



Mid-City Communities Plan Update

Working Group Existing Conditions Meeting Summary

MEETING DETAILS

June 26, 2024 – 6:00-8:00 P.M. The Salvation Army Kroc Center Community Room 6845 University Avenue, San Diego, CA 92115

The meeting was conducted in a hybrid format allowing participation in-person and via Zoom.

BACKGROUND

As a part of an inclusive engagement process, the City has convened a Working Group for the Mid-City Communities Plan Update. The primary role of the Working Group is to inform the Mid-City Communities Plan Update process. Additional details can be found by reviewing the *Mid-City Communities Plan Update Working Group Protocol and Membership* (April 16, 2024). In addition, an orientation was held for Working Group members on April 24, 2024, to encourage members to make connections with other members, and inform the Working Group on what to expect of their role and timeline of their involvement. The City published the *Mid-City Atlas: Existing Conditions Report (Mid-City Atlas)* online on June 14, 2024.

PURPOSE AND GOALS

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the *Mid-City Atlas*. During the meeting, the City presented key information from sections of the *Mid-City Atlas* and Working Group members were encouraged to share their comments and provide feedback related to the report. The Working Group provided comments and feedback, highlighted notable findings, identified key issues, and discussed whether information in the *Mid-City Atlas* accurately reflected what they had observed in their community.





WORKING GROUP MEETING PARTICIPANTS

A total of 15 Working Group members attended the meeting, with one member absent. Eleven Working Group members attended in person, and one attended virtually. In addition to the Working Group members, there were 22 members of the public that attended the meeting. Eleven members of the public attended in-person, and 10 attended virtually. The Working Group meeting was supported by City staff and consultants supporting the City including staff from Ascent and Imagine.

Working Group	Attendance	Community
Nam Nguyen	In-Person	City Heights
Randy Torres-Van Vleck	In-Person	City Heights
Steve Aldana	In-Person	City Heights
Brittany Nicole Poggiolo	In-Person	City Heights
Victor Ponce	In-Person	City Heights
Angelica Rocha	Absent	Eastern Area
Eric Kelley	In-Person	Eastern Area
Zach Young	Virtually/Zoom	Eastern Area
Kristen Spittle	In-Person	Kensington-Talmadge
Lisa Stone	In-Person	Kensington-Talmadge
Madeleine Baudoin	In-Person	Normal Heights
Thomas Aristide	In-Person	Normal Heights
Marcellus Anderson	In-Person	Designee - City Heights CPG
Lynn Edwards	In-Person	Designee - Eastern Area CPG
David Moty	In-Person	Designee - Kensington-Talmadge CPG
Emilie Colwell	In-Person	Designee – Normal Heights CPG
Project Team	Attendance	Affiliation
Alexander Frost	In-Person	City of San Diego
Apharna Padmakumar	In-Person	City of San Diego
Selena Sanchez Bailon	In-Person	City of San Diego
Veronica Alatorre	In-Person	Ascent
Christine Babla	In-Person	Ascent
Matt Gelbman	In-Person	Ascent
Isaac Ing	In-Person	Ascent
Paul Kronser	Virtually/Zoom	Ascent
Catherine Hanna Schrock	In-Person	Imagine
Peter Schrock	In-Person	Imagine

Table 1 - List of Attendees





MEETING SUMMARY AND ACTIVITIES

At the beginning of the meeting, staff welcomed Working Group members and the public to the meeting and Working Group members were encouraged to share their names, and which community they represent. Introductions were also made for City staff and team members. Food was provided for members of the Working Group. The meeting started with City staff giving a presentation summarizing the *Mid-City Atlas*. Working Group members actively engaged in discussions, through a facilitated discussion on the "Introduction," "History and Place," and "Sustainability, Climate, Equity, and Resilience" chapters. Working Group members were asked questions after a presentation on the chapters. The questions used to prompt discussion are shown in Figure 1 - Discussion Questions. Key topics from the Working Group's discussion and comments from members of the public are summarized in separate sections that follow.

Figure 1 - Discussion Questions







WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION TOPICS

The main feedback provided from Working Group members related to the "Introduction," "History and Place," and "Sustainability, Climate, Equity, and Resilience" chapters, and included the following:

1. Changes in demographics and housing:

- The population decline was surprising for many and the potential factors that caused it, including the downzoning in the 1998 Mid-City Community Plan and building schools where housing was once, among other factors, were discussed.
- Although most of the community consists of multifamily housing, it is not affordable.
- Income has not kept pace with rising housing costs.
- Concerns about the decline in young residents and the need for familyfriendly neighborhoods.
- Mid-City data should be compared to citywide and statewide data for a better perspective on changes in Mid-City, relatively.
- Post-pandemic growth has been significant in Mid-City.

2. Affordability concerns and housing availability:

- Affordability is a critical issue and Mid-City has become significantly more expensive.
- There are fewer residents, yet housing costs have risen simultaneously.
- More housing is needed throughout Mid-City, with discussion about how increasing housing supply might not necessarily lead to cheaper prices.
- Incentivizing developers to build and sell at lower prices remains a challenge.
- Young families struggle to afford to buy, while older residents can't afford to leave.
- There are more renters than homeowners; with the increase of housing costs, many are now focused on renting in San Diego and not necessarily owning.

3. Tree canopy and shade:

- Mid-City needs more trees, especially City Heights and Eastern Area, and equity considerations are crucial when considering tree coverage.
- New projects being built often lack trees or the trees are so little they do not provide sufficient shade.
- Native tree planting should be proposed but avoid palm trees since those do not provide shade.



City Planning Department



- Shade structures, not just trees, can help mitigate heat, and other shade options besides trees should also be explored.
- Trees are often planted in homes but not along corridors, therefore walkability does not benefit.
- Removal of trees alters ecosystems, affecting wildlife like coyotes and increasing their presence in urban areas.
- Fire safety and flood concerns persist due to inadequate planning and maintenance.
- Bioswales can also be used to help irrigate new trees during the rainy season.
- Near El Cajon Blvd tree coverage decreases as you get closer to the major corridor, leading to increased heat due to asphalt absorbing sunlight.

4. Mobility, transportation, and emissions:

- Concerns about missing sidewalks, especially in Eastern Area, hinder walkability.
- Automobiles should be considered as a mobility option and planned for like other modes of transportation, including addressing parking needs.
- Electric cars will reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- There is no light-rail service in Mid-City today, and transit is a planning decision.
- Mid-City needs to be a place that is safe to walk and bike; and traffic violence and pedestrian collisions are concerning.

5. Concerns related to equity:

- Climate conditions intersect with affordability and transit policies.
- Low-income communities face higher traffic collisions.
- There have been new trees planted but those were efforts by community members and homeowners that are willing to maintain them.
- The rising temperatures pose risks, especially for vulnerable populations sensitive to heat.

6. Other observations:

- There's a huge opportunity to make positive changes through the update.
- The removal of trees on Adams Ave, Manzanita/Swan Canyon by Azelea Park, does not help.
- Lack of sidewalks in Eastern Area near University Ave needs to be addressed
- El Cajon Blvd and University Ave need more trees if we want people to walk.
- Review report data: Adams Ave is excluded from the TPA Map.





PUBLIC COMMENTS

Members of the public provided additional comments in person and on Zoom after the presentation and Working Group discussion. Members of the public attended the meeting. A summary of the verbal comments is included in the list below. Members of the public were also able to provide written comments; in-person attendees could submit a comment card, and the virtual attendees were able to use Zoom chat. The comments provided through Zoom chat are included as Appendix A – Record of Comments Provided through Zoom Chat, and the comment cards from the in-person attendees are included as Appendix B - Comment Cards Submitted.

1. Housing, density, and accessibility:

- All neighborhoods should have at least two entry and exit points.
- There's an excessive number of short-term rentals in the area.
- People shared different perspectives on the connection between density and property values.
- All types of housing should be included in the plan.
- Truly affordable housing (30-50% AMI) is needed for seniors, disabled individuals, and low-wage earners.
- Creating more affordable home ownership opportunities for younger generations by constructing "starter homes" of about 1,200 SF.
- Consider diverse age groups living in Mid-City.
- Consider diverse cultural groups living in Mid-City, such as Mexican, Somalian, Ethiopian, and Vietnamese communities.

2. Tree Planting, Sustainability, and Climate plans:

- Have a deliberate approach to growing and planting trees.
- What does the new Climate Action Plan and Vision Zero entail for Mid-City? Are there annual steps to achieve the goals?
- The City should address flood and fire issues along Aldine Dr.
- Strategic placement of drought-tolerant landscaping in underutilized narrow spaces.

3. Mobility and infrastructure improvements:

- SB 969 may impact walkability and reduce public space by allowing restaurants to pop out into street.
- Consider how active walkers can cross canyons more effectively.
- The city needs to address sinkholes.
- o Mobility practices beyond automobiles, emphasizing transit and biking.
- Public transit in Mid-City is inadequate; explore successful models from other cities.



PLAN MID-CITY Communities Plan Update

City Planning Department

 Increase the number of local destinations within walking distance of residential areas.

4. Other comments:

- Colina del Sol would like a community garden to address various issues, including homelessness.
- Create opportunities for community activation and collective ownership in public spaces.
- Improving school quality in Mid-City can encourage residents to stay.
- Wages need to increase in order for people to continue to live in Mid-City.
- Address employment centers, universities, intellectual capital, and higherincome jobs.
- 5. **Questions, Clarifications and Feedback on Accurate Reporting:**
 - How does the geographic area of Mid-City compare to the broader San Diego area? (Heat index)
 - The Euclid Avenue Revitalization Action Program should be incorporated as part of the Mid-City Community Plan Update.
 - The communities of Webster and Ridgeview are two separate communities and should not be referred to as Webster/Ridgeview.
 - How was the Working Group committee assembled?
 - Additional edits and comments on the *Mid-City Atlas* were provided through a physical copy of the document.

NEXT STEPS

- Next Working Group meeting is September 11, 2024. It is anticipated that the meeting will continue with the discussion of the *Mid-City Atlas* and will begin discussion on the Mid-City Communities Plan Update Public Engagement Summary.
- Public Engagement Summary is planned to be released in late summer.
- Existing Conditions Mobility Assessment is planned to be released in Fall 2024.
- Historic Context Statement & Survey is planned to be released at the end of 2024/ early 2025.





APPENDIX A – RECORD OF COMMENTS PROVIDED THROUGH ZOOM CHAT

Person 1: Hi Everyone, Lorna Zukas, El Cerrito, I serve on the El Cerrito Community Council, I'm a sociologist by training with experience in urban planning. I am a homeowner and I fully support keeping single family neighborhoods and not mixing single homes with multiunit housing. I think we need to plan for electric cars rather than no cars. Parking is mandatory in my mind. When we don't provide enough parking, we hurt people with fewer economic means who live in multiunit housing areas.

Zoom Host: Thank you for the input, Lorna!

Person 1: Clearly we have to get incomes up to solve the problem.

Person 1: Didn't population decline?

Working Group Member: I wonder if the downward population trend is due to a downward trend in family size? i.e. less kids?

Person 1: How many single family homes and how many multiunit homes have been built in midcities from 2000 to 2022? Also how many new parks and recreations areas have been built to support new housing? The actions that the City has taken in the last five years have given rise to huge increase in land value and thus housing prices.

Person 1: Zachary, the demographic shift is real. A lot of people are staying at home much longer than previous generations, people are staying single longer. However, by age 40 people are getting married and often starting families. When they do this they want houses.

Person 1: Let's use the Vienna model. They have developed a good social housing model.

Working Group Member: One problem with affordable housing is its always rentals! an affordable condo is few and far between, most dont qualify for the easiest loans to get (FHA backed). I would love to see more family sized condo units, I would have loved to have bought a three bed 2 bath condo, but they dont exist in the middle income range.

Person 1: Replying to "One problem with aff..."

This is so important Zachary; when one owns they gain accumulated wealth from property rather than subsidizing someone else's profit.

Person 1: Interesting question! Immigrant families are likely to have larger families. Senior house holds are more likely to be smaller, unless kids move home. It would be nice to have this type of data.

Working Group Member: Replying to "One problem with aff..."



City Planning Department



Agree 100%. With the growth in housing prices too, it seems to me the bottom rung of that wealthbuilding property-ladder has moved beyond Single Family homes. Townhomes, Duplexes. Small to Midsize Condo buildings with 2, 3 and 4 bedrooms for young families are going to need to be that bottom rung in the future.

Working Group Member: Replying to "One problem with aff..." Ideally we can do that with infill development. but I imagine there is more demand than paving parking lots can fill

Person 1: Replying to "One problem with aff..."

Yes, but we have to get rid of the ADU bonus plan that is encouraging developers to buy the affordable homes, put apartment buildings on them and then charging market rate housing for all but 1 or 2 units.

Person 1: Can't hear.

Working Group @ Kroc Center: We are moving forward with presentation on the next section and will get to discussion on two topics and once in the interest of time.

Working Group Member: I would have liked to see College Avenue also called out as a transit/mobility corridor

Person 1: We are losing trees to ADUs. This has to stop.

Person 2: The problem with densification or infill development is that it raises land prices astronomically. Here are links to two studies that show the impact of densification policies: http://www.demographia.com/dhi.pdf and https://fortune.com/2024/06/16/housing-market-crisis-impossibly-unaffordable-cities-california-feudalizing-land-home-prices/. The last is an article in Fortune magazine, of all places. From the article: "The report points to 'urban containment policies' that are meant to limit sprawl and increase density. Those have resulted in higher land prices, which have translated to dramatically higher home prices, it explained.The trend toward increasing density was geared toward reducing reliance on cars and freeways, improving gridlock, and making neighborhoods more walkable. But the report said while such policies were well-intentioned, they resulted in land prices being eight to 20 times higher in urban containment boundaries than outside of them." The Working Group might consider these points of view.

Person 1: How do these numbers break out by age?

Person 3: Is this session only for the folks in the Working Group sharing their thoughts on the draft report from the City.Or will community members have a chance to also share theirs too?

Working Group Member: When you design for cars. People drive cars. That's why 80% of us use cars. I commuted downtown for 3 years on bus and trolley. I bike to the local shops. We only have one car and my partner works 25 miles away. Trolleys and buses are very much needed and utilized!



City Planning Department



Zoom Host: Hi Jean-huy tran, at the moment it is just Working Group members and shortly we'll have a public comment period.

Working Group @ Kroc Center: Replying to "Is this session only..." There will be a chance for members of the public to provide comments - online and in-person - at the end of the presentation and discussion by Working Group members.

Person 1: I've been commenting on issues as the discussion progressed. I hope these are considered part of public comment.

Person 1: Agree Ron Anderson.

Person 1: It sounds like this speaker is describing the need for social housing.

Person 1: The college/Rolando library is going to lose its parking due to increased density on the adjacent property. The City is giving up City property to a private developer. This is a major problem for the College, Rolando, and El Cerrito areas. We drive to the library and won't be able to park. Public transportation to the library is long and arduous.

Person 1: We need to make sure that our recreation facilities are all functional. The pool at Colina Park is not open yet this summer due to a broken heat pump. This may not be a planning issue, but keeping the facilities that are built in working order is important.





APPENDIX B – COMMENT CARDS SUBMITTED

ant Card John Ja to draft
ur comments about the Mid-City
lan Update below.
Kroc - YMCA were privately built
large blog is in College Area see border 36 Pg
Polish, add then
Ch Crivatershed is Not in Normal Heights See P954
College Area Plan not relevant- remove
not "Valley" (that's southof 94) it's in the Watershed
bottom right photo - caption is wrong
grammer either Spain Mexico or Spanish Mexican
Normal Heights lost homes when 805 was built
Coll. Grov. Shopping is in Eastern not outside see PSTA
Little Saigon is in Ken Talado (north side of Ec.
Ch.H. Nevy already historia freen majorette sign also
Their not digible It they are already H
Talmadge gates are not on Marlborough pilla
heed definition of Env. Justice communitier
average age of "walkers"? 20455 VIS 80453?
Normal Heights is in the SDRiver watershed
Momitted the 2016 Aldine Flood & 2019 Fire
Diplease add the recent flowd + Fire inkental

Please provide your contact information below.

H

neednembersnip acress Comment Card PLAN Please share your comments about the Mid-City Communities Plan Update below. MID-CITY Ch Cr Watershed Communities Plan Update pq H whereaset 'S da Fed where (; SSUES 5 insummer To rea pho C Tron Wron OP D hore \leq 5 5 he date 20 C 10 De include 457 () enll 01 an Dare asl ake C 10n-0 red priva ave 0 borsh % 01 0 0 conta 5 Kuler acres where are the acollector nocurbs dine be N Sidente w an 5 m Oh Q. amed 5 onroe PI n C 5 done SGCC er 6 a not ask ioint use 15 Q1 posed an bue 0 C igure 6 -) mor e 5 xe 12 Ø 9 WI bo P 101 O 0 ho 0 a gure hee WOr Der T 0

Please provide your contact information below.

-

Comm	nunities Plan Update below.
T	would lite to see improved walk the
T	in Talucky there is lattle to walk to I walk
6	lat but for exercise not to do erranch or
60	places of
T	would like to see more interest in looking
Q	I the puture + less emphasis not preser-
A	thing Vong the present past,
T	is pessimentic about our facing the need to
VY	dice Co2 incissions quein our veluctance to
TD	uccesse density + inprove public transporta les
Li	my opinion leading are not making an argu-
m	ent for minersed density. A dure and makes
InSu	ra more stunilating citig- agoid offices more
de	verse opportunities for employment, cultural
m	stitutions, etc.
_	
Equ	In - The well-to- do Communities want to vertiget
inc	versal kensity to other averas - whereas some
nere	eurch shows lower in come people hereful ky
live	ng in move affluent necylibouhoods,
	Please provide your contact information below.

Please share your comments about the Mid-City Communities Plan Update below.



Affordable Housing (maket)
"Housing development that is 90% luting units 3 101. "affordable"
Units 1 80-100% AMI and 90K) is not what we need
"We ned having developments may are majority offordate
and truly affordable (30% AMI, 50% AMI N for low wage
earnes & disabled / elderly falks on fixed incomes)
" A LOT OF \$ the city funnels to affordable housing
basically creates profits for wealthy longlands when
we pay high rents for low income families + individuals
(Section & tother housing vouchors) and also provide
hausing theontives of double or even triple deposits etc.
Defore of mat & should be invested in
permanently offundable units subsidized by
One city have been the city
· Let's talk about now the city can build or
incentivize contractors to build or redevelop properties
to create truly affordable (not luxury) units that
maintain the character of air communities, prioritize
equity, 3 acknowledge the human dignity of ALL ar
neighbors cespecially disabled + fixed income forms + law
wage corners who are most vulnerable in the housing
Crisis.)

Please provide your contact information below.

D

0

Please share your comments about the Mid-City Communities Plan Update below.



SFRIDIES DOI B HOW C F F ALL 60 INC 0 6 5 0 0 10 not 10 10 Ab SE NG Jarti B DE ZE IMAI P S 5 0 D B D 0 E 40 D D DX GA CAN 55

Please provide your contact information below.

Please share your comments about the Mid-City Communities Plan Update below.



61	City Heights is one of the most culturally
	diverse areas in the state. We have large mexica,
	samalian, ethoopian, vietnamese populations
	3 hope the community plan will
	consider their interests too
	Please provide your contact information below.
	Please provide your contact information below.

Please share your comments about the Mid-City Communities Plan Update below.



I WWE IN COWNA Del SOL- DIRECTIN
ACTUSS FROM CO WIN DUL SUI PARKE & RECREATION -
IM REPRESENTING MY LONDO COMPLEX (PANLERIDGE CONDOS)
. THE MATORIN OF HOME OWNERS IN MAY COMPLEXE WOULD WERE THE
(THEN PLANNING GROUP TO INCLUDE RODING A' COMMUNITY GRADON
FLOWER/SUCCIDENT GARDEN SPECIFICALLY TO THE FRONT ATLEA OF
THE PHMY KING OFANGE AVE (AT 52M2 STREET) TO THE
PATH AT THE TENNIS COUNTS;
WE ANG PLAOVED BY A HOMELESS ELEMENT THAT IS A PUBLIC
NUISSANUE "PAILY - MARING LOB OF UNNECESSARY NORSE, FIGHTS,
UNINATING IN PUBLIC IN BROAD DAY LIGHT, TOTALLY DISPESSEDTING
OUR NEIGHBOR HOOD & COMMUNITY. THEY REFUSE to MOVE to THE
BALLAMEN OF THE PANK - "PANKS' > her there Thies in MANY
WARKS to GAT THEM TO MUVE - NO SUCCESS. SO THE COMME DEL
SOL COMMUNITY GROUP THE COME UP WITH THE IDEA OF MALEINES
THAT FINTING FURNT OF THE PANLED BEAUTIFUL FLOWER,
SUCCULENT GAMBEN / CUMMUNITY GAMBEN TO CARATE A BROUGHT
TOVENANT LAMDSCRIPE THAT WILL MAINTAIN THE BRANTIFUL VIEW
ME LOVE AND ENJOY AT MY COMPLEX AND HOPEFULLY FINALLY
PEUTIFY THE GRUNP OF DEUPUE BEING A PUPUL MUSSANDE
PANK FORCING THEM TO MOVE TO CHARANT THE BACK OF THE
PANZ ON JUST GOIND AWAY ON US AND FOR ALL

Please provide your contact information below.

I'M ONE OF NUMEROUS HOMEOWNERS IN COLUMN DEL SOI IS ANE OF THE BEST VAND USE IDEAS FOR COMMENTED SOI PARK. THE PARKS & DEC DEPT. ADR Well AWARE OF THE ISSNES ALONG ONANGE ANE AT THE PANIC AS KREE THE SOPD. - TO GETHER WE AN FEEL THAT DEVELOPING THIS FRONTH PIREFA INHO A OPPLOEN THAT WE LAN AN LONTMENTE TO AND HELP MAINTAIN TOAPAHEN NE HOME ON NEWS, NESI DENTE AND WE ALL THAT ARE LONCENNED THAT THIS PROUP OF PEOPLE MAKING A PUBLIC NVISSANCE OUR NEIGHBON-HEAD UNSAFE FOR THE CHILDNEN AND MILLE THE SUMMOUNDING SCHOOLS AS Well AS evenylone WHO'S AFFECTED BY THEM DAILY, WEEKLY, MONTHLY, YEARLY. (drive the sol parties 1201) · CONVERT THE ENTINE FRONT AMESSY AMED to be A community GARDEN - Fromen GARDEN-SUCCULENT PROVERT FOLLEMANT FILMA FOR THE NEIGHBOR HODD 45 ENDER PUD BE HAVE TO CONTINENTE TO