Blueprint SD Initiative

including

Blueprint SD General Plan Amendment

University Community Plan Update

and

Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment

Vehicle Miles Traveled Analysis

Prepared By: City of San Diego Sustainability and Mobility Department



February 23, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 Purpose of the Report	4
1.2 Report Organization	4
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4
2.1 Land Use Changes	5
2.2 Multi-Modal Changes	5
3.0 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	6
3.1 Data Sources and Methods	6
3.2 Determination of CEQA Transportation Significant Impact for VMT	9
4.0 IMPACT ANALYSIS	
4.1 Vehicle Miles Traveled – SB 743 Analysis	
4.2 Significance of Impacts	

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Blueprint Methodology Documentation

Appendix B: Blueprint SD Activity Based Model Inputs Development Memos:

B-1 Conversion of Blueprint SD Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs

B-2 Summary of Updates in Three Model Run Inputs

Appendix C: Blueprint SD Model Run Citywide Land Use Inputs Summaries

C-1 Blueprint SD Model Run 1

C-2 Blueprint SD Model Run 2

C-3 Blueprint SD Model Run 3

Appendix D: University CPU Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Appendix E: Hillcrest FPA Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Appendix F: SANDAG SB 743 VMT Reports and Traffic Forecast Information Center (TFIC) Maps

F-1 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 186 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-2 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 1, Scenario 319 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-3 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-4 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and University CPU
F-5 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 3, Scenario 321 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-6 SANDAG TFIC SB 743 VMT per Capita Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University
F-7 SANDAG TFIC SB 743 VMT per Employee Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts	9
Table 3-2: Project Specific Significance Threshold for VMT Impacts by Land Use*	10
Table 4-1: Citywide Base Year VMT Metrics	11
Table 4-2: Citywide CEQA VMT Analysis for Blueprint SD	12
Table 4-3: University CPU Base Year VMT Metrics	13
Table 4-4: University CPU Resident and Employee VMT Analysis	13
Table 4-5: Hillcrest FPA Base Year VMT Metrics	14
Table 4-6: Hillcrest FPA Resident and Employee VMT Analysis	15

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Report

This Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Analysis Technical Report serves to identify and document potential California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) transportation impacts related to VMT of the Proposed Project which includes the following key components: the Blueprint SD Initiative, the University Community Plan and Local Coastal Plan Update (CPU) (hereinafter referred to as the "University CPU"), and the Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment (FPA) to the Uptown Community Plan (hereinafter referred to as the "Hillcrest FPA").

This report has been prepared in accordance with the City of San Diego (City's) compliance with Senate Bill (SB) 743 legislation specified by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). SB 743 removes vehicular Level of Service (LOS) as a metric for determining significant environmental impacts for transportation and replaces it with VMT as the primary measure of transportation impacts for CEQA. Operational analyses of the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA proposed mobility networks will be provided in separate reports and/or memorandums.

1.2 Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized into the following chapters:

- 2.0 Project Description Summarizes the project's components.
- **3.0** Analysis Methodology Describes the methodologies and standards utilized to analyze the CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT for all scenarios.
- **4.0 Project Impacts** Discusses the VMT analysis and potential CEQA transportation impacts of the Proposed Project.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project analyzed in this VMT Analysis Technical Report includes the following:

- "Blueprint SD Initiative" which includes adoption of a General Plan amendment and associated discretionary actions.
- The Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment (FPA) to the Uptown Community Plan (hereinafter referred to as the "Hillcrest FPA"), rezones, amendments to the City's Land Development Code (LDC), and associated discretionary actions.
- The University Community Plan and Local Coastal Plan Update (CPU) (hereinafter referred to as the "University CPU"), rezones, amendments to the LDC, and associated discretionary actions.

Please refer to Chapter 3, Project Description, of the Blueprints SD Initiative, Hillcrest FPA, and University CPU Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the detailed project description.

2.1 Land Use Changes

Blueprint SD Initiative Climate Smart Village Areas

The Blueprint SD Initiative Climate Smart Village Areas are areas within the City with a village propensity value between 7 and 14 as identified in the Village Climate Goal Propensity Map (see Figure 3-1a through e from the PEIR). Future opportunities for homes and jobs are anticipated to be focused in these Climate Smart Village Areas as these areas have good access to homes, jobs, and mixed use-destinations; are in proximity to high-frequency transit services based on the 2050 regional transportation network, have competitive transit access to job centers based on the 2050 regional transportation network, and provide good connections between transit and destinations.

University Community Plan Update

The changes proposed to the University CPU land use plan address the demand for homes and jobs and reflect the recent extension of the University of California San Diego (UCSD) Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) Blue Line Trolley service to UCSD and other existing and planned transit services. Table 3-5 of the PEIR identifies the existing, adopted plan and proposed plan non-residential build-out square footage for the University CPU area. Table 3-6 of the PEIR identifies the total number of existing homes by type and the total number of homes that could be built for the adopted University Community Plan and proposed University CPU. The proposed University CPU land use map is depicted on Figure 3-19 of the PEIR.

Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment

The Hillcrest FPA would increase the allowable development intensity and residential density within approximately 380 acres of the Hillcrest and Medical Complex neighborhoods allowing for additional homes and jobs to be near sustainable transportation options. Generally, higher intensity development would be allowed along primary transit corridors, increasing opportunities for mixed-use commercial and employment districts. Table 3-2 of the PEIR identifies the existing, adopted plan and proposed plan non-residential build-out square footage for the Hillcrest FPA area. Table 3-1 of the PEIR identifies the total number of existing homes by type and the total number of homes that could be built for the Hillcrest FPA. The proposed Uptown Community Plan land use map is depicted on Figure 3-8a through 3-8c of the PEIR.

2.2 Multi-Modal Changes

Future modeling scenarios used the planned regional mobility network/investments/policies from the San Diego Association of Government's (SANDAG's) 2021 Regional Plan 2023 Amendment. Information on the proposed mobility system and multi-modal improvements for the University CPU are described in Section 3.5.3.1.c. of the PEIR. Information on the proposed mobility system and improvements for the Hillcrest FPA are described in Section 3.5.2.2 of the PEIR. Operational analyses of the proposed mobility system for the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA will be provided in separate reports.

3.0 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

This chapter describes the methodology for the CEQA VMT impact analysis that was prepared in accordance with the City's compliance with the SB 743 legislation and the CEQA review process.

3.1 Data Sources and Methods

VMT data was obtained from SANDAG's Series 14 Activity Based Model (ABM2+). The ABM is a travel demand forecasting model that incorporates census data and travel surveys to inform the algorithms of the model's projections. It uses a simulated population based on existing and projected demographics to match residents to employment and forecasts the daily travel on the regional transportation network. In addition, the model is able to estimate the daily travel behavior of individuals in the simulated population, including origins, destinations, travel distances and mode choices.

For the Proposed Project, SANDAG's 2016 Base Year forecast was used to determine the VMT metrics for residents and employees for the baseline condition.

The Project developed a Citywide Village Climate Goal Propensity Map (see Figure 3-1a through e of the PEIR) and subsequently identified areas with a village propensity value between 7 and 14 as Climate Smart Village Areas. Future opportunities for homes and jobs are anticipated to be focused in these Climate Smart Village Areas as they have good access to homes, jobs, and mixed use-destinations; are in proximity to high-frequency transit services and would have competitive transit access to job centers based on the 2050 regional transportation network, and provide good connections between transit and destinations. For additional information on the Village Climate Goal Propensity Map and Climate Smart Village Areas see **Appendix A**.

To evaluate the VMT impact that could potentially arise from the implementation of the Blueprint SD Initiative, the City worked with its transportation modeling consultant and SANDAG to develop model inputs that would best represent the future conditions which resulted in 3 modeling scenarios as described in Section 1.2 of this document. From these scenarios, SANDAG generated VMT Reports that were used to determine the VMT impact(s) of the Project, these reports are contained in **Appendix F**.

Activity Based Model (ABM) Background

The ABM is a complex travel demand model that can track the characteristics of each simulated traveler and can analyze the travel patterns of a wide area throughout an entire day. When simulating a person's travel patterns, the ABM takes into consideration a multitude of personal and household attributes to ensure that people move from one place to another in a realistic manner. Each model run "scenario" can reflect a specific year, land use scenario, and/or transportation network. After an ABM scenario is constructed, it produces a loaded roadway network that provides projected daily vehicle volumes on each link in the network with additional reports on mode share, VMT and other transportation metrics that can be generated for analysis. Additional technical information on the SANDAG ABM can be found at: https://github.com/SANDAG/ABM/wiki.

Village Climate Goal Propensity Map

For the Blueprint SD Initiative, a land use modeling effort was used to locate homes and jobs within areas near high frequency transit, with the goal of supporting a shift in mode share from single occupancy vehicles to other non-vehicular models of travel including walking, biking, and transit. Refer to *Appendix A* for the description of the methodology used in the development of the Blueprint SD Initiative Climate Goal Propensity Map. Future homes and jobs within the Climate Smart Village Areas would be further defined as part of future CPUs, Specific Plans, and/or FPAs.

Model Input Development

To model the Project within SANDAG'S ABM 2+, the proposed Village Climate Goal Propensity Map and Climate Smart Village Areas were converted into model inputs that are representative of the Proposed Project. With its consultant, the City estimated the overall increased Citywide housing capacity that the Blueprint SD Initiative would allow, ranging from low to high intensity. The increased capacities where then distributed to the Climate Smart Village Areas. To evaluate the full effect of the project, two model runs would be used to represent the low and high intensity capacities which are Model Run 1 and Model Run 3, respectively.

For the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA, a third model run, Model Run 2 was developed that was built off Model Run 1 with modifications to incorporate the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA land uses.

The detailed methodology of how the model inputs were developed can be found in **Appendix B-1**. Summaries of the land use inputs citywide for Model Runs 1, 2 and 3 are provided in **Appendix C**. More detailed land use inputs for the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA areas are provided in **Appendix D** and **Appendix E**, respectively.

SB 743 VMT Reports

SANDAG is able to extract various transportation metrics from completed model via post processing methods. SB 743 VMT reports are based on the resident model of the Activity Based Model and do not account for VMT from other sources such as visitors/tourist or goods movement. The ABM can track the tours of all the residents of the region by purpose and calculate their daily VMT. The SB 743 VMT report focuses on two VMT efficiency metrics:

- VMT per capita represents the average amount of personal, non-commercial, vehicle travel made on an average weekday by each resident who lives within that geographic boundary. In practice this metric is typically applied to residential land use projects.
- VMT per employee represents the average amount of personal, non-commercial, vehicle travel made on an average weekday by each resident employee whose employment/work location is within that geographic boundary. In practice this metric is typically applied to commercial employment land use projects.

The VMT metrics can be reported on any specific geographic boundary within the region. For this project, the geographic boundaries used were:

- Region: San Diego Region
- City: City of San Diego
- Study Areas:
 - o University Community Plan Area Boundary
 - o Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment Area Boundary

Additional details on SANDAG SB 743 post-processing can be found here:

https://sandag.maps.arcgis.com/sharing/rest/content/items/f85d3ffea0394f298af2462c9fbfe724/data

SANDAG VMT reports utilized for this project are found in *Appendix F*.

Modeling Scenarios

SANDAG's ABM was used to determine the project's VMT. The proposed land uses and Regional Plan mobility network/investments/policies were inputs to the model to develop future travel forecasts and

VMT. For the project's VMT analysis the following modelling scenarios were utilized:

- Base Year (2016) The 14.3.0 version of the 2021 Regional Plan Base Year (2016)
- City of San Diego Blueprint SD Model Run 1 (2050) Is the low estimate density for the Blueprint SD Initiative Climate Smart Village Areas, which are areas with a village propensity value of 7 through 14, with the proposed regional mobility network/investments/policies from the 2021 Regional Plan 2023 Amendment.
- City of San Diego Blueprint SD Model Run 2 (2050) Incorporates proposed land uses from the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA with the proposed regional mobility network/investments/policies from the 2021 Regional Plan 2023 Amendment.
- City of San Diego Blueprint SD Model Run 3 (2050) Is the high estimate density for Blueprint SD Initiative Climate Smart Village Areas with the proposed regional mobility network/investments/policies from the 2021 Regional Plan 2023 Amendment.

All scenarios were modeled using the SANDAG ABM 2+, Series 14 Regional Model and assume the Regional Plan's 2023 Amendment transportation network for 2050. For the Blueprint SD GPU, Model Run 1 and Model Run 3 serve as the low and high residential land use scenarios, respectively, proposed by the Blueprint SD Initiative. Model Run 2 Citywide land uses fall between Model Runs 1 and 3 and incorporate the proposed land uses for the University CPU and Hillcrest FPA.

For the purpose of the VMT transportation impact study, a Plan-to-Ground analysis was conducted by comparing the Proposed Project to the Base Year (2016), which is representative of baseline conditions.

3.2 Determination of CEQA Transportation Significant Impact for VMT

On September 27, 2013, Governor Jerry Brown signed SB 743 into law and started a process intended to fundamentally change transportation impact analysis under CEQA. The Office of Planning and Research (OPR) published its latest recommended Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA in December 2018. This Technical Advisory provides recommendations on how to evaluate transportation impacts under SB 743. The OPR guidance covers specific changes to the CEQA guidelines and recommends elimination of auto delay for CEQA purposes and the use of VMT as the preferred CEQA transportation metric.

VMT is positively correlated with growth and as the region is expected to grow, VMT is also expected to increase. How and where growth occurs plays a significant role in determining how much VMT will increase. Growth areas are projected to be more VMT efficient with the following: high quality transit service, a complete active transportation network, and complementary land use mixes.

Consistent with OPR's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA (December 2018), the City updated the transportation thresholds in their CEQA Significance Determination Thresholds and adopted the Transportation Study Manual (TSM) in 2020 (updated in 2022) that requires the use of the following VMT metrics for determining CEQA transportation impacts of land use projects:

- For residential uses, the recommended efficiency metric is Resident VMT per Capita;
- For employment uses, the recommended efficiency metric is Employee VMT per Employee.
- For retail uses, the recommended metric is a net change of total area VMT due to the nature of retail trips typically redistributing shopping trips rather than creating new trips.

From Table 3 of the TSM, Significance Thresholds for VMT by land use type are shown in **Table 3-1**.

	Table 3-1			
	Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts			
Land Use Type (See TSM Appendix B for Specific Land Use Designations)	Threshold for Determination of a Significant Transportation VMT Impact**			
Residential	15% below regional mean* VMT per Capita			
Commercial Employment	15% below regional mean* VMT per Employee			
Industrial and Agricultural Employment	Regional mean* VMT per Employee			
Regional Retail	Zero net increase in total regional VMT*			
Hotel	See Commercial Employment			
Regional Recreational	See Regional Retail			
Regional Public Facilities	See Regional Retail			
Mixed-Use	Analyze each land use individually per above categories			
Redevelopment	Apply the relevant threshold based on proposed land use (ignore the existing land use)			
Transportation Projects	Zero net increase in total regional VMT*			
* The regional mean and total regional VMT are determined using the SANDAG Regional Travel				
Demand Model. The specific model version and model year will be identified by the Development				
Services Department's Transportation Development Section.				
** Projects that exceed these thresholds would have a significant impact.				

Table 3-1: Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts

While the metrics and thresholds in **Table 3-1**, Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts, are appropriate at the project level, both OPR and the City recognize that for large land use plans such as the General Plan and Community Plans, proposed new residential, office and retail land uses should be considered in aggregate (OPR, 2018). Locally serving retail land uses are presumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT. However, it is not possible at the program level to isolate the components of citywide proposed retail land uses that may be regionally serving which may have a significant VMT impact verses those that are locally serving and would be presumed to have a less than significant VMT impact. In addition, it is not possible to isolate the component of VMT attributable only to proposed retail land uses because net regional VMT changes referred to in **Table 3-1** and provided by the transportation forecasts include those caused by population and employment growth as well as proposed land use, transportation network, and policy changes. For retail land uses it is more appropriate to identify VMT impacts and potential mitigation measures at the project level.

Project-specific significance thresholds for the Proposed Project (Blueprint SD Initiative, University CPU, and Hillcrest FPA) have been developed to guide programmatic analysis for the Proposed Project.

Table 3-2 Project Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts by Land Use*				
Land Use Type	Threshold for Determination of a Significant Transportation VMT			
	Impact			
Residential	15% below regional mean** VMT per Capita			
Commercial Employment	15% below regional mean** VMT per Employee			
Regional Retail	Net increase in total base year regional VMT**			
*The thresholds included in this table are for the pertinent land use types of the Proposed Project. Other land use thresholds (e.g., hotel, institutional, mixed-use, etc.) have been excluded as those thresholds are more land use specific and for project- level analyses.				
** The regional mean and total VMT are determined using the Base Year (2016) of the current version of the SANDAG Regional Travel Demand Model				

Table 3-2: Project Specific Significance Threshold for VMT Impacts by Land Use*

The VMT thresholds provided in **Table 3-2** were developed based on SB 743 legislation, the City's TSM and OPR's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA, which covers specific changes to the CEQA guidelines and contains OPR's technical recommendations related to the use of VMT, as the preferred CEQA transportation metric.

VMT per capita represents the average amount of personal, non-commercial, vehicle travel made on an average weekday by each resident who lives within that geographic boundary.

VMT per employee represents the average amount of personal, non-commercial, vehicle travel made on an average weekday by each resident employee whose employment/work location is within that geographic boundary.

4.0 IMPACT ANALYSIS

This chapter presents the assessment of VMT impacts resulting from the Proposed Project.

4.1 Vehicle Miles Traveled – SB 743 Analysis

As described in *Chapter 3*, SANDAG's Activity Based Model (ABM) was used to calculate the Proposed Project's VMT. The proposed land uses were inputs to the model with the proposed regional mobility network/investments/policies from the 2021 Regional Plan 2023 Amendment to develop future roadway volumes and VMT. VMT Reports from the modeling scenarios (described in *Chapter 3*) by study area are contained in *Appendix F*.

Blueprint SD Initiative VMT Analysis

Residential and Employment VMT

Table 4-1 presents the City of San Diego resident and employee VMT efficiency metrics for Base Year conditions. Under Base Year conditions, the City is above the threshold of 85 percent of the regional mean for both efficiency metrics at 92 percent and 104 percent of the Base Year regional means for both VMT per Capita (Residents) and VMT per Employee (Employment), respectively.

Table 4-1: Citywide Base Year VMT Metrics

Table 4-1 Base Year VMT Metrics						
		2016 B	ase Year			
2016 Regional Mean ¹ Citywide Mean ² Percent of 2016 Regional Mean						
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1 17.6 92%					
VMT per Employee (Employment)19.119.8104%						
¹ Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186						
² Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186						
See Appendix F for VMT Reports						

By 2050, under the Blueprint SD Initiative, the VMT efficiency substantially improves. **Table 4-2** presents the Blueprint SD Initiative 2050 resident and employee VMT for the City of San Diego. Under the Blueprint SD Initiative, the City is projected to have VMT per Capita between 13.3 - 14.4 and VMT per Employee between 13.2 - 14.2, which are 70 - 75 percent and 69 - 74 percent, respectively, of the Base Year regional means. VMT associated with the residential and employment land uses would not exceed the thresholds and would be less than significant assuming full implementation of the Blueprint SD Initiative and the SANDAG 2021 Regional Plan. However, at a programmatic level of analysis, we cannot ensure full implementation of the Regional Plan's transportation investments. Therefore, residential and employment VMT impacts would be considered significant.

Table 4-2: Citywide CEQA VMT Analysis for Blueprint SD

Table 4-2 VMT CEQA Analysis for Blueprint SD						
			2050 Blueprint SD			
	2016 Regional Mean ¹	Citywide Mean ² Percent of 2016 Regional Mean Exceeds Threshold ³ (Y/N)				
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1	13.3 - 14.4	70% - 75%	NO		
VMT per Employee (Employment)	19.1	13.2 - 14.2	69% - 74%	NO		

¹ Source for 2016 Regional Mean is SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186

² Sources for Citywide mean are SANDAG ABM 2+, Blueprint Model Run 3 Scenario - SB 743 VMT Report, Scenario ID 321 and SANDAG ABM 2+, Blueprint Model Run 1 Scenario - SB 743 VMT Report, Scenario ID 319 ³ Threshold is 85% of the 2016 Regional Mean VMT per Capita or VMT per Employee, respectively. See Appendix F for VMT Reports

Retail VMT

While the metrics and thresholds in **Table 3-1**, Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts are appropriate at the project level, both OPR and the City recognize that for large land use plans such as the General Plan and Community Plans, proposed new residential, office and retail land uses should be considered in aggregate (OPR, 2018). Locally serving retail land uses are presumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT. However, it is not possible at the program level to isolate the components of citywide proposed retail land uses that may be regionally serving which may have a significant VMT impact verses those that are locally serving and would be presumed to have a less than significant VMT impact. In addition, it is not possible to isolate the component of VMT attributable only to proposed retail land uses because net regional VMT changes provided by the transportation forecasts include those caused by population and employment growth as well as proposed land use, transportation network, investment, and policy changes. For retail land uses it is more appropriate to identify VMT impacts and potential mitigation measures at the project level. In addition, at this programmatic analysis it is not possible to ensure full implementation of the Regional Plan's transportation investments to support access to retail land uses. Therefore, impacts would be considered significant.

University Community Plan Update VMT Analysis

Residential and Employment VMT

Table 4-3 presents the University CPU resident and employee VMT efficiency metrics for Base Year conditions. Under Base Year conditions, the University CPU exceeds the thresholds by being above 85 percent of the regional means for both VMT per Capita (Residents) and VMT per Employee (Employment) at 90 percent and 126 percent of the Base Year regional means, respectively.

Table 4-3 Base Year VMT Metrics – University Community Plan Update						
	2016 Base Year					
2016 Regional Mean ¹ University Community Percent of 2016 Regional Plan Area Mean Mean ² Mean						
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1 17.1 90%					
VMT per Employee (Employment)19.124.0126%						
¹ Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186 ² Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, TFIC SB 743 VMT Maps Scenario ID 458 See Appendix F for VMT Reports and SANDAG Traffic Forecast Information Center (TFIC) data						

By 2050, with the implementation of the University CPU, the VMT efficiency substantially improves. **Table 4-4** presents the University CPU resident and employee VMT for 2050 which is projected to have a VMT per Capita at 11.5 and an VMT per Employee at 16.3, which are 60 percent and 85.3 percent, respectively, of the Base Year regional means. With implementation of the SANDAG Regional Plan, VMT associated with the residential land uses would not exceed the 85 percent thresholds at buildout of the University CPU and would be less than significant However, for the purpose of this programmatic analysis, it cannot be ensured that full implementation of the Regional Plan's transportation investments will occur. Therefore, residential VMT impacts would be considered significant. VMT associated with employment land uses would exceed the 85 percent threshold at buildout of the University CPU and would be considered significant.

	Table 4-4:	University	CPU Resident	and Employee	VMT Analysis
--	------------	------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Table 4-4 Resident and Employee VMT - University Community Plan Update							
	2050 University CPU						
	2016 Regional Mean ¹ University CPA Mean ² Percent of 2016 Regional Mean Exceeds Threshold ³						
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1 11.5 60% NO						
VMT per Employee 19.1 16.3 85.3% YES							
¹ Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186 ² Source: SANDAG ABM 2+, Blueprint Model Run 2 Scenario - SB 743 VMT Report, Scenario ID 320 ³ Threshold is 85% of the 2016 Regional Mean VMT per Capita or VMT per Employee, respectively.							
See Appendix F for VMT Reports							

Retail VMT

While the metrics and thresholds in **Table 3-1**, Significance Thresholds for VMT Impacts, are appropriate at the project level, both OPR and the City recognize that for large land use plans such as the General Plan and Community Plans, proposed new residential, office and retail land uses should be considered in aggregate. Locally serving retail land uses are presumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT.

Due to the presence of the University Towne Centre Mall in the University CPU area, it is not possible at the program level to isolate proposed retail land uses that may be regionally serving, and which may have a significant VMT impact versus those that are locally serving and would be presumed have a less than significant VMT impact. In addition, it is not possible to isolate the component of VMT attributable solely to proposed retail land uses due to net regional VMT changes reflecting those caused by population and employment growth as well as proposed land use, transportation network, and policy changes. For retail land uses, it is more appropriate to identify VMT impacts and potential mitigation measures at the project level. At this programmatic level of analysis, the retail land uses in University CPU would have a significant VMT impact.

Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment VMT Analysis

Residential and Employment VMT

Table 4-5 presents the Hillcrest FPA resident and employee VMT efficiency metrics for Base Year conditions. Under Base Year conditions, the Hillcrest FPA is below the threshold for the VMT per Capita (Residents) metric at 75 percent of the Base Year regional mean while VMT per Employee (Employment) for the Hillcrest FPA is 87 percent of the Base Year regional averages, which exceeds the threshold.

Table 4-5 Base Year VMT Metrics – Hillcrest FPA						
		201	6 Base Year			
	2016 Regional Mean ¹ HC FPA Percent of 2016 Mean ² Regional Mean					
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1	14.2	75%			
VMT per Employee (Employment)19.116.587%						
¹ Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186 ² Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186 See Appendix F for VMT Reports						

Table 4-5: Hillcrest FPA Base Year VMT Metrics

By 2050 with the implementation of the Hillcrest FPA, the VMT efficiency substantially improves. **Table 4-6** presents the Hillcrest FPA resident and employee VMT for 2050 which is projected to have a Resident VMT per Capita at 5.7 and an Employee VMT per Employee at 9.4, which are 30 percent and 50 percent, respectively, of the Base Year regional averages. VMT associated with the residential and employment land uses would not exceed the 85 percent thresholds at buildout of the Hillcrest FPA and would be less than significant based on the Hillcrest FPA land uses and the implementation of the SANDAG 2021 Regional Plan. However, at this programmatic level of analysis, it cannot be ensured that implementation of the Regional Plan's transportation investments will occur. Therefore, residential and employment VMT impacts would be considered significant.

Table 4-6							
Resid	dent and Employee	VMT for Hillcrest	t Focused Plan Amendm	nent			
		2050 Hillcre	st Focused Plan Amend	ment Buildout			
2016 Regional Mean ¹ Hillcrest FPA Mean ² Percent of 2016 Regional Mean Exceeds Threshold ³ (Y/N)							
VMT per Capita (Residents)	19.1 5.7 30% NO						
VMT per Employee (Employment) 9.4 50% NO							
¹ Source: SANDAG ABM 2+ RP 2021, 2016 Base Year Scenario, VMT Report Scenario ID 186							
² Source: SANDAG ABM 2+, Blueprint Model Run 2 Scenario - SB 743 VMT Report, Scenario ID 320							
³ Threshold is 85% of the 2016 Regional Mean VMT per Capita or VMT per Employee, respectively.							
See Appendix F for V	See Appendix F for VMT Reports						

Table 4-6: Hillcrest FPA Resident and Employee VMT Analysis

Retail VMT

Although total VMT generated by all land uses is expected to increase under future buildout of the Hillcrest FPA, it is anticipated that further redevelopment would maintain and possibly expand neighborhood and community-serving retail. Per the City's TSM and OPR's Technical Advisory "local-serving retail development tends to shorten trips and reduce VMT. Thus, lead agencies generally may presume such development creates a less-than significant transportation impact." Consistent with the City's TSM and OPR's Technical Advisory, impacts related to VMT for retail land uses would be considered to be less than significant.

4.2 Significance of Impacts

Vehicle Miles Traveled per Capita - SB 743 Analysis

The project would have a significant VMT impact at the program level due to residential, employment, and retail VMT for the Blueprint SD Initiative and University CPU. Residential and employment VMT impacts under the Hillcrest FPA would also be significant; however, retail VMT impacts under the Hillcrest FPA would also be significant; however, retail VMT impacts under the Hillcrest FPA would be less than significant.

Appendices Table of Contents

Appendix A: Blueprint Methodology Documentation

Appendix B: Blueprint SD Activity Based Model Inputs Development Memos:

B-1 Conversion of Blueprint SD Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs

B-2 Summary of Updates in Three Model Run Inputs

Appendix C: Blueprint SD Model Run Citywide Land Use Inputs Summaries

C-1 Blueprint SD Model Run 1

C-2 Blueprint SD Model Run 2

C-3 Blueprint SD Model Run 3

Appendix D: University CPU Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Appendix E: Hillcrest FPA Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Appendix F: SANDAG SB 743 VMT Reports and Traffic Forecast Information Center (TFIC) Maps

F-1 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 186 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-2 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 1, Scenario 319 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-3 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-4 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and University CPU
F-5 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 3, Scenario 321 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-6 SANDAG SB 743 VMT per Capita Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University
F-7 SANDAG TFIC SB 743 VMT per Employee Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University



wsp

MEMO

TO: City of San Diego
FROM: Rick Curry, Sara Khoeini
SUBJECT: Blueprint Methodology Documentation
DATE: October 5, 2022

PROJECT SUMMARY

The City of San Diego's Climate Action Plan is oriented towards dramatically reducing Greenhouse Gas emissions from all energy sectors within the City of San Diego. On-road transportation related emissions account for approximately 40 percent of GHG emissions in the city of San Diego. The City of San Diego, through a variety of planning and policy documents, has focused transportation related reductions on reducing auto trip distances and mode shift to non-auto travel modes.

The goal of this project is to develop a data-driven planning process for the City of San Diego to maximize weekday daily alternative transport mode use such as walking, biking, micro-mobility, and transit. The final output map of this process highlights areas in the City of San Diego that are receptive to future housing and retail development through the forecasting year of 2050 that would help achieve the mode share goals.

WSP USA Wells Fargo Bank Building 401 B Street, Suite 1650 San Diego, CA 92101-4245

Tel.: +1 619 338-9376 Fax: +1 619 338-8123 wsp.com The main benefit of this planning process compared to traditional scenario planning (based on the SANDAG travel demand model) is the time saving of running the entire ABM2+ model in addition to the revisions required from SANDAG Service Bureau. Furthermore, scenario planning itself is an iterative process that involves thoughtful consideration to suggest reasonable scenarios for testing with the model and it is not guaranteed that the suggested scenarios will include the best possible scenario. The SANDAG ABM2+ is very good at answering questions of "what will it be" and "what if" questions such as "what will the mode share be in 2050 based on the existing general plan land use?" or "what will the transit mode share be if we added a new transit line?". The advantage of the Metamodel optimization process is that it helps to answer questions on "how do we" such as "how do we minimize auto mode share?".

The Metamodel estimated in this process uses the zonal data from ABM2+ to relate land use densities and transit attributes to alternative transportation mode use. The latter step of the process uses the estimated model to optimize alternative transport mode use as a function of zonal attributes. The Metamodel provides a much faster trial/testing process for scenarios from which insights may be gleaned to refine assumptions and develop a preferred scenario with the most desired outcomes. This memo explains the data-driven planning process for the City of San Diego and includes three main steps of model estimation (Section 1), application (Section 2), and visualization (Section 3). The Section 4 explains the technical requirement to run the entire process and Section 5 provides a glossary of technical terms.

SECTION 1: MODEL ESTIMATION

The input data for this project comes from various sources from the SANDAG 2021 Regional Plan including the SANDAG regional travel demand model inputs and outputs, Transit Priority Area (TPA) planned stops, and residential, retail, and mixed-use densities. The unit of analysis in this project is the SANDAG defined Master Geographic Reference Area (MGRA) which is the smallest zoning system of SANDAG's travel demand model (ABM2+). The model has been estimated for the ABM2+ base year of 2016. The dependent variable of the model, which comes from the SANDAG ABM2+, is the share of trips at each MGRA that use alternative transport modes (non-auto modes including walk, bike, micro-mobility, and transit) called "non-auto propensity".

The variables that are significant in explaining non-auto propensity at each MGRA are dwelling unit density, retail employment density, mixed-use density, the competitiveness of transit services for work commute travel, proximity to TPA high-quality transit stops, and household vehicle ownership. The estimated coefficients for all the variables reflect an increasing relationship with the response variable except for vehicle ownership. In other words, increasing dwelling, retail, and mixed-use densities will increase non-auto propensity, while having a higher rate of average vehicle

ownership decreases the non-auto propensity. The model goodness of fit was high at 0.72 and the least square linear regression has been used for model estimation.

SECTION 2: MODEL APPLICATION

The estimated model has been used in the model application step to maximize non-auto propensity and predict the most receptive locations to add residential units and retail development in future years. In the residential and retail optimization step, a ranking score was given to each MGRA based on optimizing non-auto propensity in the estimated model. This ranking score was then aggregated with transit and mixed-use score to calculate the final prioritization score of each MGRA for future residential and retail developments. The transit score was based on transit accessibility to job locations out of SANDAG ABM2+ as well as closeness to TPA high-quality transit stops (with higher weights for rail and BRT stops) using the SANDAG 2021 Regional Plan 2050 Vision transit network and stops. The mixed-use score is calculated based on the following formula¹:

$$Mix Score = \frac{Intersections * (DU Density * F1) * (Retail Employment Density * F2)}{Intersections + (DU Density * F1) + (Retail Employment Density * F2)}$$

Where:

F1 = Mean Intersections/Mean DU Density

F2 = Mean Intersections/Mean Retail Employment Density

Intersection Count in the mixed-density formulation explains urban form and walkability. The final combined prioritization score divided the MGRAs into 14 groups with a higher score indicating higher priority for future developments.

Locations outside the jurisdiction of the City of San Diego or areas not considered for redevelopment during the Blueprint process have been excluded from the model applications. These exclusion areas include Port of SD, airports, Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan safety zones exclusions, cemeteries, military establishments, attractions, hiking trails, golf courses, conservation/non-development land, schools and universities, large medical facilities, government/public land, federal land, parks, and industrial/research and development land uses.

¹ Equation based on previous work by SANDAG and Portland Metro. SANDAG 4D Model Development, published March 2010:

https://www.sandag.org/uploads/publicationid/publicationid_1602_13320.pdf, page 12

Metro Travel Forecasting Trip Model Methodology Report. Metro Planning Department, Travel Forecasting Division, 2001.

² ArcGIS Desktop Help 9.2 - Implementing Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) (esri.com)

SECTION 3: VISUALIZATION

While the ranking scores were calculated at the MGRA level, the optimization results were mapped in a heatmap format using the Inverse Weighted Distance function² in ArcGIS to enhance the visualization. The heatmap generation process considers the exclusion areas meaning that the ranking score for the exclusion zones were considered as zero, but the blending of values often shades them as a low-level score.

The final combined prioritization scores (14 levels) of MGRAs are visualized in Figure 1. Levels 1 to 3 are color-coded in yellow representing the areas with very low recommendation for future developments. Starting from level 4 to level 6 where the green color pops up, the map highlights the areas with low-medium priority for developments. Level 7 (blue) to 9 (dark purple) highlights areas with medium priority for development considering all the interacting factors. At level 10 (dark purple) to level 14 (light purple), the areas with the highest receptiveness for future developments to maximize non-auto propensity are illustrated. Areas with existing or predicted transit accessibility, residential-commercial mixed-use development, and walkability are very well highlighted with higher ranks in the map and future developments in these areas have the higher potential to maximize the use of alternative transportation modes and contribute to sustainability goals of the Blueprint Plan.

SECTION 4: TECHNICAL PROCESS

The model estimation and application steps have all been scripted in Python using Jupyter Notebook and stored in a GitHub repository. The script reads the ABM2+ outputs shared by SANDAG, implements data cleaning and compilation steps to prepare the estimation and application variables into a feather file and then estimate the model. Using the same python scripting system, the model application step produces the optimized scores. Input data, such as transit and mixed-use variables, have been calculated in QGIS and ArcGIS and imported into the Python script. The final map visualization (heat map) has been prepared in ArcGIS using the Spatial Analyst extension.



Figure 1: Blueprint Draft Map (produced by WSP)



SECTION 5: GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

ABM2+ is the most recent version of the SANDAG Activity-based Model used within the 2021 Regional Plan.

(https://www.sandag.org/index.asp?subclassid=120&fuseaction=home.subclasshome)

ArcGIS is the main Esri Software for analyzing Geographic Information Systems. (<u>https://www.esri.com/en-us/home</u>)

GitHub is a distributed version control for various programming languages. (<u>https://github.com/</u>) **GitHub repository** is a location in the GitHub platform where the files and codes corresponding to the projects and their respective versions as a part of revision history are stored, managed, and used.

Goodness of fit of a statistical model describes how well it fits a set of observations. **Jupyter Notebook** is an open-source web application that you can use to create and share documents that contain live code, equations, visualizations, and text. Jupyter Notebook is

maintained by the people at Project Jupyter. (<u>https://jupyter.org</u>/)

Least square linear regression method is a form of regression analysis that establishes the relationship between the dependent and independent variables along a linear line.

Python is a programming language that lets you work quickly and integrate systems more effectively. (<u>https://www.python.org/</u>)

QGIS is a free and open-source cross-platform desktop geographic information system (GIS) application that supports viewing, editing, printing, and analysis of geospatial data. (https://www.qgis.org/en/site/)

Spatial Analyst extension is an extension for ArcGIS that provides advanced spatial modeling and analysis capabilities for both raster and feature data. (<u>https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-spatial-analyst/overview</u>)

Appendix B:

Blueprint SD Activity Based Model (ABM) Inputs Development Memos

B-1 Conversion of Blueprint SD Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs

B-2 Summary of Updates in Three Model Run Inputs

vsp

MEMO

то:	City of San Diego
FROM:	WSP (Sara Khoeini, Rick Curry, and Xianting Huang)
SUBJECT:	Conversion of Blueprint Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs (H197127)
DATE:	1/17/2024

Introduction

This memo details the construction of three Blueprint scenario input files for the SANDAG (San Diego Association of Governments) Activity-Based Model 2+ (ABM 2+) model run based on the forecasts of growth in recently completed community plan updates (CPUs) and specific Master-Geographic Reference Area (MGRA) inputs for a few upcoming and draft CPUs. To augment these Blueprint inputs, we also incorporated data from additional sources including the Regional Land Use and Dwelling Unit Inventory (LUDU) for the year 2022, Series 14 Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) land use pattern (DS-42) for the year 2050, and Series 14 General Plan (DS-41) land use pattern for the year 2050, applying specific conditions to refine our final input estimates for the model run.

The calculations were carried out across three Excel Worksheets, each associated with a specific blueprint scenario. This document articulates the assumptions and rationales behind these calculations, while a separate slide deck will provide detailed documentation of all tabs and columns in the spreadsheets. The scope of this document is limited to the MGRAs within the City of San Diego and excludes any areas, termed as exclusion zones, where the City has no land use control, which are regulated due to law, or which are unlikely to change due to existing use of the land. For MGRAs outside the City of San Diego limits, the model utilizes data from SCS 2050.

Methodology of Model Inputs Calculation

This section outlines the methodology employed for calculating the Blueprint-related inputs for each model run. Table 1 presents a comprehensive overview of the attributes associated with each model run. This includes a comparison of the additional dwelling units relative to the Series 14 General Plan 2050 (GP-14 2050), highlighting the variations across different model runs. Additionally, the table provides specific insights into four selected Community Planning Areas (CPAs) which have CPUs in progress: University, Hillcrest, College Area, and Clairemont Mesa, demonstrating how the model's inputs differ in these areas. Blueprint changes only those areas identified as being advantageous to addressing climate and mobility goals. All other areas in the City of San Diego are assumed to remain consistent with the GP-14 2050.

Model run 1 serves as the base Blueprint scenario, featuring 255,963 additional dwelling units in comparison to LUDU 2022. In contrast, model run 3 intensifies the growth level by a factor of 1.6 across all city Blueprint zones uniformly. Meanwhile, model run 2 functions as a calibration model,

incorporating customized inputs specifically for the four selected CPUs - University, Hillcrest FPA, College Area, and Clairemont Mesa. For the remaining CPAs, model run 2 maintains the unit growth from model run 1.

	Model Run 1	Model Run 2	Model Run 3
Model Year	2050	2050	2050
Transportation Network	2050 SCS Build	2050 SCS Build	2050 SCS Build
Model Version	14.3.0	14.3.0	14.3.0
Additional City of SD DU (2022 to 2050) compared to LUDU2022	255,963	312,895	414,650
Remainder Region	SCS	SCS	SCS
University Growth (DU) (2022 to 2050)	20,555	32,655	32,246
Uptown Growth (DUs) (2022 to 2050)	12,566	33,448 (31,430 in Hillcrest)	22,247
College Area Growth (DUs) (2022 to 2050)	13,352	27,976	22,018

Table 1 Model Run Inputs by Geography (City of SD)

For estimating the count of override dwelling units by unit type (single-family, multi-family, and mobile home), we first uniformly downscale the unconstrained Blueprint dwelling units, to constrained Blueprint dwelling units based on the anticipated overall growth in the entire city of San Diego (refer to Table 1). After a uniform downscale, we found that the estimated growth values in a few CPAs are not coordinated with the CPA-level planned growth. To accommodate CPA-level planned growth as well the overall city-level growth, we added some CPA-level factors to a few CPAs. The final MGRA-level constrained Blueprint dwelling units then served as the foundational basis for estimating the number of dwelling units in each MGRA, categorized by unit type, as explained in the steps below.

1. Number of multi-family dwelling units per MGRA

The number of multi-family dwelling units in each MGRA is determined by taking the maximum value of multi-family units among the Blueprint (BP) base constrained value, the LUDU 2022, and the GP-14 2050.

2. Number of single-family dwelling units per MGRA

We include single-family dwelling units in each MGRA in addition to multi-family dwelling units only if the existing or planned single-family dwelling units is more than the constrained Blueprint dwelling units. Under this condition, the number of single-family dwelling units is determined by selecting the higher value between the LUDU 2022 and the GP-14 2050.

3. Number of mobile homes per MGRA

The count of Blueprint mobile homes is set to match the number of mobile homes from the GP-14 2050, but only under the condition that the total unit count from GP-14 2050 exceeds the aggregate of the Blueprint-calculated single-family and multi-family units determined in

wsp

the previous steps. If this condition is not met, the number of mobile homes is considered to be zero.

4. Number of employees and school enrollment per MGRA by category (non-retail)

Although the Blueprint primarily addresses dwelling unit inputs, it is necessary to proportionally augment employment and enrollment figures to prevent an imbalance in trip frequency and length to access life opportunities for the additional population. The increase in employment and enrollment in the Blueprint model run inputs should be calibrated to maintain a consistent ratio of opportunities to the population as established in the GP-14 2050 data. All employment categories and school enrollments will undergo proportional adjustments using a unified coefficient. However, the adjustment for retail employment will be uniquely guided by specific recommendations from the City of San Diego which are explained below.

5. Number of retail employments per MGRA

The calculation of updated retail employees in each MGRA is based on the specific retail index value assigned to each MGRA. The designation of a retail index value for each MGRA was based on inputs from the City of San Diego planners. The implications of these retail index values are as follows.

- Retail Index Equals Zero: This indicates that the retail employee count in the respective MGRA should remain at zero.
- Retail Index Equals One: This suggests that retail presence is permissible in the MGRA, with the flexibility to increase the employee count as necessary.
- Retail Index Equals Two: This implies that the retail employee count should be maintained at the level specified in the GP-14 2050, with no increases. All exclusion zones (zones that were excluded from Blueprint due to residential building constraints) are in this group.

The number of retail employees in the MGRAs permitted by their respective retail index values will be increased. This adjustment is made to ensure that the ratio of retail units to population in the entire city of San Diego remains consistent with the same ratio derived from the GP-14 2050. Localized MGRA adjustments with respect to population in the area allowed for addressing areas that may be underserved with the hope to create shorter trips and more active transportation friendly trips.

Data Summary by Model Run

Following the application of the outlined calculations across the three spreadsheets corresponding to the three model runs, we have computed the input values for each model run. These values include single-family dwelling units, multi-family dwelling units, mobile homes, retail employment, other employment categories, and school enrollment figures for each MGRA within the City of San Diego. Table 2 provides a comprehensive summary, showcasing the total number of dwelling units and retail employment figures for each model run. Additionally, it presents a comparison with the total figures from the LUDU 2022 and the GP-14 2050.

Model Run	Source	Single- family	Multi- family	Mobile home	Retail Employme nt	Total Dwelling Units
	LUDU22	288,146	260,067	4,872	N/A	553,085
Model Run 1	GP-14 2050	304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	687,141
RUIT	BP 2050	278,790	526,577	3,681	229,930	809,048
	LUDU22	288,146	260,067	4,872	N/A	553,085
Model Run 2	GP-14 2050	304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	687,141
Rull 2	BP 2050	273,388	589,850	2,742	243,908	865,980
	LUDU22	288,146	260,067	4,872	N/A	553,085
Model Run 3	GP-14 2050	304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	687,141
	BP 2050	252,295	713,014	2,426	255,348	967,735

Table 2 Dwelling Units and Retail Employment Summary by Model Run

Standardizing the Model Inputs for SANDAG Service Bureau

1. Creation of Client Project Input Files for Land Use Deltas

Using the client land-use form template, three model-run spreadsheets were transformed into three long-formatted tables as model-run inputs via Python code. The model run inputs comprise of four columns where changes were made: lu_code, LU Description, MGRA, and Dwelling Unit. Note that the Dwelling Unit column represents the delta value, calculated as the difference between calculated override dwelling units and the dwelling units from the SCS 2050.

While the SANDAG client land-use form uses the term "dwelling unit" it is actually referring to households. The dwelling unit/household input value is used in the generation of the synthetic population for the zone. Dwelling units and households are not equivalent as the SANDAG forecast includes typical occupancy levels by area. Occupancy levels reflect the number of units available for sale or rent including short-term vacation rentals which are prevalent in beach communities and Downtown. While the BP process is determining future unit totals by type the SANDAG land use override process is treating them as households.

Considering the disparity between housing structure (hs) and household (hh) in the baseline forecast, it is important to make sure that, when preparing the input spreadsheet, the values under hh_ (sf, mf, mh) are considered and cannot go below the baseline values. Taking MGRA 46 as an example, where hs_sf is 19, and hh_sf is 18 in the original file, we first attempted to remove 19 single-family households based on the calculation spreadsheets. However, this resulted in negative household values, risking a crash in the conversion tool. Therefore, adjustments to the delta value are necessary, and in this case, the delta DU should change from -19 to -18. Log files have been prepared to document all MGRAs where delta values were modified (refer to Figure 1) due to household issues, ultimately resulting in a slight discrepancy in total dwelling units (refer to Table 3) compared to the original override DU presented in Table 2. The final step for the input spreadsheet is splitting it into two files: one for all negative deltas and another for all positive deltas. The land use converter will be executed twice per SANDAG's updated procedures.

Figure 1 Log File Example

🧾 st	f_upda	te_log.txt	- Note	pad							_	×
File	Edit	Format	View	Help								
MGRA	:46,	hs_sf:	19,	hh_sf:	18,	SFDU_Delta_ol	d: -19	, SFD	U_Delta	_Update:	-18	^
MGRA	:67,	hs_sf:	47,	hh_sf:	43,	SFDU_Delta_ol	d: -47	, SFD)U_Delta	_Update:	-43	
MGRA	:82,	hs_sf:	16,	hh_sf:	0, 9	SFDU_Delta_old	: -16,	SFDU	_Delta_	Update:	0 Remove	
MGRA	:96,	hs_sf:	13,	hh_sf:	12,	SFDU_Delta_ol	d: -13	, SFD)U_Delta	_Update:	-12	

Table 3 Dwelling Units Final Input Summary by Model Run

Model Run	Single-family	Multi-family	Mobile home	Total Dwelling Units
Model Run 1	280,267	532,392	3,716	816,375
Model Run 2	274,910	595,367	2,808	873,085
Model Run 3	255,081	717,410	2,497	974,988

2. Update of MGRA Based Input Files for Employment and Enrollment

After receiving the MGRA-based synthetic population files from SANDAG, we proceeded to update columns related to employment and school enrollment. In the case of non-retail and school enrollment, we adjusted their values to align with the added population to keep the city-level ratio of the resource to population the same. We added additional amounts of non-retail employment and school enrollment only in MGRAs with existing similar resources. Table 4 shows the updated employment and enrollment data resulting from Model Run 2.

To calculate the revised number of retail employees two key measures were considered: the overall ratio of retail to housing units, and a retail index variable to ensure that any increase in retail units aligns with the City's community plans. More detailed information about the retail index variable is available in the "Model Run Input Update_Draft Final Memo".

	#/hs	Additional Amounts	New Total	Growth
Grade School K-8 enrollment	0.21	36,930	178,824	1.26
Grade School 9-12 enrollment	0.10	17,383	84,172	1.26
Major College enrollment	0.15	26,907	130,290	1.26
Other College enrollment	0.15	26,383	127,753	1.26
Adult School enrollment	0.04	7,991	38,696	1.26
Non-Retail Employees	1.32	236,466	1,145,022	1.26
Retail Employees	0.28	51,555	247,706	1.26

Table 4 Updated Employment and Enrollment Data for Model Run 2

Acronyms & Glossary

ABM – Activity Based Model – type of travel demand model used by SANDAG

BP - Blueprint - an approach for the City of San Diego's General Plan and community planning that will align with climate and housing goals and promote sustainable growth

CPA - Community Planning Area

DU – Dwelling unit; Equivalent to Housing Structure

GP - General Plan – as referenced in this document refers to the zoning and land use provided by the City of San Diego to SANDAG for development of the SANDAG General Plan land use pattern.

HH – Household

HS – Housing Structure

LU – Land Use

LUDU - Land Use and Dwelling Unit Inventory – developed by SANDAG to be an inventory of existing conditions

MF – Multi-Family

MGRA – Master Geographic Reference Areas – Aggregations of parcels; smallest unit of geography in the SANDAG ABM; developed by SANDAG; aka Micro Analysis Zones (MAZ)

MH – Mobile Home

SCS - Sustainable Communities Strategy – as referenced in this document refers to the land use pattern developed by SANDAG for their SCS submittal to CARB

SF – Single Family

MEMO

то:	City of San Diego
FROM:	WSP (Sara Khoeini, Rick Curry, and Xianting Huang)
SUBJECT:	Summary of Updates in Three Model Run Inputs (H197127)
DATE:	01/17/2024

Introduction

The objective of this task order is to reconstruct the three Blueprint input files for the SANDAG (San Diego Association of Governments) ABM (Activity-Based Model) run. This reconstruction is necessitated by discrepancies identified in the base General Plan land use data, initially provided by SANDAG to WSP for the calculation of the input files, and the handling of group quarters within the input files. An additional request was made to conduct a thorough review of all final inputs at the MGRA level to ensure that the inputs for the final model run are in alignment with the City of San Diego's CPA (Community Plan Area)-level plans. This memo explains all the updates taken to the input file generated in the previous task order. If further information is needed related to the entire process of converting the Blueprint land uses to SANDAG ABM model run inputs, please refer to the memo entitled "Conversion of Blueprint Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs" dated January 17, 2024.

Update Description

1. Update the base data from Series 14 DS-39 to DS-41 for forecast year 2050

The base data, encompassing single-family units, multi-family units, and mobile homes, has been utilized in tandem with Blueprint inputs. This approach ensures that where the base data exceeds the Blueprint unit estimates, the base data is preferentially used. Additionally, this base data has been instrumental in the update of employment and enrollment forecasts to align with housing estimates. A comprehensive explanation detailing the application of the Series 14 DS-41 year 2050 forecast pattern in the model input calculations is provided in the memo entitled "Conversion of Blueprint Land Use to SANDAG Model Run Inputs" dated January 17, 2024.

2. Update the number of retail employees

To calculate the revised number of retail employees after updating residential dwelling units based on Blueprint inputs, two key measures were considered. Firstly, the overall ratio of retail to housing units was maintained at a constant level (number of retail employees to number of housing units equals 0.28), in line with the base data (DS-41 Year 2050). Secondly, a retail index variable was developed to ensure that any increase in retail units aligns with the City's community plans. Below is the definition of values assigned to the retail index of each MGRA and reviewed by City of San Diego staff.

• A retail Index of zero means there should be no retail.

wsp

- Retail Index of one means there is retail today and/or in the future and can grow more than DS-41 year 2050 Retail based on blueprint residential units override.
- Retail Index of two means retail should be kept at DS-41 year 2050 and no extra retail should be added. All exclusion zones (zones that were excluded from Blueprint due to residential building constraints) are in this group.
- 3. Decrease in total dwelling units in Hillcrest from ~39,000 to ~31,000 in Model Run 2

City staff requested a reduction in the total number of additional residential dwelling units (DUs) in Hillcrest, decreasing from approximately 39,000 to about 31,000, in alignment with the Hillcrest Draft Focused Plan Amendment. Table 1 presents a comprehensive breakdown of the Blueprint residential units by geographical area for each model run after all the updates have been made.

	Model Run 1	Model Run 2	Model Run 3
Model Year	2050	2050	2050
Transportation Network	2050 SCS Build	2050 SCS Build	2050 SCS Build
Model Version	14.3.0	14.3.0	14.3.0
Additional City of SD DU (2022 to 2050) compared to LUDU2022	255,963	312,895	414,650
Remainder Region	SCS	SCS	SCS
University Growth (DU) (2022 to 2050)	20,555	32,655	32,246
Uptown Growth (DUs) (2022 to 2050)	12,566	33,448 (31,430 in Hillcrest)	22,247
College Area Growth (DUs) (2022 to 2050)	13,352	27,976	22,018
Clairemont Mesa Growth (DUs) (2022 to 2050)	12,627	24,182	19,624

Table 1 Model run inputs residential units by geography

4. Generate online maps for visualization of model inputs

WSP utilized online interactive GIS tools to visualize the inputs for the model run, thereby facilitating the City's review process. The online maps feature three delta layers: dwelling unit override minus GP14, dwelling unit override minus LUDU22, and retail override minus GP14. Additionally, they display the retail index, total override dwelling units (Single-Family Dwelling Units [SFDU], Multi-Family Dwelling Units [MFDU], Mobile Home Dwelling Units [MHDU]), and total override retail units. Links to these online maps are provided below. Please be aware that some final adjustments may have been made subsequent to the creation of these maps.

- Link to model run 1 inputs visualization: MR1
- Link to model run 2 inputs visualization: MR2
- Link to model run 3 inputs visualization: MR3

5. Update the preparation of the input file for SANDAG

The preparation of model run inputs, formatted according to SANDAG's specifications, has been executed using a Python script. This script processes the final override dwelling units from the Blueprint final outputs. In this iteration, instead of providing specific residential unit counts by type (Single-Family Dwelling Units [SFDU], Multi-Family Dwelling Units [MFDU], and Mobile Homes [MH]), we have supplied the deltas, i.e., the positive and negative differences. These deltas represent the total Blueprint dwelling units in SFDU and MFDU minus the DS-42 Build SCS data for all Major Geographic Reporting Areas (MGRAs) in the City of San Diego. Rows exhibiting zero deltas were eliminated. This approach preserves any group quarter values in the model run input file, a notable improvement from previous methods where overriding total dwelling units led to the exclusion of group quarters. Additionally, we incorporated a new check to ensure that the reduction of dwelling units in any MGRA does not exceed the total number of households in that area. Where this was the case, the number of removed dwelling units was capped at the total household count for each MGRA.

Draft Blueprint Model Run 1 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans DU









Draft Blueprint Model Run 1 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus 2022 DU

Draft Blueprint Model Run 1 Retail Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans




Draft Blueprint Model Run 1 Dwelling Units (DUs)

✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓

...

() (*) (+) (-)

Draft Blueprint Model Run 1 Retail







Draft Blueprint Model Run 2 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans DU









Draft Blueprint Model Run 2 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus 2022 DU



Draft Blueprint Model Run 2 Retail Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans











+ -117,361,32,

Draft Blueprint Model Run 2 Retail



Draft Blueprint Model Run 3 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans DU









1 - 490
 -55 - -1
 Override Resall
 Override TotalDU
 Override SPDU

Override MFDU
 Override MHDU

...

Draft Blueprint Model Run 3 Dwelling Unit (DU) Override minus 2022 DU



() | | | |

Draft Blueprint Model Run 3 Retail Override minus SANDAG Series 14 General Plans













Draft Blueprint Model Run 3 Retail





C-1 Blueprint SD Model Run 1

C-2 Blueprint SD Model Run 2

C-3 Blueprint SD Model Run 3

					GP14GQ	GP14GQ	
City of San Diego (All)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	RetEmp	(2050)_civ	(2050)_mil	Total
LUDU22	288,146	260,067	4,872				553,085
2050 GP series 13	294,142	411,766	4,962				710,870
2050 GP series 14	304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	46,214	22,316	687,141
Override BP 2050	278,790	526,577	3,681	229,930			809,048
Growth		266,510					255,963
					GP14GQ	GP14GQ	
City of San Diego (BP)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	RetEmp	(2050)_civ	(2050)_mil	Total
LUDU22	80,702	189,775	3,223		-		273,700
2050 GP series 13	86,927	314,434	3,313				404,674
2050 GP series 14	91,104	288,432	3,313	119,030	21,139		382,849
BP Override 2050	63,789	435,672	2,032	148,648			501,493
	Growth	245,897					227,793
					GP14GQ	GP14GQ	
City of San Diego (Non-BP)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	RetEmp	(2050)_civ	(2050)_mil	Total
LUDU22	207,444	70,292	1,649				279,385
2050 GP series 13	207,215	97,332	1,649				306,196
2050 GP series 14	213,263	89,380	1,649	77,521	25,075	22,316	304,292
Non-BP Override 2050	215,001	90,905	1,649	81,282			307,555
	Growth	20,613					28,170

Appendix C-2: Blueprint Model Run 2 - Citywide Land Use Inputs Summary

City of San Diego (All)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
LUDU22	288,146	260,067	4,872		553,085
2050 GP series 13	294,142	411,766	4,962		710,870
2050 GP series 14	304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	687,141
Override BP 2050	273,388	589,850	2,742	243,908	865,980
	Growth	329,783			312,895
City of San Diego (BP)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
LUDU22	80,702	189,775	3,223		273,700
2050 GP series 13	86,927	314,434	3,313		404,674
2050 GP series 14	91,104	289,014	3,313	120,772	383,431
BP Override 2050	82,971	508,227	1,093	164,535	592,291
	Growth	318,452			318,591
City of San Diego (Non-BP)	SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
LUDU22	207,444	70,292	1,649		279,385
2050 GP series 13	207,215	97,332	1,649		306,196
2050 GP series 14	213,263	88,798	1,649	75,779	303,710
Non-BP Override 2050	190,417	81,623	1,649	79,373	273,689
	Growth	11,331			(5,696)

Appendix C-3: Blueprint Model Run 3 - Citywide Land Use Inputs Summary

SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
288,146	260,067	4,872		553,085
294,142	411,766	4,962		710,870
304,367	377,812	4,962	196,551	687,141
252,295	713,014	2,426	255,348	967,735
Growth	452,947			414,650
SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
80,702	189,775	3,223		273,700
86,927	314,434	3,313		404,674
92,567	289,014	3,313	119,030	384,894
37,294	622,109	777	174,066	660,180
Growth	432,334			386,480
SFDUs	MFDUs	MHs	Retail	Total
207,444	70,292	1,649		279,385
207,215	97,332	1,649		306,196
211,800	88,798	1,649	77,521	302,247
215,001	90,905	1,649	81,282	307,555
Growth	20,613			28,170
	288,146 294,142 304,367 252,295 Growth SFDUs 80,702 86,927 92,567 37,294 Growth SFDUs 207,444 207,215 211,800 215,001	288,146260,067294,142411,766304,367377,812252,295713,014Growth452,947SFDUsMFDUs80,702189,77586,927314,43492,567289,01437,294622,109Growth432,334SFDUsMFDUs207,44470,292207,21597,332211,80088,798215,00190,905	288,146260,0674,872294,142411,7664,962304,367377,8124,962252,295713,0142,426Growth452,9474SFDUsMFDUsMHs80,702189,7753,22386,927314,4343,31392,567289,0143,31337,294622,109777Growth432,3345SFDUsMFDUsMHs207,44470,2921,649207,21597,3321,649211,80088,7981,649215,00190,9051,649	288,146260,0674,872294,142411,7664,962304,367377,8124,962196,551252,295713,0142,426255,348Growth452,947SFDUsMFDUsMHsRetail80,702189,7753,22386,927314,4343,31392,567289,0143,31392,567289,0143,31337,294622,109777SFDUsMFDUsMHsSFDUsMFDUsSFDUsMFDUs207,44470,2921,649207,21597,3321,649211,80088,7981,64981,282

Appendix D:

University CPU Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Ĩ			1			1	1	1	•							
												subtotal_emp_retai				
												l_rest_bar_persona		subtotal_enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra	City	СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор	emp_prof_bus_svcs					hotelroomtotal
4170	14	1441	2199	0	0	0	0	5496	0	5496	54	24	16141	0	19553	0
4171	14	1441	2204	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	14	1319	0	0	0
4172	14	1441	2215	0	0	0	0	4930	0	4930	159	14	8887	0	8712	0
4173	14	1441	2239	0	0	0	0	3008	0	3008	120	48	7486	0	8712	0
4174			2215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	292	0	8712	0
4175	14	1441	2248	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	26	7115	0	6534	0
4176	14	1441			0	0	0	0	0	0	260	17	4894	0	0	0
4177	14	1441			-	0	0	0	0	0	52	14	1769	0	0	0
4178					0	143	0	0	0	317	55	53	530	0	436	0
4179					0	0	0	0	0	0	59	5	545	0	0	0
4180	-				•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	542	1364	0	0
4181						,00		3517	0	0 _ 0 0	3	0	15	10	0	0
4182					3	120	0	0	0	281	1	0	15	0	0	0
4183					-	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	453	1028	273	0
4184							0	0	0	84	165	17	1464	0	0	0
4185					0		0	0	0		0	0	129	0	0	0
4186						1220	0	0	0	2740	605	0	924	0	0	0
4187				106			0	0	0		0	0	3	0	0	0
4188				17			Ŭ	0	0	37		0	2	0	0	0
4189				160			0	0	0	338		39	46	0	0	0
4190				19			0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0
4191		1441		74	74		0	0	0	151	3	0	9	0	0	0
4192				79			0	0	0			0	5	0	0	0
4193				61	61		J	0	0	10.		0	1	0	0	0
4194								0	0			0	23	0	0	0
4195				80			0	0	0			0	36	0	0	0
4196								0	0						0	0
4197				72					0			0	-	0	_	0
4198				8					0			23	31	0	0	0
4199									0			0		0		0
4200							-		0			0	-	0	0	0
4201									0			0		0	0	0
4202									0			0	11	0	0	0
4203	14								0			0	125	257	0	0
4204	14	1441		21 16	21		-	-	0			0	4	0	0	0
4205									0			0	8	0	0	0
4206 4207	14 14				26 146				0			0	٥ ٢	0	0	0
4207				32				-	0			0	3	0	0	0
4208		1441			27				0		5	0	10	0	0	0
4209		1441						-	0			0	3	0	0	0
4210	14	1441	23/9	140	140	0	0	6	0	553	0	0	3	0	0	0

												subtotal_emp_retai				
												l_rest_bar_persona		subtotal enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra City	y (СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор	emp_prof_bus_svcs					hotelroomtotal
	14	1441	2315	110	110	0	0	6	0	279	0	0	3	0	0	0
4212	14	1441	2315	110	110	0	0	6	0	261	3	0	27	0	0	0
4213	14	1441	2315	60	0	60	0	0	0	141	0	0	1	0	0	0
4214	14	1441	2315	154	154	0	0	0	0	388	28	0	60	0	0	0
4215	14	1441	2315		45	0	0	0	0	103		0	9	0	0	0
4216	14	1441	2315			31	0	14	0	410		15	77	0	0	0
4217	14	1441	2315		67	0	0	0	0	143		0	23	0	0	0
4218	14	1441	2315		106		0	0	0	258		0	9	0	0	0
4219	14	1441	2315		0		0	0	0	536				0	0	0
4220	14	1441	2356		0		0	0	0	427		43	50	0	0	0
	14	1441	2356				0	0	0	29		0	115	1358	0	0
4222	14	1441	2356		6	86	0	0	0	198		0	7	0	0	0
4223	14	1441	2379		-	-	0	0	0	0	0	7	91	790	0	0
4224	14	1441	2379			Ŭ	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4225	14	1441	2356		127	0	•	0	0	304		0	18	0	0	0
4226	14	1441	2356					0	0			0	1	0	0	0
4227	14	1441	2379				•	0	0	119		0	9	0	0	0
4305	14	1441	2034		•	•	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
4306	14	1441 1441	2034 2034		•	0	0	0	0	0		80		0	0	741
4307	14	1441	2034		-	Ŭ	0	0	0	0		18 28		0	0	/41
4308 4309	14 14	1441	2183		0	Ŭ	-	0	0	0		28	43	0	0	0
	14	1441	2163		•		0	0	0	38		25		0	0	0
4310	14	1441	2103				0	0	0	38		23	749	0	436	0
4311	14	1441	2185		•	•	0	0	0	3		2	92	0	430	0
4313	14	1441	2185				0	0	0	0		47	129	0	430	0
4644	14	1441	2034		0	0	0	0	0	0		47	125	0	0	0
4645	14	1441	2084		4	0	•	0	0			4	1594	0	0	0
	14	1441	2084		•			0				47		0	0	0
4647	14	1441	2130		-			0	0					0	0	0
4648	14	1441	2130					0	0					0	0	0
	14	1441	2149					0	0					0	0	0
4650	14	1441	2130		0			0	0	0	52			0	0	0
4651	14	1441	2149		0			0	0	0				0	0	0
4652	14	1441	2160		0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0
4653	14	1441	2149		0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0
4654	14	1441	2160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0
4655	14	1441	2173	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	435	150	1305	0	0	0
4656	14	1441	2149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	241	49	852	0	0	0
4657	14	1441	2173	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	12	742	0	0	0
4658	14	1441	2160	11	0	11	0	0	0	43	445	0	1539	0	0	0

	<u> </u>	1														
												subtotal_emp_retai				
												I_rest_bar_persona		subtotal enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra	City	СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор	emp_prof_bus_svcs	l_svcs				hotelroomtotal
4659	-				0		0	C	0 0	205		45	• =	0	0	0
4660			2149	0	0		0	C	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4661	14	1441	2202	0	0	0	0	C) 0	0	1079	25	2342	0	0	0
4662	14	1441	2173	514	0	514	0	C	0 0	1161	100	146	323	0	0	0
4663	14	1441	2213	10	0	10	0	C	0 0	88	3059	0	3572	0	0	0
4664	14	1441	2213	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	0	310	8	794	0	0	0
4665	14	1441	2213	118	0	118	0	C	0 0	96	210	22	491	0	0	0
4666	14	1441	2202	1	0	1	0	C	0 0	15	1133	0	1331	0	0	0
4667	14	1441	2202	62	0	62	0	C	0 0	137	440	17	1197	0	0	0
4668					-	_	0	C	0 0	0	Ů	0	0	0	0	0
4669	14	1441	2202	1471	0	1471	0	C	0 0	3416		0	63	0	0	0
4670							0	C	0 0	775		0	1682	0	0	0
4671	14			44			0	C	0 0	96		0	1	0	0	0
4672							0	C	0 0	892		0	11	0	0	0
4673						_	0	C	0 0	125		21	850	0	0	0
4674						_	0	C	0 0	499		77		0	0	0
4675					0		0	C	0 0	2399		361	1343	0	0	0
4676								C	0 0	3550		486		0	0	0
4677							0	C	0 0	1522		55		0	0	0
4678							0	C	0 0	589		256		0	0	440
4679					0		0	C	0 0	685		842		0	0	0
4680							0	C	, ,	993		447	1104	0	0	0
4681	14						0	C	0 0	1714		431	1288	0	0	0
4682						• · -		C	0 0	787		97	4434	0	0	0
4683						_	0	C	0 0	115		0	8	0	0	0
4684	14						0	0		2067		0	52	0	0	0
4685						-		0		0		0	0	0	0	0
4686									-				334	0	0	0
4687					0			0		775			27	0	0	0
4688					0			0	-	882 2682		-		0	0	0
4689 4690					0		0	C	-			144 922		0	0	0
4690	14						0			3822				0	0	0
4691	14								-	133		1/0	0	0	0	0
4692							0					0	5	0	0	0
4693												-	308	0	0	0
4695									-			93		0	0	0
4696									-	933		119		49	•	0
4697	14								-	743			26	0	0	0
4698							0	C	-	350		0	12	0	0	0
4699		1441								660		0	12	0	0	0
4099	14	1441	2270	210	0	210	0		, U	000	ے	0	10	0	0	

						1	1									
												subtotal_emp_retai				
												I_rest_bar_persona		subtotal_enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra	City	СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор		I_svcs				hotelroomtotal
4700	14	1441	2285	340	0	340	0	0	0	742	92	36	244	0	0	0
4701	14	1441	2270	340	2	338	0	0	0	738	0	0	1	0	0	0
4702	14	1441	2285	644	0	644	0	0	0	1432	0	0	28	0	0	0
4703	14	1441	2265	241	0	241	0	0	0	535	436	144	1685	0	0	551
4704	14	1441	2265		0		0	0	0		3	110	123	0	0	0
4705	14	1441	2272					0	0		4	0	21	0	0	0
4706	14	1441	2272			_	0	0	0		9	0	57	0	0	0
4707	14	1441	2265		0		0	0	0		330	7	632	0	0	0
4708	14	1441	2272			0.0		0	0		0	0	5	0	0	0
4709	14	1441	2246					0	0		72		620	0	0	0
4710	14		2253				0	0	0		14			0	0	0
4711	14	1441	2253					0	0		314	117	745	0	0	0
4712	14	1441	2253		0	100	0	0	0	0 - 0	100	18		0	0	473
4713	14	1441				550		0	0		0	102		0	0	0
4714	14	1441	2264		0	-	0	0	0		63	0	95	0	0	0
4715	14	1441						382			30	252		0	0	0
4716	14	1441						0	0		68	0	587	0	0	0
4717	14	1441	2286		0	001		0	0		14	10		0	0	0
4718 4719	14 14	1441 1441	2292 2292			2.10		0	0		29	0	188	930	0	0
4719		1441				-		U	0		, v	0	4	0	0	0
4720	14 14	1441	2292					5	0		3	0	17 16	0	0	0
4721	14	1441			0			0	0		0	0	10	0	0	0
4722	14	1441	2292		•			0	0		1	0	11	0	0	0
4723	14	1441			257			5	0		32	0	72	0	0	0
4725	14	1441			103		0	0	0			0	11	0	0	0
4726	14	1441	2308					0	0	0		0	325	2267	1200	0
4727	14	1441					-	0	0			0	1	0		0
4728	14							0				0	40			0
4729	14	1441					-	0	0			32		759	-	0
4730		1441	2328					0	0			0	1	0		0
4731	14					-		0				0	44	0		0
4732	14	1441			114	0	0	0	0	265	4	0	31	0	0	0
4733	14	1441					0	0	0			0	20	0	0	0
4734	14	1441		132	132	0	0	0	0	300	0	0	14	0	0	0
4735	14	1441	2302	56			0	0	0	126	4	0	12	0	0	0
4736	14	1441	2328	15	15	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
4737	14	1441	2302	48	48	0	0	0	0	118	0	0	14	0	0	0
4738	14	1441	2302	47	47	0	0	3	0	108	0	0	12	0	0	0
4739	14	1441	2302	100	100	0	0	0	0	225	8	0	17	0	0	0
4740	14	1441	2342	402	0	402	0	0	0	857	697	126	1445	0	0	0

	r	r	1						•							
												subtotal_emp_retai				
												I_rest_bar_persona		subtotal_enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra	City	СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор	emp_prof_bus_svcs		emp_total	lgradekto12	o12enroll	hotelroomtotal
4741	14	1441	2342	456	0	456	0	() 0	967	1023	142	1679	0	0	0
4742	14	1441	2342	634	0	634	0	() 0	1352	731	201	2175	19	0	0
4743	14	1441	2364			54	0	(0 0	125	0	0	5	0	0	0
4744	14	1441	2364	106	106	0	0	(0 0	253	10	0	22	0	0	0
4745	14	1441	2364	827	0	827	0	(0 0	1778	0	0	7	0	0	0
4746	14	1441					0	(0 0	394		0	151	0	0	0
4747							0	(0 0	26		0	4	0	0	0
4748				20			0	(0 0	40		0	0	0	0	0
4749							0	(0 0	160	0	0	5	0	0	0
4750					21		Ţ	(0 0	41	5	0	6	0	0	0
4751	14						0	(0 0	150		0	79	0	0	0
4752	14		2357				, v	(0 0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
4753								(0 0	171	0	0	47	0	0	0
4754								(0 0	106		0	1	0	0	0
4755				681	0		0	(0 0	1498		420	633	0	0	0
4756							,	(0 0	/		0	1	0	0	0
4757					Ţ	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(10		0	0	0	0	0
4758						0	0	(234		0	/	0	0	0
4759					131	0	0	(284		0	59	0	0	0
4760							Ű	(58		0	0	0	0	0
4952	14	1441			-		Ű	(0		0	205	0	0	0
4953					-	, v	,	(0		8	378	0	0	0
4954					0	-	÷	(0		4	471	0	0	0
4955					2	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(4	295	72		0	0	0
4956					-	, i	, v	(0		4	509	0	0	0
4957	14				0			(4	231	113		0	0	0
4958					-		-	(0	-	16		0	0	0
4959 4960								(-	-		0	368 1070	0	0	0
4960					-			(-					0	0	0
4901								(0		0	0	0
4962								(24	-	0	0	0
4964								(-				369		0	0
4965								(0	0	0
4966					0			(-			149		0	0	0
5179								(-					0	0	331
5180								(0	0	379
5180								(195		0	0	0
5182								(0	0	0
5183		1441			0		0	(0		0	0	0
5184							-	(0		0	0	0
	1 1	1	2205	200	0		U 0		- I · ·	504		Ŭ	25	0	0	0

									•							
												subtotal_emp_retai				
												I_rest_bar_persona		subtotal_enrol	subtotal_postkt	
mgra	City	СРА	taz	hs	hs_sf	hs_mf	hs_mh	gq_civ	gq_mil	рор	emp_prof_bus_svcs	I_svcs	emp_total	lgradekto12	o12enroll	hotelroomtotal
5185	14	1441	2300	548	0	548		0	1	1176	32	0	42	0	0	0
5186	14	1441	2284	833	0	833	0	0	0	1781	115	365	545	0	0	0
5187	14	1441	2284	374	0	374	0	0	0	792	6	0	16	0	0	0
5188	14	1441	2311	310	0	310	0	0	0	649	0	0	5	0	0	0
5189	14	1441	2284	249	0	249	0	0	0	542	5	0	19	0	0	0
5190	14	1441	2311	318	0	318	0	0	0	609	9	0	26	0	0	0
5191	14	1441	2284	230	0	230	0	0	0	467	0	0	8	0	0	0
5192	14	1441	2311	467	0	467	0	0	0	939	11	0	31	0	0	0
5193	14	1441	2283	712	0	712	0	0	0	1631	5	0	14	0	0	0
5194	14	1441	2283		0	1390	0	0	0	3182	13	0	95	0	0	0
5195	14	1441	2283	651	0	651	0	0	0	1495	91	0	104	0	0	0
5196	14	1441	2283	436	0	436	0	0	0	962	55	219	327	0	0	0
5197	14	1441	2303	244	0	244	0	0	0	558	0	0	12	0	0	0
5198	14	1441	2303		0	123	0	0	0	287	3	0	18	0	0	0
5199	14	1441	2303	102	102	0	0	0	0	237	11	0	18	0	0	0
5200	14	1441	2329	146	146	0	0	0	0	340	30	0	41	0	0	0
5201	14	1441	2282	466	0	466	0	0	0	1032	14	0	29	0	0	0
5202	14	1441	2282	383	0	383	0	0	0	863	1	0	22	0	0	0
5203	14	1441	2303	338	0	338	0	0	0	753	0	0	9	0	0	0
5204	14	1441	2303	75	75	0	0	0	0	184	1	0	7	0	0	0
5205	14	1441	2282	767	0	767	0	0	0	1624	86	2	215	0	0	0
5206	14	1441	2282		0	641	0	0	0	676		0	41	0	0	0
5207	14	1441	2303	13	0	13	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
5208	14	1441	2329	214	0	214	0	0	0	488	0	0	15	0	0	0
5209	14	1441			0	92	0	0	0	211	3	0	9	0	0	0
6268	14	1441			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
6269	14	1441	2222	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6270	14	1441			0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
6271	14	1441	2233	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix E:

Hillcrest FPA Model Run Land Use Inputs Extract from Blueprint Model Run 2

Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment Land Use Inputs Extract From Blueprint SD Model Run 2

												subtotal amp ratai			
												subtotal_emp_retai			
	City	CD A	+	ha	he of	he me	ha mah				and need have also	l_rest_bar_persona			subtotal_postkto1
mgra 149	City 14	_	taz 3510					gq_civ 0	gq_mil		emp_prof_bus_svcs 47	I_svcs 12	emp_total		2enroll
149	12							0	-	860	47	12	82 210		0
154	14	-						0			37	293	349		0
155	14			623		622	0	0				191	205		0
150	14			468			•	0		945	0	191	174		0
157				361			0	÷	-		0	100	174		0
158	14	_					•	•	-		69	1192	350		0
160	14		3551	861			0	0			13	385	443		0
161	14		3547	353		353	0	0		708	74	117	530		0
162	14					475		0	-) 958	33	681	731	0	0
163	14			818				0			30	284	314		0
164	14			316				0	-	652	28	78			0
165			3515					0		930	10	63	90		0
160	14		3515	134			0	÷	-		6	38	48		0
167	14						•	0	-	593	5	143			0
169	14							0			13	224	314		0
105	14						0	0			9	143	162		0
170	14		3573				0	0) 119	19	5	34		0
171	14		3608				0	0	-) 444	47	76	158		0
172	14						0	0	-		320	223	835		0
173	14					95		•	,		20	16	55		0
174	14			387				•			32	64	130		0
181	14							153			23	125	917		0
193	14			132				80			16	0	152		0
193	14						0	0	+		8	0	96		0
195	14		3420				0	0	-	272	0	38	39		0
196	14	_	3420					0	-	331	10	0	17		0
197								-		54	69	14			0
198											0	39			0
199		_									0	23			0
200	14										13	179			0
201	14						0				208	231	788		0
202		-					÷				0	47	48		0
203		_									0	23			0
204	14	-									15	21	36		0
205		-									26	182	801	0	0
206				159							0	57	74	0	0
207		_										30			0
208											29	143			0
209											25	125	225		0
210		-							+		94	665	824		0
	L –						Ű	Ū		1,15	51		521	, v	Ŭ

Appendix E

Hillcrest Focused Plan Amendment Land Use Inputs Extract From Blueprint SD Model Run 2

								-							
												subtotal_emp_retai			
	City	CDA	+	h .	he of	he met	ha mah				and much have also	l_rest_bar_persona			subtotal_postkto1
-	City					_	hs_mh 0				emp_prof_bus_svcs		emp_total		2enroll
211 212	14 14			217 52	4	213 52	0	0	0	444 105	34	158 15	217	307	0
212	14				0	220	-	-	0		0	55	53 60	307	0
213	14			700	1	699	0	-	0		20	119	180	0	
214	14				0	893	0	-	0		20	581	725	0	
215	14		3472	833	0	81	0		-		111	107	450	0	-
210	14				0	87	-		0		32	25	58	0	
217	14			1000	0	1000					0	288	11484	0	-
221	14		3325	9	0	9			0	20	0	200	39	0	
222	14		3419	37	0	37	÷	-	0	86	0	8	25	0	
223	14		3419	151	1	150		_	0		14	57	83	0	0
226	14				10		0	-	0		0	33	45	0	0
228	14				1	159	0	0	0		0	56	76	0	0
229	14	1442	3451	130	0	130		1	0		74	95	206	0	0
230	14	1442	3451	153	2	151	0	0	0	319	285	13	374	0	0
231	14	1442	3449	451	0	451	0	0	0	906	63	191	268	0	0
265	14	1442	3389	631	1	630	0	66	0	1339	0	241	250	0	0
266	14	1442	3389	569	0	569	0	25	0	1190	0	163	249	43	0
267	14	1442	3389	292	17	275	0	0	0	595	40	83	132	0	0
268	14	1442	3389	243	0	243	0	0	0	499	0	76	87	0	0
269	14	1442	3444	782	0	782	0	0	0	1604	0	287	308	0	0
270	14	1442	3462	311	0	311	0	2	0	719	0	0	6	0	0
271	14	1442	3444	638	8	630	0	4	0	1241	0	192	268	0	0
272	14	1442	3444	536	18	518	0	0	0	1120	24	153	186	0	0
273	14				0	1179	0	0	0	2437	32	396	537	0	0
274	14				0	1253	0	0	0		23	1114	1935	0	0
275	14	1442	3444	906	0	906	0	3	0	_	59	343	577	0	0
276	14				0						8		526		
277	14				0		0				93	237	381	0	
278	14				0						0		271	0	
279	14				13	142			-		28	45	102		
280	14				36		0		-		6	0	27	0	
281	14				60	244	0		0		0	0	15	0	-
286	14				26	684	0		-		125	315	464	0	-
287	14				3	386			-		0		107	0	
288	14	1442	3513	652	5	647	0	0	0	1193	44	75	166	0	0

Appendix E

Appendix F:

SANDAG VMT Reports and Traffic Forecast Information Center (TFIC) Maps

F-1 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 186 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-2 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 1, Scenario 319 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-3 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-4 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 2, Scenario 320 – Regionwide, Citywide and University CPU
F-5 SANDAG SB 743 VMT Report: BP Model Run 3, Scenario 321 – Regionwide, Citywide and Hillcrest FPA
F-6 SANDAG TFIC SB 743 VMT per Capita Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University
F-7 SANDAG TFIC SB 743 VMT per Employee Map: 2016 Base Year, Scenario 458 – University

SB 743 VMT Report

Report Generated	ABM Version	Scenario ID	Scenario Name
12/13/2023	version_14_3_0	186	2016

Purple dashed line indicates 85th percentile of regional per resident/per worker VMT.

Appendix F-1 SANDAG

Residents

30

25

Regionwide Re	esident VMT	Geography	Number of Residents
Metrics		San Diego County	3,265,488
62,255,823 19.06 VMT VMT Per Resident		San Diego	1,381,156
		Study Area	13,536

VMT Per Resident by Geography





Workers



SB 743 VMT Report

The original SANDAG-created report was modified to add the 85th percentile lines for Year 2016 (Appendix F-1) for comparative purposes.

Residents

25

Regionwide Resident VMT		Geography	Number of Residents	
	Metrics		San Diego County	3,931,399
	64,245,602	16.34	San Diego	1,863,747
	VMT	VMT Per Resident	Study Area	30,042

VMT Per Resident by Geography





Report (Generated	ABM Version	Scenario ID	Scenario Name
12/6/20	23	version_14_3_0	319	mr1v2_final_2050

Workers

26,864,550

Metrics

VMT

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

16.22

12.36

Employee

VMT Per

Regionwide Employee VMT

VMT Per Employee by Geography

14.54

San Diego County

14.54

VMT Per Employee

Purple dashed lines indicate 85th percentile of regional per resident/per worker VMT.

Geography

San Diego

Study Area

14.15

San Diego

Geography

San Diego County

11.53

Study Area

Appendix F-2 SANDAG

TAZs in Study Area (Hillcrest FPA) TAZ 3325 Number of Employees 3362 1,847,339 3373 1,049,631 3389 23,001 3419 3420 3425 3427 3444 3449 3450 3451 3462 Year 2016 3472 3483 Year 2050 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 3516 3522 25/17

Metrics		San Diego Cou	inty 4,051,560
65,256,570	16.11	San Diego	1,983,908
VMT	VMT Per Residen	t Study Area	70,442
VMT Per Reside	nt by Geography		
30			
25			
≥0 ······			
VM Ler Kesident 15 13.69	16.11		Year 2016
الله 15 13.69 · · ·	••••••	13.86 · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Year 2050
L Pee			
-			
10			
			5.65
5			
0 San	Diego County Sa	in Diego St	tudy Area
	Ge	ography	

Geography

SB 743 VMT Report

comparative purposes.

Regionwide Resident VMT

Residents

The original SANDAG-created report was modified to add

the 85th percentile lines for Year 2016 (Appendix F-1) for

Scenario ID Scenario Name Report Generated ABM Version 12/12/2023 version_14_3_0

Purple dashed line indicates 85th percentile of regional per resident/per worker VMT.

Geography

San Diego

Study Area

13.76

San Diego

Geography

San Diego County

Appendix F-3 SANDAG

Number of Employees 3325 1,905,457 3373 1,112,581 3389 30,453 3419 3420 3425 3427 3444 3449 3449 3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3513 3515 Area 3516		TAZs i	n Study Area
Number of Employees 3362 1,905,457 3373 3,1112,581 3389 30,453 3419 3420 3425 3421 3425 3422 3444 3449 3449 3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 3472 3483 Year 2050 3483 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area 3516		TAZ	(Hillcrest FPA)
1,905,45733621,112,581337330,453341934203425342734443449344934503451Year 2016346234723483Year 20503483348434853510351235133515Area3516	Number of Employees	3325	
1,112,581337330,453338934193420342534273444344934503451Year 2016346234723483Year 20503483348434853510351235133515Area3516		3362	
30,453 3389 3419 3420 3420 3425 3427 3444 3449 3449 3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 Area 3516		3373	
Area 3420 3425 3427 3444 3449 3450 3450 3451 3452 3452 3462 3462 3472 3483 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 3516		3389	
3425 3427 3444 3449 3449 3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 3472 Year 2050 3483 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 Area		3419	
3427 3444 3449 3449 3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 3472 Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area 3516		3420	
 3444 3449 3450 3451 3451 3462 3472 3472 3483 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area 		3425	
3449 3450 3451 3451 3462 3472 3483 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area		3427	
3450 3451 Year 2016 3462 3472 3472 Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 Area 3516		3444	
3451 Year 2016 3462 3472 Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area		3449	
Year 2016 3462 3472 3483 Year 2050 3483 3484 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 Area 3516		3450	
3402 3472 3483 3484 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area		3451	
Year 2050 3483 4 3484 3485 3485 3510 3512 3513 3515 Area 3516	Year 2016	3462	
4 3484 3485 3485 3510 3512 3513 3513 Area 3516		3472	
* 3485 3510 3512 3513 3513 3515 Area 3516	Year 2050	3483	
Area 3510 3512 3513 3515 3516	4	3484	
Area 3512 3513 3515 3516		3485	
3513 3515 Area 3516		3510	
3515 Area		3512	
Area 3516		3513	
		3515	
3522	Area	3516	
.1.16_6		3522	

9.44

Study Area

320 MR2v2_Final_2050

Regionwide Employee VMT

VMT Per Employee by Geography

14.28

San Diego County

14.28

VMT Per Employee

Workers

27,209,992

Metrics

VMT

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

16.22

12.14

Employee

VMT Per

Number of Residents

			entile lines for Yo purposes.	ear 2016 (Ap	opendix F-1) fo	or	2,2020
R	es	sider	nts				
	-		e Resident	VMT	Geograph	ny Nur	nber of Residents
Μ	etr	ics			San Diego	o County	4,051,560
6	55,2	256,570) 16.11		San Diego)	1,983,908
\	/M1	Γ	VMT Pe	er Resident	Study Are	а	148,192
VN	/ T	Per Res	sident by Geo	ography			
	30						
	25						
	25						
	20						
VMT Per Resident		16.20	16.11				Year 2016
kesi		16.20	10.11				
er F	15	13.69		•••••••	3:86 · · · · · · · · ·		Year 2050
AT P						11.46	
5	10						
	5						
	0						
	0		San Diego Count		n Diego	Study Area	
				Geo	graphy		

SB 743 VMT Report

The original SANDAG-created report was modified to add

Report Generated	ABM Version	Scenario ID	Scenario Name ▼
12/12/2023	version_14_3_0	320	MR2v2_Final_2050

Workers



Purple dashed line indicates 85th percentile of regional per resident/per worker VMT.

Appendix F-4 SANDAG

TAZs i	n Study Area
TAZ	(University CPU)
2034	
2084	
2130	
2149	
2160	
2163	
2173	
2185	
2199	
2202	
2204	
2210	
2213	
2215	
2218	
2222	
2228	
2233	
2234	
2236	
2239	
2242	

2246

22/17

٧N	1 T	Per Res	sident by Geo	graphy	/		
	30						
	25						
Ŧ	20						
VMT Per Resident		16.20	15.78			 , ,	Year 2016
ber R	15	13.41			13.28	 	Year 2050
VMT F	10					 9.34	
	5						
	0		San Diego Count		San Diego i eography	 Study Area	

SB 743 VMT Report

The original SANDAG-created report was modified to add the 85th percentile lines for Year 2016 (Appendix F-1) for comparative purposes.

Residents

Regionwide Resident VMT		Geography	Number of Residents	
	Metrics		San Diego County	4,271,898
	67,400,917	15.78	San Diego	2,204,246
	VMT	VMT Per Resident	Study Area	40,378





Employee

VMT Per

Number of Employees San Diego County 2,010,266 27,965,442 13.91 San Diego 1,218,295 VMT Per Employee VMT Study Area 27,766 VMT Per Employee by Geography 30 25 20 16.22 Year 2016 13.91 15 13.22 11.82 Year 2050 10.16 10 5 0 San Diego County San Diego Study Area Geography

Purple dashed line indicates 85th percentile of regional per resident/per worker VMT.

Appendix F-5 SANDAG

TAZs	in Study Area
TAZ	(Hillcrest FPA)
3325	_
3362	
3373	_
3389	
3419	_
3420	
3425	_
3427	
3444	_
3449	
3450	_
3451	
3462	
3472	
3483	
3484	
3485	
3510	
3512	
3513	
3515	
3516	
3522	
25/17	



Disclaimer

The maps provided by SANDAG are an interpretation of the Senate Bill 743 Technical Advisory guidelines published by the California Office of Planning and Research and are provided as a resource to the jurisdictions in the San Diego region to use as they see fit. Users of the data should exercise their professional judgment in reviewing, evaluating and analyzing VMT reduction estimate results from the tool. Each agency should consult with CEQA experts and legal counsel regarding their own CEQA practices and updates to local policies. Refer to full disclaimer and additional information relating to the use of the SB 743 VMT Map Web Application.

While the data have been tested for accuracy and are properly functioning, SANDAG disclaims any responsibility for the accuracy or correctness of the data.

THE FOREGOING WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES OR MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND/OR ANY OTHER TYPE WHETHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED.

In no event shall SANDAG become liable to users of these data, or any other party, for any loss or damages, consequential or otherwise, including but not limited to time, money, or goodwill, arising from the use, operation or modification of the data. In using these data, users further agree to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless SANDAG for any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from the lack of accuracy or correctness of the data, or the use of the data.

To assist SANDAG in the maintenance of the data, users should provide SANDAG, at the following email address, information concerning errors or discrepancies found in using the data. tfic@sandag.org

Appendix F-6



The maps provided by SANDAG are an interpretation of the Senate Bill 743 Technical Advisory guidelines published by the California Office of Planning and Research and are provided as a resource to the jurisdictions in the San Diego region to use as they see fit. Users of the data should exercise their professional judgment in reviewing, evaluating and analyzing VMT reduction estimate results from the tool. Each agency should consult with CEQA experts and legal counsel regarding their own CEQA practices and updates to local policies. Refer to full disclaimer and additional information relating to the use of the SB 743 VMT Map Web Application.

While the data have been tested for accuracy and are properly functioning, SANDAG disclaims any responsibility for the accuracy or correctness of the data.

THE FOREGOING WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES OR MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND/OR ANY OTHER TYPE WHETHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED.

In no event shall SANDAG become liable to users of these data, or any other party, for any loss or damages, consequential or otherwise, including but not limited to time, money, or goodwill, arising from the use, operation or modification of the data. In using these data, users further agree to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless SANDAG for any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from the lack of accuracy or correctness of the data, or the use of the data.

To assist SANDAG in the maintenance of the data, users should provide SANDAG, at the following email address, information concerning errors or discrepancies found in using the data. tfic@sandag.org

Appendix F-7