

HRB Meeting of February 27, 2025							
First Name	Last Name	Meeting Date	Agenda Item Number	Position	I would like to speak on the item during public testimony.	Comments	Attachments
HRB Public Comments - Added 2/25/2025, 2/26/2025							
ITEM 1							
Mark	Houghton-Berry	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please see the attached letter for my reasoned arguments against designation.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/310-san-fernando.pdf
Michael	Robinson	2/27/2025	1	In Support of Item	No	Please find attached our letter in support of the nomination of the designation as a Historic Resource. We do not agree with the City Planning staff's recommendation that the home at 310 San Fernando Street should not be designated as a Historic Resource.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/letter-historical-resources-board-february-24.pdf
Michael	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Nicole	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation. Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Elizabeth	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	

Charlie	Bullard	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Natalie	Harris	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation. Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Tom	Chintala	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.	
Jocelyn	Cherin	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Lily	Panissidi	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Maureen	McGrath	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	The Landmark nomination fails to demonstrate how this house meets the City's HRB criteria or how its significant alterations are "minimal." The house no longer retains its original red tile roof, wood eaves, front porch, stucco, or windows—all key character- defining features. These are not minor changes. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando St.	

Eileen	Deely	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Serina	Roth	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	
Carl	Lemke	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.	
Michael	Timon	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.	
Scott	Moomjian	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Dear Chair Hutter & HRB Members: I am well-familiar with the above-referenced property ("Property"), including its history and the various modifications and alterations which the residence and site has sustained over the years. In my professional opinion, I do not believe that the Property qualifies under any HRB Criteria, and therefore, is not eligible for historic site designation. Sincerely, Scott A. Moomjian Attorney at Law	
Sean	Kennedy	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Point Loma already has over 164 designated historic resources, including at least 14 in La Playa. The City is protecting structures that truly deserve historic designation—310 San Fernando Street is not one of them. This property does not represent a rare or significant piece of San Diego history and its designation would distract from preserving buildings that are truly important. The house is not associated with La Playa’s fishing, boating, or historical development. Please do not designate 310 San Fernando Street.	

Carly	Kennedy	2/27/2025	1	In Opposition to Item	No	Please do not designate the single-family residence at 310 San Fernando Street. We urge the Board to accept the City of San Diego staff's recommendation, as the property does not meet any HRB designation criteria and lacks historic integrity required for designation.	
ITEM 3							
Lauren	Lockhart	2/27/2025	3	In Support of Item	No	See letter attached.	https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/2025-02/lambert-spec-house-1-los.pdf