

ERRATA

**Palm & Hollister Apartments
Environmental Impact Report
PRJ-0698277 / SCH No. 2022060468
August 20, 2024**

Subsequent to the finalization of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (PRJ-0698277 / SCH No. 2022060468) on August 12, 2024, revisions to the environmental document were made. Specifically, the following errors have been corrected in the brief project description on the certification page (first page of the document) and are reflected in a strikethrough and/or underline format:

The project would require an amendment to the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan (OMNCP) to change the existing land use from Open Space, Mixed Use, and Residential Low Density (5-<10 dwelling units per acre [du/ac]), Mixed-Use, and Open Space to Residential Medium-High Density (20 - 35 du/ac) ~~Open Space to Medium-High Density (30 – 44 du/ac)~~ and remove View and Access Points A and B from OMNCP Appendix C, as well as a Rezone to change the existing zone from AR-1-2, RM-1-1, and RS-1-~~7~~5 to RM-2-6.

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(a), a lead agency is required to recirculate an EIR when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the draft EIR for public review under Section 15087 but before certification. The term “information” can include changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information. New information added to an EIR is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project’s proponents have declined to implement. “Significant new information” requiring recirculation include, for example, a disclosure showing that:

1. A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.
2. A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted that reduce the impact to a level of insignificance.
3. A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the environmental impacts of the project, but the project’s proponents decline to adopt it.
4. The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded.

In accordance with the CEQA Section 15088.5(b), recirculation is not required when new information is added which merely clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modifications to the EIR. An environmental impact report need only be recirculated when there is the identification of new significant environmental impact, or the addition of a new mitigation measure required to avoid a significant environmental impact. The project revisions made to the final environmental document merely correct an error in one location and do not affect the analysis or conclusions of the final EIR. As none of the conditions outlined in Section 15088.5(a) have occurred, recirculation is not required.