Bcc:** "[Andrew Meyer](#)"; "[Jim Peugh](#)"; "[Tommy Hough](#)"; "[Pam Heatherington](#)"  
**Subject:** Comments on De Anza Natural Draft PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 11:10:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [FORC\\_DPEIR\\_CommentLetter\\_Final-20230423.pdf](#)  
[KarinZirkCommentsMBPMPA\\_20230417.pdf](#)

---

Please find comments on the De Anza Natural Draft PEIR attached in PDF format.

Please advise immediately if there are any technical difficulties with the PDF documents.

Warmly,

Karin Zirk, Ph.D. (she/her/hers)  
Executive Director  
Friends of Rose Creek  
\*\*\* Connecting Our Communities \*\*\*  
<https://SaveRoseCreek.org>

**From:** [Bentley, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Rao, Sameera](#); [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Field, Andy](#); [Dennison, Karen](#); [Howard, John](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Notice of Availability of a Draft Amendment and Draft PEIR for the De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan - Public Notice Date March 6, 2023  
**Date:** Friday, March 10, 2023 8:34:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [NOA of a Draft Amendment and Draft PEIR for the De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[De Anza Natural Email.docx](#)

---

Good morning Sameera and Scott,

I received the De Anza Natural Amendment notification on Monday and I would like to send the information to the Golf Operations Division's data base of players along with the attached email. Andy Field suggested I contact you looking for approval to send the attached information to our customers. Would this be appropriate?

Thank you and I look forward to hearing back from you.

*Scott Bentley, PGA*

*Deputy Director*

*City of San Diego*

*Parks & Rec. - Golf Division*

*11480 N. Torrey Pines Road*

*San Diego, CA 92037*

*(858) 552-1634*



---

**From:** Moore, Jordan <JTMoore@sandiego.gov> **On Behalf Of** PLN\_PlanningCEQA  
**Sent:** Monday, March 6, 2023 5:23 PM  
**To:** PLN\_PlanningCEQA <planningceqa@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** Notice of Availability of a Draft Amendment and Draft PEIR for the De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan - Public Notice Date March 6, 2023

**NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A DRAFT AMENDMENT AND DRAFT PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

Please see the attached Notice of Availability of a Draft Amendment and Draft Program Environmental Impact Report for the De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan, which was distributed for public review starting today, March 6, 2023.

The public review and comment period for the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report will end on **Thursday, April 20, 2023.**

Thank you,

**CEQA & Environmental Policy Section**  
City of San Diego Planning Department



**From:** [Aguilar, Mauricio](#)  
**To:** [ALL-Planning](#)  
**Subject:** RE: All-Planning Email Temporarily Unavailable  
**Date:** Thursday, September 21, 2023 4:25:11 PM

---

The All-Planning email is available again.

**Mauricio Aguilar**

Information Systems Analyst II  
City Planning Department

T 619-533-4773

[Visit the Technology Portal](#)

---

**From:** Aguilar, Mauricio <MGAgUILAR@sandiego.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2023 11:36 AM  
**To:** ALL-Planning <ALL-Planning@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** All-Planning Email Temporarily Unavailable

Hello,

The All-Planning email distribution list, [ALL-Planning@sandiego.gov](mailto:ALL-Planning@sandiego.gov), will be temporarily unavailable. Please do not send emails to the list at this time. This is due to the Department name being updated in Outlook for all users. I will follow up when it is available.

**Mauricio Aguilar**

Information Systems Analyst II  
City of San Diego  
City Planning Department

T (619) 533-4773  
[www.sandiego.gov](http://www.sandiego.gov)

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION**

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone.



**From:** [Friends of Rose Creek](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Comments on De Anza Natural Draft PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:27:33 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Scott,

My pleasure!

I am currently in Europe so will miss the meeting.

Best wishes!

Karin

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:42 PM  
**To:** info@saverosecreek.org  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Comments on De Anza Natural Draft PEIR

Karin,

Thank you for your insightful and thoughtful comments on the draft plan and amendment.

I hope to see you this afternoon at the Parks and Recreation board April meeting where we'll next participate in an informational presentation to the board.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Friends of Rose Creek <[info@saverosecreek.org](mailto:info@saverosecreek.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 11:11 AM  
**To:** PLN\_PlanningCEQA <[planningceqa@sandiego.gov](mailto:planningceqa@sandiego.gov)>

**Cc:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comments on De Anza Natural Draft PEIR

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Please find comments on the De Anza Natural Draft PEIR attached in PDF format.

Please advise immediately if there are any technical difficulties with the PDF documents.

**Warmly,**

**Karin Zirk, Ph.D. (she/her/hers)**  
**Executive Director**  
**Friends of Rose Creek**  
**\*\*\* Connecting Our Communities \*\*\***  
**<https://SaveRoseCreek.org>**

<<...>> <<...>>

**From:** [C. Mathes](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Avila, Jonathan](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Events for Public Comment for De Anza  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 6:55:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [MBPC 4.4.23 AGENDA.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Thanks for the information. We will be there Tuesday!

As a volunteer for Mission Bay Youth Baseball, we are very concerned that **youth sports** (which are non-profits) seem to be bearing the burden of the 100 foot wetlands buffer, while other activities like camping (which make the City money) don't seem to have the same requirement based on the draft plans we've seen.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Crista Mathes

On Thursday, March 30, 2023 at 08:53:47 AM PDT, Sandel, Scott <ssandel@sandiego.gov> wrote:

Good morning Crista,

Two public informational sessions are planned this month:

Mission Bay Park Committee

[see attached agenda I received from the committee.]

Parks and Recreation Board

Thursday, April 20th at 2:00 p.m. [No agenda just yet]

The meeting will be in-person at the Balboa Park Club Ballroom, and live stream via Zoom. You can go to the Parks and Rec Board's webpage for more info. <https://www.sandiego.gov/parkandrecboard>

Thank you so much for your interest.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner

City of San Diego

Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

ssandel@sandiego.gov

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION**

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** C Mathes <cjmathes@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 18, 2023 6:03 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Events for Public Comment for De Anza

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

I'm trying to find out more about any events/meetings planned for public comment about the De Anza Cove Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan.

What meetings are planned?

Thank you,

Crista Mathes

**From:** [1st Vice Commodore Brian](#)  
**To:** [Rao, Sameera](#)  
**Cc:** [Avila, Jonathan](#); [Steele Young - Commodore](#); [Sandel, Scott](#); [Vonblum, Heidi](#); [Stanco, Kelley](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Note from the Boat Club Commodore regarding recent DeAnza Amendment  
**Date:** Saturday, March 25, 2023 7:38:13 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Sameera,

Thank you very much for your reply. We will review and likely have additional questions which we will forward soonest.

Sincerely,  
Brian

On Fri, Mar 24, 2023 at 4:35 PM Rao, Sameera <[SRao@san Diego.gov](mailto:SRao@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

Hello 1st Vice Commodore Brian,

As you know, the adopted Mission Bay Park Master Plan (MBPMP) recommends the relocation of the Mission Bay Boat and Ski Club (Ski Club) to South Shores due to the sedimentation process on Rose Creek and future sea level rise. Please see recommendation #22 of MBPMP. Additionally, creek restoration, including stream widening, is a crucial nature-based element of De Anza Natural. To align figures 5 and 18 with recommendation #22, the location of the Ski Club, annotation 2, was moved from Rose Creek to South Shores. That being said, De Anza Natural includes a one-acre lease for non-motorized boats (0.2 land/.08 water) with a clubhouse.

Further, sports or aquatics clubs, such as the Ski Club, could utilize meeting rooms and other clubhouse functions. These clubs could share restrooms, meeting rooms, and other infrastructure. Identified in the Parks Master Plan as "co-benefits," synergistic infrastructure uses described above would be a compelling feature in a future De Anza General Development Plan (GDP).

The plan amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan has been and will continue to be a deliberate process involving the Coastal Commission and other regulatory agency cooperation. This is to say that nothing is imminent regarding the SDMBBSC facilities on Rose Creek. As we have discussed, there remains a seat at the table for the Club as the South Shores GDP process gets underway. It is our understanding that the GDP process will start this year. We would be happy to put you in contact with the Engineering and Capital Project Department staff managing the South Shores GDP. We also welcome any additional feedback that you would like to provide on the De Anza plan for the Boat and Ski club.

Thanks,

**Sameera Rao, PE, PMP**

she/her/hers | [Why do pronouns matter?](#)

Assistant Deputy Director

City of San Diego

Planning Department

T (619) 446-5346

[sandiego.gov/planning](http://sandiego.gov/planning)

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION**

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Avila, Jonathan <[JOAvila@sandiego.gov](mailto:JOAvila@sandiego.gov)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 20, 2023 1:23 PM

**To:** 1st Vice Commodore Brian <[1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>; Steele Young - Commodore <[commodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:commodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>

**Cc:** Sandel, Scott <[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)>; Rao, Sameera <[SRao@sandiego.gov](mailto:SRao@sandiego.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Note from the Boat Club Commodore regarding recent DeAnza Amendment

Hi Brain –

Thank you for your question. Our team will review your questions and get back to you this week. We hope you're having a great Monday.

Regards,

**Jonathan Avila, RLA**, (he/him)

Development Project Manager III

T (619) 525-8233

---

**From:** 1st Vice Commodore Brian <[1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 15, 2023 12:21 PM  
**To:** Steele Young - Commodore <[commodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:commodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>; Avila, Jonathan <[JOAvila@saniego.gov](mailto:JOAvila@saniego.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Note from the Boat Club Commodore regarding recent DeAnza Amendment

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Jonathan,

I'm not sure we've met...but your name was mentioned recently in the context of clarifications related to the latest draft Amendment for De Anza Cove. We would be very grateful for any information you can offer regarding our questions below we asked of Ms Vonblum recently. It would be particularly helpful if we could understand the meaning of the annotations on Fig 5 and Fig 18 and the mention of our Boat & Ski Club.

Thanks a million for any help!

Brian

----- Forwarded message -----


**From:** Steele Young - Commodore <[commodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:commodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 12, 2023 at 11:00 AM  
**Subject:** Note from the Boat Club Commodore regarding recent DeAnza Amendment  
**To:** Vonblum, Heidi <[VonblumH@saniego.gov](mailto:VonblumH@saniego.gov)>  
**Cc:** 1st Vice Commodore Brian <[1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org](mailto:1stvicecommodore@sdmbbbsc.org)>

Dear Ms Vonblum,

I am writing to you today regarding the recent release of the Draft DeAnza Cove Amendment, and how it specifically relates to the future of our San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club.


Specifically we are requesting the following clarifications:

1. Does the City intend to retain a location for the San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club in this Plan?
2. Can you please clarify the meaning of the annotations on Figure 5 and Figure 18 of this Draft Amendment relating to the mention of the San Diego Mission Bay Boat & Ski Club? Please see the images below. Does this mean the City intends to offer the 4 acre plot adjacent to the South Shores Launch Ramp for the new location of our Club as originally contemplated in the Mission Bay Master Plan?



DRAFT: February 2023





We would be very grateful for your reply.

Thank you & Best Regards from the Boat Club,

Steele Young

Commodore

[Join Us](#)

**From:** [Bert Benevento](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Joanna-BOD](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] MUCH MORE RECREATION NEEDED!  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:12:09 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks to you Scott for all your hard work on this massive project, and for working with our club via Joanna to keep us informed.

Bert

On Thu, Apr 20, 2023 at 8:07 AM Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

Mr. Benevento,

Thank you for your email. I am mindful of your group and the facilities there at PB Tennis, and I wish you good luck on the upcoming tournament. I appreciate your input on the proposed DE Anza Natural plan and plan amendment. I hope to see you tomorrow afternoon at the Parks and Recreation board April meeting where we'll next participate in an informational presentation to the board.

Scott Sandel, CA RLA

**Parks Planner**

Parks + Public Spaces Division

Planning Department

City of San Diego

T (619) 235-5204

---

**From:** Bert Benevento <[pbtc.bert@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.bert@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:59 AM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)>  
**Cc:** CouncilMember Joe LaCava <[JoeLaCava@san Diego.gov](mailto:JoeLaCava@san Diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] MUCH MORE RECREATION NEEDED!

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott:

As President of PB Tennis Club I am concerned about the wrong direction this redevelopment is being taken by outside forces. Our existing recreation amenities, which are used daily by thousands of area residents, have served our community for over 6 decades and were built when the population was about 1/3 of what it is now. **We need MORE recreation, not less. In terms of tennis, we only have 8 courts...we need 2-3 times that. We also need to add Pickleball, paddleball, & volleyball courts.** The DeAnza area vacated by the mobile homes is the ideal place for a major recreation center along with a shared clubhouse, cafe, restrooms, showers, and even a swimming pool.

There is no question that sports and recreation promote huge health benefits for all age groups. Also, kids who participate in sports and activities are much less likely to get into trouble. While we are grateful for the amenities we've had for over 60 years, they also haven't been updated or maintained very well. For example, our tennis club can't have real bathrooms due to lack of a sewer line. That's an embarrassment for "America's finest City." We are also destined to lose 22 tennis courts when SDTRC at Tecolote gets redeveloped due to the Trolley zoning changes. Because that loss is due to City promoted policies, those courts will need to be replaced by the City, even though that club is private.

At first REWILD seemed reasonable with their demands and willingness to compromise. They have gone insanely driven to turn this entire bay into a swamp. Their "science" is questionable at best...based on forecasts 50+ years from now. Our weather forecasters can barely forecast the weather tomorrow! Also - who is funding ReWild? How many of their advocates actually live in this area...or in this City? I understand much of their funding comes from other cities. The decisions surrounding this area should be made in concert with the residents of this community.

We have enough marsh. I actually like Campland where it is. We rudely evicted thousands of people from their homes at DeAnza. The least we can do is turn it into something wonderful for our community. I reside in a property that fronts the Kendall Frost marsh. I speak first hand that we have enough marsh. And the birds here are all very happy! In terms of funding, the real estate boom has generated huge

property tax revenue increases - let's use some of that money for the community's benefit.

Thanks for considering my requests.

Bert Benevento

Crown Point

**From:** [Gary Cannon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment  
**Date:** Friday, March 10, 2023 9:36:19 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thank you. In looking at the PEIR, now I'm confused about what the "boat facility" refers to. In the PIER it references a "boat rental facility". Is that the same "Boat Facility and clubhouse" cited on the various Site Plan and Alternative Site Plans for the Master Plan Amendment?

On Fri, Mar 10, 2023 at 3:45 PM Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

Thank you for your email Mr. Cannon.

At this time, the "traffic" on De Anza Cove is proposed to be non-motorized.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner

City of San Diego

Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san Diego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Gary Cannon <[garydcannon@gmail.com](mailto:garydcannon@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 10, 2023 12:18 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott. I am reviewing the plan and wondered if the proposed Boat Facility and clubhouse is only for non-motorized craft or will motorized vessels be located and launched from there as well? Thanks!

**From:** [Gary Cannon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment  
**Date:** Saturday, March 11, 2023 9:58:26 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Sorry I'm still not clear. Is the boat rental facility different from the boat facility or is it the exact same structure. Thanks!

On Sat, Mar 11, 2023 at 8:02 AM Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

I appreciate the question. It could potentially be, but not necessarily be, in whole or part a future leasehold area.

---

**From:** Gary Cannon <[garydcannon@gmail.com](mailto:garydcannon@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 10, 2023 9:36 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thank you. In looking at the PEIR, now I'm confused about what the "boat facility" refers to. In the PIER it references a "boat rental facility". Is that the same "Boat Facility and clubhouse" cited on the various Site Plan and Alternative Site Plans for the Master Plan Amendment?

On Fri, Mar 10, 2023 at 3:45 PM Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:SSandel@san Diego.gov)> wrote:

Thank you for your email Mr. Cannon.

At this time, the "traffic" on De Anza Cove is proposed to be non-motorized.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner

City of San Diego

Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@saniego.gov](mailto:ssandel@saniego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Gary Cannon <[garydcannon@gmail.com](mailto:garydcannon@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 10, 2023 12:18 PM

**To:** Sandel, Scott <[ssandel@saniego.gov](mailto:ssandel@saniego.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott. I am reviewing the plan and wondered if the proposed Boat Facility and clubhouse is only for non-motorized craft or will motorized vessels be located and launched from there as well? Thanks!



**From:** [carole.farr](mailto:carole.farr)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott; joellacava@sandiego.gov](mailto:joellacava@sandiego.gov)  
**Cc:** [Steve Leffler](#); [Todd Sprague](#); [John Broderick](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] A Balanced Approach to De Anza Natural is Essential for Community Health  
**Date:** Sunday, April 16, 2023 9:01:44 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

As resident of San Diego and user of the City's recreational facilities, I would like to express concerns about the proposal and potential loss of active sports facilities within the proposed footprint of the De Anza Natural Area. Preservation of existing facilities that are used for the health and well-being of community members should be **balanced** with environmental considerations. Furthermore, as the population of San Diego is likely to grow in coming years, the City must **balance** public demand for recreational facilities with its Climate Action Plan and active recreation facilities should be preserved. In the event active sports facilities are to be relocated, the phasing and capital improvement plan for the De Anza Natural project area should include replacement and enhancement of sports facilities (tennis, softball, soccer, pickleball, etc) BEFORE changes to or loss of existing facilities.

I am an avid tennis player and some of the proposed De Anza Natural project options include setbacks that would impact Pacific Beach Tennis Club (PBTC). The tennis courts at PBTC have been managed and maintained by the tennis community at no cost to the City for decades. This self-sustaining facility is important to the tennis community as the City has not built new tennis courts in public parks in many years despite growing demand.

Please balance the environmental considerations in the De Anza Natural project area with the health, fitness and well-being needs of the citizens of San Diego.

Respectfully,  
Carole Farr  
San Diego Resident

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**From:** [Friends of Rose Creek](#)  
**To:** [PLN\\_PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Cc:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comments on De Anza Natural Draft PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 11:11:50 AM  
**Attachments:** [FORC\\_DPEIR\\_CommentLetter\\_Final-20230423.pdf](#)  
[KarinZirkCommentsMBPMPA\\_20230417.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Please find comments on the De Anza Natural Draft PEIR attached in PDF format.

Please advise immediately if there are any technical difficulties with the PDF documents.

**Warmly,**

**Karin Zirk, Ph.D. (she/her/hers)**  
**Executive Director**  
**Friends of Rose Creek**  
**\*\*\* Connecting Our Communities \*\*\***  
**<https://SaveRoseCreek.org>**

<<...>> <<...>>

**From:** [Ann Nicholson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:26:53 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Mr. Sandel,

Please consider the following:

- The city's draft EIR must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective to "improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure." The 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan demands it.
- The draft EIR is missing details on foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can we, the city, or anyone be expected to determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park?
- The city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with one of the best ways to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.
- The city's proposal fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit with access to a vibrant tidal marsh.

Thank you.

Best,  
Ann Nicholson

**From:** [Nelson, Shelley](#) on behalf of [Chine, Jeffrey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [Moore, Jordan](#); [PLN PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Cc:** [Jacob J. Gelfand](#); [Michael Gelfand](#); [Chris Wahl](#); [Ashley Johnson](#); [Chine, Jeffrey](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan/PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:08:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [4.20.23 Comment LTR re Mission Bay Park Master Plan.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Greetings,

On behalf of Jeff Chine, attached are comments to the Draft Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan, as well as the Mission Bay Park Master Plan Draft PEIR, submitted on behalf of our clients, Northeast MB, LLC and Campland, LLC. Please send a quick reply acknowledging receipt.

Kind regards,

**Shelley Nelson** | Legal Secretary for  
Jeffrey A. Chine, Michael C. Pruter, Seth A. Garrett,  
Lauren E. Palmer and Geoffrey K. Stauffer  
Direct (619) 235-1555 x32238 | [snelson@allenmatkins.com](mailto:snelson@allenmatkins.com)  
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP  
One America Plaza, 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101-0903  
[Allen Matkins](#)

---

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system. Thank you.

**From:** [Constance Charles](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 5:42:23 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I am a member of San Diego Audubon Society and as such have been hearing about the importance of "rewilding" the De Anza area of Mission Bay for the past several years. I believe it is very important to make the greatest designation of rewilding possible, more than the city is currently showing.

Please consider my request when making the final decisions about this project.

Thank you.

Connie Charles  
Santee, CA 92071

**From:** [Daniel Heinrich](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:29:45 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello Scott, as a native San Diegan and lifelong resident of the 92110 zip code I'm writing to support the "wildest" version of the plan. This plan will maximize the harmony between residents, tourists and our precious natural resources / wildlife.

Thank you for incorporating my input.

-Daniel Heinrich

**From:** [Debby Merickel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:26:47 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

The Pickleball Association of San Diego is a non-profit organization that wants to create dedicated, managed pickleball facilities on public land in the City of San Diego: Open to the general public at an affordable price, offering player resources (such as instruction, leagues, youth programs, curated sessions by level, social events, and tournaments), funded by the nonprofit organization.

#### A. TOP 10 Reasons

1. Easy to Learn
2. Requires Minimal Equipment
3. Can Be Played Anywhere
4. Open to All Ages & Skill Levels
5. Social Atmosphere
6. Family-Friendly
7. Good for Your Health
8. Low-Impact & No-Contact Sport
9. Benefits Performance in Other Racquet Sports
10. It is FUN!

There are thousands of San Diego residents that could be served by adding pickleball courts at De Anza Cove.

Sincerely,  
Debby Merickel  
member of the Pickleball Association of San Diego

Sincerely,  
Deborah Merickel, resident of Pacific Beach.

**From:** [Doug LaRue](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:06:57 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott, just wanted to let you know that I'm all for more wetlands around Mission Bay and especially some of the back bay areas like De Anza. The added wildlife it brings, lots of birds and bats, means fewer insects throughout Pacific Beach. And then there's the filtering of the mess that comes down places like Rose creek, etc. cleaner water is good for everyone and sorely needed.

Again, adding more wetlands is good for us and good for nature which is where we live.

Kind regards,  
Doug



**From:** [Edie Munk](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, March 25, 2023 3:58:48 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

My name is Edie Munk and I am the great-granddaughter of Lena Kendall who donated the Kendall-Frost Marsh, along with the Frost family, to the UCSD Natural Reserve System.

I have attached a photo of my mom, Judith Munk, rowing away on the Kendall-Frost in a 1937 photo.

Implementing the Wildest scale of the Audubon's ReWild plan is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to restore and preserve these precious and important wetlands.

The restoration and preservation of the greatest amount of acreage possible would:

filter clean water for enjoyable recreational use,

combat sea level rise,

allow for wildlife to thrive,

bring nature at its grandest to San Diego's backyard,

promote the best wetlands conservation practices setting a stellar example both nationally and internationally,

meet our Climate Action goals,

gain access to public lands,

and explore our Native American regional heritage.

Imagine our young people of all ages being immersed once again in the beauty and health of a prospering wetlands, just like my mom did in 1937.

But this can only be achieved if the greatest amount of wetlands acreage is restored for Northeast Mission Bay under the ReWild Wildest plan.

Thank you and sincerely yours,  
Edie Munk  
La Jolla

**From:** [Fran Pierce](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 2:49:42 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Why as residents of San Diego, do we have to beg our city to establish year round outdoor exercise areas that very few cities in the United States have the luxury of having? Tennis, pickleball and baseball are wonderful outdoor sports for the young and old alike. PB tennis needs more courts, not fewer, as our population increases. Please hear us. We don't have the backing or money of a big organization. They may be louder, but our tax paying residential number is bigger and we want to be heard. Thank you.

Fran Pierce  
Pacific Beach Tennis Member since 1988.

--

Fran

**From:** [Barbara Meyer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:35:37 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Sir,

My husband and I visit San Diego a couple of times a year, and we always enjoy all the things that the city offers tourists. One of our favorite places is Mission Bay, and we have been impressed with the foresight of the city in caring for and improving that vitality important ecosystem.

Please continue the plans to prioritize water quality there, by using natural methods as per your 1994 Mission Bay Master Plan. And continue with the work of mitigating sea level rise and putting in place the recommendations of the city's Climate Action Plan to restore the 700 acres of Tidal Marsh.

These actions are critical . The whole of the San Diego community will benefit from maximum restored robust wetlands, not only for continued recreational use of the vibrant tidal marsh and all of Mission Bay, but also for the health and safety of the plants, animals and humans - residents and visitors - in your beautiful part of our world.

You have a chance to get it right in Mission Bay- please don't take chances with the ecosystem you need to protect.

Thank You,

Barbara L. Meyer  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Barbara Meyer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:20:55 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear sir

We visit San Diego several times a year and always enjoy Mission Bay and its natural and wild habitat. We hope that San Diego expands and protects this beautiful and vital resource.

Sincerely, Karl Meyer, Campbell Hall, New York

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Barry & Cindy](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Friday, March 24, 2023 2:46:50 PM  
**Attachments:** [BH\\_Mar2023 City Council .docx](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott Sandel:

Hi Scott,

We thought it would be helpful to share our concerned thoughts with you. Thank you for being part of the solution, however it turns out.

Thank you,  
Barry Homer

*Yes! De Anza Peninsula is a jewel for San Diego. Let's not squander its unique attraction for the dubious value of adding a small amount of marshland to an existing already large marsh.*

*Jacob Gelfand's Campland organization is proven to be the **right group** to do the necessary transformation to start De Anza on the path to recovery and success. Campland's **proven 50-year history** shows how they have been **good stewards** of this precious resource.*

*Campland is now cleaning up De Anza, which will transform an unusable eye-sore into **affordable waterfront access** enjoyed by many.*

*San Diego is lucky to have De Anza and the Campland organization. They are **magnets** for campers who bring revenue and jobs to the City.*

*With the new project to clean up a long-blighted area, San Diego will again benefit from this **good neighbor**.*

*"Mission Bay Park Master Plan supports that this Regional Park serves all of the residents of San Diego as well as visitors". And further states "land use recommendations include regional recreation needs, including Guest Housing (camping facilities and recreational vehicles)".*

*"Leaseholds that support the Mission Bay recreation use" are already in place with Campland and Mission Bay RV Resort. **Keep them BOTH.** It's a no-brainer, good business, win-win decision.*

**From:** [Beth Mather](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan PEIR  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 6:49:01 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

I am writing to let you know that I am in strong favor of maximizing wetland restoration in the De Anza area. Maximizing the wetlands is in the best interests of San Diego. Not only will more wetlands help water quality, more wetlands will play a role in preventing damage from sea level rise. Maximizing wetlands is the prudent approach.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mather

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Bill Tippetts](#)  
**To:** [PLN PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Cc:** [Mike McCoy](#); [Gary Brown](#); [Justin Anderson](#); [Andrew Meyer](#); [Jim Peugh](#); [Sandel Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Master Plan PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:55:38 PM  
**Attachments:** [DeAnzaNatural\\_PEIR\\_comments\)20Apr2023.docx](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

City of San Diego,

The Southwest Wetlands Interpretive Association submits the attached comments on the referenced document. Many of our comments are also included in the San Diego Audubon Society's ReWild Coalition letter, of which we are a member.

Please keep SWIA informed of actions on this project. Our contact is Bill Tippetts, Secretary of the Board

Regards,

Bill Tippetts ([billtippetts@gmail.com](mailto:billtippetts@gmail.com))



**From:** [Catherine Thiemann](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:21:54 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

As a 33 year resident on Mission Bay, **I encourage the city to adopt the wildest plan for restoring wetlands in the NE corner of Mission Bay.** We have a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to create a beautiful, natural, multi-use environment that will help mitigate against the inevitable rise in sea level, while inspiring San Diegans and visitors who will be drawn to spend time in this beautiful area. The wildest option still leaves plenty of room for camping, while preserving and protecting the most fragile part of this ecosystem.

Thank you,  
Catherine Thiemann  
Pacific Beach

**From:** [Charnelle Merrill](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:02:01 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

**I support the prioritizing of wetland restoration in the northeast Mission Bay.** Right here, right now is our city's best opportunity to meet our Climate Action-Goals. Please don't ignore the importance of wetlands, don't allow this special area to be lost. Make sure it can do its job by allowing it to mitigate coastal damage caused by past or future development.

Wetlands provide clean water for all aquatic recreation in the bay, something I enjoy while out on the water.

Increasing wetlands will restore fish and bird habitat that has been lost bringing in dollars from environmental tourism. Something I will enjoy while photographing wildlife in the area

Wetlands will improve water quality to the entire Bay area

Wetlands will protect communities from the impacts of sea level rise.

Please restore the wetlands, but even more, we need this for the future health of our environment. I don't know what you have planned for this area, but I know if I were planning a better bay, I would want it to improve our way of life and protect our coast for future generations and for all life in the area. The wilder the better for our bay.

Thank you.

Charnelle Merrill

**From:** [Cherry Robinson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:09:25 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr Sandel,

Climate Change waits for none of us. The catastrophic effects are being felt all over the world, likely no more so than in coastal lands. So, we cannot afford to wait to take action. Sea level rise is evident and increasing. We must do all that we can to save what we have and prepare for what is to come.

The city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035. The Wildest plan provides the city with one of the best ways to achieve this goal, but the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to evaluate its proposals against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

Please support the actions that we so desperately need to take now so that we might have a tomorrow.

Cherry Robinson  
The Climate Reality Project  
San Diego Chapter Coalitions Chair  
[DrCherryClimateChange@gmail.com](mailto:DrCherryClimateChange@gmail.com)

*"Fight Like you world depends on it...because it does"*  
*Al Gore*

**From:** [Adrian Gutierrez](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Friday, March 24, 2023 12:07:58 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

The EIR is incomplete without analyzing the coming impacts from sea level rise—show us how you preserve the restored wetlands like the Master Plan calls for!

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Andrew Smisek](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [PLN PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Plan & Analyzed Alternatives  
**Date:** Saturday, March 25, 2023 8:07:42 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I support prioritizing wetland restoration here; it's the best place in the City to meet our Climate Action Goals. I want more wetlands because they clean the water for all the recreational users of the Bay—we need that. The EIR is incomplete without analyzing the coming impacts from sea level rise—show us how you preserve the restored wetlands like the Master Plan calls for. We need to choose the alternative that proposes the most wetlands and other native habitat areas to best plan for climate change and future pressures on native plant and animal species. The future humans will appreciate our forethought too.

Thank you,  
Andrew Smisek

**From:** [adrivan10@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:adrivan10@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Adriana Zuniga](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:24:11 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Adriana Zuniga  
PO Box 3111 Anaheim, CA 92803-3111

**From:** [alhartwick@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alhartwick@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [ALVIN Hartwick](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:23:37 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
ALVIN Hartwick  
144 Esplanade San Clemente, CA 92672-4258

**From:** [gaudette.daniel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gaudette.daniel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dan Gaudette](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:05:23 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dan Gaudette  
3727 Nassau Dr San Diego, CA 92115-7140



**From:** [danajgoldberg@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:danajgoldberg@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dana Goldberg, Pediatrician](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 8:07:47 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dana Goldberg, Pediatrician  
657 Coastal Hills Dr Chula Vista, CA 91914-4319

**From:** [danettabbott2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:danettabbott2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Danett Abbott-Wicker](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:42 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Danett Abbott-Wicker  
101 W Riverdale Ave Unit 6 Orange, CA 92865-1053

**From:** [dgoldb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dgoldb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Daniel Goldberg](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:15:34 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Goldberg  
1269 Baylor Pl Riverside, CA 92506-4734

**From:** [dangstevenson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dangstevenson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Daniel Stevenson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:27:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Stevenson  
16636 Maverick Ct Poway, CA 92064-1612

**From:** [dannietrouton@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dannietrouton@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dannie Trouton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:34 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dannie Trouton  
PO Box 1559 Brawley, CA 92227-0379

**From:** [dnjcarlson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dnjcarlson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Carlson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:11:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
David Carlson  
7835 Rush Rose Dr Unit 310 Carlsbad, CA 92009-6829

**From:** [davcarp@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:davcarp@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Carp](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:36:40 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
David Carp  
66110 San Juan Rd Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240-2338

**From:** [dawndougla@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dawndougla@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dawn Douglas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:29:40 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Douglas  
13190 Carousel Ln Del Mar, CA 92014-3529



**From:** [dawndee56@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dawndee56@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dawn Dulac](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:21 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Dulac  
6590 Springfield St San Diego, CA 92114-1527

**From:** [amanda.l3ird@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:amanda.l3ird@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Amanda Bird](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:07:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Bird  
4922 1/2 Old Cliffs Rd San Diego, CA 92120-1148

**From:** [avifan59@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:avifan59@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dawn Garcia](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 6:22:29 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

I am a biologist and know how important looking at the future potential for sea water rise is for wildlife. The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Garcia  
33091 Christina Dr Dana Point, CA 92629-1010

**From:** [dprangerfam@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dprangerfam@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dean Ranger](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:11:05 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

It is so incredibly rare to get an opportunity like this to set aside and protect -- much less Re-Wild! -- natural space in a spot that so many people and interests would love to "develop" to their tastes. This is truly a once in a lifetime opportunity -- don't miss it!!

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dean Ranger  
6327 Lake Lomond Dr San Diego, CA 92119-3031

**From:** [dstonge1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dstonge1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Deb St.Onge](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:42:39 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Deb St. Onge  
13353 Olive Grove Dr Poway, CA 92064-3945

**From:** [dshoneyc@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dshoneyc@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Deborah Honeycutt](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:20:22 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Deborah Honeycutt

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Honeycutt  
10855 Canarywood Ct San Diego, CA 92131-2641

**From:** [dkoken@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dkoken@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Deborah Koken](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:23:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Please ensure that the city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project prioritizes water quality by making the water quality of the study area and the bay a specific project objective. As you know, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect the park? The city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, and the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan is the best way to achieve this goal. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal despite the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. This habitat will be lost over the next several decades as sea levels rise. If we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species can be saved.

The draft proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem which could ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Koken  
1778 Kenwood Pl Costa Mesa, CA 92627-3621

**From:** [lucylanc@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lucylanc@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [deborah lancman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:02 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
deborah lancman  
10028 Resmar Pl La Mesa, CA 91941-6923



**From:** [sargentdeb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sargentdeb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Deborah SARGENT](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:43:26 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Deborah SARGENT  
17015 Hierba Dr San Diego, CA 92128-2657

**From:** [debrashaw890@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:debrashaw890@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Debra Shaw](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:05:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Debra Shaw  
324 S State St Hemet, CA 92546-7001

**From:** [denisechurch@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:denisechurch@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Denise Churchill](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 9:39:30 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Denise Churchill  
250 Lilac Ln Brea, CA 92823-7030

**From:** [dlschepman@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dlschepman@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dennis Schepman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:50:10 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Please consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal in your decision.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dennis Schepman  
1913 Mountain Valley Ln Escondido, CA 92029-4209

**From:** [amiramansour@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:amiramansour@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Amira Mansour](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:40:37 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Amira Mansour  
4615 Green Tree Ln Irvine, CA 92612-2250

**From:** [dbadi1967@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dbadi1967@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Diane Hollenbeck](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:51:12 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Diane Hollenbeck  
1282 Lance Dr Tustin, CA 92780-4541

**From:** [djaynes@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:djaynes@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Diane Jaynes](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:37:38 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Diane Jaynes  
4034 Crown Point Dr San Diego, CA 92109-6201

**From:** [dlane4785@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dlane4785@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dianne Lane](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:13:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

There is no Plant B.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dianne Lane  
3509 Udall St San Diego, CA 92106-1648



**From:** [donstrazz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:donstrazz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Don Strazzabosco](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:49:38 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Don Strazzabosco  
10766 Brookview Ln San Diego, CA 92131-1207

**From:** [donaldbetts14@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:donaldbetts14@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Donald Betts](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:32:29 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Donald Betts  
3621 Vista Campana S Oceanside, CA 92057-8246

**From:** [dgrampp50@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dgrampp50@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Donna Grampp](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:19 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Donna Grampp  
906 Harmony Ln Fullerton, CA 92831-1910

**From:** [livelife528@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:livelife528@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Donna Hunt](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 11:38:20 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Donna Hunt  
32941 Maiden Ln Lake Elsinore, CA 92530-8007

**From:** [balche@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:balche@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Earl Balch Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:11:53 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Earl Balch  
838 San Luis Rey Pl San Diego, CA 92109-8249

**From:** [spheniscus@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:spheniscus@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Einar Gall Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:59:37 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Einar Gall  
5820 Folsom Dr La Jolla, CA 92037-7323

**From:** [tabbysmom@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tabbysmom@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elaine Barrett](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:17:14 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elaine Barrett  
1020 Robinson Ave Apt 8 San Diego, CA 92103-4474

**From:** [acornelius@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:acornelius@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Andrea Cornelius](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:50:49 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Cornelius  
1615 Dichoso Dr Escondido, CA 92025-6220



**From:** [ebalpine@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ebalpine@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elaine Benjamin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:00:35 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elaine Benjamin  
2627 Eltinge Dr Alpine, CA 91901-2240

**From:** [EShtayyeh@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:EShtayyeh@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elise Shtayyeh](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:11:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elise Shtayyeh  
341 W Sepulveda Rd Palm Springs, CA 92262-1949

**From:** [oliverhamman@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:oliverhamman@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elizabeth Oliver](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:03:53 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Oliver  
1437 Virginia Way La Jolla, CA 92037-3809

**From:** [mldwade@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mldwade@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ellen Wade](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:26:20 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Please take this great opportunity to preserve wild life area! The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Wade  
1572 Sunset Cliffs Blvd San Diego, CA 92107-3432

**From:** [elliottjones@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:elliottjones@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elliot Jones](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:32:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elliot Jones  
4319 Mentone St San Diego, CA 92107-1119

**From:** [esernel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:esernel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elliott Sernel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, April 30, 2023 10:43:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Elliott Sernel  
481 W Mariscal Rd Palm Springs, CA 92262-2911

**From:** [enora.lecuyer1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:enora.lecuyer1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Enora Lecuyer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:17:58 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Enora Lecuyer  
27662 Aliso Creek Rd Apt 6213 Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-5800

**From:** [eowynbates@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:eowynbates@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Eowyn Bates](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:16:23 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Eowyn Bates  
4549 Felton St San Diego, CA 92116-4402



**From:** [ericareneemurray@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ericareneemurray@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Erica Murray](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:26:33 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Erica Murray  
2391 Caringa Way Carlsbad, CA 92009-6362

**From:** [erin.imhof@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:erin.imhof@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Erin ImHof](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:01:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Erin ImHof  
26072 Anacapa St Laguna Hills, CA 92653-6272

**From:** [drealo24@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:drealo24@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Andrea Luna](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 9:51:18 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Luna  
934 Buena Suerte Fallbrook, CA 92028-4518

**From:** [erin.luke@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:erin.luke@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Erin Luke Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:19:14 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Erin Luke  
5980 Dandridge Ln Unit 204 San Diego, CA 92115-6581

**From:** [rin15@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:rin15@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Erin Millikin Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:37:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Erin Millikin  
1582 Paseo Aurora San Diego, CA 92154-4858

**From:** [equilin@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:equilin@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Eugenia Guilin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:25:08 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Eugenia Guilin  
17406 S Defrain Blvd Blythe, CA 92225-9215

**From:** [evayakutis@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:evayakutis@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Eva Yakutis](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:25:34 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Mission Bay was a cool thing back in the 50s when we were leading charmed lives without any concerns for climate change or damage to native habits. But in today's world and with our recognition of the damages we inflict on the earth (and establishment after 1970 of the EPA, the clean water act, and the clean air act), I support any mitigation and "rewilding" in mission bay. The man-made 1950s bay just doesn't harmonize at all with today's world.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Eva Yakutis  
5244 Alcorn Pl San Diego, CA 92115-3507

**From:** [eshowley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:eshowley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Evelyn Showley](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:18:23 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Evelyn Showley  
3687 Oleander Dr San Diego, CA 92106-1155



**From:** [feliciarobertorn@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:feliciarobertorn@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Felicia Roberto](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:31:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Felicia Roberto  
1577 Rebecca Ln El Cajon, CA 92019-3474

**From:** [floliton@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:floliton@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Florence Litton Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:08:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Florence Litton  
15712 Woods Valley Rd Valley Center, CA 92082-7317

**From:** [gaby\\_ramirez@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gaby_ramirez@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gabriela Ramirez](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:48:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gabriela Ramirez  
886 Genevieve Ave Chula Vista, CA 91913-3134

**From:** [galekirk1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:galekirk1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gale Kirk](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:40:30 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gale Kirk  
20242 Bayview Ave Newport Beach, CA 92660-0708

**From:** [elizgeo1938@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:elizgeo1938@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [George Ellison](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Friday, October 13, 2023 6:15:36 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
George Ellison  
3546 Kite St San Diego, CA 92103-4713

**From:** [atomsky@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:atomsky@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Andy Tomsky](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 10:31:08 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Andy Tomsky  
1751 Citracado Pkwy Escondido, CA 92029-4157

**From:** [whitmange@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:whitmange@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [George Whitman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:12:15 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
George Whitman  
67165 Garbino Rd Cathedral City, CA 92234-3479

**From:** [georgia.labey@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:georgia.labey@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Georgia Labey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:08:54 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Georgia Labey  
77915 Avenue Of The States Apt 606 Palm Desert, CA 92211-8403



**From:** [gfc223@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gfc223@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gina Carollo](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 1:17:25 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gina Carollo  
3003 Eagle St San Diego, CA 92103-5425

**From:** [gschlaep@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gschlaep@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gloria Schlaepfer](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:54:59 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gloria Schlaepfer  
891 S Walnut St Apt 225 Anaheim, CA 92802-1763

**From:** [gbarajas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gbarajas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Graciela Barajas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:28:47 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Graciela Barajas  
2930 Broadway Unit 52 San Diego, CA 92102-7206

**From:** [wintongreg@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:wintongreg@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [greg winton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 9:15:27 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
greg winton  
11655 Pimlico Way Moreno Valley, CA 92557-8554

**From:** [k9mavro@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:k9mavro@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gretchen Mavrovouniotis](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:39:22 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gretchen Mavrovouniotis  
14 Sunriver Irvine, CA 92614-5402

**From:** [gwendolyndick@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gwendolyndick@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gwendolyn Dick](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 3:47:36 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Gwendolyn Dick  
8520 Cliffridge Ave La Jolla, CA 92037-2110

**From:** [angclaytn@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angclaytn@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Angela Clayton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:01:17 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Angela Clayton  
1580 Shadowridge Dr Apt 157 Vista, CA 92081-9036

**From:** [angiegrosland@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angiegrosland@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Angie Grosland Jones](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Friday, May 5, 2023 6:45:00 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Angie Grosland Jones  
5871 Stresemann St San Diego, CA 92122-3140



**From:** [angiegrosland@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angiegrosland@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Angie Grosland Jones](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 7:23:28 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Angie Grosland Jones  
5871 Stresemann St San Diego, CA 92122-3140

**From:** [anncoulston@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anncoulston@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ann Coulston](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:47:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ann Coulston  
1850 Sand Hill Rd Apt 24 Palo Alto, CA 94304-2162

**From:** [sask23@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sask23@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Adrienne Kirkeby](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:40:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

As a former San Diegan and nature lover, I was happy to see that the City has created the De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan. Here are some considerations that came to my attention.

1. In San Diego's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project please add plan objective to prioritize water quality by restoring wetlands.
2. The De Anza plan is also missing details on the impacts of sea level rise. While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration.
3. According to Audubon, Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. These birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – are in danger of losing their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated unless the marsh is preserved.

Please know, I appreciate the work you have already done here to preserve the Mission Bay area. As we all know, it is a treasure that cannot be replaced, once lost.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Adrienne Kirkeby  
401 S El Cielo Rd Palm Springs, CA 92262-7913

**From:** [strats2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:strats2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ann Stratten](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:41:10 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ann Stratten  
3751 Via Picante La Mesa, CA 91941-7325

**From:** [anne1011@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anne1011@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Anne Adams](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:14:36 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Anne Adams  
3630 6th Ave Apt 411 San Diego, CA 92103-4382

**From:** [adugaw@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:adugaw@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Anne Dugaw](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:13:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Anne Dugaw  
385 Ogle St Apt C Costa Mesa, CA 92627-4762

**From:** [anneelliott4@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anneelliott4@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Anne Elliott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:59:05 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Anne Elliott  
1950 Upas St Unit 304 San Diego, CA 92104-3277

**From:** [annette.alohayoga@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:annette.alohayoga@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Annette Murch](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, May 21, 2023 1:26:06 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Annette Murch  
1155 Aloha Dr Encinitas, CA 92024-3909



**From:** [mimileonetti@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mimileonetti@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Antonia Leonetti](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Saturday, April 22, 2023 11:59:37 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Antonia Leonetti  
25245 Stepping Stone Cir Menifee, CA 92584-8546

**From:** [tonimonzon@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tonimonzon@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Antonia Monzon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Antonia Monzon  
1275 E Walnut Ave Orange, CA 92867-6953

**From:** [mondopwr@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mondopwr@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Armando A.Garcia](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:05:48 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Armando A. Garcia  
946 Whimbrel Way Perris, CA 92571-7715

**From:** [aafink17@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:aafink17@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Arthur Fink Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:53:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Fink  
5670 Tau St La Mesa, CA 91942-2844

**From:** [aryn25@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:aryn25@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Aryn Wilder Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:21:19 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Wetlands occupy only a tiny fraction of their historical distribution. There are very few opportunities for restoring this critical habitat along the California coast. We need to maximize this opportunity!

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Aryn Wilder  
1427 Oliver Ave San Diego, CA 92109-5306

**From:** [alex.holstein12@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alex.holstein12@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alex H Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:57:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alex H  
971 Point St San Diego, CA 92106-2038

**From:** [Audreypuppy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Audreypuppy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Audrey Mannolini](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 26, 2023 2:09:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Audrey Mannolini  
8782 Baywood Dr Huntington Beach, CA 92646-2612

**From:** [bks@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bks@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Babara Scheinman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:07:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Babara Scheinman  
22862 Orense Mission Viejo, CA 92691-1723



**From:** [babette760@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:babette760@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Babette Rose](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:23:48 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Babette Rose  
16325 Paseo Del Sur San Diego, CA 92127-4216

**From:** [nordicbnb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nordicbnb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Benjamin](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:55:50 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Benjamin  
12852 Superior Hollow Rd Valley Center, CA 92082-5016

**From:** [barbarabruce@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbarabruce@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Bruce](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:43:43 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Bruce  
5 Fontaine Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679-4904

**From:** [bdeshann@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bdeshann@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara De Shann](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:50:38 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara De Shann  
31641 Rancho Viejo Rd San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-2716

**From:** [barbara@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbara@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Diederichs](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:40:57 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Diederichs  
12956 Christman Ln Poway, CA 92064-5832

**From:** [barbarakr@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbarakr@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Klein-Robuck](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:13 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Klein-Robuck  
27703 Ortega Hwy Spc 39 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-1958

**From:** [barbsh@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbsh@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Speidel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:18:30 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Speidel  
8145 Binney Pl La Mesa, CA 91942-2611

**From:** [bastanley5@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bastanley5@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Stanley](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:14:05 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Stanley  
4796 50th St San Diego, CA 92115-2021



**From:** [alexzukas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alexzukas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alexander Zukas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:44:20 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alexander Zukas  
5615 Spartan Dr San Diego, CA 92115-6135

**From:** [barbara@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbara@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara White](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:40:47 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barbara White  
712 Emerald Bay Laguna Beach, CA 92651-1272

**From:** [barndoggy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barndoggy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barney McComas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:07:00 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barney McComas  
3770 Georgia St Apt 8 San Diego, CA 92103-7600

**From:** [Lovingerbl@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Lovingerbl@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barry Lovinger](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:06:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Barry Lovinger  
17132 Regulus Dr Yorba Linda, CA 92886-3624

**From:** [bbrucker2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bbrucker2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ben Brucker](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:18:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ben Brucker  
34509 Calle Carmelita Capistrano Beach, CA 92624-1023

**From:** [sundheim@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sundheim@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Beth Sundheim](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:51:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The wetlands that exist today in the northeast corner of Mission Bay are awesome. I walk to the overlook on Crown Point Drive near Lamont Street frequently and find peace and joy in just looking out over the undeveloped space, witnessing the ebb and flow of the tides, and seeing and hearing the various birds that are there seasonally or year-round.

I recommend you go there and see how it makes you feel. More wetlands could mean more such beautiful overlooks for the public to enjoy.

There are good scientific reasons for maximizing the area devoted to wetlands and other good reasons as well, but to me the decision to go with the "wildest" option will be obvious to those who experience the beauty and peace of it themselves.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Beth Sundheim  
3530 Buena Vista St San Diego, CA 92109-6610

**From:** [bettinae24@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bettinae24@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bettina Eastman Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:52:29 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bettina Eastman  
21572 Kaneohe Ln Huntington Beach, CA 92646-7859

**From:** [bettinapedersen@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bettinapedersen@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bettina Pedersen](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:00:53 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bettina Pedersen  
7455 Keisha Ter San Diego, CA 92126-6094



**From:** [coopboop@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:coopboop@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [BETTY COOPER](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:03:20 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
BETTY COOPER  
8647 Capricorn Way San Diego, CA 92126-1850

**From:** [beverlyball@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:beverlyball@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Beverly Ball](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:59:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

I particularly want to put in a word for the many children who are of an age which has not yet given them the ability to understand the impact our choices could have on them in the future, and do not yet have the voice that enables them to express their hopes and needs to you. I think that we can only feel best about our choices regarding this local environment in critical need, when we carefully consider all the children that will be involved.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Ball  
3121 N Labarre Rd Metairie, LA 70002-5023

**From:** [bsmith1024@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bsmith1024@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Beverly Smith Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:48:40 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Smith  
2023 Garfield Rd San Diego, CA 92110-1230

**From:** [alexis.grone@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alexis.grone@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alexis Grone](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:08:33 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alexis Grone  
276 N El Camino Real Spc 67 Oceanside, CA 92058-1734

**From:** [bbhence@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bbhence@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Blaze Bhence](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:04:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Blaze Bhence  
4190 Elizabeth Ct Cypress, CA 90630-4119

**From:** [camperbon@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:camperbon@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bonnie Mitchell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:07:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Mitchell  
24094 Hollyoak Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-6990

**From:** [bjnickel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bjnickel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bonnie Nickel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:41:16 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

I hope the above issues encourage you to vote positively for full restoration of our wetlands in Mission Bay.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Nickel  
3628 Charles St San Diego, CA 92106-2841

**From:** [theabramson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:theabramson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bradley Abramson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:11:29 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bradley Abramson  
4362 Conrad Ave San Diego, CA 92117-1913



**From:** [shawnmiller5@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:shawnmiller5@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brandon Miller](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:34:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Miller  
33401 Cheltam Way Apt B Dana Point, CA 92629-1876

**From:** [brandyppear@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brandyppear@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brandy Pearson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:48:20 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brandy Pearson  
13065 Signature Pt San Diego, CA 92130-1523

**From:** [brenberg987@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brenberg987@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brenda Bergstrom](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:48:02 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brenda Bergstrom  
1255 N Broadway Escondido, CA 92026-2863

**From:** [bthompson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bthompson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brenda Thompson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:48:12 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brenda Thompson  
4564 Olive Ave La Mesa, CA 91942-8829

**From:** [b.morrissey7139@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:b.morrissey7139@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brenna Morrissey](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:13:48 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brenna Morrissey  
5 Appleton St Apt 1 Somerville, MA 02144-2125

**From:** [blgottejman@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:blgottejman@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brian Gottejman](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:52:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brian Gottejman  
1701 Bush St Apt 1L Oceanside, CA 92058-7139

**From:** [alexisj1003@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alexisj1003@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alexis Jacovides](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:53:57 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alexis Jacovides  
27312 Via Amistoso Mission Viejo, CA 92692-2411

**From:** [brianmstill@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brianmstill@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brian Still](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:55:12 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brian Still  
4077 3rd Ave San Diego, CA 92103-2100



**From:** [brireyes62@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brireyes62@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brianna Reyes](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 24, 2023 10:27:48 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Brianna Reyes  
2104 Caraway St Escondido, CA 92026-1134

**From:** [brittondonaldson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brittondonaldson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Britton Donaldson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:00:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Britton Donaldson  
339 W University Ave Unit B San Diego, CA 92103-2997

**From:** [banders@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:banders@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bronwen Anders](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:00:50 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Bronwen Anders  
12718 Anders Oaks Way Lakeside, CA 92040-1568

**From:** [cag\\_92122@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cag_92122@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [c.g](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:34:32 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

c g

3901 Governor Dr San Diego, CA 92122-2520

**From:** [chanda94954@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chanda94954@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [C.H Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:11:35 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

C H

1600 Palm Ave Spc 70 San Diego, CA 92154-1023

**From:** [csoragha@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:csoragha@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [c s](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 3:41:54 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Please protect De Anza and all our desert jewels NOW!!

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,

c s

4358 Saratoga Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2336

**From:** [camila.bautista@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:camila.bautista@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Camila Bautista](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:33:10 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Camila Bautista  
48521 Camino Real Coachella, CA 92236-6376

**From:** [ckish4058@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ckish4058@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carl Kish](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:07:07 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. All San Diegans, including our Kumeyaay neighbors and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carl Kish  
4826 Utah St San Diego, CA 92116-1425



**From:** [carluhring@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:carluhring@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [CARL LUHRING](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:00:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
CARL LUHRING  
376 Ferrara Way Vista, CA 92083-4804

**From:** [calichicafolife@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:calichicafolife@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alfa Santos](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:41:22 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alfa Santos  
79 5th Ave Chula Vista, CA 91910-1614

**From:** [cjboyd59@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cjboyd59@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carol Boyd Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:35:07 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carol Boyd  
1015 S Rose St Escondido, CA 92027-4064

**From:** [carolvon@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:carolvon@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carol Vonsederholm](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:53:50 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carol Vonsederholm  
1351 Caminito Capistrano # 3 Chula Vista, CA 91913-1786

**From:** [caroledad@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:caroledad@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carole Dadurka](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 8:20:35 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carole Dadurka  
3716 Calle Casino San Clemente, CA 92673-2705

**From:** [texascarrie@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:texascarrie@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carrie Brummette](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:33:41 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carrie Brummette  
40791 Daily Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028-9177

**From:** [chgcvca@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chgcvca@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carrie Gingrich](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:48:06 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Carrie Gingrich  
521 Orange Ave Spc 21 Chula Vista, CA 91911-4038

**From:** [cathandzel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cathandzel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cathy Handzel](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 10:02:18 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Hello, I trust San Diego Audubon Society's conservation knowledge and experience. We must strongly consider their recommendations, now. Not years into this project when reconsideration and additional funding is required because important aspects of planning were not addressed initially.

Ecotourism is the fastest growing type of tourism in the world! Bird watching is particularly important aspect and is especially common for wetlands, like our precious Mission Bay provide. Canoeing, kayaking, and rowboat fishing are popular recreational activities for wetlands and are CLEAN ways to enjoy being out on the bay. Racing boats and jet skis pollute with fuel and noise. Let's strive to keep it NATURAL and QUIET.

Please adhere to San Diego's LIGHT POLLUTION ORDINANCE and the American Medical Association's guidance to NOT EXCEED 3000K CCT for any lighting in this project area. Using 2200K would be best for nighttime ambiance in this natural setting, and for wildlife protection. Artificial light at night kills millions of migrating birds annually and may affect nesting habits. Even campers with lanterns as bright as car headlights can disrupt the ecosystem - let's keep it dim and enjoy the tranquility of nighttime. The International Dark Sky Association is available to assist with lighting consultation (SD-DarkSky.org).

Please prioritize CLEAN WATER through natural, resilient infrastructure, as water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

SEA LEVEL RISE must be researched, considered, and prioritized in order to determine the best land-use plan. The ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. The City must consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals to achieve the best De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan.

We must BEGIN the ReWilding process NOW to ensure habitat exists to sustain endangered Ridgway's Rails over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Kendal Frost Marsh was visited by hundreds of volunteers that care during Save Your Wetlands - let the City's plan support that care and concern.

Prioritize RECREATIONAL and CULTURAL aspects of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. The Kumeyaay cultural heritage must be taken into consideration. Environmental justice requires that our underserved communities must also benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cathy Handzel  
5255 Ogden St San Diego, CA 92105-3233





**From:** [csleva@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:csleva@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cathy Sleva](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:02:26 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cathy Sleva  
218 14th St Seal Beach, CA 90740-6507

**From:** [kapustachaf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kapustachaf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Chad Kapusta](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:00:56 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Chad Kapusta  
1580 Shadowridge Dr Apt 157 Vista, CA 92081-9036

**From:** [ska4c@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ska4c@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Chase Choate](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:10:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Chase Choate  
1675 Arnold Rd Spc 9 Winterhaven, CA 92283-9599

**From:** [csingleton@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:csingleton@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cheryl Singleton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:40:45 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Singleton  
3913 69th St San Diego, CA 92115-7105

**From:** [alice.bickers@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alice.bickers@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alice Bickers](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:34:28 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Alice Bickers  
1658 Boulder Creek Rd Oceanside, CA 92056-2929

**From:** [candcdunning@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:candcdunning@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christie Dunning](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:13:39 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Christie Dunning  
2443 Corona Ct La Jolla, CA 92037-7030

**From:** [chrislakim@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chrislakim@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christine Kim](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 1:49:59 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Christine Kim  
2509 Camino Del Sol Fullerton, CA 92833-4826



**From:** [cmtrela2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cmtrela2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christine Trela Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:42:00 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Christine Trela  
17375 Brookhurst St Apt 29 Fountain Valley, CA 92708-3736

**From:** [cmchatard@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cmchatard@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christopher Chatard](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 8:01:08 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Chatard  
3354 N Mountain View Dr San Diego, CA 92116-1739

**From:** [butlercat@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:butlercat@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Connie Butler](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 7:21:02 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Connie Butler  
611 Via Pavon San Clemente, CA 92672-3537

**From:** [concharles@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:concharles@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Constance Charles](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 25, 2023 8:53:03 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Constance Charles  
8712 N Magnolia Ave Spc 66 Santee, CA 92071-4552

**From:** [coralweaver8@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:coralweaver8@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Coral Weaver](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 4:51:46 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Coral Weaver  
5016 Lotus St San Diego, CA 92107-1308

**From:** [bassett.corey.g@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bassett.corey.g@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Corey Bassett](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:37:14 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Corey Bassett  
4378 Utah St San Diego, CA 92104-1213

**From:** [hatfieldcourtney@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hatfieldcourtney@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Courtney Tassone Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:35:52 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Courtney Tassone  
22 Millbrook Dr Nashua, NH 03062-1624

**From:** [CraigMJacksonSD@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:CraigMJacksonSD@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Craig M Jackson](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 11:47:07 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Craig M Jackson  
5931 Seacrest View Rd San Diego, CA 92121-4355



**From:** [allyson.finkel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:allyson.finkel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Allyson Finkel Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:13:51 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Allyson Finkel  
29 Vista Barranca Rsm, CA 92688-1012

**From:** [warrenoasis@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:warrenoasis@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [cristina warren](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 6:04:49 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
cristina warren  
1525 Sewanee Dr Borrego Springs, CA 92004-4277

**From:** [chernandez1731@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chernandez1731@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Crystal Hernandez](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 5:11:38 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Crystal Hernandez  
9565 Graham St Cypress, CA 90630-3809

**From:** [bitsy@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bitsy@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cynthia Clayton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:45:31 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Clayton  
11226 Monticook Ct San Diego, CA 92127-3124

**From:** [cynthiafillmore@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cynthiafillmore@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cynthia Fillmore](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Friday, April 21, 2023 10:55:05 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Fillmore  
3830 Front St Apt 4 San Diego, CA 92103-3040

**From:** [cindysd2007@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cindysd2007@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cynthia Pencek](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:11:27 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

Wetlands will help the city adapt as sea levels rise, and provide essential habitat for wildlife. People also appreciate having a shoreline that's accessible, and has trails for community engagement.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Pencek  
4468 Newport Ave San Diego, CA 92107-2922

**From:** [schcy1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:schcy1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cynthia Schumacher](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:59:24 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Schumacher  
1324 Arcadia Ave Vista, CA 92084-3415

**From:** [cssnyder1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cssnyder1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cynthia Snyder Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:38:21 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Snyder  
3436 Voltaire St San Diego, CA 92106-1611



**From:** [thefemaletenor@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:thefemaletenor@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [D.R. Sandel, Scott](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 4:43:55 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
D R  
3901 Governor Dr San Diego, CA 92122-2520

**From:** [dfredhaas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dfredhaas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dale Haas](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:08:11 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dale Haas  
4743 55th St San Diego, CA 92115-2202

**From:** [dajrpowell@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dajrpowell@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dale Powell](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 12:46:13 PM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Dale Powell  
146 W Broadbent Dr Riverside, CA 92507-4755

**From:** [claytonad7@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:claytonad7@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ad Clayton](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Natural Wetland Restoration Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:41:30 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Dear Scott Sandel,

As you consider how to build and improve upon the De Anza Natural wetland restoration plan for the northeast corner of Mission Bay, I'd like to ask you to prioritize the need for maximum wetland restoration in order to truly make the city's wetland restoration effort successful.

The city's final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for this project must prioritize water quality by adding a specific project objective to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure. As you're aware, water quality is a crucial component of the 1994 Mission Bay Park Master Plan, and water quality can best be guaranteed by the kind of green infrastructure restored wetlands will provide.

The city's draft EIR for the De Anza plan is also missing details on the foreseeable impacts from sea level rise. How can the city determine the best land-use plan without knowing how climate change will affect our park? While the city's Climate Action Plan calls for 700 acres of restored tidal marsh by 2035, only the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest plan provides the most direct way to achieve this goal with maximum wetland restoration. Unfortunately, the draft EIR for the De Anza Natural plan fails to consider the ReWild Mission Bay Wildest proposal against the city's own Climate Action Plan goals.

The ecological implications are critical and time is wasting. Kendall-Frost Marsh Preserve in Mission Bay provides the best habitat for endangered Ridgway's Rails. Yet these birds – original members of the 1973 Endangered Species Act – will lose their habitat over the next several decades as sea levels rise and their habitat is inundated. Unless we begin the ReWilding process now, these and other threatened and endangered native species will be lost, when they could've readily been saved.

The city's proposal also fails to analyze the recreational and cultural opportunities of connecting Mission Bay Park to a restored tidal ecosystem. Doing so would better balance and expand the park's recreational offerings. This will ensure that everyone, especially the Kumeyaay and those in underserved communities, will benefit from access to a vibrant tidal marsh with expanded recreation opportunities.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our recommendations on how to improve the De Anza Natural plan.

Sincerely,  
Ad Clayton  
1580 Shadowridge Dr Apt 157 Vista, CA 92081-9036

**From:** [Grant Williams](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 5, 2023 3:43:54 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Mission bay Park was created for active recreation for San Diego residents and visitors . I know ,I watched them dredge the bay and build the park. It has served that purpose 80 years.It is wonderful to walk by any part of the city park on a summer weekend and see families picnicking, children swimming , boats sailing, men kicking soccer balls. The park is an important resource for the whole county/region for people who can't get to the water often. This beautiful vision is being hijacked by people who want to rewild the cove. Excluding Families who need the space for recreation and give it up for birds. We need a balanced plan and I support the Gateway project .Grant Williams – Born here in 1946.

**From:** [Chuck Dunning](#)  
**To:** [Rodrigues, Mike](#); [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] De Anza Revitalization  
**Date:** Monday, June 12, 2023 6:44:40 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Good morning Scott,

In advance of tonight's meeting of the Ad Hoc committee, I have a couple of questions for my own education.

1. Has establishing a joint-use arrangement, and perhaps adding one or two ballfields, ever been discussed with nearby Barnard Elementary School?
2. Is there any possibility of a joint use arrangement with Mission Bay High School?
3. Has there ever been any discussion regarding Park and Rec taking over management of city-owned Tecolote Canyon Golf Course to replace the Mission Bay Golf Course?

Thank you for your help

Chuck Dunning, newest Member of the Mission Bay Park Committee.  
858-349-5586

**From:** [Deborah Knight](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] DeAnza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 5:05:22 PM  
**Attachments:** [DEIR Comments-De Anza Natural Amendment.pdf](#)  
[RoseCreek Watershed Map.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel,

Friends of Rose Canyon urges the City to select the option with the maximum restored wetlands - Rewind Mission Bay's "Wildest" option. The project must prioritize water quality in Mission Bay by adding a specific project objective "to improve the water quality of the study area and the bay through natural, resilient infrastructure.

Please see attached Friends of Rose Canyon's comments on the PEIR and a map of the Rose Creek watershed. Rose Creek, the main fresh water tributary of Mission Bay, contains all the storm drain run off from a large, highly urbanized area.

Thank you.

Deborah Knight  
Executive Director  
Friends of Rose Canyon  
858-525-1489 - cell  
[rosecanyon@san.rr.com](mailto:rosecanyon@san.rr.com)

**From:** [candcdunning@aol.com](mailto:candcdunning@aol.com)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] DeAnza Natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Master Plan Comment  
**Date:** Friday, April 14, 2023 1:09:24 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott,

Thank you for your presentation earlier this month at Paradise Point. I would like to add my comments for your consideration.

**Re-create vs. recreate.**

We have a rare opportunity to re-create and restore a very important corner of Mission Bay, and thus help save several species from extinction right in our front yard at the same time. These animals and plants deserve for us to get it right this time.

Rather than a piecemeal approach, we need to take a much broader view going forward. San Diego County holds the sad distinction of having the most imperiled and endangered species in the nation, about 200, according to the Nature Conservancy. We need to be responsible stewards for our region, which includes Mission Bay, and not simply try and appease the most vocal of the human species. The plants and animals have no voice, but the elimination of these species eventually ends with our own extinction. Everything is connected.

San Diego City's own Climate Implementation Plan mentions climate focused land use in Measure 3.5, as well as in Strategy 5: Resilient Infrastructure & Healthy Ecosystems. To Restore Natural Lands - salt marsh land & other associated tidal wetland & riparian habitats, etc is specified.

By implementing San Diego Audubon's "Wildest" Plan, we have the greatest chance to meet San Diego's Climate Action Goals, re-create a functioning wetlands, as well as offer all kinds of recreation to people. "Wildest" focused on science, stakeholders and community partners. Their plan addresses sea level rise, water quality improvement, and the benefits of sequestration that wetlands provide. All species benefit more from the "Wildest" plan than the DeAnza Natural Plan. Thank you.

Christie Dunning



**From:** [Chuck Dunning](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] DeAnza Natural  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 14, 2023 2:47:17 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott,

In seemed like a good idea in 1944. The city fathers, looking for ways to diversify San Diego's wartime, military-dependent economy as World War Two drew to a close cast their sights on 4000 acres of mud flats, tidal wetlands and salt marsh, mostly used by hunters, fishermen and hundreds of species of birds and wildlife.

Their vision; turn this "sea of ill-smelling and unattractive mud flats" (*Mission Bay Aquatic Park*, by Ed Gabrielson. *Journal of San Diego History*. Winter 2002) into a magnificent aquatic center for tourism and recreation. Between 1948 and 1962, 25 million cubic yards of sand and silt were dredged and turned into a park of entirely of man-made beaches and islands. In the process all but 40 acres of mud flats, and salt marsh and tidal wetlands were destroyed.

Over sixty years later we know much more about the damaging ecological effects on climate, water purity, and wildlife habitat of removing these wetlands. Thankfully, with the revitalization of De Anza Cove we have a rare opportunity to right this wrong. The City of San Diego has taken the bold step of restoring acres of wetlands with the recently released "DeAnza Natural" plan. Unfortunately the plan does not go far enough.

The DeAnza Natural plan with 256 acres of wetland and transitional upland habitat creates **59** fewer acres than the "Wildest" plan from Rewild Mission Bay. Think of 59 acres in terms of:

One acre of tidal wetlands can store as much as 216 metric tons of carbon.

One acre of tidal wetlands act as a protective sponge to absorb 1 to 1.5 million gallons of flood water. Considering sea level rise, wetlands also act as a buffer to decrease the size and power of incoming water.

59 additional acres of wetlands will provide more space for the unique experience of paddling or kayaking quietly through the thriving marsh.

59 more acres would be critical for the health of the endangered species such as the Ridgeway's Rail currently holding on in the Kendall Frost Marsh.

What we do now, the choices we make, will not only affect our generation and our children's, but all those to come. The 315 acres of wetland and transitional habitat in the "Wildest" plan represents less than one percent of Mission Bay Park.

Thank you,

--

**Chuck Dunning**  
[chuckdunning3@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdunning3@gmail.com)  
**858-349-5586**

**From:** [Cindy Hedgecock](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] DeAnza natural Amendment to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan PEIR  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:48:29 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott:

i'm writing in support of the ReWild concerns and in general in support of the much needed restoration plan implementation, which has gone on for SO long.

Years ago, I was appointed to the Mission Bay Park Commission Board. I was a member for six years, and frankly, saw little change. One of the first priority items was repair and replacement of the boardwalk seawall. That STILL has not been done, and we saw the results of this lack of progress with this winter's storms. In any case, we have finally seen the removal of many of the old trailers on de Anza cove, and perhaps that will lead to progress. Only 25+ years since the first Master Plan update!!

Without question, the CITY NEEDS WILDEST LEVEL restored acreage. We have seen the damage caused by the most recent storm... much more sand in the bottom of the bay, serious erosion of the sand along the bay, especially where the pumps are located. The bulldozer now needs to be fully in the bay water to push sand back up against the pump station! Sea level rise has led to storm waves throwing very large rocks over the breakwater and into cars along Mission Point Park.

Habitat restoration will help. Increased mudflats resulting from the dredging that was planned but never happened will help. We may have waited too long.

Have we not postponed this effort long enough?? Do we have to wait until seal level rising has eliminated restoration possibilities?

Very truly yours, in hope that we will not delay further.

Cynthia Hedgecock

**From:** [Bert Benevento](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] MUCH MORE RECREATION NEEDED!  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 7:59:22 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Scott:

As President of PB Tennis Club I am concerned about the wrong direction this redevelopment is being taken by outside forces. Our existing recreation amenities, which are used daily by thousands of area residents, have served our community for over 6 decades and were built when the population was about 1/3 of what it is now. **We need MORE recreation, not less. In terms of tennis, we only have 8 courts...we need 2-3 times that. We also need to add Pickleball, paddleball, & volleyball courts.** The DeAnza area vacated by the mobile homes is the ideal place for a major recreation center along with a shared clubhouse, cafe, restrooms, showers, and even a swimming pool.

There is no question that sports and recreation promote huge health benefits for all age groups. Also, kids who participate in sports and activities are much less likely to get into trouble. While we are grateful for the amenities we've had for over 60 years, they also haven't been updated or maintained very well. For example, our tennis club can't have real bathrooms due to lack of a sewer line. That's an embarrassment for "America's finest City." We are also destined to lose 22 tennis courts when SDTRC at Tecolote gets redeveloped due to the Trolley zoning changes. Because that loss is due to City promoted policies, those courts will need to be replaced by the City, even though that club is private.

At first REWILD seemed reasonable with their demands and willingness to compromise. They have gone insanely driven to turn this entire bay into a swamp. Their "science" is questionable at best...based on forecasts 50+ years from now. Our weather forecasters can barely forecast the weather tomorrow! Also - who is funding ReWild? How many of their advocates actually live in this area...or in this City? I understand much of their funding comes from other cities. The decisions surrounding this area should be made in concert with the residents of this community.

We have enough marsh. I actually like Campland where it is. We rudely evicted thousands of people from their homes at DeAnza. The least we can do is turn it into something wonderful for our community. I reside in a property that fronts the Kendall Frost marsh. I speak first hand that we have enough marsh. And the birds here are all very happy! In terms of funding, the real estate boom has generated huge property tax revenue increases - let's use some of that money for the community's benefit.

Thanks for considering my requests.

Bert Benevento  
Crown Point

**From:** [Cindy Hedgcock](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [conservation@sandiegoaudubon.org](mailto:conservation@sandiegoaudubon.org); [Councilmember Jennifer Campbell](#); [Gloria, Todd \(External\)](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Mission Bay Draft EIR  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:38:14 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

I'm writing in response to the ReWild email to concerned citizens regarding the draft EIR.

My husband and I moved to Mission Bay in 2008. I love it here. Shortly after we moved in, I was appointed to the Mission Bay Park Commission by Mayor Kevin Faulkoner. At the beginning and inception of that Commission, there was a plan, and a list of 18 items to be the basis of improvements to Mission Bay. Most of them never happened. There were plans for dredging the bay and saving the seagrass meadows. The park did get some partial dredging and the seagrass was saved. Another then urgent priority of the plan that did not happen was the rebuilding of the seawall along the boardwalk, now terribly eroded, rusted and further damaged with the most recent storms.

I watched the wrangling, arguing, and proposals that went nowhere regarding the removal of the ugly trailers on deAnza Cove, which should be a beautiful beacon of welcome to visitors coming south on the 5 into our "crown jewel" bay park. Consider the "visitors center", rusting and eroded. After my six years on the Commission, little progress had been made, but the ReWild Group continued to work. I understand that, almost 20 years later, the trailers and homes are being removed. To be followed by campers and motorhomes and car parks?

I am in full, if skeptical, support of the Mission Bay Draft EIR. I am a bird watcher and photographer of our magnificent bird life. I watch as the bulldozers have to be partially submerged in order to restore a sand base to the pump station near Coronado Court. No progress there. I watch as the sea level rises this last winter and large waves throw large boulders INTO the parking area and onto the walkways of Mission Point Park, which get bulldozed so that people can walk without hazard and then they might sue the city... And the bulldozers go back and forth restoring the berm that is supposed to keep the sea level back. Progress is NOT happening.

I don't see progress towards preservation, reconstruction, or rebuilding of Mission Bay at City Hall. I see a lot of words but that's nothing new. I await your response.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Hedgcock

**From:** [GEOFF GRIFFIN](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] PB Tennis Club  
**Date:** Sunday, April 16, 2023 12:42:16 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello all,

Torn between the two causes. The marshlands definitely need to return to some extent, but our few remaining public tennis courts/clubs need to be saved as well. Affluent land in expensive cities like San Diego are not going to be building new tennis courts soon. There are plans for new parks all over the county. Space prohibits tennis from being a part of those plans.

Tennis, like the often talked about pickleball, is booming in popularity nationwide. 5 million new active players since the pandemic started. The existing courts/clubs we have are overflowing with players. My club, the Balboa Tennis Club, where I recently retired after being the Tennis Director for 34 years, has a 6 month waiting list. We have 1500 members of all ages.

Please don't think the PBTC members and others that play there, have many other options. You have Barnes and Peninsula to the south, the La Jolla Rec and University City TC to the north. There is nothing left in Pacific Beach and all those clubs mentioned are very busy.

I do hope that if it is decided that the PBTC must move, that you will find a new location nearby. But where? Fiesta Island? Liberty Station? Most likely, it would have to be moved to somewhere inside Mission Bay Park. I don't envy the decisions you have to make. Good luck and thanks for reading this! Geoff Griffin

**From:** [carla Zarbo](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] PB Tennis Club  
**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2023 6:29:05 AM

---

\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\*

---

Hi Mr. Sandel. I hope this email finds you and your family well. My name is Carla Zarbo and I am a tennis professional at Balboa Tennis Club. I heard about what is going on at Pacific Beach Tennis Club and I employ you to do everything you can to save this club. I have played many matches over there and have enjoyed interactions with friends and competitors alike. I have invested in local businesses because of PB Tennis Club! PB Tennis Club not only provides a place where everyone can learn and enjoy tennis but they also provide a secondary value which is stimulation of business investment to local owners.

PBTC offers such a unique tennis atmosphere and it would be a disservice to the community if it disappeared. I can't even imagine Pacific Beach without PBTC. I hope the message in this email rings through—SAVE PB TENNIS CLUB!

Sincerely,  
Carla Zarbo

**From:** [Abney, Megan](#)  
**To:** [Gloria, Todd \(External\)](#); [CouncilMember Joe LaCava](#); [Councilmember Jennifer Campbell](#); [Field, Andy](#); [bothwellm@me.com](#); [ChrisCate@sandiego.gov](#); [general@pbtowncouncil.org](#); [PLN\\_PlanningCEOA](#); [Sandel, Scott](#); [Councilmember Stephen Whitburn](#); [tom@sdnews.com](#); [Zaiser, Kohta](#); [Rodrigues, Mike](#); [Becker, Jon](#); [SDPB Members@sandiego.gov](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Please "Save our Fields" - Bob McEvoy Youth Fields  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:50:06 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Honorable Mayor, Council Members, Park Committee Board, City Staff & Civic Leaders,  
I am a constituent of Pacific Beach (4279 Lamont Street).

I am writing to express my support for the preservation and protection of the Bob McEvoy Youth Athletic Fields. While I appreciate the need to add more wetlands and to protect our water ways and clean air; my concern is the impact on the youth fields. Pacific Beach and Mission Beach are areas of growth for young families in San Diego. Houses are turning over to young families, schools are being filled up by these young families and in return, the area is more family friendly. These fields are the only place in the central area for kids to play soccer, baseball, softball and more in a centralized location and thousands of kids across central San Diego come here to play. As we strive to offer kids outdoor opportunities (and get them away from video games!), taking away their fields would be detrimental to the health and growth of our cities youth. As you're most likely aware, the current plan will eliminate these historic youth athletic fields. There needs to be a solution that keeps the fields 100% in tact - specifically in writing. A notation of green space is unacceptable as it doesn't specifically call out and allow for the fields to be preserved. For the future of our children and the future of San Diego, we need to KEEP the Athletic Fields in conjunction with your efforts to restore marchlands. I implore you to Save our Fields!

Thank you in advance for all of your efforts to protect youth sports and to serve our "Finest City"

Sincerely,

**Megan Abney**

Digital Sales Manager

[mabney@tegn.com](mailto:mabney@tegn.com) | 214-418-8282

[CBS8.com](#) | [TEGNA.com](#) | [PREMION.com](#)

Let's Connect: [LinkedIn](#)



**Be in good company.**



**From:** [Gary Cannon](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Question re: Boat Facility and Clubhouse in the De Anza Cove Amendment  
**Date:** Friday, March 10, 2023 12:17:49 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Scott. I am reviewing the plan and wondered if the proposed Boat Facility and clubhouse is only for non-motorized craft or will motorized vessels be located and launched from there as well? Thanks!

**From:** [Dynes, Ann](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Wilde, Randy](#); [Stanco, Kelley](#); [Gloria Henson \(glohenson@san.rr.com\)](#); [nibr1pup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 4:40:57 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks for taking the time to set up that “stakeholder discussion,” although half an hour was clearly inadequate. I can put something like this in the comments to the revised may Plan but here is what I would have added if I had had the time:

It is heartwarming to hear that Planning Department De Anza plans will now preserve 66 acres of active recreational space, the same (I trust you but have not yet checked) as currently delineated and used. However, while this will preserve recreational acreage, it is not a bit clear that the ensuing General Development Process will not pit one group of active users against another in competition for space in an altered layout. As an advocate for the preservation of Mission Bay Golf Course, it is essential that, if this Plan is adopted, the ensuing GDP recognizes that turning MBGC in to a three par course would neuter it as both a learning facility for new golfers and a full service affordable course for everyone else without the time, skill or money to play the City’s two and only other public courses. While there may be acceptable adjustments to the current MBGC layout, it needs to remain a facility where golfers can practice and play using every club in their bags. In sunny San Diego, it is sad but true that MBGC is the only opportunity to play something close to “real golf” for thousands of San Diegans.

Thanks again Scott and to your planning colleagues. I can only speculate on what regulatory bullet you apparently are facing to expedite this process this summer, but please make sure that there is integrity in the process to save the uses at this site which are extremely important to thousands of San Diegans. Sorry that I cannot make it tonight but hope to be on the mailing list for other hearings. All the best!

anndynes@ucsd.edu  
858-750-6094  
858-539-3505 (cell)

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <SSandel@sandiego.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:38 PM  
**To:** james@jamesirwinjr.com; Joanna-BOD <pbtc.joanna@gmail.com>; Tom Norton <tom.norton71@gmail.com>; billybonelli@gmail.com; Kevin Hellman <contact@sandiegosoftball.com>; Justin Weber <jweber29@hotmail.com>; Kurt Carlson <nibr1pup@gmail.com>; umass1972@gmail.com; Stanco, Kelley <KStanco@sandiego.gov>  
**Cc:** Rao, Sameera <SRao@sandiego.gov>; Wilde, Randy <RWilde@sandiego.gov>; Vonblum, Heidi <VonblumH@sandiego.gov>; Chadwick, Christina <ChadwickC@sandiego.gov>; Dynes, Ann <anndynes@ucsd.edu>; Kevin Hellman <kevin@sandiegosoftball.com>; glo

<glohenson@san.rr.com>

**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

Thank you to everyone who participated. I apologize for the abrupt ending to the meeting.

We look forward to your attendance at one or more of the subcommittee meetings coming up, including tonight's.

The links shared in the chat:

General Development Plans, Council Policy 600-33:

[https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd\\_600-33.pdf](https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd_600-33.pdf)

De Anza updated website:

<https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/work/park-planning/de-anza>

Thank you again!

**Scott Sandel, RLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Sandel, Scott

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2023 2:53 PM

**To:** Sandel, Scott; [james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com); Joanna-BOD; Tom Norton; [billybonelli@gmail.com](mailto:billybonelli@gmail.com); Kevin Hellman; Justin Weber; Kurt Carlson; [umass1972@gmail.com](mailto:umass1972@gmail.com); Stanco, Kelley

**Cc:** Rao, Sameera; Wilde, Randy; Vonblum, Heidi; Chadwick, Christina; Dynes, Ann; Kevin Hellman; glo

**Subject:** De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**When:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:00 PM-3:45 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** <https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09>

Good afternoon. Thank you all for giving me your meeting date preferences. This date/time got the most votes. We may not need all the time allotted to go over some plan changes we have mad in response to comments received so far. More detailed discussions will occur over the next few weeks with Parks and Rec Board and the Mission Bay Park Committee joint

subcommittee.

Thank you.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204  
[ssandel@san Diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san Diego.gov)

**Join Zoom Meeting**

<https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09>

Meeting ID: 953 0801 7551

Passcode: a2DEy5

**From:** [Dynes, Ann](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [Wilde, Randy](#); [Stanco, Kelley](#); [Gloria Henson \(glohenson@san.rr.com\)](#); [nubr1pup@gmail.com](#); [Stanco, Kelley](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing  
**Date:** Thursday, May 25, 2023 1:51:34 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

I chatted with Judge James Milliken last night at a Bar Foundation event and he expressed an intent to make the same point to you also. He has firsthand experience with the role that MBGC plays in that his son learned and played there and apparently has gone on to a great life playing the sport. I urged him to comment given his distinguished reputation. Thanks for writing!

anndynes@ucsd.edu  
858-750-6094  
858-539-3505 (cell)

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2023 1:37 PM  
**To:** Dynes, Ann <[anndynes@ucsd.edu](mailto:anndynes@ucsd.edu)>  
**Cc:** Wilde, Randy <[RWilde@san-diego.gov](mailto:RWilde@san-diego.gov)>; Stanco, Kelley <[KStanco@san-diego.gov](mailto:KStanco@san-diego.gov)>; Gloria Henson ([glohenson@san.rr.com](mailto:glohenson@san.rr.com)) <[glohenson@san.rr.com](mailto:glohenson@san.rr.com)>; [nubr1pup@gmail.com](mailto:nubr1pup@gmail.com); Stanco, Kelley <[KStanco@san-diego.gov](mailto:KStanco@san-diego.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

Good afternoon Ann. Thank you for taking the time to correspond again. I appreciate your comments on the course at Mission Bay Golf course and your insights as a golfer. I look forward to our on-going work with all the recreation users at De Anza.

Scott Sandel

619.235.5204  
[ssandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)

---

**From:** Dynes, Ann <[anndynes@ucsd.edu](mailto:anndynes@ucsd.edu)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 4:41 PM  
**To:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@san-diego.gov](mailto:ssandel@san-diego.gov)>  
**Cc:** Wilde, Randy <[RWilde@san-diego.gov](mailto:RWilde@san-diego.gov)>; Stanco, Kelley <[KStanco@san-diego.gov](mailto:KStanco@san-diego.gov)>; Gloria Henson ([glohenson@san.rr.com](mailto:glohenson@san.rr.com)) <[glohenson@san.rr.com](mailto:glohenson@san.rr.com)>; [nubr1pup@gmail.com](mailto:nubr1pup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Thanks for taking the time to set up that “stakeholder discussion,” although half an hour was clearly inadequate. I can put something like this in the comments to the revised may Plan but here is what I would have added if I had had the time:

It is heartwarming to hear that Planning Department De Anza plans will now preserve 66 acres of active recreational space, the same (I trust you but have not yet checked) as currently delineated and used. However, while this will preserve recreational acreage, it is not a bit clear that the ensuing General Development Process will not pit one group of active users against another in competition for space in an altered layout. As an advocate for the preservation of Mission Bay Golf Course, it is essential that, if this Plan is adopted, the ensuing GDP recognizes that turning MBGC in to a three par course would neuter it as both a learning facility for new golfers and a full service affordable course for everyone else without the time, skill or money to play the City’s two and only other public courses. While there may be acceptable adjustments to the current MBGC layout, it needs to remain a facility where golfers can practice and play using every club in their bags. In sunny San Diego, it is sad but true that MBGC is the only opportunity to play something close to “real golf” for thousands of San Diegans.

Thanks again Scott and to your planning colleagues. I can only speculate on what regulatory bullet you apparently are facing to expedite this process this summer, but please make sure that there is integrity in the process to save the uses at this site which are extremely important to thousands of San Diegans. Sorry that I cannot make it tonight but hope to be on the mailing list for other hearings. All the best!

[anndynes@ucsd.edu](mailto:anndynes@ucsd.edu)

858-750-6094

858-539-3505 (cell)

---

**From:** Sandel, Scott <[SSandel@sanidiego.gov](mailto:SSandel@sanidiego.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:38 PM

**To:** [james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com); Joanna-BOD <[pbtc.joanna@gmail.com](mailto:pbtc.joanna@gmail.com)>; Tom Norton <[tom.norton71@gmail.com](mailto:tom.norton71@gmail.com)>; [billybonelli@gmail.com](mailto:billybonelli@gmail.com); Kevin Hellman <[contact@sandiegosoftball.com](mailto:contact@sandiegosoftball.com)>; Justin Weber <[jweber29@hotmail.com](mailto:jweber29@hotmail.com)>; Kurt Carlson <[nmbr1pup@gmail.com](mailto:nmbr1pup@gmail.com)>; [umass1972@gmail.com](mailto:umass1972@gmail.com); Stanco, Kelley <[KStanco@sanidiego.gov](mailto:KStanco@sanidiego.gov)>

**Cc:** Rao, Sameera <[SRao@sanidiego.gov](mailto:SRao@sanidiego.gov)>; Wilde, Randy <[RWilde@sanidiego.gov](mailto:RWilde@sanidiego.gov)>; Vonblum, Heidi <[VonblumH@sanidiego.gov](mailto:VonblumH@sanidiego.gov)>; Chadwick, Christina <[ChadwickC@sanidiego.gov](mailto:ChadwickC@sanidiego.gov)>; Dynes, Ann <[anndynes@ucsd.edu](mailto:anndynes@ucsd.edu)>; Kevin Hellman <[kevin@sandiegosoftball.com](mailto:kevin@sandiegosoftball.com)>; glo <[glohenson@san.rr.com](mailto:glohenson@san.rr.com)>

**Subject:** RE: De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

Thank you to everyone who participated. I apologize for the abrupt ending to the meeting.

We look forward to your attendance at one or more of the subcommittee meetings coming up, including tonight’s.

The links shared in the chat:

General Development Plans, Council Policy 600-33:

[https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd\\_600-33.pdf](https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd_600-33.pdf)

De Anza updated website:

<https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/work/park-planning/de-anza>

Thank you again!

**Scott Sandel, RLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204

[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Sandel, Scott

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2023 2:53 PM

**To:** Sandel, Scott; [james@jamesirwinjr.com](mailto:james@jamesirwinjr.com); Joanna-BOD; Tom Norton; [billybonelli@gmail.com](mailto:billybonelli@gmail.com); Kevin Hellman; Justin Weber; Kurt Carlson; [umass1972@gmail.com](mailto:umass1972@gmail.com); Stanco, Kelley

**Cc:** Rao, Sameera; Wilde, Randy; Vonblum, Heidi; Chadwick, Christina; Dynes, Ann; Kevin Hellman; glo

**Subject:** De Anza Natural Recreation updates briefing

**When:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 3:00 PM-3:45 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** <https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjIzelBKQT09>

Good afternoon. Thank you all for giving me your meeting date preferences. This date/time got the most votes. We may not need all the time allotted to go over some plan changes we have mad in response to comments received so far. More detailed discussions will occur over the next few weeks with Parks and Rec Board and the Mission Bay Park Committee joint subcommittee.

Thank you.

**Scott Sandel, ASLA**

Landscape Architect & Park Planner  
City of San Diego  
Planning Department

T 619.235.5204  
[ssandel@sandiego.gov](mailto:ssandel@sandiego.gov)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/95308017551?pwd=d0hRYkRaSmNjTEFXOSs4QjlzelBKQT09>

Meeting ID: 953 0801 7551

Passcode: a2DEy5



**From:** [Andrew Meyer](#)  
**To:** [PLN\\_PlanningCEQA](#)  
**Cc:** [Sandel, Scott](#); [Vonblum, Heidi](#); [Jim Peugh](#); [Brandon Weber](#); [Karina Ornelas](#); [Travis Kemnitz](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] ReWild Coalition comments on De Anza Natural draft PEIR  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 12:34:27 PM  
**Attachments:** [ReWild Coaliton comment letter for De Anza Natural DEIR-final\\_with ESA Technical Memorandum.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hello City of San Diego,

Attached are the De Anza Natural draft PEIR comments from the 76 members of the ReWild Coalition, listed out on page 20/21. Also attached to this comment letter is a Technical Memorandum that reviews several components of the draft PEIR and provides useful, science-based input to the City planning process. Several components of the Memo are referenced directly in the comment letter and the entire Memo is combined in the comment letter.

Thank you,  
Andrew

--

Andrew Meyer (he/him/his)

Director of Conservation



4010 Morena Blvd., St. 100, San Diego, CA 92117

Office: 858-273-7800, 101

[Website](#)   [Facebook](#)   [ReWild Mission Bay](#)



Be the hope for birds, wildlife and their habitats. [Become a Friend](#) today!

Please stay connected by signing up for our [eNews mailing list](#) and seeing the latest happenings in our [Newsroom](#).

**From:** [Balboa Park Advocate](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: Regarding the De Anza presentation today @ P&R Board  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:08:31 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

to be clear, I'm just sending these as a "heads up", you don't need to respond to this email.

René

On Thu, Apr 20, 2023 at 1:07 PM Balboa Park Advocate  
<[fairnessforbalboapark@gmail.com](mailto:fairnessforbalboapark@gmail.com)> wrote:

Scott,

Sorry that I didn't get these to you earlier. Best I could do.

1. Two brief questions: is it possible to take the pathway that flows to the east and the north of the golf course and in your final submission make it an item for possible consideration rather than mandatory?

2. Does lighting reduction in PEIR limit if not eliminate nighttime golf?

René Smith  
619-787-2333

Let's take the Virtually Impossible and turn it first into the Improbable and ultimately into the Inevitable.

**From:** [Eng, Anita](#)  
**To:** [Malone, Rebecca](#)  
**Cc:** [Moore, Jordan](#); [Sandel, Scott](#); [Moore, Jordan](#); [Rao, Sameera](#); [Stanco, Kelley](#); [Zoutendyk, David](#); [Lieberman, Carolyn](#); [Vissman, Sandy](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: phone call  
**Date:** Thursday, August 24, 2023 2:44:31 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Hi Becky,

When we talked, you mentioned that the Planning department was aiming to final the De Anza Amendment and PEIR for a special session of Planning Commission on Sept. 28. Is that still the plan? We heard that maybe there was a Sept. 21 meeting.

We would still like to schedule time with staff to work on specific language to include in the Amendment before the documents are finalized. Were you able to discuss this with the team?

What is the status of the Sea Level Rise analysis that was being prepared in response to the SEP? We would like to see the results of the analysis and understand how it is being used to inform the Amendment and the PEIR.

Also, during our July 28<sup>th</sup> meeting, we reviewed a graphic together that included anticipated wetland acreage numbers that were incorrect. City staff was going to follow up with us on those numbers, but we have not heard back. Can we get those updated acreages?

I'm happy to set up a quick Teams meeting to address these questions too. Just let me know.

thank you!

Anita

**Anita Eng**

Fish and Wildlife Biologist  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
(571)547-3203  
anita\_eng@fws.gov  
(she/her)

*\*Please email to schedule a call or meeting if needed.*

---

**From:** Eng, Anita

**Sent:** Friday, August 4, 2023 12:35 PM

**To:** Malone, Rebecca <RMalone@sandiego.gov>

**Subject:** phone call

Hi Becky,

Are you available for a phone call? I tried Jordan and the number listed provides an error message and her email shows that she's gone for the week.

thanks,

Anita

**Anita Eng**

Fish and Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

2177 Salk Avenue, Suite 250

Carlsbad, CA 92008

(571)547-3203\*

anita\_eng@fws.gov

(she/her)

*\*Please email to schedule a call or meeting if needed.*

**From:** [Balboa Park Advocate](#)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Cc:** [fairnessforbalboapark](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Regarding the De Anza presentation today @ P&R Board  
**Date:** Thursday, April 20, 2023 1:08:03 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Scott,

Sorry that I didn't get these to you earlier. Best I could do.

1. Two brief questions: is it possible to take the pathway that flows to the east and the north of the golf course and in your final submission make it an item for possible consideration rather than mandatory?
2. Does lighting reduction in PEIR limit if not eliminate nighttime golf?

René Smith  
619-787-2333

Let's take the Virtually Impossible and turn it first into the Improbable and ultimately into the Inevitable.

**From:** [csuzannesullivan@aol.com](mailto:csuzannesullivan@aol.com)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rewilding Mission Bay  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 18, 2023 7:22:52 AM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

Dear Mr. Sandel: I was born and raised here in San Diego and am now 75yrs. old. When I was a child, Mission Bay was a smelly mess, and in our combined ignorance, was mostly paved over to become an outstanding recreational area.

I applaud most of this development, but in the decades passing have come to realize that we forgot the most important part of the Bay--it's natural ability to clean itself and provide needed buffers against what we now know are encroaching sea waters due to Climate Change.

I support ReWilding's "Wilder" and "Wildest" plans. The time is NOW to take clear action to protect our waterways. So many creatures, small and large, are dependent now on the decisions we make today. Please make the right one for the Bay and "rewild" it!

Thanking you in advance,

Christine Sullivan  
3134 Occidental Street  
San Diego, CA 92122

**From:** [bobbilaufer@yahoo.com](mailto:bobbilaufer@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Sandel, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] WE ABSOLUTELY NEED PB TENNIS CLUB TO STAY OPEN AND IN BUSINESS FOREVER.  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 9:34:31 PM

---

**\*\*This email came from an external source. Be cautious about clicking on any links in this email or opening attachments.\*\***

---

*"My friends and I support continuing the P.B. Tennis Club. P.B. Tennis has been in our community for sixty years and it plays a vital role in the athletic and social interaction among our neighbors. People of all ages, including children and seniors, regularly play at our beloved club."*

*There are fewer and fewer places to play tennis. We, as a people, all need exercise in order to maintain our health. We are blessed to live in San Diego, with the best weather in the US, and we really need our beloved courts. There are many of us who feel this way. I am not speaking only for myself, but also for our friends and neighbors.*

*Thanks very much for your immediate attention to our urgent needs.*

*Bobbi and Steve Laufer*